



STATE OF MICHIGAN
DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
LANSING



MICHAEL P. FLANAGAN
SUPERINTENDENT OF
PUBLIC INSTRUCTION

JENNIFER M. GRANHOLM
GOVERNOR

December 21, 2005

TO: State Board of Education
FROM: Mike Flanagan 
SUBJECT: Presentation of the Michigan Educational Technology Plan 2006

Michigan's State Educational Technology Plan, *Leading Educational Transformation For Today's Global Society*, is submitted for presentation to the State Board of Education. *Leading Educational Transformation for Today's Global Society* was written to replace the current *Michigan State Technology Plan Update 2004*. The goal is to incorporate the guidance contained in the national technology plan, *Toward a New Golden Age in American Education, January 2004*, the department strategic plan, and the current high school initiatives.

This plan addresses statewide policies and practices, not the technology plans of individual local educational agencies (LEAs) and intermediate school districts (ISDs). It focuses on an overall framework for leadership and determining direction, one in which state-level efforts facilitate and foster access to cooperative projects, resources, and professional learning in a timely, equitable, and cost-effective manner.

The plan is the product of extensive in-person and online discussion and planning that began with a first meeting on March 21, 2005. The Charge to the Committee, along with the Purpose, Vision, and Mission that the Committee established for itself may be found in Appendix A. It reflects deliberations among over fifty people from across the community in Michigan. The names and affiliations of these participants are listed in Appendix B. Much of the discussion took place in seven distinct Working Groups, and among the Chairs of those Working Groups and the facilitator of the Committee. The Working Groups were established to align with the organization of the National Education Technology Plan 2004, *Toward a New Golden Age in American Education*.

This new plan has one goal: to prepare Michigan students to become productive citizens in a global society. To this end, the plan has been divided into eight components:

STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION

KATHLEEN N. STRAUS – PRESIDENT • JOHN C. AUSTIN – VICE PRESIDENT
CAROLYN L. CURTIN – SECRETARY • MARIANNE YARED MCGUIRE – TREASURER
NANCY DANHOF – NASBE DELEGATE • ELIZABETH W. BAUER
REGINALD M. TURNER • EILEEN LAPPIN WEISER

608 WEST ALLEGAN STREET • P.O. BOX 30008 • LANSING, MICHIGAN 48909
www.michigan.gov/mde • (517) 373-3324

- 1) Leadership – Michigan educators must provide leadership for educational technology in order to expand and develop transformative learning environments that increase student achievement.
- 2) Digital Citizenship – Michigan students will be proficient in technology and will demonstrate the ethical use of technology as a digital citizen and lifelong learner.
- 3) Student Learning – every Michigan student will have meaningful technology-enabled learning opportunities, including virtual learning experiences.
- 4) Data-driven Decisions - Michigan educators will use data effectively for classroom decision-making and school improvement planning through an integrated local and statewide decision support system.
- 5) Professional Learning - Every Michigan educator will have the technology competencies to enable the transformation of teaching and learning to improve student achievement.
- 6) Broadband Access – Every Michigan classroom will have broadband Internet access to enable regular use of worldwide educational opportunities.
- 7) Shared Resources – Every Michigan educator and learner will have equitable and sustained access, through statewide coordination and support, to resources necessary to transform teaching and learning through educational technology.
- 8) Funding – Michigan will develop innovative methods of funding to transform and sustain teaching and learning through educational technology and build local, regional, and statewide capacity.


Educational technology is addressed in this plan as a powerful means of improving student learning; our plan is driven by that perspective, one in which educational technology serves educational objectives.

Leadership • Digital Citizenship • Student
en Decisions • Professional Learning • Bro
Resources • Funding • Leadership • Digita
Learning • Data-Driven De
band Access • Shared Res
Citizenship • Student Lea
sional Learning • Broadba
Leadership • Digital Citi
en Decisions • Professiona
Resources • Funding • Lea
Learning • Data-Driven De
band Access • Shared Res
Citizenship • Student Lea
sional Learning • Broadba
Leadership • Digital Citizenship • Student
en Decisions • Professional Learning • Bro
Resources • Funding • Leadership • Digita
Learning • Data-Driven Decisions • Profess
band Access • Shared Resources • Funding
Citizenship • Student Learning • Data-Dri
sional Learning • Broadband Access • Shar
• Leadership • Digital Citizenship • Student
Decisions • Professional Learning • Broa




**Leading Educational
Transformation for
Today's Global Society**

STATE OF MICHIGAN
EDUCATIONAL TECHNOLOGY PLAN
2006 - 2010



Leading Educational Transformation For Today's Global Society

State of Michigan Educational Technology Plan 2006 – 2010





Executive Summary

Education in Michigan is currently facing a unique set of challenges and opportunities. It is imperative that we look intensely, carefully, and thoroughly at increased use of educational technology to meet these challenges and transform student learning

Competition across the U.S. and the world is forcing this transformation. We must act or we will be far behind. Furthermore, technology is everywhere now, but incredibly, it will be even more pervasive in the future. Think about what the nation will be like, and what will be expected of our schools' graduates when this year's Kindergarten class graduates from high school. Technology will be integral, ubiquitous, and for those not ready for the changes, disruptive.

This Plan has a single Goal:

Prepare Michigan students to become productive citizens in a global society

We have eight Objectives that represent the balanced approach, the systemic approach, all of similar importance, all needed to accomplish this goal.

1 Leadership

Michigan will provide leadership for educational technology in order to expand and develop transformative learning environments that increase student achievement.

2 Digital Citizenship

Every Michigan student will be proficient in technology and will demonstrate the ethical use of technology as a digital citizen and lifelong learner.

3 Student Learning

Every Michigan student will have meaningful technology-enabled learning opportunities based on research and best practice that include virtual learning experiences.

4 Data-Driven Decisions

Every Michigan educator will use data effectively for classroom decision making and school improvement planning through an integrated local and statewide decision support system.

5 Professional Learning 

Every Michigan educator will have the technology competencies to enable the transformation of teaching and learning to improve student achievement.

6 Broadband Access 

Every Michigan classroom will have broadband Internet access to enable regular use of worldwide educational opportunities.

7 Shared Resources 

Every Michigan educator and learner will have equitable and sustained access, through statewide coordination and support, to resources necessary to transform teaching and learning through educational technology.

8 Funding 

Michigan will develop innovative methods of funding to transform and sustain teaching and learning through educational technology and build local, regional and statewide capacity.

Educational technology as addressed in this Plan is a powerful means of improving student learning. All our educators should be knowledgeable about the ways in which student learning can benefit from educational technology. These educators should have a supportive environment in which they can realize those opportunities. We must recognize that education cannot get there via quick solutions and initiatives; we must build a transformed educational system that is enabled by educational technology through intensive work over a period of many years.

This Plan addresses statewide policies and practices, not the technology plans of individual local educational agencies (LEAs) and intermediate school districts (ISDs). It focuses on an overall framework for leadership and determining direction, one in which state-level efforts facilitate and foster access to cooperative projects, resources and professional learning in a timely, equitable, and cost-effective manner. We must implement aggressive and deliberate strategies that both maximize the return on investments in public education during a time of ongoing fiscal crisis, and exploit the potential of educational technology for improving teaching and learning.



Preface

This Plan is the product of extensive online and in-person discussions and planning that began with a first meeting on March 21, 2005. The Charge to the Committee, along with the Purpose, Vision, and Mission that the Committee established for itself may be found in Appendix A. It reflects deliberations among over fifty people from across the education community in Michigan. Their names and affiliations are in Appendix B. Much of the discussion took place in seven distinct Working Groups, and among the Chairs of those Working Groups and the Facilitator of the Committee's work. The Working Groups were established to align in large measure with the organization of the National Education Technology Plan 2004, *Toward a New Golden Age in American Education* (U.S. Department of Education, 2004)

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	ii
Preface	iv
The Context for this Plan	
Plan Overview: Eight Objectives Based on One Goal	
Appendix A: Committee Charge, Purpose, Vision, Mission Statements	20
<i>The Committee Charge (excerpts)</i>	20
<i>The Purpose Established by the Committee</i>	20
<i>The Vision Established by the Committee</i>	21
<i>The Mission Established by the Committee</i>	21
Appendix B: Committee Acknowledgements, Membership	



The Context for this Plan

This Plan has been written within a context of recognizing both the need to improve educational achievement of Michigan students, especially those who are under-achieving, and the parallel constraints of funding challenges facing the state and schools. This is a period in which the emphasis is on improving achievement as well as possible with tried and true methods.

Racing to Keep Up With Global Competition and Society

Michigan has experienced many profound changes as a result of globalization. The pace of change is rapidly increasing, and the impact is spreading. Many of the high-skill jobs that pay well, and the associated taxable income, are going to other nations now, and education is a key factor in how this progresses.

More and More Immersed in Technology

While it seems as though technology surrounds us today, there will surely be far more by the time most of today's, let alone tomorrow's, students become adults. We now have so many ways to communicate and learn from the rest of the world, with technology as an intermediary, and much more is coming that we cannot even imagine today.

A recent report from the U.S. Commerce Department cites statistics that (even though a few years old-2002) show 78% of children ages 12-17 go online, and 35% of 2-5 year olds, citing the latter group as having the fastest growth in use since a previous survey. Of those in the 12-17 age group, 83% said they go online more at home than at school. Further, when students in a study were asked about the learning environment of the future, they described it as one in which every student had a computing and communications device readily available and connected to the Internet, with a substantial amount of educational content and learning assistance delivered through that device. Students' practical experience is racing ahead, as is their image of the future.

Michigan: Historic Leader

For many years, Michigan had been a leader in educational technology, with programmatic leadership from the Michigan Department of Education through Goals 2000 and Technology Literacy grants, as well as other statewide efforts through the Michigan Association for Computer Users in Learning (MACUL), the REMC (Regional Education Media Centers) Association, the Merit Network, the Michigan Virtual University (MVU) and the Michigan Virtual High School (MVHS). Major investments have been made through the Teacher Technology Initiative to equip every teacher with a

computer, software, training, and Internet dial-in access. Most recently there is the Freedom to Learn one-to-one teaching and learning program. Funding for these programs has diminished in recent years, and many are now ended or being maintained but not updated. The fruits of earlier statewide efforts are still seen in the quality of work done by a number of teachers and administrators. Overall, the picture is one in which there is a lot of talent, capability and interest across Michigan, but a great deal more needs to be done to improve student learning through educational technology.

Enabling Educational Transformation

Research has demonstrated that educational technology can make an important difference when it is used in the classroom to support the current mode of teaching, such as assisting in locating information, doing rote learning tasks, or communicating with others. To achieve more significant gains, the approach to teaching changes, typically allowing more individualized or project-based learning with the teacher in a more powerful but challenging role as guide and mentor. This brings the students into a more engaged, motivating relationship with their own learning, often termed a constructivist approach to signify they are learning to build their own base of knowledge and understanding. The challenge of moving to this mode of teaching and learning is significant and requires considerable time and solid support from school administrators and fellow teachers. Educational technology is not a simple solution that is quickly applied; it is not painting the walls to give a room a fresh look. Educational technology takes wisdom and perseverance.

We need leadership at all levels that can imagine and implement a fundamentally transformed educational system that is customized for each student, is data driven and technology facilitated, is readily extended beyond traditional time and space considerations, and through which professional educators markedly increase student motivation, achievement, and readiness to be productive citizens in a global society.



Plan Overview: Eight Objectives Based on One Goal

This Plan is based upon a single goal that states both what is expected of students and the context in which they will be living:

Our Goal:

Prepare Michigan students to become productive citizens in a global society

This single goal makes clear that whatever is done with educational technology, the fundamental question is, “what does it do for each student?” Failures to make appropriate and effective use of educational technology must be seen as impacting the student. This Plan addresses the primary areas in which statewide actions are needed, expressed with eight objectives that are of prime importance for achieving the goal.

A substantial degree of motivation for the Objectives is found in the National Educational Technology Plan (U.S. Department of Education, 2004) and in the No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act. The Objectives are intended to focus current efforts and to utilize the limited level of available state-level resources.



Michigan will provide leadership for educational technology in order to expand and develop transformative learning environments that increase student achievement.

Leadership at the state, regional and local levels is central to the effective use of educational technology in improving and transforming education. This Plan recommends strengthening State leadership through the creation of the position of Chief Educational Technology Officer within the Michigan Department of Education (MDE). A second and complementary step is the creation of a Coalition for Educational Technology to facilitate important cooperative relationships among State agencies and associations.

Strategy 1

Strengthen and coordinate leadership for educational technology at the State level.

Performance Indicator

The Coalition for Educational Technology and a high level Michigan Department of Education (MDE) leadership position in educational technology are in place.

Action Steps

- 1 MDE will establish a position of Chief Educational Technology Officer, reporting at a top level in the organization, to provide leadership in the field of educational technology.
2. MDE will create an advisory group, called the “Coalition for Educational Technology,” composed of representatives from statewide organizations, higher education and professional associations to facilitate the coordination of technology initiatives and programs to benefit students and educators.
- 3 The Chief Educational Technology Officer will lead the Coalition for Educational Technology and utilize it as the key group for guiding and coordinating the implementation of this Plan including its evolution and updating throughout its stated period.
4. MDE will encourage cooperation and coordination between the ISDs and local districts to leverage educational technology resources.
5. The State Superintendent will examine ways to enhance communication and coordination between the MDE and other state agencies to ensure effective implementation of statewide technology projects.

Strategy 2

All Michigan educators will achieve Michigan Educational Technology Standards for Teachers (METS-T) or Michigan Educational Technology Standards for Administrators (METS-A) proficiency.

Performance Indicator

All Michigan educators will demonstrate METS-T or METS-A proficiency.

Action Steps

- 1 The State Board of Education will adopt a set of State standards for technology literacy for teachers and administrators.
2. Professional learning activities aligned with the Michigan Educational Technology Standards will be offered across the state on a regional basis to enable educators to increase their knowledge and effective use of educational technology resources to improve achievement.

- 3 MDE will develop a program to recognize exemplary educational technology leaders who model and apply technology in support of student learning and achievement.

Strategy 3

Coordinate the development of rubrics for assessing teacher use of technology delivering instruction, ensuring information literacy, and managing instruction to improve student achievement.

Performance Indicator

Rubrics will be established to provide degrees of proficiency for the effective teacher use of technology to deliver instruction, ensure information literacy and manage instruction to improve student achievement.

Action Steps

1. MDE will invite representatives of statewide professional associations and organizations, and higher education to participate in the development of rubrics for assessing teacher use of technology delivering instruction, ensuring information literacy, and managing instruction to improve student achievement.
2. MDE will identify and recognize model school districts that have implemented the rubrics to advance student achievement.
3. MDE will identify model school districts that have implemented the rubrics and whose school leaders and policy makers demonstrate their active support of information literacy skill development as key to student achievement, professional learning, enhanced productivity, and preparation for lifelong learning.

Strategy 4

Generate model processes to assist school districts in developing a knowledge base for building and sustaining their capacity for systematic technology acquisition, integration, and replacement.

Performance Indicator

Model processes will exist to enable school districts to develop a knowledge base for building and sustaining their capacity for systematic technology acquisition, integration, and replacement.

Action Steps

- The Coalition will develop model processes to assist school districts to systematically acquire, integrate and replace educational technology.
2. The Coalition will identify and design professional learning opportunities to help school board members understand the importance of utilizing educational technology as a tool for student learning and to administer school districts.

2 Digital Citizenship

Every Michigan student will be proficient in technology and will demonstrate the ethical use of technology as a digital citizen and lifelong learner.

As the world moves ahead with increasing global competition and our lives are ever more deeply impacted by technology, it is imperative that our students become both knowledgeable about technology and develop an understanding of the ethical use of technology. We are moving beyond technology literacy into a world in which students must comprehend how to work with technology as an intermediary, how to function in virtual environments, and how to behave ethically in such a new and rapidly changing world. Students need to develop *Digital Citizenship*. We already see that by 11th and 12th grade over 94% of students use the Internet. The vast majority of teenagers go online at home rather than at school. Instant messaging is preferred over email. Indeed, basic technology fluency is not as much of an issue for students as it once was; youngsters are quickly adopting and using it.

Strategy 1

Develop a digital citizenship definition and curriculum for state-wide use for students that are aligned with MCF (Michigan Curriculum Framework) benchmarks, GLCEs (Grade Level Content Expectations) and METS (Michigan Educational Technology Standards).

Performance Indicator

All students will demonstrate proficiency in digital citizenship and ethical use of technology and information allowing them to become lifelong learners.

Action Steps

MDE will establish an advisory group to meet by fall 2006 to identify traits of a Michigan citizen living in a global digital environment. This group will be made up of educators and others representing a wide range of interests including those addressing special needs of students. This group should include various stakeholders (such as curriculum, special education, technology, media specialists, colleges and universities, students, and workforce development agencies) to create a model curriculum which fully integrates the use of technology as part of the learning process.

2. Michigan Digital Citizenship Curriculum will be developed supporting the delivery of the Michigan Curriculum Frameworks (MCF), and the Grade Level Content Expectations (GLCEs).
3. The Michigan Digital Curriculum will be evaluated and adjusted annually.
4. The Michigan Digital Citizenship Curriculum should embody a broad awareness of other cultures from a global perspective.

3 Student Learning

Every Michigan student will have meaningful technology-enabled learning opportunities based on research and best practice that include virtual learning experiences.

Educational technology should be playing a major role in improving student learning throughout the curriculum. The teacher's role shifts in many ways, such as from lecturer to supporting mentor. Students are often more motivated, engaged, and learn better if their teacher uses educational technology to expand the learning experience. Insightful use of educational technology begins with facilitating student performance in areas such as gathering information and writing. It invites them to explore new means of learning such as virtual classes, video-based content, and the use of simulations to study a concept or process. Students with special needs are served more easily and equitably.

Strategy 1

Identify and disseminate meaningful technology-enabled strategies to improve student achievement and learning in a global society

Performance Indicator

All students are provided meaningful technology-enabled learning opportunities.

Action Steps

MDE will identify and disseminate best practices in technology-enabled teaching and learning environments.

2. MDE will encourage research and evaluation to produce data that focuses on the growing use of Internet-based teaching and learning environments by educators and students.

The Coalition will identify areas where technology demonstrates promise in increasing or improving student achievement through the effective and efficient use of data.

4. MDE and the Coalition will work with Michigan colleges and universities in partnerships with classroom teachers to research and explore a variety of delivery systems, including e-learning, virtual schools, simulations, action game delivery systems, customized and individualized learning with technology.
5. The Coalition will bring together groups to will work collaboratively in identifying technology-based test preparation tools that integrate student assessments, self-paced instructional content and educator resources aligned to state standards and content expectations.

Strategy 2

Provide the necessary resources for a meaningful technology-enabled learning environment for all students.

Performance Indicator

All students will have ubiquitous access to technology, assistive technology and information resources throughout their learning day. Assistive technology is any item that is *required* by a student to increase functional capabilities.

Action Step

- 1 MDE will support the development of a plan to enable ubiquitous student and teacher access to technology, assistive technology, and information resources by identifying and utilizing state, national and international best practices in technology-enabled learning environments. Along with this effort MDE will adhere to the elements of the Universal Design for Learning.
- 2 MDE will emphasize the infusion of educational technology, including assistive technology devices and services, throughout the newly required high school curriculum, as recommended by the State Board of Education.

Strategy 3

All students will have the opportunity to participate in real-life experiences associated with technology-related careers.

Performance Indicator

Students will be provided opportunities to develop technical expertise to compete in a global marketplace.

Action Steps

- 1 MDE in partnership with other agencies will develop and support technology mentorship programs to empower students in real-life experiences and provide community school service credit.
2. The Coalition will work with ISDs and RESAs to set up mentoring programs for students that offer technology related certifications.

Strategy 4

Promote and support the expectation that every student in Michigan, including students with special needs, be provided with the opportunity to learn in a virtual environment as a strategy to build 21st Century learning skills.

Performance Indicator

Prior to graduation from high school, every Michigan student will benefit from learning and using technology-based virtual tools and resources such as those required to complete an online course for credit or non-credit or complete an on-line learning experience.

Action Steps

- 1 MDE will actively promote the value of virtual learning environments to educators, parents, students and policy makers.
- 2 The Coalition will prepare a feasibility study to determine the value of developing an online international academy to foster global international and educational opportunities, including language and cultural experiences, for Michigan's students.
- 3 As recommended by the State Board of Education, every Michigan student will complete at least one on-line credit or non-credit course or have a meaningful technology-enabled learning experience based on research and best practice.
- 4 MDE will adopt and implement policies that facilitate the role of providers to deliver Michigan-based, as well as global online learning opportunities, for Michigan students.
- 5 MDE, working in collaboration with other statewide organizations, will provide and coordinate state, national, and global distance learning opportunities.

4 Data-Driven Decisions

Every Michigan educator will use data effectively for classroom decision making and school improvement planning through an integrated local and statewide decision support system.

Every educator needs to make decisions that are well-informed. A key component, of NCLB, is the use of a decision support system based on longitudinal data. The data must be connected across various sources over time and then delivered back to educators through meaningful reports that can be used for data-driven decision making. Timeliness of access to data supported by high data quality and increased ability to link and combine data elements must be emphasized. These recommendations are important to all of Michigan, and especially critical to assuring that we meet the requirements of NCLB and maintain full funding from that source.

Strategy 1

Simplify the process of data collection for compliance and decision support at all levels, from the classroom to the state.

Performance Indicator

ISD, LEA and PSA district staff members will be able to log on to a secure online system and submit data only once to the state through an easy-to-use environment.

Action Steps

- 1 The Center for Educational Performance and Information (CEPI) will work with local school districts and other state agencies to identify duplicative sources of data collection.
2. CEPI will work with local districts and state agencies to integrate multiple data source systems and align data collection calendars to streamline the data collection process and reduce duplicative data reporting.
- 3 CEPI will work with state agencies and local districts to extend unique identification codes for student and educational personnel across educational data source systems, including pre-Kindergarten through university/college/adult education students, and use these identification codes to track data longitudinally over time.
4. CEPI, state agencies and local districts will work together to exchange data to pre-populate data collection source systems to help eliminate duplicative data entry.
5. CEPI will provide role-based, secure access to appropriate data from a single Web site for specific users such as teachers, parents and administrators.
6. CEPI will collaborate with ISDs to ensure system interoperability by creating common formats of data elements shared at the federal, state and district levels.
7. CEPI, with vendors, ISDs and the Department of Information Technology (DIT) will collaborate to automate connections between databases to replace manual updates by 2008.

Strategy 2

Identify, connect and combine educational data elements in meaningful ways across various sources (e.g., personnel, financial, crime and safety, schools/facilities, and student data including assessment information and results) and longitudinally over time so that administrators and educators have the information they need to increase efficiency and improve student learning.

Performance Indicator

A collaboration of State of Michigan agencies, led by CEPI, will plan and implement a comprehensive educational data management and decision support system (DSS) that will meet federal and state reporting requirements and timelines.

Action Steps

- 1 CEPI will establish a plan to integrate the data systems necessary to educational decision support so that administrators and educators have the information they need to increase efficiency and improve student learning.
2. CEPI will gather requirements from stakeholders to identify and include data elements that should be connected and stored longitudinally, as well as the data outputs and reports that are critical to data driven decision making to set policy and ensure appropriate resource allocation to improve student achievement.

3. CEPI in collaboration with ISDs will secure funding for a state-level longitudinal educational decision support system (DSS) that will provide primary DSS functionality for districts and ISDs that do not have local systems.
4. CEPI, in collaboration with ISDs, will ensure that there is vertical integration between the state and local DSS implemented by ISD and LEA districts.
5. CEPI, in collaboration with other state agencies, will connect and combine student assessment data in the state-level DSS to meet the 2007 NCLB longitudinal assessment requirements.
6. CEPI, in collaboration with other state agencies, will connect and combine Single Record Student Database (SRSD) data to enable the calculation of a four-year cohort graduation and dropout rate to meet Michigan's NCLB accountability timeline for 2007.
7. CEPI, in collaboration with state agencies and ISD, LEA and PSA districts, will revise existing educational personnel data systems to support the collection and reporting to ensure that highly qualified teachers are in Michigan's classrooms to meet NCLB requirements.
8. CEPI, in collaboration with ISD, LEA and PSA districts and state agencies, will work with state agencies (e.g., Office of Retirement Services) and Michigan's teacher preparation institutions to integrate data sources that can be used to assess teacher supply and demand.

Strategy 3

Provide timely return of "connected" data to all educational stakeholders as well as professional learning opportunities to help them understand how to use the data to improve student achievement.

Performance Indicator

Every educator and educational stakeholder will have access to high quality, timely data and use that data effectively for classroom decision making and the allocation of resources across schools/facilities, districts, and the state for the purpose of improving teaching, learning and setting educational policy.

Action Steps

1. CEPI will work collaboratively with other state agencies, ISDs, REMCs, education associations and grant-funded projects to develop and provide professional learning opportunities for MDE staff and educators on how to use data effectively for classroom decision making and school improvement planning.
2. Educators will use assessment results in determining needs for differentiating student instruction.
3. CEPI and other state agencies will work with educational stakeholder associations to develop and provide professional learning opportunities for Michigan administrators for using data from both administrative and instructional systems to understand relationships between decisions, allocation of resources and student achievement.

4. CEPI will collaborate with districts and educational associations to develop and implement processes to “build a culture of quality data” at the local level. A culture of quality data includes processes that ensure the accuracy, timeliness, security and utility of educational data.
5. CEPI, MDE, and other state agencies will collaborate with educational stakeholders including parents, policymakers and the general public to build data tools and reports to help them understand available educational data and the relationship between decisions, allocation of resources and student achievement.

5 Professional Learning

Every Michigan educator will have the technology competencies to enable the transformation of teaching and learning to improve student achievement.

It is now expected that technology, including assistive technology devices and services, will be infused in the delivery of the new high school graduation requirements. The application of educational technology requires not only that each teacher understand the use of the technology, but they must understand how that impacts their classroom practice. The greatest gains have been seen in research and in practice where educational technology is used to teach in ways that were not practical before. Teachers, and the methods each uses, are the keys to progress with student learning. Similarly, it is highly important that school administrators understand and can provide effective leadership about the application of educational technology. This means the competency of all educators must be addressed through professional learning. The professional learning must be an ongoing process of reflective practice, a shared effort among the educators within each school, aligned with state and national standards, and tied to curriculum objectives. This is a long-term process, with each educator continuously building their skills and knowledge, increasing the benefits to student learning. This career commitment should be seen both among current educators and in the pre-service work taking place in our universities.

Strategy 1

Provide professional learning opportunities for all educators related to integrating technology, focusing on improving student learning and meeting the Michigan Technology Standards for Teachers (METS-T) and the No Child Left Behind requirements.

Performance Indicator

All educators are trained to routinely use a core body of technologies that align with standards (METS-T) that support student learning, and technology systems for analysis of data to make data-driven decisions which enhance student learning.

Action Steps

1. Educational technology should be infused in all professional learning activities whenever appropriate.
2. Under the leadership of MDE and the Coalition, a needs assessment will be developed/identified regarding technology competencies of teachers and administrators based on the METS. Funding will be sought to implement a state-wide assessment.
3. The Coalition will advocate for a revision of the Michigan School Code to include a portion of the required Professional Development for teachers and administrators be dedicated to developing and enhancing technology integration competencies for improving student learning.
4. A representative from the Coalition will serve on the MDE Professional Development Committee.
5. MDE will provide for educators an electronic “Individualized Professional Learning Portfolio” including educational technology activities.
6. MDE will collaborate with statewide groups in the development of ongoing professional development in technology proficiency and curriculum integration.
7. MDE will provide professional learning opportunities relating to the collection and use of student data for decision-making related to student achievement.
8. The Coalition will develop a technology mentoring infrastructure with educators mentoring educators in supporting the utilization of technology and troubleshooting technology in the classroom.
9. MDE will pursue policies that foster the expansion of online professional learning opportunities for all educators and support personnel through online portals.
10. Funding for the continuation of Michigan Teacher Network will be provided.
11. Educators will use technology resources that are identified and included on the Michigan Teacher Network as supported and aligned with the MCF, METS and the GLCEs.

Strategy 2

Provide administrators with ongoing professional learning opportunities that will meet the Michigan Educational Technology Standards for Administrators (METS-A).

Performance Indicator

Administrators and other curriculum/instructional leaders demonstrate skill in assisting and supporting teachers to integrate technology that maximizes student learning.

Action Steps

- 1 MDE, in collaboration with ISDs, REMCs, colleges, universities, and professional organizations will create and deliver professional learning opportunities for administrators that will be based on the METS-A.

The Coalition will advocate for leadership for technology integration supporting improved student learning within administrative preparation programs.

3. Members of the Coalition will meet with deans and directors of schools of higher education to urge them to enhance the technology preparation of school leaders.
4. Members of the Coalition will work with administrative professional associations to coordinate sessions at their annual conferences and regional learning forums.

Strategy 3

Ensure that teacher preparation institutions are preparing all teacher candidates to successfully utilize technology to improve student learning through mastery of the Entry Level Standards for Michigan Teachers (7th Standard).

Performance Indicator

All teacher candidates demonstrate skills in utilizing technology to improve student learning prior to certification.

Action Steps

- 1 MDE and the Consortium for Outstanding Achievement for Teaching with Technology (COATT) will develop models for effective implementation of the 7th standard in teacher preparation programs and methods to evaluate the technology integration skills of teacher candidates.
- 2 MDE will work with the State teacher preparation institutions to integrate online learning in their programs and to prepare their graduates to understand online learning.
3. MDE will provide funding for the continuation of COATT.

Strategy 4

Provide professional learning activities for Michigan Department of Education staff relating to technology integration.

Performance Indicator

MDE staff attends technology integration professional learning activities.

Action Steps

- 1 Members of the Coalition will provide a series of monthly (beginning 2006-2007 school year) "Lunch and Learn" technology presentations inviting all MDE staff.
2. During the 2007-2008 and 2008-2009 school years, the Coalition will facilitate workshops on specific technology integration topics for the MDE staff.
3. The Coalition will provide ongoing consultation and assistance for key MDE staff

6 **Broadband Access**

Every Michigan classroom will have broadband Internet access to enable regular use of worldwide educational opportunities.

Teachers can do much to shape how educational technology is applied in their classroom, but a fundamental component that must be consistently available to every educator and student is broadband Internet access, delivered in a reliable manner, to every computing device. Every teacher and every educational activity must be able to assume this. Current reports on school access to the Internet suggest a high level of availability; these are often overly optimistic figures, not representing the true level of teacher and student access. This Plan recommends that access be provided in every classroom, with broadband performance available to every computer in that classroom. Further, it is important to recognize that a great deal of Internet access occurs outside school, especially from home. Even so, such access is not sufficiently widespread that it can be assumed by teachers in making assignments. The Plan recommends efforts that assure students also have broadband access outside school. This is important not only for student learning, but also for school administration and parental communication and involvement. The importance of the recommended access in classrooms means that efforts to obtain eRate funding should be reemphasized.

Strategy 1

Provide broadband access to all classrooms by creating partnerships with state organizations, educational agencies and providers and expand outreach efforts to schools encouraging them to apply for eRate funding.

Performance Indicator

Every classroom has a minimum of 1.5MB connectivity to each computer device.

Action Steps

1. The Coalition, in conjunction with various State of Michigan agencies, will encourage providers to offer local school districts equitable and affordable broadband access to each building and classroom.
2. MDE will establish a partnership with the Michigan Broadband Development Authority to acquire grants and private and federal resources for narrowband schools to expand access to and use of broadband technology.
3. MDE will collaborate with REMCs and ISDs on outreach to schools informing them of eRate opportunities.

Strategy 2

Provide broadband access to global resources for students after school

Performance Indicator

Every student will have access to broadband Internet through multiple locations

Action Steps

The Coalition will encourage ISDs and local districts and governments to create partnerships with Internet service providers to provide students and educators either free or low cost access in the community to Internet resources in an effort to support the classroom activities occurring during the instructional day. Community wireless projects are meant to supplement, not replace, the school's secure infrastructure.

2. The Coalition will encourage ISDs and local districts to create partnerships with higher education, libraries and community centers to provide students/educators Internet access in the community.

7 Shared Resources

Every Michigan educator and learner will have equitable and sustained access, through statewide coordination and support, to resources necessary to transform teaching and learning through educational technology.

Teachers and students must have access to a wide range of online resources including information, reading materials, course content, video, data, communication with others, and resources designed to support instruction. Access to resources is fostered and efficiencies gained through statewide purchase programs. There are many Web-based resources where statewide agreements have proven beneficial to all educators and students. Video streaming (to the classroom) and videoconferencing (two-way, interactive) are increasingly valuable classroom resources that benefit from statewide facilitation and coordination. Virtual schooling and e-learning offer new opportunities. Attention to emerging applications of educational technology, such as online video resources or formative assessments should be integral to statewide leadership efforts. At the core of technology support, it should be recognized that the majority of all basic technical questions are handled by each teacher or by assistance from other teachers, and that the statewide technical support resources for these teachers can bring important gains in more effective use of educational technology.

Strategy 1

Provide statewide access to educational technology resources for the purpose of transforming teaching and learning.

Performance Indicator

All educators and learners access and routinely use high quality online resources as part of the teaching and learning process.

Action Steps

- MDE will work with the REMC Association and other State agencies to provide Michigan schools with universal access to high quality digital instructional content by negotiating statewide licensing agreements.
2. The Coalition and relevant stakeholders will work to establish and maintain a statewide multipoint, interactive video conferencing system.
 3. The Coalition will work to establish a web portal that supports all educators in the transformation of education through technology. The portal will be easily accessible and support such items as: curriculum and lesson materials, professional development, research to support educational technology, and technology resources correlated to the Michigan Curriculum Framework and Grade Level Content Expectations.
 4. MDE and the Michigan Department of Labor and Economic Growth will work collaboratively with Michigan's education community to design and develop an online GED program.

Strategy 2

Coordinate and provide statewide resources for technology support so that teaching and learning can be transformed seamlessly.

Performance Indicator

All educators have access to and regularly use technology support, including local and statewide resources.

Action Steps

- The Coalition in collaboration with vendors will provide access to online resources to provide effective and efficient means to resolve technical issues on commonly used software and hardware packages.
2. The Coalition will review and update the Technical Staffing Guidelines to support innovative educational opportunities in the classroom while still maintaining established educational technology programs.

8 Funding **\$**

Michigan will develop innovative methods of funding to transform and sustain teaching and learning through educational technology and build local, regional and statewide capacity.

There is a need to find alternate sources of funding and mechanisms to reallocate current resources. These considerations should be addressed in the context of understanding that reallocations of federal support have taken place in recent years, as a part of NCLB, resulting in reductions of general statewide funding for educational technology.

Furthermore, the State-based component of statewide funding for educational technology is now only about 0.04% of all state education funding. At a time when Michigan needs to increase the effectiveness of overall expenditures, we've lost important sources of leverage for doing that and appropriate action must be taken. This Plan emphasizes cooperative efforts, statewide guidelines and standards, assistance in accessing alternative sources of funding, and mechanisms that address cases where modest state funds enable significant savings for LEAs and ISDs. Our recommendations are organized to distinguish between support for innovation, for adoption of best practices, and for long-term investments.

Strategy 1

The Michigan Department of Education (MDE) will provide seed money for developing innovative technology enhanced programs.

Performance Indicator

Funding from the Michigan Department of Education is provided to develop and disseminate information on innovative educational programs that demonstrate impact on student learning

Action Steps

1. MDE will secure funding for a statewide web portal that supports curriculum, lesson materials, professional learning and research.
2. State funds will be identified to support educational technology grants that develop new initiatives, and support innovative programs and professional learning opportunities.
3. State funds will be identified to support grants for educational technology that develop new initiatives through a "revolving loan program," which would allow districts to borrow state money to purchase technologies for innovative educational programs.
4. MDE and the Coalition will work to foster innovative projects, aligned with the Plan and utilizing educational technology by establishing partnerships with educators, university/college researchers, and corporate advocates of educational technology to propose and carry out projects with grant funding from federal agencies and/or private foundations.

Strategy 2

Provide funding to help schools identify, adopt and begin sustainable exceptional educational technology practices and communicate these findings to all state educators.

Performance Indicator

Schools will be able to identify, adopt and sustain exceptional programs that use technology that can be funded by long-term resources.

Action Steps

- 1 MDE will assist districts in securing funding to sustain proven innovative projects.
- 2 MDE and the Coalition will identify funding for the continued development of existing professional learning opportunities that use technology.
- 3 The Coalition will identify training and leadership to assist local schools seeking funding for large-scale transitions of educational technology by: identifying possible funding sources, determining implementation costs; and developing a plan for the long term support of their educational technology program.

Strategy 3

Provide funding to sustain innovation, maintain State and local technology infrastructure and support effective leadership for proven educational technology programs.

Performance Indicator

Funding is identified to enhance the infrastructure at the State, ISDs/RESA/REMCs and local school district level.

Action Steps

- 1 MDE will identify State and federal appropriations for statewide projects that have proven to be successful but require ongoing fiscal support.
The Coalition will assist in the identification and formation of partnerships with business and industry that can help fund educational technology programs in the State.
- 3 The Coalition will work with the legislature to develop a revenue-producing vehicle that incorporates the State funding of bonds and other revenue producing means.
- 4 The MDE will work with stakeholders to develop and implement a statewide Educational Technology Foundation to fund technology programs.
- 5 MDE will encourage, assist and train all ISDs/RESAs and local school districts to file appropriate eRate forms to receive reimbursements available through this national program.
- 6 MDE will encourage local school districts and ISDs to continue to utilize cooperative purchasing programs for equipment, supplies, and services.



Appendix A: Committee Charge, Purpose, Vision, Mission Statements

The Committee Charge (excerpts)

... The new state Educational Technology Plan will influence the direction and planning of Michigan's educational technology goals for students and teachers in the coming years.

Our goal is to write a usable, educational technology plan that incorporates the guidance found in the National Education Technology Plan 2004, *Toward a New Golden Age in American Education*, January 2005, and meets the needs of our state as we move forward. ...

"The State Board of Education and Michigan Department of Education believe that all children can learn at high levels, and that a complete education helps all of our children become participating citizens who are creative, caring, and critical thinkers, and to accomplish this, the State Board of Education and Michigan Department of Education must work in collaboration with the Governor, the Legislature, and the community of stakeholders to achieve the Vision." (Michigan State Board of Education /Department of Education Strategic Plan, 2005-2010.)

Please keep the above quote from the Michigan Department of Education and the State Board of Education in mind as we write our new State Educational Technology Plan. We believe that all students have the ability to learn and technology can assist them in this goal. Laying out a vision and a direction can help us to achieve our goals.

Signed March 21, 2005 by

Jeremy M. Hughes, Interim Superintendent
Carol Wolenberg, Deputy Superintendent

The Purpose Established by the Committee

The purpose of the 2006 State Educational Technology Plan is to serve as a framework document that communicates and describes the priorities and strategies for the State in the area of educational technology. The Plan is designed to guide educators, policy makers and relevant stakeholders in providing leadership for the development and implementation of technology enriched learning opportunities that enable students to become 21st Century citizens in a global economy.

The Vision Established by the Committee

All students will be self-directed learners, able to make appropriate use of suitable technology in order to function in a knowledge-based society.

Michigan will be a leader in providing technology-supported and enhanced learning environments.

The State will be a driving force to create learning environments that maximize use of what teachers and students need to be successful in the 21st Century knowledge economy.

The Mission Established by the Committee

We are to inform, challenge, motivate. We are to identify for State leadership the core elements, the best practices, and the innovative approaches that provide the impetus for Michigan to truly take advantage of technology integration to advance the education of our students.



Appendix B: Committee Acknowledgements, Membership

We acknowledge with great appreciation the support for the work on this Plan that was provided through a grant from the NCRTEC, Learning Point Associates, under the management of Lisa Palacios. That support made a crucial difference in our ability to undertake this work.

MIEM, the Michigan Institute for Education Management, administered the grant to support the planning work for the Michigan Department of Education. Dan Pappas managed this grant, enabling this very important effort of so many people.

The Committee members are listed below, first according to the Working Groups they each joined, and then individually with their affiliations. These people provided the insights and energy that has driven this plan. The guidance and support of many people at the Michigan Department of Education should be recognized, including that of Carol Wolenberg, Mary Ann Chartrand, Fran Loose, Louis Burgess, Barbara Fardell, Ron Faulds, Jane Schmitt, and Wanda Shunk. The interviews and reporting for the individual profiles and the summaries of research reports were done by Patricia Morgenstern and Betty Van Dam. Careful reading and editing was done by Marie Zuk and Jane Perzyk. Kate DeFuccio and David Frankel are responsible for the graphics used throughout this document. Greg Marks has been the facilitator of the process is responsible for the background materials and brought all the input together in this document.

- Student Learning - Carolyn McCarthy, Chair
 - Jeff Diedrich, Ron Faulds, Pat Love-Sypho, Shawn Massey, Sherry McVay, Julia Meredith, Olga Moir, Julie Myrmel, Steven Whetstone, Yong Zhao
- Professional Learning - Marie Zuk, Chair
 - Mesut Duran, Steve Hecker, Pete Mantei, Patricia Morgenstern, Marilyn Schlieff, Ric Wiltse, Sue Wittick
- Infrastructure - Mike Oswalt, Chair
 - Jennifer Hensley, Jeannene Hurley, Janine Lim, Linda Pung, Debbie Roeske
- E-Learning and Virtual Schools - Jamey Fitzpatrick, Chair
 - Jeff Diedrich, Tracy DuBay, Michelle Johnston, Tom Juett, Erica Nakfoor, Jane Perzyk, Jim Seidl, Chris Wigent
- Information Management - Meg Ropp, Chair
 - Roger Ashley, Jim Hogan, Lisa Palacios
- Funding - David Frankel, Chair
 - Mike DeSchryver, Roger Mendel, Dwight Sinila, Paul Stemmer
- Leadership - Sam LoPresto, Chair
 - Kirk Baese, Kathy Hayes, Michelle Johnston, Doug Leisenring, Sheryl Mase, Jane Perzyk, Christine Reiff, Mike Souden, Barbara Stork, Leslie Wilson
- Chairs' Working Group, Greg Marks, Facilitator
 - Meetings of the Working Group Chairs, to coordinate and guide the work

Roger Ashley
Executive Director
MAME (Michigan Association for
Media in Education)

Kirk Baese
Principal
Ovid-Elsie High School

Mike DeSchryver
Director of Technology
Grand Ledge Public Schools

Jeff Diedrich
Director
MATR Michigan Assistive Technology
Resource

Tracy DuBay, Ph.D.
Educational Technology Program
Faculty,
Western Michigan University

Mesut Duran
Assistant Professor of Technology
University of Michigan, Dearborn

Ron Faulds
Education Consultant
Michigan Department of Education

Jamey Fitzpatrick
President and CEO
MVU (Michigan Virtual University)

David Frankel
Technology Consultant
Wayne RESA

Kathy Hayes
Associate Executive Director
MASB (Michigan Association of School
Boards)

Steve Hecker, Ph.D.
Project Coordinator, Michigan Intel(R)
Teach to the Future
MACUL (Michigan Association for
Computer Users in Learning)

Jennifer Hensley
Manager, Dedicated Connections
Merit Network

Jim Hogan
Information Officer
Department of Information Technology

Jeanenne Hurley
Michigan E-Rate Coordinator
Michigan Department of Education

Michelle Johnston, Ph.D.
Dean, College of Education and Human
Services
Ferris State University

Tom Juett
Director, Instructional Technology
Macomb ISD

Doug Leisenring
Principal, Soo Hill Elementary
Escanaba Area Public Schools

Janine Lim
Instructional Technology Consultant
Berrien County ISD
and TWICE Board member

Sam LoPresto
Project Director
MAISA (Michigan Association of
Intermediate School Administrators)

Patricia Love-Sypho
Teacher Consultant for the Visually
Impaired
Michigan School for the Blind. Low
Incidence Outreach

Pete Mantei
Director of Technology
Sault Ste. Marie Area Public Schools

Greg Marks
State Educational Technology Plan
Facilitator

Sheryl Mase
Director, Library Development & Data
Services
Library of Michigan

Shawn Massey
Instructional Technologist
Flint Community Schools

Carolyn McCarthy
Educational Technology Coordinator
Shiawassee ISD
and MACUL Board Member

Sherry McVay
Director of Technology
DeWitt Public Schools

Roger Mendel
Mideastern Michigan Library
Cooperative

Julia Meredith
Youth Services Specialist
Library of Michigan

Olga Ann Moir
Executive Director
Michigan Association for Supervision
and Curriculum Development

Patricia Morgenstern
Executive Director
COATT

Julie Myrmel
3rd Grade Teacher
Hudsonville Public Schools

Erica Nakfoor
Departmental Analyst
Office of Adult Education
DLEG, (Department of Labor and
Economic Growth)

Mike Oswald
2006 REMC President
Assistant Superintendent of Regional
Technology Services
Calhoun ISD

Lisa Palacios
Senior Program Associate
NCRTEC/NCEMSC
Learning Point Associates

Jane Perzyk
Project Consultant, LEADing the Future
Michigan Gates Program

Linda Pung
Client Service Director
DIT (Department of Information
Technology)

Christine S. Reiff
Education Consultant
Office of Career and Technical
Preparation
DLEG, (Department of Labor and
Economic Growth)

Debbie Roeske
Client Specialist for CEPI/Education
DIT (Department of Information
Technology)

Meg Ropp, Ph.D.
Director
CEPI (Center for Educational
Performance and Information)

Marilyn Schlieff
Program Director, ISTE Institutes
ISTE (International Society for
Technology in Education)

Jim Seidl
Director
Woodlands Library Cooperative

Dwight Sinila
Education Consultant
Michigan Department of Education

Mike Souden
Supervisor, Educational Technology and
Library Media Services
REMC Director, REMC 17
Oakland Schools

Paul M. Stemmer, Jr., Ph.D.
State Coordinator, National Assessment
of Educational Progress
Michigan Department of Education

Barbara Stork
Assistant Director
Michigan Association of Non-public
Schools

Steven Whetstone
Coordinator
Assessment and Technology
Michigan School for the Deaf

Chris Wigent
Superintendent
Calhoun ISD

Leslie A. Wilson
Director, Professional and Curriculum
Development
Freedom to Learn, Ferris State
University

Ric Wiltse
Executive Director
MACUL (Michigan Association for
Computer Users in Learning)

Sue Wittick
Higher Education Coordinator
Michigan Department of Education

Yong Zhao, Ph.D.
Professor, Technology in Education &
Educational Psychology, College of
Education, Michigan State University

Marie Zuk
Project Director
ATA Technology Academy, MACUL