

MICHIGAN NATURAL RESOURCES TRUST FUND

BOARD OF TRUSTEES MEETING

Minutes of December 8, 2004
Best Western Midway Hotel, 7711 W. Saginaw, Lansing
9:00 AM

The meeting of the Michigan Natural Resources Trust Fund (MNRTF) Board of Trustees commenced at approximately 9:08 AM.

The following Board members were present:

Steven Arwood
David Dempsey
Bob Garner
Jim Thompson
Sam Washington

Also in attendance were various staff members of the Department of Natural Resources (DNR) and other interested parties.

I. ADOPTION OF MINUTES FOR MEETING OF OCTOBER 13, 2004.

Chairperson Thompson called for the adoption of the October 13, 2004 Board meeting minutes.

MOVED BY MR. ARWOOD, SUPPORTED BY MR. WASHINGTON, TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE OCTOBER 13, 2004 MNRTF BOARD MEETING. PASSED.

II. ADOPTION OF AGENDA FOR MEETING OF DECEMBER 8, 2004.

Chairperson Thompson called for the adoption of the agenda for the December 8, 2004 Board meeting.

Mr. Arwood wished to add Ms. Mindy Koch, Resource Management Deputy, DNR, under "Public Appearances" and to be listed after Ms. Teri Takai, Director, Michigan Department of Information and Technology (DIT).

MOVED BY MR. ARWOOD, SUPPORTED BY MR. GARNER, TO APPROVE THE AGENDA FOR THE DECEMBER 8, 2004 MNRTF BOARD MEETING, WITH THE ADDITION OF MS. MINDY KOCH, RESOURCE MANAGEMENT DEPUTY, DNR, BEING ADDED TO "PUBLIC APPEARANCES." PASSED.

III. PUBLIC APPEARANCES.

Ms. Teri Takai, Director, Michigan Department of Information and Technology.

Mr. Dennis Fedewa, Chief Deputy, DNR, introduced Ms. Teri Takai, Director, Michigan Department of Information and Technology (DIT) to the Board. Ms. Takai serves as the State's Chief Information Officer. She was appointed by Governor Granholm and confirmed by the

Senate in February 2003. She was formerly the Director of Process Development for Federal Mogal, as well as holding senior positions at EDS and Ford Motor Company.

Ms. Takai has extensive experience in computer system planning, logistics and financial lending systems. Ms. Takai's experience with DIT is her first in the public sector. She reports directly to Governor Granholm as a full-fledged cabinet director.

Ms. Takai is the second director for DIT. She is responsible for developing a new organization and its 1700 employees. One of her most immediate concerns is dealing with the State's current budget deficit and developing measures for DIT to meet the demand for cutting costs while still meeting the demand for providing information and technology services for Michigan.

Detroit magazine recently named Ms. Takai as one of the top 100 emerging business leaders in southeast Michigan. The Association for Women in Computing presented her with the top "Michigan Women in Computing" award. Ms. Takai was also named to the Crane's Detroit business list of the 100 most influential women. She serves on the Walsh College President's Advisory Council, the Junior Achievement Board, Oakland University's Meadowbrook Art Gallery Board and the Cherry Commission for Higher Education.

Ms. Takai received a BA in mathematics from the University of Michigan and holds a MA in management from the University of Michigan. She is a metro Detroit native, enjoys traveling and runs 5K races in her "spare time."

At this point, Ms. Takai provided a Powerpoint presentation outlining the functions and responsibilities of DIT. DIT provides technology services to the 19 State agencies and the Office of the Governor. Services are not provided to the Legislature or Supreme Court, although DIT does operate the networks and several technology contracts that not only these two entities can utilize, but State and local governments as well. Often DIT can get discounts on a lot of technology purchases.

The "Michigan.gov" site gets about 22 million page views per month. In addition, there is about 18 million online transactions per month. There are 36 million law enforcement inquiries and 3.3 million driver's licenses annually, as well as 40,000 business registration filings. To provide this technology, DIT manages the networks, hardware and support for these services which include:

- 22 data centers
- 55,000 workstations
- 2,400+ servers
- 3 mainframes
- Centralized telecom and network services
- Centralized server hosting
- 2,000+ data lines
- Enterprise help desk
- 160 terabytes of storage

When DIT was formed, it was charged to provide the following:

- Simplify the State's technology environment
- Consolidate and improve efficiencies
- Reduce costs

- Secure State systems and citizen information
- Promote sharing of data, information, technologies and best practices
- Enhance career opportunities for the State's Information Technology (IT) professionals
- Promote collaboration and interoperability within agencies and across State government
- Align technology with State's business objectives

Ms. Takai stated there are challenges to accomplishing all these objectives. Such challenges include:

- The State's complex and aging IT environment
- Increasing customer demand and declining State budget
- Organizational change – transition to centralized IT

Security is a growing challenge for DIT. Security incidents that occur per day include:

- Approximately 8,400 e-mail viruses
- Approximately three computer hijack attempts
- Approximately 620 web server attacks
- Approximately 38,000 scans/probes

Ms. Takai further stated that within the last year DIT has developed strategic goals to support the Cabinet Action Plan. These include:

- Goal 1 – Expand Michigan's services to reach anyone at anytime from anywhere
- Goal 2 – Transform Michigan's services through sharing and collaboration
- Goal 3 – Manage technology to provide better service and faster delivery
- Goal 4 – Make Michigan the employer of choice for technology professionals
- Goal 5 – Create a statewide community of partnerships

The services that DIT provides to DNR include:

- Desktop services
- Application development services
- Server management
- Web-based services
- Telecommunication
- 800 Mhz radio system

Ms. Takai provided the Board information on the Land Ownership Tracking System (LOTS) of which the Board is particularly interested in. Points of information include:

- FY 2000 – Development started with six contracted staff
- FY 2004 – Replaced six contractors with three State staff, resulting in a net annual reduction of \$293,000
- FY 2005 – Projected costs for LOTS will be \$300,000

Ms. Takai outlined the DNR's IT expenditures for Fiscal Year 2004. DIT does not have an independent IT budget. DIT is completely funded by each of the State agencies. Budgets are split into two pieces: Interdepartmental Grant (IDG), which is the money that DIT is

“guaranteed” per year; and Non-IDG, which is for “on demand” tasks. DNR IT funding includes the following:

- IDG expenditures - \$8.1 million
- Non-IDG expenditures - \$10.6 million
- DIT invoices the DNR for services based on activity tracking
- All funding decisions are made by DNR in collaboration with DIT

Ms. Takai further stated that some of DNR’s IT challenges are:

- Aging microwave infrastructure
- Aging legacy systems
- Insufficient bandwidth at many offices
- General fund reductions
- Early retirement and loss of institutional knowledge

Chairperson Thompson commented that Ms. Takai’s presentation was very informative. Ms. Takai stated that Mr. Fedewa is provided with a full accounting of how DIT dollars are spent. DIT does not make any technology decisions without the approval of the Michigan Information Technology Executive Council. Mr. Fedewa is a member of the Council. This Council makes decision on how much is spent on such things such as security.

Mr. Washington asked of the lump sum amount of dollars that the DNR pays to DIT, how is it determined how much is charged to the MNRTF. Ms. Takai responded that the decision on the allocation that is being spent is not really done by DIT. DIT provides to Mr. Fedewa and Director Humphries how much certain services cost and then they make the decision if they want the services or performed differently.

Mr. Fedewa added that before the cost allocation is agreed on, which is done internally, DNR follows a cost allocation model where DNR gets approval, review and agreement from the State’s statewide cost allocation expert, Maxwell and Associates. Based on that methodology is how the MNRTF is spread for DIT expenditures into the Administration and Resources sides of the divisions of DNR. This is done every year. We are not completely finished with the analysis for this year, but are getting close. This information will be forwarded to the Board at their February meeting. Once it is decided what amount goes into the IDG (the dollar amount in the budget bill), that is only a portion that goes to DIT. When DIT and the IDG was created, there were many things that were not accounted for that were technology-related that did not get transferred from the various State departments into the IDG portion that goes to DIT, such as computer and hardware replacement, application development, etc. Once an IDG based budget is agreed to, should there be expenditures for DIT for things outside the IDG, DNR gets a full accounting back via an interdepartmental invoice system.

Mr. Arwood asked about the LOTS development. This expenditure went down \$171,000 over last year. It is his understanding that that is because we are internalizing that program and making better use of management resources; however, payments to DIT went up \$267,000. The cost of DIT is actually increasing even though we are saving on LOTS. Mr. Fedewa responded that basically the DNR had two years of economics filled in that were just not funded in the budgets. Mr. Arwood stated that the MNRTF is over a million dollars per year. Mr. Fedewa responded that if you look at LOTS and the DIT line together, it is about \$1,084,000, which is a net increase of about \$200,000. This includes economics costs.

Mr. Arwood stated that we are not just buying IT services to run IT, but also personnel services. Mr. Fedewa responded this is the proportion for staff providing MNRTF benefited IT services.

Ms. Mindy Koch, Resource Management Deputy, DNR.

Ms. Mindy Koch, Resource Management Deputy, DNR, provided the Board with information regarding the Comben v State of Michigan and Pure Resources (now Blackstone Minerals) v State of Michigan lawsuits.

She briefed the Board on the two cases and shared with the Board that these two cases have the potential to have a significant impact on existing MNRTF financial issues and future oil and gas revenue to the Trust Fund.

Comben vs State of Michigan is a case that potentially affects the DNR's tax-reverted properties, and as a result impacts the revenues that the MNRTF receives from oil and gas interests. Comben is the name of the Antrim County Treasurer. The court was asked to clarify several aspects of the new tax foreclosure process that became the treasurer's responsibility under amendments to the General Property Tax Act. The new tax reversion process was passed in 1999 and allowed counties to opt into the tax reversion process, meaning they could take the process over. Through the old process, DNR was receiving tax-reverted lands and processing them. Most of the lands were auctioned off, however, some were retained that met DNR's management goals.

Comben asked the court specifically if she had to give notice of foreclosure to those individuals or institutions that actually had mineral interests, specifically oil and gas. This could be ownership interest (severed minerals owned by someone other than the surface owner) or a lease holder. This could be quite complex in Antrim County. There is also a financial penalty if proper notice is not provided.

The trial court informed Comben that she did not have to give notice to oil and gas interests. Because of the nature of oil and gas, it is not subject to general property taxes. If oil and gas interests do not have to pay property tax, they cannot be foreclosed on. Most of the property that the DNR manages was received through the tax reversion process, with most of it occurring in the 1930s.

The theory of oil and gas not reverting under the foreclosure process is a complete turnaround from the approach the State has taken from the beginning of tax foreclosure processes. From a DNR perspective, up until a few years ago we were statutorily required to retain all minerals, even when the tax reversion property was sold by auction. As a result, the State has retained many minerals with some under production today.

With the court's decision, there is a tremendous amount of consequences that face the MNRTF. The State manages in fee approximately 3.8 million acres, much of this coming from the tax reversion process. The DNR also manages about 2.1 million acres of minerals that were severed. There is a potential liability of 5.9 million acres that fall under this decision. The DNR appealed the decision and lost. The appellate court provided additional definition to the liability situation, basically saying that if property was in fee at the point of reverting (minerals still attached to the surface), that the property tax-reverted in total. It does not sever the mineral interest through the tax reversion process. If there was a separate interest (a lessee or a different mineral owner than the surface owner), that is when the minerals do not tax revert. Whoever held those interests still holds them today.

The tax reversionary process in the State of Michigan began in 1898. It appears that the appellate court limited a time frame back to 1929, as this is when the severance taxes were put in place. The appellate court made the direct connection with severance tax being in lieu of property tax. Those minerals that were severed at the time of reversion would stay severed and would not have come to the State of Michigan. The DNR has no way of knowing which parcels these would be. This was not tracked, as it was assumed that property was always foreclosed in fee. If the mineral owner was properly notified, he/she has the opportunity to pay the taxes so the property does not revert. The State of Michigan has gone under this definition since the late 1800s.

Ms. Koch further stated that since DNR lost the initial appeal, they are looking at appealing to the State Supreme Court. The DNR has made a request for the Supreme Court to hear the case, and potentially we may learn within the next six months whether it will be taken up or not. This is just defining what the State may own as far as a mineral base. If the Supreme Court does not hear the case, or we lose, the mineral ownership that the State believes that they owned will dramatically change.

Mr. Arwood added that the appellate court has ruled on a question of law. He believes the issue that is in front of the Supreme Court is simply a question of law if the lower court properly rule that mineral interests are not subject to the General Property Tax Act and foreclosure. This was a fairly straightforward decision by the lower court. There is a chance that the Supreme Court may not agree to hear this appeal, and in that case, the lower court's decision would be the legal definition. Ms. Koch further stated that what the DNR is asking the Supreme Court to rule on is whether severance tax is in lieu of property tax.

Ms. Koch proceeded to outline the Blackstone Mineral vs State of Michigan case. This case has the greatest impact on the MNRTF today and the future. This is a class-action suit against the State of Michigan. The class was certified and there is a list of the participants in the suit that has been approved by the court. There are approximately 200 names on the list. It is a "Who's Who" of the oil and gas industry in Michigan. The list also has non-oil and gas companies and financial institutions on it as well. This case, as well, will be drawn back to the late 1800s to try and set a standard that oil and gas was not subject to the General Property Tax Act and the plaintiffs will be asking for a financial remedy. At this point, the DNR does not know what the list of 200 actually means geographically with State ownership. They are comparing the title search with current State ownerships.

Ms. Koch further stated that with this case the plaintiffs are saying the State of Michigan owes them back royalties from the beginning point in time that the State actually owned and developed minerals. As in the other case, the DNR does not know what the dollar figure would be. The DNR does not track royalties by parcel; it is tracked by production unit. The DNR has no connection on the actual title versus what the royalty payment was. She believes we will be seeing some of that information also being brought forward.

Recognizing that the oil and gas revenues are the primary source of MNRTF monies, the plaintiffs have stated they believe their remedy should come from the MNRTF.

Mr. Arwood commented that it is an important thing to highlight that the lawsuit is against the State of Michigan, but the MNRTF received the benefit of the funds.

The Blackstone case is scheduled for status conference for January 10, 2005. It will be held in Antrim County and will be heard by the same judge that decided the Comben case.

Ms. Koch concluded by saying this is all the information she has to report to the Board at this time. The DNR does not know what acreage would be involved or dollar figure. The worst case scenario would be that just about everything that came to the MNRTF is at risk. It would be something less than this if we continue to believe it is only those minerals that came to the State that were severed at the time of tax reversion.

Mr. Arwood commented that with the two cases operating in tandem, the question of law is what will rule and then have the question of damages. It could be two years before we know. In looking at the fund, it does not have any extraordinary protection from a lawsuit of this type. Ms. Koch responded that the Constitution lays out a Constitutional protection of the fund and the revenues going into the fund. The bottom line in the litigation could be that the State illegally or improperly obtained those funds, therefore they do not belong to the State. Looking at what acreage might be involved, we will no longer be getting revenues on those acres for the future.

Ms. Koch believes that the future of the MNRTF is at risk with these cases, and wanted to share this information with the Board. The Natural Resources Commission has also been advised of these cases.

Chairperson Thompson commented that the DNR does not know what the acreage or dollar amount to the MNRTF would be, however, plaintiffs in the lawsuit have indicated a dollar or property amount. Is the problem going back and reviewing the acreage and dollar amounts indicated by the plaintiffs? Ms. Koch responded that the problem with this is the resources it would take to do a proper review. The Land Ownership Tracking System (LOTS) is where the information is at. It is one of the largest databases in the nation for property ownership. The system has a tremendous amount of information, but it knows what has been tracked. No one tracked the severance of minerals in the tax reversion process. The revenue system is very complex in LOTS for oil and gas revenue and is based on production unit. The information coming before the court is going to be parcel by parcel because that is how it tax-reverted.

Mr. Washington asked if some of the plaintiffs were corporate holdings and the corporation has since dissolved, do the lands automatically revert at that point. Ms. Koch responded yes.

Mr. Dempsey asked if there was any legislative or constitutional remedy for this that would hold the MNRTF harmless. Ms. Koch responded that certainly that review is taking place, but she has not heard of anyone coming up with a solution at this point.

Mr. Washington asked what the implication would be to local units of government who have received MNRTF grants in the past. Ms. Koch responded that if the cases are lost, the State will have a financial liability. Whatever that liability is, the State will have to pay. She does not believe it will impact previously given grants.

On another subject, Mr. Dempsey asked Ms. Koch about the Warren Woods/Warren Dunes State Park application that was submitted for acquisition (04-139), which the DNR is currently leasing from a foundation. It is his understanding that the foundation no longer exists. If it does not, who is the DNR leasing the land from and what is the risk to the State with this property. Ms. Koch responded that this is a legal question which she does not have a response for, but will look into it.

Mr. Washington asked if there was anything the Board could do to assist the DNR as these cases progress, such as writing a letter to the court. Ms. Koch will keep the Board up to date on these cases. At this point in time, the DNR is just waiting to move forward.

Mr. Tim Scarpino, Ferrysburg – 04-108, North Ottawa Dune Acquisition Project, Ottawa County.

Mr. Tim Scarpino of Ferrysburg, made a presentation in support of 04-108, North Ottawa Dune Acquisition Project, Ottawa County. He has served for three years on the City Council and recently has been active with the citizens committee to acquire North Ottawa Dunes. He wanted to express to the Board the excitement and fulfillment that his group has experienced in their efforts to protect 500 acres of habitat and land. There has been a remarkable effort in northwest Ottawa County to raise money to fund the private component of the North Ottawa Dune's request. Pledges are still coming in today.

Many people have been involved in this project, among them Dr. David Seibold (chair of the citizens committee), Peter Price and Pat Cannon. Ms. Julie Stoneman from the Land Conservancy of West Michigan was very instrumental in assisting the committee to pull the private component together. The owner of the property has agreed to place the 400-home development plan on hold as public funds are sought for ownership of the property.

In addition, Mr. Scarpino wished to acknowledge the efforts of Mr. John Scholz and Mr. Mark Oppenheizen of Ottawa County Parks, and Spring Lake Township which will give 100 acres of undeveloped land adjacent to North Ottawa Dunes to the project.

Mr. Gordon Gallagher, City Manager, City of Saugatuck – 04-105, Denison South, City of Saugatuck.

Mr. Gordon Gallagher, City Manager for the City of Saugatuck, made a presentation in support of 04-105, Denison South. He advised the Board that Senator Birkholz, who was in attendance, was unable to stay, but wanted to pass on to the Board her appreciation of the Board's support for this project.

Mr. Gallagher provided the Board with a photograph of the Denison South project.

Ms. Elizabeth Lieberman, Executive Director, Walloon Lake Association – 04-088, Walloon Lake Access, Bear Creek Township.

Ms. Elizabeth Lieberman, Executive Director of the Walloon Lake Association, made a presentation in opposition to funding of 04-088, Walloon Lake Access, submitted by Bear Creek Township. The Walloon Lake Association has sponsored two recent meetings with the five townships surrounding Walloon Lake to discuss improving access. A third meeting is scheduled for late January 2005. In addition, the DNR is reviewing State-owned land on the lake to determine if it holds an appropriate site for access.

Mr. Washington asked if the DNR decides to do something with its property for access, would the Walloon Lake Association oppose it. Ms. Lieberman responded that she is not aware of any opposition given they do not know the scope of what activity might take place. She would hope that the Association and others would work cooperatively to come up with a site that pleases all parties involved.

Mr. Washington stated that at the present time he does not feel there is access on Walloon Lake. He would like to see access either with the township or DNR, preferably with the State property. The Board believes access is needed on Walloon Lake. He is concerned that if the Board does not make use of this opportunity for access in Bear Creek Township, where there is a willing partner, in the future if we were to defer to the DNR to provide access we would get the same kind of firestorm. He wondered if it was the Association's intent to just keep people off the lake or are they honestly and sincerely willing to work with the DNR to provide access to the lake. Ms. Lieberman responded the Association has a very sincere effort to work with the DNR to provide access on Walloon Lake.

Mr. Washington needs to be comfortable with the idea that if he votes to not fund the project, that it be understood he is not putting an "X" through all access on Walloon Lake in perpetuity. Ms. Lieberman responded that it is her opinion that Mr. Washington would not be doing that, and the Association would work wholeheartedly towards an appropriate access site on the lake.

Mr. Garner expressed his appreciation to Ms. Lieberman and the Walloon Lake Association. He has read all the minutes that have been forwarded to the Board. He has the same feelings as Mr. Washington regarding the need for access on the lake. Mr. Garner further stated that because of Ms. Lieberman's efforts alone the site may not get funded this time around. The Board is banking on the Association keeping their word to help with efforts to provide access on Walloon Lake.

Mr. Arwood also expressed his appreciation of Ms. Lieberman's efforts. He reiterated Mr. Washington's and Mr. Garner's comments. He was somewhat upset that we could not move forward with something today. He feels that efforts for finding access is going to get stronger and perhaps efforts doubled.

Mr. Dennis Keiser, Supervisor, Bear Creek Township – 04-088, Walloon Lake Access.

Mr. Dennis Keiser, Supervisor for Bear Creek Township, made a presentation in support of funding for 04-088, Walloon Lake Access. He emphasized that Walloon Lake is a public lake in the State of Michigan and the public has a right to access the lake. Even though the park is small, Mr. Keiser feels there should be more access to the lake in other locations than just what would be included in the project.

Mr. Washington asked if the project is not funded this grant cycle, will the township reapply for funding next year. Mr. Keiser responded that he hopes the township would reapply. The township alone cannot afford the match amount of the project. At this time, there is a willing property owner that is willing to donate up to 10 percent. He does not know if he would do that next year. Without the donation the township cannot come up with the \$600,000 match and maintain the park. He does not want to take on a project where the facility cannot be maintained properly.

Chairperson Thompson asked if this property would be available a year from now. Mr. Keiser responded he cannot guarantee that it will be.

Mr. Washington asked how many vehicles and boats would be able to utilize the parking area in the project. Mr. Keiser responded that it would accommodate eight or nine boats, for a total of twenty for the area. Mr. Washington asked how large the lake is. Mr. Keiser responded that it is 41 square miles.

Discussion ensued on the project. Mr. Garner stated that if Bear Creek Township's project does not get funded in this grant cycle, that he hopes to see the township reapply next year. Mr. Arwood further stated that he encourages Bear Creek Township to continue to have meetings with other local units of government to coordinate and provide public access sites.

Mr. Washington asked if there were other townships in the area who would be applying for a grant to improve access sites within their townships. Mr. Keiser responded he does not know, as these are primarily road endings.

Ms. Lila Fegan, Township Supervisor, Richland Township – 04-040, Hardwood Lake Campground Improvements.

Ms. Lila Fegan, Township Supervisor for Richland Township, made a presentation in support of 04-040, Hardwood Lake Campground Improvements. She provided some further information regarding the project to the Board.

Ms. Fegan stated that this campground was acquired from the DNR in 1986. With community and volunteer help, the campground has been made what it is today. Over the last few years, the township has put quite a lot of money into the campground. The biggest need for the grant at this time is for 22 mobile hookups, with a cost of approximately \$35,000. Last year, the township paid an attendant \$3,600 for park maintenance. The campground attracts tourists, which is much needed for the community.

Ms. Fegan further stated that the township paid \$10,000 to provide electricity to the park. In the future, they would like to put in a shower building.

Mr. Willard M. Rose, President and CEO, Kalamazoo Nature Center – 04-140, Grand Mere Acquisition, DNR.

Mr. Willard M. Rose, President and CEO of the Kalamazoo Nature Center, made a presentation in support of 04-140, Grand Mere Acquisition, submitted by the DNR. This property is owned by the Kalamazoo Nature Center and is an inholding within Grand Mere Dunes State Park.

The Nature Center became involved in the project to help establish Grand Mere Dunes State Park several decades ago by purchasing the property which helped reduce the encroachment of the sand mining company as it was moving north. At one point, the property was actually intended to become part of the state park. Mr. Rose pointed out the property via a map provided to the Board.

Mr. Rose emphasized that this is a beautiful, wooded duneland of 28 acres. He feels this portion would be a missing piece to the park if not added. The Nature Center is willing to discuss and pursue a bargain sale to the State of Michigan. Mr. Rose's Board has instructed him to pursue this very vigorously. Discussions of possible sale to the State began back in 1995, but has been actively pursued in the past year. If the project is not approved in this grant cycle, Mr. Rose's Board has instructed him to put the property up for sale on the open market. He believes this would be a great loss to the State of Michigan and state park.

Mr. Dempsey asked staff why this project was a "Tier 2" priority project. Ms. Koch responded that this project is a high priority project for the DNR. All projects before the Board are high priority. Projects go through several phases of review and sorting parcels. Tier 2 does not mean it is not a high priority. When ranking projects in "Tier 1" and "Tier 2" projects are ranked

using the criteria the Board sets as their highest priority in order for the project to score its highest. The DNR also looks at whether it can combine other funding sources for the project. It is Ms. Koch's guess that when staff reviewed the Grand Mere Acquisition project for tiering, they believed the project held less of a priority to the MNRTF Board than other properties that have been submitted for funding.

Mr. Dempsey asked if purchase of a conservation easement for public access has been explored for this parcel. Mr. Rose responded that there was one initial conversation regarding development rights or a conservation easement. That may be a possibility. Mr. Dempsey asked if the Kalamazoo Nature Center was receptive to this. Mr. Rose responded as long as it can proceed fairly quickly we can take a look at these options.

Mr. Mark Randolph, Executive Director, Grass River Natural Area – 04-166, Waterfront Additions to Grass River Natural Area, Antrim County.

Mr. Mark Randolph, Executive Director of the Grass River Natural Area, made a presentation in support of 04-166, Waterfront Additions to Grass River Natural Area, Antrim County. He had learned that staff had not scored this project, based on the assumption that the acquisition parcels were not within the boundaries of the preserve. Each of the three parcels are within the "gray line" (outlined on a map). The preserve would like to acquire 1500-1600 acres in total. Based on the resource or conservation value, these are almost entirely wetlands and wildlife habitat.

Mr. Randolph further stated that he believes the project should have been scored based on existing documentation. He also inquired if the project had been scored, what the score would have been. This project has been ongoing since 1969. At the present time, there are 31 individual parcels that have been put together. The Kammer Land Trust Fund (now Michigan Natural Resources Trust Fund) has been a "donor" to the natural area. He feels had the project been scored, it would have scored out similar to the county's previous grant requests because of the resources it protects.

Mr. Randolph also stated that the Skinner property was owned by a DNR Conservation Officer who passed away. He wanted to see the property as part of the preserve. His family could not afford to donate the land to the preserve, but sold it to the preserve at a bargain sale. That is the local match for this grant request.

This project also meets the Special Initiatives established by the MNRTF Board. It is open to hunting and fishing. It is also part of the Clam Lake deeryard and its wildlife corridor up along Finch Creek.

Chairperson Thompson asked if the project was submitted during the first or second application deadline. Mr. Randolph responded that it was submitted for the second deadline. Chairperson Thompson asked why it was submitted for the second deadline. Mr. Randolph responded that Mr. Skinner had passed away last winter and the estate would not be settled until spring. Chairperson Thompson inquired if the reason staff did not score the property was because they did not have information indicated in the "gray area" now being identified? Mr. Randolph responded that is correct. The only reference indicated was under the "Special Initiatives of the MNRTF Board." Chairperson Thompson asked if the application would have been submitted by the first deadline, the boundary issue would have been addressed by staff at that time, and the county would have had time to provide additional information. Mr. Randolph responded he had just learned of the scoring issue last night.

Mr. Washington felt that staff should provide applicants enough time to respond back with any problems their project has, and not have them identified at the Board meeting. This could create a precedent for other applicants to come to the Board meeting to argue about the scoring of their project. Mr. James Wood, Chief, Grants, Contracts and Customer Systems, DNR, responded that due to the time commitments of the secondary deadline, applicants were advised that staff would score the project with what information they had in the file when submitted. If an application is submitted by the April 1st primary deadline, the applicant is provided an opportunity to provide feedback and additional information, if needed.

Mr. Tom Woiwode, Director, GreenWays Initiative – 04-176, Dequindre Cut Greenway Improvements, City of Detroit.

Mr. Tom Woiwode, Director, GreenWays Initiative, made a presentation in support of 04-176, Dequindre Cut Greenway Improvements, City of Detroit. This is a development project that would include creating a pedestrian or nonmotorized pathway from the Renaissance Center by the Detroit Riverfront on up to the Eastern Market.

Some things have changed since the application was submitted. The ownership of the property has been the subject of some consideration. The property is owned by the MGM Grand Casino and was to be used as vehicular access to the casino complex. Before the property could be transferred to the City of Detroit, the city required an environmental review be conducted. The review has been done and the environmental analysis is at the city's Legal Department. It is anticipated that the resolution of the environmental analysis will be favorable and cleanup and construction, should the project be fully funded, could begin as early as March of 2005.

Mr. Woiwode further stated that about two weeks ago the Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) made two significant announcements related to this project. MDOT has committed \$1.5 million to invest in the pedestrian pathway. The Community Foundation has already awarded a grant of \$1 million to the project, which is the largest grant in the history of the foundation.

In addition, MDOT was pledging \$4 million for the redesign of Gratiot Avenue. Gratiot Avenue starts from downtown and heads northeast out of the city. This is one of the nine-lane, major access roads within the city that is completely paved. The \$4 million will be used to put in a boulevard and restore some sidewalks, as well as beautification efforts from the downtown area up to where the Dequindre Cut is supposed to go. The Dequindre Cut plan actually provides for sort of an expressway "clover leaf" entrance from Gratiot down into Dequindre Cut. It is the objective of MDOT to complete the Gratiot beautification project before the All Star game in July 2005.

Mr. Woiwode expressed the fact that there is tremendous public, community, neighborhood associations and Eastern Market support for the project.

Mr. Brian Price, Executive Director, Leelanau Conservancy – 04-181, Lighthouse West Property/Leelanau State Park, DNR.

Mr. Brian Price, Executive Director of the Leelanau Conservancy, made a presentation in support of 04-181, Lighthouse West Property/Leelanau State Park. He wished to thank the Board for the opportunity last evening in their informal discussions meeting to answer questions regarding this project. In answer to the Board's questions expressed at the evening meeting, Mr. Price reiterated that the conservancy is willing and able to adjust local matches.

Mr. Price feels this property is one of the signature landscapes in the State of Michigan. He feels this speaks to the need to begin the process of partnering together with the State to make sure this property is protected in perpetuity.

Mr. Don Jandernoa, Chairman, Green View Point Park Restoration Committee – 04-007, Green View Point Park Improvements, Ionia County.

Mr. Don Jandernoa, Chairman of the Green View Point Park Restoration Committee, made a presentation in support of 04-007, Green View Point Park Improvements, Ionia County. The county has two exceptional parks which are used by local county residents, as well as surrounding counties. Both parks have fallen into disrepair. Two committees were formed to find solutions for the restoration of the parks.

Mr. Jandernoa stated that the committees have received about \$15,000 in in-kind contributions towards restoration, as well as \$118,000 in pledges. There will be \$100,000 put into a maintenance endowment fund which will be placed in the Ionia County Community Foundation. The interest accrued in the endowment fund will take care of the park maintenance. He also stated that the schools are in support of this park as a nature center. In addition, the county is adding a fishing dock to the park.

Ms. Nancy Krupiarz, State Director, Rails-to-Trails Conservancy – 04-020, Southern Links Trailway Management Council; 04-126, Upper Peninsula Permanent Trail Initiative, DNR; 04-127, Mackinaw City to Petoskey Trail Gap Acquisition, DNR; and 04-128, State Trailways Initiative, DNR.

Ms. Nancy Krupiarz, State Director of the Rails-to-Trails Conservancy made a presentation in support of 04-020, Southern Links Trailway Management Council; 04-126, Upper Peninsula Permanent Trail Initiative, DNR; 04-127, Mackinaw City to Petoskey Trail Gap Acquisition, DNR; and 094-028, State Trailways Initiative, DNR.

Ms. Krupiarz stated that the Southern Links Trailway Management Council project is 10.2 miles from Columbiaville to Millington in Tuscola County. The land is currently owned by a farmer, Mr. Lonnie Kester. Back in 1997 he bought the property to move his tractors from one farm field to another. The township supervisor talked to him about turning this property into a trail. In 2000 he attended a State Conference on Trails and Greenways in Midland. After attending the conference he wanted to help establish a trail for his community using this property. He rallied the surrounding communities together and within one year had formed the Southern Links Trailway Management Council. Since that time Mr. Kester has purchased another three miles that he was able to get from a developer.

Ms. Krupiarz further stated that this trail would go through some of the most beautiful land and links up small towns. This community revitalization is really needed on the east side of the State. There are no north-south trails there; this would be a major connector on the east side. In addition, this trail would link up to the Polly Ann Trail, which is about 10 miles away. To the north Vassar has a small trail system, as well as Saginaw. The Southern Links Trailways would link up to these trails.

At this point, Ms. Krupiarz expressed her support for the trails projects submitted by DNR. The State Trailways application (04-128) is for lump sum projects. This was a Tier 2 priority by

DNR. There are a lot of small projects in the lump sum application, and it would make a big difference in terms of solidifying the State trails system.

Mr. Tom Bailey, Executive Director, Little Traverse Conservancy.

Mr. Tom Bailey, Executive Director of the Little Traverse Conservancy, commented on several issues. He agreed with Mr. Price's comments that the Board's evening discussion meeting was very beneficial.

One of the issues that have been brought out is the way the project scores are not made available until the Board's final decision-making meeting in December. He feels if scores were made more readily available, situations like the one with Antrim County's project could have been resolved. By getting the information out to the applicants, corrections could be made. He would ask the Board to consider making scores available in advance of the December meeting. Mr. Garner agreed that this should be done, however, there would have to be some sort of formal appeals process. Mr. Arwood added that the Board has been looking at a process document. It will be discussed and implemented over a period of time. He thinks the fundamental decision that has to be made first is how many deadlines can we have for application submission.

Mr. Bailey commented on the Payment in Lieu of Taxes (PILT) solution coming along, and feels this is wonderful progress.

Mr. Bailey also commented on projects which the Little Traverse Conservancy has been involved in (04-133, Lee Grande Ranch Conservation Easement, DNR; 04-132, Bear River Parcel Conservation Easement, DNR; 04-141, Various Park Acquisitions (Wilderness State Park), DNR; and 04-071, Lake Michigan Shoreline Access Acquisition, St. James Township). The conservancy is willing to work with the DNR and township in any way they can.

IV. OLD BUSINESS.

2005 Meeting Schedule.

Mr. Wood outlined the 2005 Board meeting schedule for the Board. All meetings will be in Lansing, with the exception of the June meeting which will be in the City of Big Rapids. Meeting dates are as follows:

February 16
April 20
June 15
August 17
October 19
December 7

Election of Chairperson for 2005.

No discussion occurred regarding election of MNRTF Board Chairperson for 2005.

MOVED BY MR. GARNER, SUPPORTED BY MR. ARWOOD, TO ELECT MR. WASHINGTON AS CHAIRPERSON OF THE MNRTF BOARD FOR 2005. PASSED.

MOVED BY MR. GARNER, SUPPORTED BY MR. WASHINGTON, TO ELECT MR. THOMPSON AS VICE-CHAIRPERSON OF THE MNRTF BOARD FOR 2005. PASSED.

TF89-114, Jean Klock Park, City of Benton Harbor – PROJECT CONVERSION.

Mr. Wood outlined follow-up information regarding the project conversion for TF89-114, Jean Klock Park, City of Benton Harbor. This request was originally brought to the Board at the June meeting. The Board approved the request, contingent upon the location of suitable mitigation property. It is staff's opinion that mitigation has been located and will be acquired. Staff is seeking Board approval for the conversion request.

MOVED BY MR. ARWOOD, SUPPORTED BY MR. WASHINGTON, TO APPROVE THE CONVERSION REQUEST FOR TF89-114, JEAN KLOCK PARK, CITY OF BENTON HARBOR, AS RECOMMENDED BY STAFF. THIS APPROVAL IS FOR SIX PARCELS PROPOSED FOR MITIGATION OF THE GRAND BOULEVARD PARCEL WITHIN JEAN KLOCK PARK, CONTINGENT UPON RECEIPT AND APPROVAL BY THE DNR OF CURRENT SURVEYS OF THE MITIGATION PARCELS. PASSED.

2004 MNRTF Acquisition and Development Applications.

No initial discussion of proposed acquisition projects by the Board.

MOVED BY MR. GARNER, SUPPORTED BY MR. ARWOOD, TO APPROVE THE LIST OF STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ACQUISITION AND DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS. PASSED.

MOVED BY MR. ARWOOD, SUPPORTED BY MR. DEMPSEY, TO AMEND THE STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS ACQUISITION LIST TO REDUCE GRANT AMOUNT REQUESTED OF 04-105, DENISON SOUTH, CITY OF SAUGATUCK, TO \$3,000,000; DELETE 04-002, ADDISON OAKS ACQUISITION, OAKLAND COUNTY; AND REDUCE GRANT AMOUNT REQUESTED OF 04-141, VARIOUS PARK ACQUISITIONS, DNR, TO \$2,000,000.

MOVED BY MR. GARNER, SUPPORTED BY MR. DEMPSEY, TO ADD 04-047, SEARLES PROPERTY ACQUISITION, CLINTON COUNTY; ADD 04-181, LIGHTHOUSE WEST PROPERTY/LEELANAU STATE PARK, DNR, AT A REDUCED GRANT REQUEST AMOUNT OF \$630,000; AND ADD 04-168, GREIG PARK EXPANSION, CITY OF ST. CLAIR.

MOVED BY MR. WASHINGTON TO ADD 04-104, HUNTER'S POINT ACQUISITION, GRANT TOWNSHIP.

MOVED BY MR. DEMPSEY TO ADD 04-020, SOUTHERN LINKS TRAILWAY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL PROJECT, AT A REDUCED GRANT REQUEST AMOUNT OF \$250,000. MR. ARWOOD MOVED AMOUNT BE INCREASED TO \$500,000.

MOVED BY MR. ARWOOD TO FUND 04-105, DENISON SOUTH, CITY OF SAUGATUCK IN ITS ENTIRETY (\$3,566,700). PREVIOUS MOTION MADE BY MR. ARWOOD REDUCED GRANT REQUEST AMOUNT TO \$3,000,000.

Mr. Garner commented that he liked the job done by Antrim County for 04-166, Waterfront Additions to Grass River Natural Area, in trying to block in all of the parcels. He feels this project meets a lot of the Board's criteria, and the County has come up with a lot of money for the project. If there is money left, he would like to partially fund this project.

Mr. Washington had questions about the three parcels. It was his understanding that this is an area that is eventually going to be a totally enclosed preserve. One of the three properties may not be contingent to what is already owned. This presents the possibility that the parcel may become landlocked. Mr. Garner said that is a possibility, and he takes this parcel off the table for consideration until we are clear on this issue.

Mr. Garner suggested that the balance left from the recommended projects (approximately \$623,000) be added to 04-125, CMS Arcadia/Green Point Dunes, DNR.

Mr. Dempsey realizes that with the Antrim County property, we may be purchasing landlocked property, but he thinks there is enough merit in the proposal that some of the project should be funded, with the understanding that acquisition be for contiguous parcels only. Mr. Dempsey had concerns about 04-140, Grand Mere Acquisition, DNR. The market value is going to continue to skyrocket.

Mr. Washington suggested that the balance be added to 04-181, Lighthouse West Property/Leelanau State Park. Mr. Garner stated that the \$630,000 reduced amount previous moved would still enable the DNR to acquire the property. It is possible other funds could be used to make up the balance.

Mr. Washington reiterated his problem with 04-166, Waterfront Additions to Grass River Natural Area, Antrim County, that there might be the possibility of a parcel being landlocked. He does not want to fund properties that may end up being inaccessible. Mr. Garner suggested that it be stipulated in the motion that the partial funding for the project be for contiguous parcels only.

MOVED BY MR. DEMPSEY TO ADD 04-166, WATERFRONT ADDITIONS TO GRASS RIVER NATURAL AREA, ANTRIM COUNTY AT A REDUCED GRANT REQUEST AMOUNT OF \$100,000 AND THAT ACQUISITION BE FOR CONTIGUOUS PROJECTS ONLY; AND TO ADD \$500,000 TO 04-125, CMS ARCADIA/GREEN POINT DUNES, DNR.

MOVED BY ARWOOD, SUPPORTED BY MR. GARNER, TO ACCEPT STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE ACQUISITION LIST WITH AMENDMENTS AS PREVIOUSLY NOTED. THE BOARD APPROVES THE FOLLOWING ACQUISITION PROJECTS FOR 2004:

04-124, KAMEHAMEHA SCHOOLS LAND PROJECT, DNR - \$3,000,000
04-125, CMS ARCADIA/GREEN POINT DUNES, DNR - \$4,500,000
04-129, BRULE/MENOMINEE RIVER CORRIDOR INITIATIVE, DNR - \$1,000,000
04-131, WINTER DEERYARD CONSOLIDATION INITIATIVE, DNR - \$2,000,000
04-108, NORTH OTTAWA DUNE ACQUISITION PROJECT, OTTAWA COUNTY –
\$3,900,000
04-133, LEE GRANDE RANCH CONSERVATION EASEMENT, DNR - \$1,750,000
04-143, WILDLIFE AREA LUMP SUM, DNR - \$1,000,000
04-105, DENISON SOUTH, CITY OF SAUGATUCK - \$3,566,700
04-141, VARIOUS PARK ACQUISITIONS, DNR - \$2,000,000
04-047, SEARLES PROPERTY ACQUISITION, CLINTON COUNTY - \$419,643
04-181, LIGHTHOUSE WEST PROPERTY/LEELANAU STATE PARK, DNR –
\$630,000
04-168, GREIG PARK EXPANSION, CITY OF ST. CLAIR (SAGI GRANT
PROJECT) - \$100,000
04-104, HUNTER’S POINT ACQUISITION, GRANT TOWNSHIP - \$562,892
04-020, SOUTHERN LINKS TRAILWAY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL PROJECT,
SOUTHERN LINKS TRAILWAY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL - \$500,000
04-166, WATERFRONT ADDITIONS TO GRASS RIVER NATURAL AREA,
ANTRIM COUNTY - \$100,000

PASSED.

No initial discussion of staff recommendations for development projects.

**MOVED BY MR. ARWOOD, SUPPORTED BY MR. WASHINGTON, TO AMEND
STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS LIST FOR DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS TO DELETE
04-058, ELKVIEW PARK DEVELOPMENT, CITY OF GAYLORD; ADD 04-040,
HARDWOOD LAKE CAMPGROUND IMPROVEMENTS, RICHLAND TOWNSHIP;
AND 04-180, CEDAR CREEK OUTDOOR CENTER DEVELOPMENT, DNR.**

Mr. Dempsey would like to add 04-176, Dequindre Cut Greenway Improvements. He feels it is critical that the MNRTF be a partner with other State agencies and local and private funding sources. Mr. Washington stated his reservation regarding the project is that it involves property that they do not own and a potential environmentally polluted site, where they do not know the extent of the cleanup or the cost. Mr. Garner agreed with Mr. Washington. Mr. Dempsey responded that it was his understanding that Mr. Woiwode stated that the environmental issues have largely been resolved. Mr. Woiwode added that although he has not participated in the environmental review, the concern was focused on when the property was going to become part of the highway that would provide the access to the casino complex. The contamination concerns that had already been addressed at that time believed to be relatively minimal. In order to transfer the property, they had to do a formal review of the property. It is the belief of those who are familiar with the characteristics of the property that there is nothing there that would prevent them from moving ahead immediately with the project.

**MOVED BY MR. ARWOOD TO REDUCE THE GRANT REQUEST AMOUNT OF
04-134, STATE FOREST CAMPGROUND IMPROVEMENTS, DNR, TO \$250,000;
AND ADD 04-176, DEQUINDRE CUT GREENWAY IMPROVEMENTS, CITY OF
DETROIT, IN THE REDUCED AMOUNT OF \$393,000.**

MOVED BY MR. ARWOOD, SUPPORTED BY MR. DEMPSEY, TO ACCEPT STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE DEVELOPMENT LIST WITH AMENDMENTS AS PREVIOUSLY NOTED. THE BOARD APPROVES THE FOLLOWING DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS FOR 2004:

- 04-077, PETER NORDEEN PARK IMPROVEMENTS, FORSYTH TOWNSHIP – \$425,600**
- 04-078, RIVER TRAIL SOUTH EXTENSION, CITY OF LANSING - \$500,000**
- 04-102, CHOCOLAY RIVER WATER TRAIL ACCESS SITE, CHOCOLAY TOWNSHIP - \$18,315**
- 04-134, STATE FOREST CAMPGROUND IMPROVEMENTS, DNR - \$250,000**
- 04-089, SUGAR LOAF MOUNTAIN ENHANCEMENTS, MARQUETTE COUNTY – \$59,200**
- 04-003, ORGANIZATIONAL YOUTH CAMPGROUND – CONNECTOR TRAIL, OAKLAND COUNTY - \$219,000**
- 04-121, NORTHERN TIER TRAIL, CITY OF EAST LANSING - \$92,400**
- 04-171, HEINZ WATERFRONT WALKWAY, CITY OF HOLLAND - \$500,000**
- 04-057, HAYS PARK PROJECT, VILLAGE OF WATERVLIET - \$42,250**
- 04-034, FLUSHING TOWNSHIP NATURE PARK IMPROVEMENTS, FLUSHING TOWNSHIP - \$131,565**
- 04-115, PINE RIVER NATURE CENTER TRAIL DEVELOPMENT, ST. CLAIR COUNTY INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL DISTRICT - \$360,438**
- 04-112, CARPENTER LAKE PARK DEVELOPMENT AND LAKE RESTORATION, CITY OF SOUTHFIELD - \$500,000**
- 04-087, RIVERWALK EXTENSION PROJECT, CITY OF ALMA - \$288,753**
- 04-007, GREEN VIEW POINT PARK IMPROVEMENTS, IONIA COUNTY - \$144,700**
- 04-170, BLACK RIVER HERITAGE TRAIL AND BOARDWALK PROJECT, CITY OF BANGOR - \$252,752**
- 04-025, LAKESHORE TRAIL, CITY OF MUSKEGON - \$500,000**
- 04-056, PEARL LAKE PARK, VILLAGE OF SHERIDAN - \$74,000**
- 04-081, FRED MEIJER FLAT RIVER TRAIL, CITY OF GREENVILLE - \$168,515**
- 04-059, RIVERWALK PARK, VILLAGE OF SARANAC - \$59,500**
- 04-147, DAVISON TOWNSHIP TRAIL CONSTRUCTION, DAVISON TOWNSHIP – \$266,400**
- 04-086, APPLE BLOSSOM TRAIL EXTENSION, CITY OF CASPIAN - \$134,914**
- 04-005, SANDRA RICHARDSON PARK DEVELOPMENT, YORK TOWNSHIP – \$58,580**
- 04-044, IN TOWN YOUTH CAMP AT ROUGE PARK, CITY OF DETROIT - \$407,000**
- 04-176, DEQUINDRE CUT GREENWAY IMPROVEMENTS, CITY OF DETROIT – \$393,000**

- 04-040, HARDWOOD LAKE CAMPGROUND IMPROVEMENTS, RICHLAND TOWNSHIP - \$32,560**
- 04-180, CEDAR CREEK OUTDOOR CENTER DEVELOPMENT, DNR - \$261,060**

PASSED.

V. NEW BUSINESS.

None.

VI. STATUS REPORTS.

Real Estate Report.

No discussion.

Local Projects Completion Report.

No discussion.

Finance Report.

No discussion.

Lump Sum Report.

No discussion.

VII. OTHER MATTERS AS ARE PROPERLY BROUGHT BEFORE THE BOARD.

Mr. Dempsey announced that since he was moving to another state, this was his last Board meeting. He stated it was said the National Parks were the greatest idea that America ever had, but he feels that the Michigan Natural Resources Trust Fund is the greatest idea the State of Michigan ever had. It is a prize that the nation envies. He hopes in future years the State leaders, DNR and the Board will consider making more revenue available on an annual basis or bonding to allow us to capitalize on instant opportunities. He would be happy to volunteer in this effort.

He also thanked the staff of the DNR and Trust Fund Board for their patience and professionalism. He has enjoyed working with them for the past year.

Chairperson Thompson thanked Mr. Dempsey for all his work with the MNRTF Board and other projects. Mr. Garner commented on Mr. Dempsey's many contributions to environmental and conservation issues and expressed his appreciation. Mr. Arwood also expressed his appreciation for Mr. Dempsey's contributions to the MNRTF Board.

Chairperson Thompson thanked staff for all their hard work in 2004, and the audience for their attendance.

VIII. ANNOUNCEMENTS.

The next meeting of the Michigan Natural Resources Trust Fund Board is scheduled for 9:00 AM, Wednesday, February 16, 2005, Holiday Inn Express, 2187 University Park Drive, Okemos, Michigan.

IX. ADJOURNMENT.

No official motion was made to adjourn meeting.

The meeting was adjourned at 12:27 PM.

Jim Thompson, Chairperson
Michigan Natural Resources Trust Fund
Board of Trustees

James Wood, Chief
Grants, Contracts and Customer Systems

DATE