



U.S. Department
of Transportation
**Federal Aviation
Administration**

Detroit Airports District Office
Metro Airport Center
11677 South Wayne Road, Ste. 107
Romulus, MI 48174

July 29, 2016

Mr. Del Reynolds
Airport Manager
1520 Levering Rd.
Cheboygan, MI 49721

Cheboygan County Airport, Cheboygan, Michigan
Modification of FAA Standards (MOS)

Dear Mr. Reynolds:

Enclosed is a copy of the conditionally approved Modification of Standards (MOS) for the project at SLH airport. This MTS request is for the general provisions under AC 150/5370-10G. Please note the comments we have provided in the conditional approvals, outlined on Page 3 of the documents.

Sincerely,

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY

Marlon D. Peña
Program Manager

cc: MDOT Aeronautics

**FAA GREAT LAKES REGION
 MODIFICATION OF AIRPORT DESIGN STANDARDS
 COMPLETE FORM IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE USER GUIDE**

BACKGROUND		
1. AIRPORT: Cheboygan County	2. LOCATION (CITY,STATE): Cheboygan, MI	3. LOC ID: SLH
4. EFFECTED RUNWAY/TAXIWAY: Runway 10-28 and Taxiway B	5. APPROACH (EACH RUNWAY): <input type="checkbox"/> PIR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NPI (RWY 10 & 28) <input type="checkbox"/> VISUAL	6. AIRPORT REF. CODE (ARC): B-II
7. DESIGN AIRCRAFT (EACH RUNWAY/TAXIWAY): 30,000 lb Single Wheel (As Shown On Airport Layout Plan)		
MODIFICATION OF STANDARDS		
8. TITLE OF STANDARD(S) BEING MODIFIED (CITE REFERENCE DOCUMENT): Advisory Circular 150/5370-10G - Standards for Specifying Construction of Airports		
9. STANDARD/REQUIREMENT: Part 1 – General Provisions		
10. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED MODIFICATION: See attached list of changes made to the General Provisions.		
11. EXPLAIN WHY STANDARD(S) CANNOT BE MET: Standard is being met.		
12. DISCUSS ALL VIABLE ALTERNATIVES: There are no viable alternatives to the General Provisions.		
13. ASSURANCE THAT MTS WILL PROVIDE AS OUTLINED IN THE 'USER GUIDE': The changes made to the General Provisions do not change the overall intent of the document.		
ATTACH ADDITIONAL SHEETS AS NECESSARY – INCLUDE SKETCH/PLAN		

FAA GREAT LAKES REGION MODIFICATION OF AIRPORT DESIGN STANDARDS

14. Skip to Question 15 if request is not for a Modification To Material Standards or Construction Methods.

CHECK WHEN APPLICABLE

<input type="checkbox"/>	Modifications to materials standards is requested because locally available materials cannot meet the requirements of that standard.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Modifications to construction methods standards will result in cost savings and/or greater efficiency.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Bids have already been received for this project.
IF ANY OF THE ABOVE IS CHECKED PLEASE PROVIDE ADDITIONAL DETAILS.	

15. SIGNATURE OF ORIGINATOR: 	16. PRINTED NAME OF ORIGINATOR Del Reynolds	17. DATE 5-2-16
18. ORIGINATOR'S ORGANIZATION: Cheboygan County Airport Authority	19. TELEPHONE (231) 627-5571	20. E-MAIL reynolds@upnorth.net
21. DATE OF LATEST FAA SIGNED ALP: March 2, 2009 with updates for projects – October 2015		

BELOW IS TO BE COMPLETED BY FAA

22. ADO RECOMMENDATION: CONDITIONAL APPROVAL	23. SIGNATURE: <i>Mark D. Pes</i>	24. DATE: 6/30/16
--	--------------------------------------	-----------------------------

25. FAA DIVISIONAL REVIEW (AT, AF, FS, etc.):

ROUTING SYMBOL	SIGNATURE	DATE	CONCUR	NON-CONCUR

COMMENTS:

26. AIRPORTS' DIVISION FINAL ACTION: It is the Regional Office's opinion that the request to modify the General Provisions Section of AC 150/5370-10G for Cheboygan County Airport (SL11) would be acceptable with the conditions stated below.

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
UNCONDITIONAL APPROVAL	CONDITIONAL APPROVAL	DISAPPROVAL
DATE: 7/15/2016	SIGNATURE: <i>Robert K. ...</i>	TITLE: Planning & Programming Branch Manager

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL:

In regards to 10-40, the added definition "establishes limitations" for potential bidders could be interpreted as limiting competition. Conditional approval is based on language that "qualifies bidder for eligible amounts and types of work," or something similar. Modifications to 50-06 will be accepted so long as the Contractor is given the opportunity to review Engineer's staking to ensure they are able to successfully complete project. The modifications to 90-06 will be accepted so long as language is added indicated that the subcontractor receives payment no later than 30 days. (49 CFR 26.29).