

Index
Notes to the Financial Statements

	<u>Page</u>
NOTE 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies	41
NOTE 2 - Funds and Component Units by Classification.....	46
NOTE 3 - Budgeting, Budgetary Control, and Legal Compliance	48
NOTE 4 - Accounting Changes and Restatements	49
NOTE 5 - Treasurer's Common Cash	49
NOTE 6 - Taxes Receivable	51
NOTE 7 - Joint Ventures.....	52
NOTE 8 - Deposits and Investments.....	52
NOTE 9 - Capital Assets.....	57
NOTE 10 - Pension Benefits and Other Postemployment Benefits	60
NOTE 11 - Leases.....	65
NOTE 12 - Bonds and Notes Payable - Primary Government.....	66
NOTE 13 - Bonds and Notes Payable - Discretely Presented Component Units.....	72
NOTE 14 - Other Long-Term Obligations	73
NOTE 15 - Income Tax Credits and Refunds	75
NOTE 16 - Deferred Compensation Plans	75
NOTE 17 - Interfund Receivables and Payables	76
NOTE 18 - Interfund Commitments	77
NOTE 19 - Transfers	77
NOTE 20 - Fund Deficits.....	78
NOTE 21 - Fund Balances.....	78
NOTE 22 - Disaggregation of Payables	79
NOTE 23 - Contingencies and Commitments	80
NOTE 24 - Risk Management.....	83
NOTE 25 - Subsequent Events.....	84

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accounting and reporting policies of the State conform in all material respects to generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) as applicable to governments. The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) is the standard setting body for establishing governmental accounting and financial reporting principles, which are primarily set forth in the GASB's Codification of Governmental Accounting and Financial Reporting Standards (GASB Codification). Following is a summary of the significant policies:

A. Reporting Entity

Michigan was admitted to the Union as the twenty-sixth state in 1837. The State of Michigan is governed under the Constitution of 1963, as amended. The legislative power is vested in a 38-member senate and a 110-member house of representatives; executive power is vested in a governor; and the judicial power is vested exclusively in one court of justice.

For financial reporting purposes, the State of Michigan's reporting entity includes the "primary government" and its "component units." The primary government includes all funds, departments and agencies, bureaus, boards, commissions, and those authorities that are considered an integral part of the primary government. Component units are legally separate governmental organizations for which the State's elected officials are financially accountable. Component units can also be legally separate, tax-exempt entities that raise and hold economic resources for the direct benefit of a governmental unit.

Financial accountability is defined in GASB Statement No. 14, The Financial Reporting Entity. The State is financially accountable for those entities in which the State appoints a voting majority of an organization's governing authority, and either is able to impose its will upon the entity or there exists a financial benefit or burden relationship with the State. For those entities in which the State does not appoint a voting majority of the governing authority, GASB Statement No. 14 requires inclusion in the reporting entity if they are fiscally dependent on the State or if it would be misleading to exclude the authority.

GASB Statement No. 39, Determining Whether Certain Organizations Are Component Units, an amendment of GASB Statement 14, establishes criteria for legally separate, tax-exempt entities that should be reported as component units if all of the criteria are met. Although the State has not identified any organizations that would qualify as direct component units of the State by meeting all of the criteria of GASB Statement No. 39, most of the university component units described later in this note have significant foundations that meet the criteria of GASB Statement No. 39.

Blended Component Units

The State Building Authority and the Michigan Underground Storage Tank Financial Assurance Finance Authority are legally separate organizations that have boards appointed by the primary government and provide services primarily to benefit the State. Therefore, they are reported as though they were part of the primary government, using the blending method.

Discretely Presented Component Units

These types of component units are reported in separate columns or rows in the government-wide statements to emphasize that they are legally separate from the government.

The State is able to impose its will upon these discretely presented component units:

The Michigan Education Trust offers contracts, which, for actuarially determined amounts, provide plan participants with future tuition at institutions of higher education.

The Michigan State Housing Development Authority finances loans for the construction of single and multi-family housing and home improvement projects.

The Michigan Municipal Bond Authority assists local units by pooling their borrowing activities. This authority is also responsible for assisting local units with their financing of water pollution control projects.

The Mackinac Bridge Authority accounts for the operation of the Mackinac Bridge.

The Michigan Broadband Development Authority is a financing authority that assists in the build-out of broadband infrastructure to accelerate the deployment of high-speed Internet connections Statewide.

The Michigan Higher Education Assistance Authority is the State guaranty agency under the Stafford Loan Program, the Supplemental Loans to Students Program, and the Parent Loan for Undergraduate Students Program. This Authority also administers scholarships and grants that are financed with General Fund appropriations.

The Michigan Higher Education Facilities Authority accounts for the administration of no-commitment debt issued for the benefit of private institutions of higher education.

The Michigan Higher Education Student Loan Authority is a financing authority that makes loans to students or their parents.

The Michigan Public Educational Facilities Authority partners with other states to facilitate the acquisition of capital for the construction, rehabilitation, refurbishing, or equipping of qualified public educational facilities.

The Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority accounts for the administration of limited obligation debt issued for the benefit of hospitals.

The Michigan Strategic Fund provides business enterprises with additional sources of financing.

There is a financial burden/benefit relationship between these entities and the State:

The Mackinac Island State Park Commission operates the Mackinac Island and Michilimackinac State Parks.

The Michigan Economic Development Corporation manages programs to stimulate, coordinate, and advance economic development in the State.

The following entity's relationship with the State would be misleading if it were omitted from the State's reporting entity:

The State Bar of Michigan is a public body corporate whose membership consists of persons licensed to practice law.

Ten of the State's public universities are considered component units because they have boards appointed by the primary government. Their balances and operating results are included with the other discretely presented components units on the

government-wide statements. The ten universities included in these statements are: Central Michigan University, Eastern Michigan University, Ferris State University, Grand Valley State University, Lake Superior State University, Michigan Technological University, Northern Michigan University, Oakland University, Saginaw Valley State University, and Western Michigan University. Michigan State University, the University of Michigan, and Wayne State University are not included in the State's reporting entity because they have separately elected governing boards and are legally separate. The State provides significant funding to support these institutions; however, under GASB Statement No. 14 criteria, they are considered fiscally independent, special-purpose governments.

Included in the balances and operating results for most of the university component units is financial activity for fund-raising foundations that contribute to these universities. Although the universities do not control the timing or amount of receipts from their foundations, the majority of resources, or income thereon, that the foundations hold and invest are restricted to the activities of the respective universities by the donors. Because these restricted resources held by the foundations can only be used by, or for the benefit of, the specific universities, the foundations are considered component units of the universities and are included in the universities' financial statements.

Significant Transactions

The State's significant transactions with its major discretely presented component units results primarily from providing appropriations to the public universities, including \$90.0 million to Central Michigan University and \$135.6 million to Western Michigan University.

Availability of Financial Statements

The State's component units issue their own separately issued audited financial statements. These statements may be obtained by directly contacting the various component units. To obtain their phone numbers, you may contact the Office of the State Budget, Office of Financial Management, Financial Reporting Section at (517) 373-3029.

Related Organizations

The State's Insurance Commissioner is responsible for appointing the members of the boards of the Michigan Catastrophic Claims Association and the Michigan Property and Casualty Guaranty Association, but the State's accountability for these organizations does not extend beyond making the appointments.

Joint Ventures

As discussed in more detail in Note 7, the State participates in two joint ventures. Its financial activities are not included in the State's fund financial statements, but the State's equity interest is recorded as an asset in the Statement of Net Assets.

Jointly Governed Organizations

The State, the University of Michigan, Michigan State University, and Wayne State University appoint members of the board of the Michigan Public Health Institute (MPHI), a non-profit corporation. MPHI was established to plan, promote, and coordinate health services research with a public university or a consortium of public universities in the State. The State does not appoint a majority of the board, has no rights to the assets, and is not responsible for debts of MPHI. Therefore, the State's accountability for MPHI does not extend beyond making the appointments. During fiscal year 2003-2004, the State awarded contracts totaling \$30.2 million to MPHI.

B. Government-Wide and Fund Financial Statements

Government-Wide Financial Statements

The Statement of Net Assets and Statement of Activities report information on all non-fiduciary activities of the primary government and its component units. Primary government activities are distinguished between governmental and business-type activities. Governmental activities generally are financed through taxes, intergovernmental revenues, and other non-exchange revenues. Business-type activities are financed in whole or in part by fees charged to external parties for goods or services.

The **Statement of Net Assets** presents the reporting entity's non-fiduciary assets and liabilities, with the difference reported as net assets. Net assets are reported in three categories:

Invested in capital assets, net of related debt consists of capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation and reduced by outstanding balances for bonds, notes, and other debt that are attributed to the acquisition, construction, or improvement of those assets.

Restricted net assets result when constraints placed on net asset use are either externally imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, and the like, or imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.

Unrestricted net assets consist of net assets that do not meet the definition of the two preceding categories. Unrestricted net assets often are designated, to indicate that management does not consider them to be available for general operations. Unrestricted net assets often have constraints on resources that are imposed by management, but can be removed or modified.

The **Statement of Activities** demonstrates the degree to which the direct expenses of a given function or segment are offset by program revenues. Direct expenses are those that are clearly identifiable within a specific function. Program revenues include 1) charges to customers or applicants who purchase, use, or directly benefit from goods, services, or privileges provided by a given function and 2) grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular function. Taxes and other items not meeting the definition of program revenues are instead reported as general revenue.

Fund Financial Statements

Separate financial statements are provided for governmental funds, proprietary funds, and fiduciary funds, even though the latter are excluded from the government-wide statements. Major individual governmental funds and major individual proprietary funds are reported as separate columns in the fund financial statements, with non-major funds being combined into a single column.

C. Measurement Focus, Basis of Accounting, and Financial Statement Presentation

Measurement Focus and Basis of Accounting

The government-wide statements are reported using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting, as are the proprietary and fiduciary fund financial statements. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of cash flows. Property taxes are recognized as revenues in the year for which they are levied. Grants and similar items are recognized as revenues as soon

as all eligibility requirements imposed by the provider have been met.

As allowed by GASB Statement No. 20, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Proprietary Funds and Other Governmental Entities that Use Proprietary Fund Accounting, the State's proprietary funds follow all GASB pronouncements and those Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statements and Interpretations, Accounting Principles Board Opinions, and Accounting Research Bulletins that were issued on or before November 30, 1989, except those that conflict with a GASB pronouncement. FASB pronouncements issued after November 30, 1989, are not followed in the preparation of the accompanying financial statements.

Governmental fund statements are reported using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized as they become *susceptible to accrual*; generally when they are both measurable and available. Revenues are considered to be available when they are collected within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. For this purpose, the State generally considers tax revenues and court settlements to be available if they are collected within 60 days of the end of the fiscal period. Revenues that the State earns by incurring obligations are recognized in the period when all applicable eligibility requirements have been met and the resources are available.

Expenditures generally are recorded when a liability is incurred, as under accrual accounting. However, expenditures related to debt service, compensated absences, and claims and judgments, are recorded only when payment is due and payable.

Financial Statement Presentation

The State reports the following major governmental funds:

The General Fund is the State's primary operating fund. It accounts for all financial resources except those required to be accounted for in another fund.

The Counter-Cyclical Budget and Economic Stabilization Fund, commonly referred to as the "Rainy Day Fund," was created to assist in stabilizing revenue and employment during periods of economic recession and high unemployment.

The School Aid Fund's purpose is to aid in the support of the public schools and the intermediate school districts.

The State reports the following major enterprise funds:

The State Lottery Fund accounts for the operations of the State's lottery, bingo, and charitable game operations.

The Michigan Unemployment Compensation Funds receive contributions from employers and provide benefits to eligible unemployed workers.

Additionally, the State reports the following fund types:

Governmental Fund Types:

Special Revenue Funds - include operating fund activities financed by specific revenue sources that are legally restricted for specified purposes. Examples include conservation, transportation, regulatory, and other activities.

Debt Service Funds - account for the accumulation of resources for, and the payment of, general long-term debt principal and interest.

Capital Projects Funds - account for the acquisition or construction of major State capital facilities financed by bond proceeds and commercial paper notes.

Permanent Funds - report resources that are legally restricted to the extent that only earnings, and not principal, may be used for purposes that benefit the government or its citizenry, such as veterans, child abuse and neglect prevention, state park preservation, and others.

Proprietary Fund Types:

Enterprise Funds - report the activities for which fees are charged to external users for goods or services, such as the State's liquor sales. This fund type is also used when the activity is financed with debt that is secured by a pledge of the net revenues from the fees.

Internal Service Funds - provide goods or services primarily to other agencies or funds of the State, rather than to the general public. These goods and services include prisoner-built office furnishings; motor pool services; printing, reproduction and mailing services; information technology; risk management; and health-related fringe benefits. In the government-wide statements, internal service funds are included with governmental activities.

Fiduciary Fund Types:

Pension (and other employee benefit) Trust Funds - report resources that are required to be held in trust for the members and beneficiaries of the State's defined benefit pension plans, defined contribution plans, and other postemployment benefit plans.

Private Purpose Trust Funds - report resources of all other trust arrangements in which principal and income benefit individuals, private organizations, or other governments. Examples include the State's Escheats Fund, gifts to the State, worker disability monies, and others.

Agency Funds - report assets and liabilities for deposits and investments entrusted to the State as an agent for others.

D. Fiscal Year-Ends

All funds and discretely presented component units are reported using fiscal years which end on September 30, except for the Michigan State Housing Development Authority and the ten State universities, which utilize June 30 year-ends.

E. Assets, Liabilities, and Net Assets/Fund Balance

Cash and Cash Equivalents

On the Statement of Cash Flows, the amount reported as "Cash and cash equivalents" is equal to the total of the amounts on the Statement of Net Assets "Cash" and "Equity in Common Cash," less the amount of "Warrants outstanding."

Cash

Cash reported on the Statement of Net Assets and the Balance Sheet consists of petty cash, undeposited receipts, deposits in transit to the Common Cash pool, and cash equivalents such as short-term investments with original maturities of less than three months that are used for cash management, rather than investing activities.

Equity in Common Cash

The State Treasurer maintains centralized management of most State cash resources (not including component units). From the perspective of the various State funds, the pool functions as both a cash management pool and a demand deposit account. The operations and investments of the Common Cash pool are described in Note 5.

Taxes Receivable

Taxes receivable represent amounts due to the State at September 30, which will be collected sometime in the future. In the government-wide financial statements, a corresponding amount is recorded as revenue. In the governmental fund financial statements, the portion considered "available" (i.e., received by the State within approximately 60 days after year-end) is recorded as revenue; the remainder is recorded as deferred revenue. Application of the measurability and availability criteria regarding taxes is described in Note 6.

Amounts Due From Federal Agencies

For most federally funded programs, revenue is accrued in the same period as related obligations are recorded. In certain programs financed entirely by the federal government, expenditures and related revenues are recognized only to the extent of billings received by fiscal year-end. This treatment, which is generally limited to certain programs within the Department of Education, understates both assets and liabilities, and expenditures and revenues; however, there is no impact on net assets or fund balance.

Inventories

Inventories are valued at cost, primarily using the first-in, first-out flow method. Expenditures (governmental funds) and expenses (proprietary funds) are recognized using the consumption method (i.e., when used or sold).

Investments

Generally, investments are reported at fair value, consistent with the provisions of GASB Statement No. 31, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Certain Investments and for External Investment Pools. Short-term, highly liquid debt instruments including commercial paper, banker's acceptances, and U.S. Treasury obligations are reported at amortized cost. Additional disclosures describing investments are provided in Note 8.

Security Lending Collateral

Securities on loan for cash collateral are reported in the Statement of Net Assets. Liabilities resulting from the security lending transactions are also reported. Additional disclosures describing security-lending transactions are provided in Note 8.

Other Assets

Other assets include receivables, amounts held in escrow, and other types of assets not reported on other lines.

Mortgages and Loans Receivable

Mortgages and loans receivable are reported net of unamortized premiums, discounts, deferred loan origination fees, and allowances for possible losses.

Capital Assets

Capital assets, which include land, buildings, equipment and infrastructure assets (e.g., roads, bridges, ramps, and similar items), are reported in the government-wide statements and applicable fund financial statements. Capital assets that are used for governmental activities are only reported in the government-wide statements. Capital assets are reported at historical cost or, if donated, at the estimated fair market value at the date of acquisition. In some instances, capital asset

historical costs were not available; therefore, the costs of these assets at the dates of acquisitions have been estimated.

Interest incurred during construction is only capitalized in proprietary funds. Most capital assets are depreciated over their useful lives, using the straight-line depreciation method. However, the State's significant infrastructure assets utilize an alternative accounting treatment in which costs to maintain and preserve these assets are expensed and no depreciation expense is recorded. This approach is discussed further in the Required Supplementary Information portion of this report.

Additional disclosures related to capital assets and assets acquired through capital leases are provided in Notes 9 and 11, respectively.

Warrants Outstanding

Warrants outstanding represent drafts issued against the State Treasurer's Common Cash pool, which have not yet cleared. These are similar to outstanding checks; however, the issuing funds' balances in the pool are not reduced until warrants are redeemed.

Income Tax Refunds Payable

The amount of collected or accrued personal income tax revenues that will be refunded is estimated and accrued as a General Fund liability. Note 15 more fully describes this liability.

Prize Awards Payable

The State Lottery Fund makes long-term prize awards for certain games, most notably the lotto games. At September 30, 2004 long-term prize awards of \$617.3 million were reported at a present value of \$430.2 million, using discount rates ranging from 5.0 to 8.5%.

Non-installment prize awards and the portion of long-term awards payable during the next fiscal year, totaling \$135.7 million, are included with "Accounts payable and other liabilities" on the Statement of Net Assets.

Deferred Revenue

In the government-wide statements and proprietary fund financial statements deferred revenue is recognized when cash, receivables, or other assets are received prior to their being earned. In the governmental fund statements deferred revenue is recognized when revenue is unearned or unavailable.

Long-Term Liabilities

In the government-wide statements and proprietary fund financial statements, long-term debt and other long-term obligations are reported as liabilities. Bond premiums and discounts, as well as issuance costs, are deferred and amortized over the life of the bonds using the straight-line method. Bonds payable are reported net of the applicable bond premium or discount. Bond issuance costs are reported as deferred charges and amortized over the term of the related debt.

In the fund financial statements, governmental fund types recognize bond premiums and discounts, as well as bond issuance costs, during the current period. The face amount of the debt issued is reported as other financing sources. Premiums received on debt issuances are reported as other financing sources while discounts on debt issuances are reported as other financing uses. Issuance costs, whether or not withheld from the actual debt proceeds, are reported as debt service expenditures.

Long-term liabilities are more fully described in Notes 12, 13, and 14.

Compensated Absences

In the government-wide statements and proprietary fund financial statements, compensated absences are reported as liabilities as required by GASB.

Employees accumulate annual leave (vacation) balances to maximum amounts ranging from 256 to 316 hours. They receive a 100% termination payment upon separation based upon their final rate of pay. The liability for annual leave is valued at 100% of the balance plus the State's share of social security and retirement contributions.

Employee sick leave balances accumulate without limit. Termination payments are made only upon separation from State service and only to employees hired prior to October 1, 1980. Payments at retirement or death are based on 50% of the employee's sick leave accumulation, times their last rate of pay. When separating for any other reason, employees are paid a percentage of their unused sick leave that increases from 0 to 50%, depending upon the balance of their sick leave hours. Sick leave is valued at 0 to 50% plus the State's share of social security contributions, based on the pay rates in effect as of September 30, 2004.

The State instituted a banked leave time program in fiscal year 2004 whereby eligible employees work a regular schedule but receive pay for a reduced number of hours. The unpaid hours worked accrue to a banked leave time account. Upon an employee's separation, death, or retirement from State service, unused banked leave time hours shall be contributed by the State to the employee's account within the State's 401k plans, and if applicable to the State's 457 plans. The banked leave liability is valued at the pay rates in effect as of September 30, the fiscal year-end.

In the governmental fund financial statements, liabilities for compensated absences are accrued when they are considered "due and payable" and recorded in the fund only for separations or transfers that occur before year-end.

Net Assets/Fund Balance

The difference between fund assets and liabilities is "Net Assets" on the government-wide, proprietary, and fiduciary fund statements, and "Fund Balance" on governmental fund statements.

Reservations

Fund balances for governmental funds are classified as either reserved or unreserved in the fund financial statements. Reserved fund balances reflect either: 1) funds legally segregated for a specific use or 2) assets which, by their nature, are not available for expenditure. Unreserved fund balances reflect the balances available for appropriation for the general purposes of the fund. Note 21 provides a disaggregation of reserved fund balances.

F. Revenues and Expenditures/Expenses

Government-Wide Financial Statements

In the government-wide Statement of Activities, revenues and expenses are segregated by activity (governmental or business-type), then further by function (e.g. general government, education, transportation, etc). Additionally, revenues are classified between program and general revenues. Program revenues include 1) charges to customers or applicants for goods, services, or privileges provided, 2) operating grants and contributions, and 3) capital grants and contributions. Internally dedicated resources are reported as general revenues, rather than as program revenue. General revenues include all taxes. Certain indirect costs are included in the program expenses reported for individual functions.

Interest on Long-Term Debt

Interest charges on the State's general long-term liabilities do not qualify as a direct expense of a function and are reported on this line, unless the borrowing is essential to the creation or continuing existence of a program. During fiscal year 2003-2004, interest charges on general long-term liabilities totaling \$22.1 million were reported as functional expenses.

Fund Financial Statements

In the governmental fund financial statements, revenues are reported by source. For budgetary control purposes, revenues are further classified as either "general purpose" or "restricted." General purpose revenues are available to fund any activity accounted for in the fund. Restricted revenues are, either by State law or by outside restriction (e.g., federal grants), available only for specified purposes. Unused restricted revenues at year-end are recorded as reservations of fund balance. When both general purpose and restricted funds are available for use, it is the State's policy to use restricted resources first.

In the governmental fund financial statements, expenditures are reported by character: "Current," "Capital outlay," "Intergovernmental-revenue sharing," or "Debt service." Current expenditures are subclassified by function and are for items such as salaries, grants, supplies, and services. Tax expenditures, which represent income tax credit programs that are in substance grants, are also reported as current expenditures. These are described in more detail in Note 15.

Capital outlay includes expenditures for capital assets. Intergovernmental-revenue sharing accounts for the distribution of certain tax revenues that are shared with local units based upon constitutional and statutory requirements. Debt service includes both interest and principal outlays related to bonds and payments on capitalized leases.

Revenues and expenses of proprietary funds are classified as operating or nonoperating and are subclassified by object (e.g., salaries, depreciation, and purchases for resale). Operating revenues and expenses generally result from providing services and producing and delivering goods. All other revenues and expenses are reported as nonoperating.

Other Financing Sources

These additions to governmental fund balances in the fund financial statements include resources and financing provided by bond proceeds, capital leases, and transfers from other funds.

Reimbursements

Reimbursements result when a fund originally making a disbursement receives resources from another fund to which the expenditure/expense is more properly attributable. For example, the State uses this method when the administrative costs of proprietary funds, discretely presented component units, or pension (and other employee benefit) trust funds are appropriated in the General Fund.

Interfund Services Provided and Used

When a sale or purchase of program-related goods and/or services between funds occurs, for a price approximating their external exchange value, the seller reports revenue and the purchaser expenditure or expense, depending upon the fund type.

Transactions between the primary government and a discretely presented component unit are generally classified as revenues and expenses, unless they represent repayments of loans or similar activities.

Michigan
Notes to the Financial Statements

Other Financing Uses

These reductions of governmental fund resources in fund financial statements normally result from transfers to other funds.

G. Interfund Activity and Balances

Interfund Activity

As a general rule, the effect of interfund activity has been eliminated from the government-wide statements. Exceptions to this rule are: 1) activities between funds reported as governmental activities and funds reported as business-type activities (examples include the transfers of profits from the Liquor Purchase Revolving Fund to General Fund and the Lottery Fund to the School Aid Fund) and 2) activities between funds that are reported in different functional categories in either the governmental or business-type activities column (examples include activities between the Department of

Treasury [general government line] and the Department of Education [education line]). Elimination of these activities would distort the direct costs and program revenues for the functions concerned.

In the fund financial statements, transfers represent flows of assets (such as goods or cash) without equivalent flows of assets in return or a requirement for repayment. In addition, transfers are recorded when a fund receiving revenue provides it to the fund which expends the resources. An example is gas taxes collected by the Department of Transportation but expended by the Department of Natural Resources.

Interfund Balances

Interfund receivables and payables have been eliminated from the Statement of Net Assets, except for the residual amounts due between governmental and business-type activities.

NOTE 2 – FUNDS AND COMPONENT UNITS BY CLASSIFICATION

The following table lists all of the funds and component units whose balances are reflected in this financial report.

Operating funds which are subject to annual appropriation and for

which budget and actual schedules are included in this report are identified by an “*”. For each fund or component unit listed, the SOMCAFR page number of the first financial statement for that fund or component unit is shown in parenthesis.

PRIMARY GOVERNMENT:

MAJOR FUNDS

Governmental:

General Fund* (p. 20)
Counter-Cyclical Budget and Economic Stabilization Fund* (p. 20)
School Aid Fund* (p. 20)

Proprietary:

State Lottery Fund (p. 26)
Michigan Unemployment Compensation Funds (p. 26)

NON-MAJOR FUNDS

Governmental:

Special Revenue Funds:

Transportation Related:

State Aeronautics Fund* (p. 104)
State Trunkline Fund* (p. 104)
Michigan Transportation Fund* (p. 104)
Comprehensive Transportation Fund* (p. 104)
Combined State Trunkline Bond Proceeds Fund (p. 105)
Combined Comprehensive Transportation Bond Proceeds Fund (p. 105)
Transportation Related Trust Funds (p. 105)

Conservation, Environment, and Recreation Related:

Game and Fish Protection Fund* (p. 114)
Michigan State Waterways Fund* (p. 114)
Marine Safety Fund* (p. 114)
Game and Fish Protection Trust Fund (p. 114)
State Park Improvement Fund* (p. 114)
Combined Recreation Bond Fund - Local Projects (p. 115)
Combined Environmental Protection Bond Fund (p. 115)
Michigan Nongame Fish and Wildlife Fund* (p. 115)
Forest Development Fund* (p. 115)
Michigan Underground Storage Tank Financial Assurance Fund (p. 115)
Bottle Deposits Fund (p. 115)

Regulatory and Administrative Related:

Michigan Employment Security Act – Administration Fund* (p. 124)
Safety Education and Training Fund* (p. 124)
State Construction Code Fund* (p. 124)
Homeowner Construction Lien Recovery Fund* (p. 124)
State Casino Gaming Fund* (p. 125)
Second Injury Fund (p. 125)
Silicosis, Dust Disease, and Logging Industry Compensation Fund (p. 125)
Self-Insurers' Security Fund (p. 125)
Utility Consumer Representation Fund (p. 125)

Other State Funds:

School Bond Loan Fund (p. 134)
Tobacco Settlement Trust Fund* (p. 134)
Michigan Merit Award Trust Fund* (p. 134)
Assigned Claims Facility and Plan Fund (p. 135)
Miscellaneous Special Revenue Funds (p. 135)

Michigan
Notes to the Financial Statements

Debt Service Funds:

Combined State Trunkline Bond and Interest Redemption Fund (p. 142)
Combined Comprehensive Transportation Bond and Interest Redemption Fund (p. 142)
Recreation and Environmental Protection Bond Redemption Fund (p. 142)
School Loan Bond Redemption Fund (p. 143)
State Building Authority (p. 143)
Michigan Underground Storage Tank Financial Assurance Finance Authority (p. 143)

Capital Projects Funds:

Combined Recreation Bond Fund - State Projects (p. 148)
Advance Financing Funds (p. 148)
State Building Authority (p. 148)

Permanent Funds:

Michigan Natural Resources Trust Fund* (p. 152)
Michigan State Parks Endowment Fund* (p. 152)
Michigan Civilian Conservation Corps Endowment Fund* (p. 152)
Michigan Veterans' Trust Fund* (p. 153)
Children's Trust Fund* (p. 153)

Proprietary:

Enterprise Funds:

Liquor Purchase Revolving Fund (p. 160)
Attorney Discipline System (p. 160)

Internal Service Funds:

Correctional Industries Revolving Fund (p. 164)
Motor Transport Fund (p. 164)
Office Services Revolving Fund (p. 164)
Information Technology Fund (p. 165)
Risk Management Fund (p. 165)
State Sponsored Group Insurance Fund (p. 165)

Fiduciary:

Pension (and other employee benefit) Trust Funds:

State Employees' Deferred Compensation Funds (p. 172)
Legislative Retirement Fund (p. 172)
State Police Retirement Fund (p. 172)
State Employees' Retirement Fund (p. 173)
Public School Employees' Retirement Fund (p. 173)
Judges' Retirement Fund (p. 173)
State Employees' Defined Contribution Retirement Fund (p. 173)

Private Purpose Trust Funds:

Escheats Fund (p. 178)
Gifts, Bequests, and Deposits Investment Fund (p. 178)
Hospital Patients' Trust Fund (p. 178)
Michigan Education Savings Program (p. 178)

Agency Funds:

Environmental Quality Deposits Fund (p. 181)
Insurance Carrier Deposits Fund (p. 181)
State Treasurer's Escrow and Paying Agent Fund (p. 181)
Child Support Collection Fund (p. 181)

DISCRETELY PRESENTED COMPONENT UNITS:

Authorities:

Major Funds:

Michigan Education Trust (p. 36)
Michigan State Housing Development Authority (p. 36)
Michigan Municipal Bond Authority (p. 36)

Non-Major Funds:

Mackinac Bridge Authority (p. 186)
Mackinac Island State Park Commission (p. 186)
Michigan Broadband Development Authority (p. 186)
Michigan Economic Development Corporation (p. 186)
Michigan Higher Education Assistance Authority (p. 186)
Michigan Higher Education Facilities Authority (p. 187)
Michigan Higher Education Student Loan Authority (p. 187)
Michigan Public Educational Facilities Authority (p. 187)
Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority (p. 187)
Michigan Strategic Fund (p. 187)
State Bar of Michigan (p. 187)

- (1) Michigan State University, the University of Michigan, and Wayne State University are not included in the State's reporting entity because they have separately elected governing boards and are legally separate from the State.

State Universities (1):

Major Funds:

Central Michigan University (p. 37)
Western Michigan University (p. 37)

Non-Major Funds:

Eastern Michigan University (p. 192)
Ferris State University (p. 192)
Grand Valley State University (p. 192)
Lake Superior State University (p. 192)
Michigan Technological University (p. 193)
Northern Michigan University (p. 193)
Oakland University (p. 193)
Saginaw Valley State University (p. 193)

The State provides significant funding to support these institutions; however, under GASB Statement No. 14 criteria, they are considered fiscally independent special-purpose governments.

NOTE 3 – BUDGETING, BUDGETARY CONTROL, AND LEGAL COMPLIANCE

A. Major Constitutional and Statutory Provisions

Balanced Budget Requirements

Article 5 of the State Constitution mandates that the executive budget recommend spending limits for operating funds to the Legislature that are within available resources. Compliance with this is demonstrated in the executive budget and budget bills for each fiscal year.

Article 4 of the State Constitution mandates the Legislature to enact appropriations for each operating fund that do not exceed that fund's revenue estimates, including beginning unreserved fund balance.

Compliance with this requirement is demonstrated in schedules included in the annual appropriation acts, usually the "General Government" appropriation act. When it appears that revenue will fall below the estimates on which the appropriations are based, the Governor is required to recommend spending reductions as necessary to avoid a year-end deficit.

Local Spending Requirements

Article 9, Section 30 of the State Constitution requires that State spending to, or on behalf of, local units of government shall not fall below a specified percentage of total State spending. The percentage, recalculated effective with fiscal year 1992-1993, is 48.97 %.

Final calculations establishing the State's compliance with this constitutional provision for fiscal year 2003-2004 are not yet complete. For fiscal year 2002-2003, the most recent year for which final calculations are available, the proportion of total State spending paid to local units of government was determined to be 62.7%, reflecting payments that exceeded the minimum required by \$3.5 billion. The State expects that payments to local units of government will exceed the minimum requirement for fiscal year 2003-2004.

Revenue Limits

Article 9, Section 26, of the State Constitution restricts State revenues to a ceiling that is based upon revenues as a proportion of total personal income for the State. The base year ratio, determined in fiscal year 1978-1979, in relation to calendar year 1977 personal income, is 9.49%. Both the constitutional language and implementing statutes provide for other adjustments to the revenue and personal income calculations. If revenues exceed the limit by 1% or more, the amount in excess must be refunded to personal income tax payers and payers of the State's single business tax. If the limit is exceeded by an amount less than 1%, the excess may be deposited into the State's Budget Stabilization Fund. The calculations determining the State's compliance with this constitutional provision for fiscal year 2003-2004 are not final. For fiscal year 2002-2003, the most recent year for which final

calculations are available, total State revenues subject to this limitation were beneath the constitutional limit by \$4.2 billion. The State expects that total State revenues subject to the limitation will not exceed the limit for fiscal year 2003-2004.

Budget Stabilization Fund

The Counter-Cyclical Budget and Economic Stabilization Fund ("Budget Stabilization Fund") was created by P.A. 76 of 1977 to assist in stabilizing revenue and employment during periods of economic recession. In general, the law requires payments into the fund when real economic growth exceeds 2% and allows withdrawals from the fund when real economic growth is less than 0%. Funds can also be withdrawn when the State's unemployment rate exceeds 8% or upon appropriation to finance capital outlay or other projects, or for other purposes designated by the Legislature.

The following table summarizes the transactions for the fund for fiscal year 2003-2004 (in millions):

Beginning unreserved fund balance	\$ -
Transfer from General Fund	81.3
Ending unreserved fund balance	<u>\$ 81.3</u>

The transfer from the General Fund was pursuant to P.A. 309 of 2004, Section 204. This transfer was made as a result of the General Fund reporting an unreserved fund balance as of September 30, 2004.

Budgetary Overexpenditures

In the event that expenditures exceed authorization during a year, the department must request a supplemental appropriation for the amount overspent, if that amount exceeds their lapses or if they expect to make payments from prior year authorization in the next fiscal year. There were the following line-item overexpenditures of State departments incurred during the year, which represent noncompliance with State budget laws (in millions):

General Fund	
Community Health	\$ 47.1
Family Independence Agency	1.1
General Fund Total	<u>\$ 48.3</u>

Subsequent to the release of this report, the State publishes "Statewide Authorization Dispositions" to demonstrate its compliance with the legal level of budgetary control. The report includes line-item appropriation details for the General Fund and budgeted operating funds, and is available by contacting the Office of Financial Management at (517) 373-3029.

NOTE 4 – ACCOUNTING CHANGES AND RESTATEMENTS

The government-wide Statement of Activities includes an increase in beginning net assets for \$202.9 million due to the State's implementation of Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Technical Bulletin No. 2004-1, Tobacco Settlement Recognition and Financial Reporting Entity Issues. In November 1998, 46 states, including Michigan, settled with the major tobacco companies in a settlement agreement that precludes the states from attempting future litigation in exchange for monetary awards that will continue in perpetuity. Prior to GASB Technical Bulletin No. 2004-1, the State was accounting for the tobacco settlement payments as revenue when received. With implementation of the bulletin, the State is now required to recognize revenue and a receivable for the portion of the next payment to be received but already earned as of September 30 based on the fiscal year's pro-rated share of cigarette sales. The restatement to beginning net assets represents the amount of revenue that would have been recognized in prior years using the new revenue recognition requirements of the technical bulletin.

The Attorney Discipline System, an enterprise fund, shows an increase of \$1.0 million to beginning net assets. This fund is being presented in the State's financial statements for the first time this fiscal year. The increase in beginning net assets reflects the net assets of the fund that would have been disclosed in prior years had the Attorney Discipline System been reported in the State's financial statements in prior years.

The Michigan State Housing Development Authority, a discretely presented component unit, increased its beginning net assets by \$13.5 million to reflect a change in accounting for grants from previously issued financial statements. Previously, this component unit recorded grant commitments based on the commitment date and not when the grants were earned by the grantee. Generally accepted accounting principles require commitments to be recognized as expenses when earned.

Seven of the ten state universities reported as discretely presented component units increased beginning net assets by a combined total of \$311.1 million due to the implementation of GASB Statement No. 39. This new accounting standard requires each university to include in their financial statements the activities of foundations that raise significant economic resources for the direct benefit of the university. The increase in beginning net assets for the universities represents the net assets held by the foundations at the beginning of the fiscal year and not previously reported in the universities' financial statements.

During fiscal year 2004, the State implemented an accounting change for its estimate of State Education Tax (SET) receivable at September 30 to include SET collected by local governments but not yet remitted to the State. This change in estimate resulted in increased current year revenue of \$78.4 million in the School Aid Fund, a special revenue fund.

NOTE 5 – TREASURER'S COMMON CASH

A. General Accounting Policies

The State Treasurer manages the State's Common Cash pool, which is used by most state funds. The pooling of cash allows the Treasurer to invest monies not needed to pay immediate obligations so that investment earnings on available cash are maximized. Investments of the pool are not segregated by fund; rather, each contributing fund's balance is treated as equity in the pool, and presented in this report as "Equity in common cash." Many funds, including pension (and other employee benefit) trust funds, use their equity in the pool as a short-term investment vehicle.

All negative balances in the pool are reclassified at year-end as interfund liabilities. If the negative balance is considered long-term, the reclassification is recorded as an advance.

Statute or administrative policy determines whether a particular fund receives or pays interest on its balances in the pool. If a fund does not receive or pay interest, the General Fund receives or absorbs such amounts. Earnings on positive balances and charges on negative balances are allocated quarterly based upon the average daily balances of the various funds and the average investment earnings rate for the quarter. Accrued earnings of the pool are recorded as assets, with the accrual allocated to the various funds' equity in the pool.

Interest revenues on positive balances and interest charges on negative balances are reflected as revenues or expenditures/expenses of each of the participating funds.

B. Investments and Deposits

The investment authority for the Common Cash pool is found in P.A. 105 of 1855, as amended. The State Treasurer may invest surplus funds belonging to the State in the bonds, notes, and other evidences of indebtedness of the United States

Government, and its agencies; and in prime commercial paper. Certificates of deposit are permitted in financial institutions whose principal office is located in the State.

The Treasurer invests excess cash in short-term investments, mostly prime commercial paper. The law does not prohibit the Treasurer from entering into repurchase agreements; however, the Treasurer did not use these agreements in managing the pool in fiscal year 2003-2004.

Statutes provide for certain special State investment programs for which the General Fund is credited (charged) for earnings in excess (under) of those achieved by regular pool investments. There have been no principal losses because of these programs to date.

Emergency Financial Assistance Loan Program: This program provides for emergency loans to local units of government, and is the most significant of the special investment programs. The Emergency Financial Assistance Loan Board, established by P.A. 243 of 1980, administers the program. The Treasurer may not loan more than a combined total of \$5.0 million in any one fiscal year to qualifying cities, villages, or townships in amounts as approved by the Board.

In fiscal year 1999-2000 the Emergency Financial Assistance Loan Board was authorized to approve the lending of up to \$159.9 million to Wayne County to finance the payment of certain obligations to the State. The outstanding balance at September 30, 2004, was \$47.8 million. Loan repayments by the County are supported by provisions of the loan agreement and legislation that pledge the County's share of a portion of the State collected taxes on cigarettes. There were no repayments on the loans in fiscal year 2003-2004.

Michigan
Notes to the Financial Statements

Michigan Marina Dredging Loan Program: Public Act 280 of 2000 provides for a program under which financial institutions may make low-interest loans to eligible marinas for dredging costs necessitated by low water levels to accommodate the use of the marina by recreational watercraft.

Under this program, the Department of Treasury and a financial institution may enter into an investment agreement under which the Department of Treasury will invest the State's Common Cash with the financial institution at an agreed upon interest rate (generally 1.5 percent per annum). The financial institution will then use the principal to make a low-interest loan to an eligible marina.

The Act specifies that the maximum amount of a Michigan marina-dredging loan is \$75 thousand per marina. The total amount of outstanding loans is statutorily limited to \$20.0 million. The loans accrue interest at a rate of six percent, and the loans' terms may not exceed seven years. Other details about the loans are available in the investment agreement. The total amount on loan at September 30, 2004, was \$201 thousand; repayments during the year were \$68 thousand.

Michigan Sugar Beet Loan Program: Public Act 123 of 2001 provides for a program in which the State may make no-interest loans from the Common Cash pool to sugar beet growers' cooperatives for the purpose of buying the assets of agricultural processors who are in or have recently been in bankruptcy proceedings.

The Act specifies that the loans may not exceed \$5.0 million in total, with loan periods not to exceed 5 years. As of September 30, 2004, the loans outstanding totaled \$5.0 million, with maturity dates of February 1, 2007.

Public Act 342 of 2004 requires the State Treasurer, as part of the modification to the loan, to subordinate a loan of not more than \$5.0 million to the primary loan of a sugar beet growers' cooperative (Michigan Sugar Beet Growers, Inc.) and relinquish any enforcement powers or authority that may exist under the current contract or agreement.

Agriculture Disaster Relief Program: Public Act 16 of 2002 created this program to provide loans to assist farmers and businesses suffering losses as a result of a disaster. Financial institutions (banks) making these loans can have the cost of the loan covered by (1) earnings on funds deposited by the State, or (2) subsidy of the cost.

The maximum loan is \$150 thousand (\$200 thousand under certain circumstances) to farmers and \$400 thousand per legal entity to businesses. The total amount the State may deposit under this program is \$30.0 million. Of that amount, no more than \$10.0 million may be allocated to qualified agricultural loans made to businesses. Details on what constitutes a qualified loan can be found in the statute. Loans must be made before October 1, 2002, and must be repaid by October 1, 2007.

As of September 30, 2004, the State had deposited a total of \$4.7 million with three different financial institutions. Subsidy payments totaled \$2.0 million and repayments totaled \$54.4 million.

Assets and equities of the Common Cash pool as of September 30 were as follows (in millions):

Assets	
Cash on hand	\$ -
Demand deposits	249.7
Time deposits - regular	-
Time deposits - Marina Loan Programs	.2
Time deposits - Agricultural Loan Program	4.7
Prime commercial paper - at cost	1,672.8
Interest receivable	1.6
Emergency loans to local units - at cost	48.9
Michigan Sugar Beet Loan Program	5.0
Total assets	<u>\$ 1,982.8</u>
Equities	
Fund equities (net) in Common Cash (1):	
Governmental activities	\$ 1,554.4
Business-type activities	71.4
Fiduciary funds	281.9
Discretely presented component units	75.1
Net fund equities	<u>\$ 1,982.8</u>

(1) Negative equity balances in the pool are reclassified at year-end as interfund receivables and liabilities. Current balances are included with "Amounts due from other funds" and "Amounts due to other funds" and long-term amounts are classified as interfund advances. Note 17 summarizes interfund receivables and liabilities.

The following paragraphs provide disclosures about deposits and investments of the Common Cash pool, as required by GASB Statement No. 3. Please see Note 8 for a description of the GASB custodial credit risk categories and for information about deposits and investments, which are not part of the Common Cash pool.

Deposits

At September 30, 2004, the carrying amount of deposits, including time and demand deposits, was \$254.6 million. The deposits were reflected in the accounts of the banks at \$254.6 million. Of the bank balance, \$4.6 million was covered by federal depository insurance (GASB credit risk category 1), \$249.6 million was collateralized with securities held by the State's agent in the State's name (GASB credit risk category 1), and \$.4 million of demand deposits which are uninsured and uncollateralized (GASB credit risk category 3). Compensating balances kept in demand deposit accounts to avoid service charges totaled \$243.2 million at September 30, 2004.

Investments

Using the GASB categories of custodial credit risk, all of the investments (including prime commercial paper, corporate notes, and emergency municipal loans) are in category 1. The sugar beet loans are evidenced by zero interest promissory notes. The emergency municipal loans are evidenced by notes held by the State in the State's name, so they fall in custodial credit risk category 1. At September 30, 2004, the fair value of prime commercial paper was \$1.7 billion.

NOTE 6 – TAXES RECEIVABLE

Taxes receivable represent amounts due to the State at September 30, for revenues earned in fiscal year 2003-2004, which will be collected sometime in the future. Amounts expected to be collected in the next fiscal year are classified as “current” and amounts expected to be collected beyond the next fiscal year are classified as “noncurrent.” The receivables have been recorded net of allowances for uncollectibles.

Sales, use, single business, and income taxes are accrued to the extent that the related sales, wage, or activity being taxed occurred prior to October 1. Property taxes receivable are accrued when taxes are levied against the property owners. Single business taxes are accrued if received prior to December 1 (i.e., quarterly filings due November 30).

In the government-wide financial statements, a corresponding amount is recorded as revenue using the accrual basis of accounting. In the governmental fund financial statements, revenue is recorded using the modified accrual basis of accounting for

amounts due to the State at September 30 (as stated above) that are considered “available” (e.g. received by the State within approximately 60 days after that date). The remainder is recorded as deferred revenue.

Local units of government, as agents for the State, assess the State property tax. Taxes are due and payable at the same time as local unit taxes and were generally divided into a summer portion payable to the local units on the succeeding July 1 and a winter portion due December 31. Beginning in fiscal year 2002-2003, local units are required to levy property taxes in July. The State accrues revenues received by the State or the local units, on its behalf, during October and November. Since the property taxes are levied and received by the local units, it is not feasible for the State to measure and record delinquent amounts receivable and, therefore, no delinquent amounts are recorded or reflected in the table below. The accrued telephone and telegraph taxes are due December 1 and were received at approximately that time. Delinquent taxes are recognized to the extent that they will be collected within 12 months.

Taxes receivable as of September 30, consisted of the following (in millions):

<u>Tax</u>	General Fund	Special Revenue Funds	Total
Sales & use	\$ 329.1	\$ 660.7	\$ 989.7
Individual income	1,488.3	168.8	1,657.1
Single business	1,510.5	-	1,510.5
State education (property)	-	1,249.5	1,249.5
Telephone & telegraph	48.2	-	48.2
Motor fuel	-	236.8	236.8
Insurance - retaliatory	63.7	-	63.7
Estate & inheritance	9.6	-	9.6
Tobacco products	88.3	70.8	159.1
Quality assurance assessment	65.4	-	65.4
Other	27.0	60.1	87.1
Penalties and interest	1,096.6	.2	1,096.9
Gross taxes receivable	4,726.8	2,446.9	7,173.6
Less allowance for uncollectibles	2,002.3	454.7	2,457.0
Total taxes receivable (net)	<u>\$ 2,724.5</u>	<u>\$ 1,992.1</u>	<u>\$ 4,716.6</u>
<u>As reported on the financial statements</u>			
Current taxes receivable	\$ 2,498.0	\$ 1,946.6	\$ 4,444.6
Noncurrent taxes receivable	226.4	45.6	272.0
Total taxes receivable (net)	<u>\$ 2,724.5</u>	<u>\$ 1,992.1</u>	<u>\$ 4,716.6</u>

NOTE 7 – JOINT VENTURES

The State participates in two joint ventures as described below. Joint ventures are not reflected as component units within this report because they do not meet the GAAP criteria for inclusion. Their separately issued financial statements may be obtained by directly contacting the applicable organizations. To obtain their phone numbers, you may contact the Office of the State Budget, Office of Financial Management, Financial Reporting Section at (517) 373-3029.

Great Lakes Protection Fund

(GLPF) is a not-for-profit corporation located in Evanston, Illinois. Its purpose is to finance and support research with respect to water quality of the Great Lakes. The eight states bordering the Great Lakes are eligible to become members if they make a required contribution to the endowment of GLPF.

Contribution requirements were established based upon water consumption and usage. Contributions to GLPF are permanently restricted and are not available for disbursement. Michigan is the largest contributor, having made a contribution of \$25.0 million, constituting approximately 31% of the total. Michigan made its required contribution by issuing GLPF a general obligation bond authorized as part of the State's environmental protection bond program. No additional contributions from Michigan will be required.

Two members on GLPF's board of directors represent each of the participating seven member states. The states' respective governors select the board members. Directors control GLPF's financing and budgeting operations, within requirements established by the Articles

of Incorporation. One-third of the net earnings on total contributions (after operating expenses) is granted to the respective states in proportion to their contributions to GLPF. Two-thirds of the net earnings are available to GLPF to make other grants. The State's equity interest in GLPF of \$25.0 million is reflected as an asset in the government-wide statements.

Joint International Bridge Authority

The International Bridge in Sault Ste. Marie, Michigan is a joint venture of the State and Canadian governments. The Authority consists of six people, three appointed by each government. The Authority oversees the operations and maintenance of the Bridge. The International Bridge Administration, an administrative entity within the Michigan Department of Transportation, is responsible for the day-to-day operations of the Bridge. The Authority reimburses the State for costs incurred to provide these services.

For the period ending December 31, 2003 (the Authority's most recently audited financial statements), its net assets increased by approximately \$1.2 million. The Bridge and the ancillary assets on Michigan's side of the Bridge, in addition to one-half of the balance of funds not required to pay liabilities, represent the State's equity interest. The State is obligated to pay one-half of any claims incurred by the Authority that are not covered by insurance or existing resources. The State's equity interest of \$6.5 million is reflected as an asset in the government-wide statements.

NOTE 8 – DEPOSITS AND INVESTMENTS

A. General Information

This note provides information for all deposits and investments except those of the Common Cash pool, which are described in Note 5. GASB Statement No. 3 requires certain disclosures regarding policies and practices with respect to deposits, investments, and the custodial credit risk associated with them.

Deposits

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 3, deposits are classified into three categories of custodial credit risk as follows:

Category 1: Insured or collateralized with securities held by the entity or by its agent in the entity's name.

Category 2: Collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution's trust department or agent in the entity's name.

Category 3: Uncollateralized (this includes any bank balance that is collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution, or by its trust department or agent, but not in the entity's name).

Investments

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 3, investments are also classified into three categories of custodial credit risk as follows:

Category 1: Insured or registered, or securities held by the entity or its agent in the entity's name.

Category 2: Uninsured and unregistered, with securities held by the counterparty's trust department or agent in the entity's name.

Category 3: Uninsured and unregistered, with securities held by the counterparty or by its trust department or agent but not in the entity's name. (This includes the portion of the carrying amount of any repurchase agreement that exceeds the fair value of the underlying securities.)

Certain types of investments are not categorized because they are not evidenced by securities that exist in physical or book entry form. Deposits classified as investments on the balance sheet are included in the investment tables following and are categorized using the deposit risk category definitions.

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 28, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Securities Lending Transactions, securities lent at year-end for cash collateral have not been categorized by custodial risk, while securities lent for securities collateral have been categorized.

B. Deposits and Investments - Primary Government

Deposits

In addition to equity in the Common Cash pool, some State funds maintain deposits with financial institutions. At year-end, the carrying amount of such deposits, excluding those classified as investments, was negative \$11.5 million, which was caused primarily by a net book cash overdraft in an account maintained by the Michigan Unemployment Compensation Funds (MUCF). The deposits were reflected in the accounts of the banks at \$19.5 million. Of the bank balances, \$.1 million was covered by federal depository insurance or by collateral held by the State's agents in the State's name (GASB credit risk category #1), \$15.4 million was covered by collateral held in the pledging banks' trust departments in the State's name (GASB credit risk category #2), and \$4.0 million was uninsured and uncollateralized (GASB credit risk category #3).

Investments

Investment authority for the State's pension (and other employee benefit) trust funds is found in P.A. 314 of 1965, as amended. This act allows the State Treasurer, as investment fiduciary, to make diverse investments in stocks, corporate and government bonds and notes, mortgages, real estate, venture capital, and other investments. The act has prudence standards and requires that the assets of a retirement system shall be invested solely in the interest of the participants and beneficiaries and be made for the exclusive purpose of providing benefits to the participants and the participants' beneficiaries, and of defraying reasonable expenses of investing the assets of the State system.

The State Treasurer is also authorized to invest a limited amount of pension (and other employee benefit) trust funds in futures contracts. Such investments were made in Standard & Poors 500 and Standard & Poors Midcap Index futures contracts during the year. At September 30, 2004, there was \$152.1 million invested in futures contracts. Derivatives are used for a small amount of the pension (and other employee benefit) trust fund portfolios to provide additional diversification. However, derivatives are not used for speculation and they are not used to leverage the investment portfolios. Approximately 10% of the total pension (and other employee benefit) trust funds portfolio has been invested from time to time in futures contracts and swap agreements. The swap agreements provide that the retirement systems will pay

quarterly, over the term of the swap agreements, interest indexed to the three month London Interbank Offered Rate (Libor), adjusted for an interest rate spread, on the notional amount stated in the agreements. United States domestic Libor-based floating rate notes were purchased in the open market to correspond with the notional amount of the swap agreements. The State Treasurer maintains custody and control of these notes. Swap agreements represent the largest category of derivatives used and total 10.0% of the total portfolio.

In July 2004, four state retirement systems' (State Employees', State Police, Public School Employees', and Judges') investments were contributed to an investment pool structure. Investments for these systems represent a pro rata share based on ownership of the investment pools. In their separately issued financial statements, these investments are not considered securities for the purpose of assessing credit risk.

The investment authority for other State funds is found in their enabling statutes and/or their bond resolutions where applicable. Except as noted below, the investments of the non-pension (and other employee benefit) trust funds are comprised mostly of United States government securities.

The State Building Authority makes diverse investments as allowed by State statute and/or bond resolutions.

Investments of MUCF represent their interest in a U.S. Treasury trust fund managed by the Secretary of the Treasury pursuant to Title IX of the Social Security Act, which includes deposits from the unemployment compensation funds of various states. MUCF is credited quarterly with trust fund investment earnings, as computed on a daily basis.

The deferred compensation plans are invested in mutual funds, U.S. Treasury strips, money market funds, and pooled investment funds. During fiscal year 2003-2004, the deferred compensation plans' investment activities were managed by a private investment firm, which invests as directed by members of the plan.

As a matter of administrative policy, the State Treasurer makes only limited use of investments in repurchase agreements. No such investments were outstanding at year-end.

Michigan
Notes to the Financial Statements

The following table shows the carrying amounts and fair values of investments of the primary government by investment type and in total (in millions) at September 30:

	GASB Category				Total Carrying Value	Fair Value
	#1	#2	#3	Not Categorized		
Prime commercial paper	\$ 3,242.8	\$ -	\$ 23.0	\$ -	\$ 3,265.8	\$ 3,265.8
Money market funds	-	-	5.7	209.6	215.3	215.3
Government securities	2,083.5	-	212.8	-	2,296.3	2,296.3
Investment agreements	6.0	-	-	-	6.0	6.0
Corporate bonds and notes	4,007.2	-	-	-	4,007.2	4,007.2
Preferred stock	.1	-	-	-	.1	.1
Equities	21,207.2	-	-	-	21,207.2	21,207.2
Real estate	-	-	-	3,223.2	3,223.2	3,223.2
Venture capital and leveraged buyouts	32.4	-	-	6,049.9	6,082.3	6,082.3
International	4,554.0	-	-	346.9	4,900.8	4,900.8
U.S. Treasury (unemployment) trust fund	-	-	-	924.6	924.6	924.6
Mutual funds	-	-	1.2	2,552.0	2,553.2	2,553.2
Pooled investment funds	-	-	-	2,004.3	2,004.3	2,004.3
Security Lending Transactions:						
Government securities	-	-	-	2,127.6	2,127.6	2,127.6
Corporate bonds and notes	-	-	-	232.0	232.0	232.0
Equities	-	-	-	733.8	733.8	733.8
Retirement System Pooled Investment Adjustments:*						
Unsettled investment transactions	-	-	-	(155.6)	(155.6)	(155.6)
Cash collateral	-	-	-	(152.1)	(152.1)	(152.1)
Synthetic equities	-	-	-	152.1	152.1	152.1
Accrued interest	-	-	-	108.0	108.0	108.0
Total Investments	\$ 35,133.2	\$ -	\$ 242.7	\$ 18,356.2	\$ 53,732.1	\$ 53,732.1

As Reported on Statement of Net Assets

Current investments	\$ 1,469.1
Noncurrent investments	877.7
Total Investments	\$ 2,346.8

As Reported on the Statements of Net Assets and Statement of Fiduciary Net Assets

	Current Investments	Noncurrent Investments	Total
Governmental activities	\$ 439.5	\$ 380.9	\$ 820.4
Business-type activities	1,029.6	496.8	1,526.4
Fiduciary funds	2,308.1	49,077.1	51,385.2
	\$ 3,777.2	\$ 49,954.9	\$ 53,732.1

*These adjustments are the result of the Retirement Systems' contributions reverting entirely to investment pools.

Pension (and other employee benefits) trust fund investments represent 94.1% of the total investments of the primary government. Other large holders of investments were the State Lottery Fund and MUCF.

The State Lottery Fund investments, \$600.7 million, are all in the form of zero coupon U.S. Treasury bonds. These investments are held to provide funding for deferred prize awards.

Securities Lending Transactions

Under the authority of P.A. 314 of 1965, the State lends securities to broker-dealers and other entities for collateral that will be returned for the same securities in the future. The custodian is not liable for any losses unless there is negligence or willful misconduct on its part. State statutes allow the State to participate in securities lending transactions, via a Securities Lending Authorization Agreement, authorizing the agent bank to lend its securities to broker-dealers and banks, pursuant to a form of loan agreement. During the fiscal year, the agent bank, at the direction of the State Treasurer, lent securities and received: cash (United States and foreign currency), securities issued or guaranteed by the United States government, sovereign debt rated A or better, convertible bonds, Canadian provincial debt, and irrevocable bank letters of credit as collateral. The agent bank did not have the ability to pledge or sell collateral securities delivered absent a borrower default. Borrowers were required to deliver collateral for each loan equal to: 1) in the case of loaned securities denominated in United States dollars or whose primary trading market was located in the United States or sovereign debt issued by foreign governments, 102% of the market value of the loaned securities; or 2) in the case of loaned securities not denominated in United States dollars or whose primary trading market was not located in the United States, 105% of the market value of the loaned securities.

The State Treasurer did not impose any restrictions during the fiscal year on the amount of the loans that the agent bank made on its behalf. There were no failures by any borrowers to return loaned securities or pay distributions thereon during the fiscal year. Moreover, there were no losses during the fiscal year resulting from a default of the borrowers or the agent bank.

During the fiscal year, the State Treasurer and the borrowers maintained the right to terminate all securities lending transactions on demand. The cash collateral received on each loan was invested, together with the cash collateral of other qualified and non-qualified tax-exempt plan lenders, in a

collective investment pool. As of September 30, 2004, the investment pool had an average duration of 42 days and an average expected maturity of 546 days. Because the loans were terminable at will, their duration did not generally match the duration of the investments made with cash collateral. On September 30, 2004, the retirement systems had no credit risk exposure to borrowers. The collateral held and the market value of securities on loan for the State as of September 30, 2004, were \$3.2 billion and \$3.1 billion respectively.

C. Deposits and Investments - Discretely Presented Component Units

Deposits

At year-end, the carrying amount of discretely presented component units deposits, excluding those classified as investments, was \$303.7 million. The deposits were reflected in the accounts of the banks at \$334.8 million. Of the bank balances, \$13.8 million was covered by federal depository insurance or by collateral held by the component units' agents in the component units' names (GASB credit risk category #1), \$44.1 million was covered by collateral held in the pledging banks' trust departments in the component units' names (GASB credit risk category #2), \$272.5 million was uninsured and uncollateralized (GASB credit risk category #3), and \$4.4 million was held in money market funds which are not categorized.

Investments

The investment authority for most discretely presented component units is typically found in their enabling statutes and/or their bond resolutions where applicable. Those component units which are financing authorities generally may invest in government or government backed securities and deposits. The Michigan Education Trust's investments are subject to an investment agreement with the State Treasurer which allows the Treasurer, acting as agent, to make diverse investments including stocks, bonds, notes, and other investments. Investment policies for the State universities are typically set forth by their governing boards and include a broad range of investment types.

Restricted Assets

Restricted investments on the government-wide Statement of Net Assets, totaling \$1,102.0 million, represent amounts that are pledged toward the payment of outstanding bonds and notes.

Michigan
Notes to the Financial Statements

The following table shows the carrying amounts and fair values of investments of the discretely presented component units, including

deposits classified as investments on the balance sheet, by investment type and in total (in millions):

	GASB Category				Total Carrying Value	Fair Value
	#1	#2	#3	Not Categorized		
Deposits(1):						
Time deposits	\$ 2.8	\$ -	\$ 31.0	\$ (1.9)	\$ 31.8	\$ 31.8
Government money market accounts	-	-	5.2	150.5	155.7	155.7
Investments:						
Commercial paper	183.7	57.4	5.2	7.6	253.9	253.9
Short-term notes	-	-	43.5	31.9	75.4	75.4
Repurchase agreements	37.1	204.7	-	-	241.8	241.8
Government securities	1,032.9	200.8	43.9	14.1	1,291.8	1,291.8
Insured mortgage backed securities	172.9	1.5	10.3	-	184.6	184.6
Government backed securities	-	.9	208.0	-	209.0	209.0
Investment agreements	-	-	-	94.4	94.4	94.4
Corporate bonds and notes	340.5	3.0	48.9	-	392.4	391.4
Equities	13.8	28.3	21.9	63.9	127.9	122.4
Real estate	-	.7	-	.6	1.3	1.3
Venture capital and leveraged buyouts	-	-	-	14.2	14.2	14.2
Certificates of indebtedness	-	4.3	-	-	4.3	4.3
Government money market funds	-	-	-	10.9	10.9	10.9
Mutual funds	-	42.2	-	453.7	495.9	479.0
Guaranteed investment contracts	-	-	-	1,138.2	1,138.2	1,138.2
Pooled investment funds	-	-	-	286.0	286.0	286.0
Other investments	-	1.4	10.9	20.2	32.4	49.0
Total Investments	\$ 1,783.7	\$ 545.2	\$ 428.8	\$ 2,284.2	5,041.8	\$ 5,035.1
Less Investments Reported as						
"Cash" on the Statement of Net Assets					(526.8)	
Total Investments					\$ 4,515.0	
As Reported on the Statement of Net Assets						
Current investments					\$ 1,027.3	
Noncurrent restricted investments					380.4	
Noncurrent investments					3,107.4	
Total Investments					\$ 4,515.0	

(1) The deposits classified as investments were reflected in the accounts of the banks in amounts equal to their carrying value and are categorized using the deposit risk category definitions.

NOTE 9 – CAPITAL ASSETS

A. Primary Government

Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Methods used to value capital assets

Capital assets, which include property, plant, equipment, and infrastructure items (e.g. roads, bridges, ramps, and similar items), are reported in the applicable governmental or business-type activity columns of the government-wide financial statements. Such assets are recorded at historical cost or estimated historical cost if purchased or constructed. Donated capital assets are recorded at fair market value at the date of donation.

Capitalization policies

All land and non-depreciable land improvements are capitalized, regardless of cost. Equipment is capitalized when the cost of individual items exceed \$5 thousand, and all other capital assets are capitalized when the cost of individual items or projects exceed \$100 thousand.

The costs of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of assets or materially extend asset lives are not capitalized.

Items not capitalized and depreciated

The State possesses certain capital assets that have not been capitalized and depreciated, because the assets cannot be reasonably valued and/or the assets have inexhaustible useful lives. These assets include works of art and historical treasures such as statues, monuments, historical documents, paintings, forts and lighthouses, rare library books, miscellaneous capitol-related artifacts and furnishings, and the like.

Depreciation and useful lives

Applicable capital assets are depreciated using the straight-line method, with a half-year's depreciation charged in the year of acquisition and in the year of disposal. Agencies assigned useful lives that were most suitable for the particular assets. Estimated useful lives generally were assigned as follows:

Asset	Years
Equipment	2-25
Buildings	5-50
Infrastructure	15-40
Land Improvements	5-40

Modified approach for infrastructure

The State has elected to use the "modified approach" to account for certain infrastructure assets, as provided in GASB Statement No. 34. Under this process, the State does not record depreciation expense nor are amounts capitalized in connection with improvements to these assets, unless the improvements expand the capacity or efficiency of an asset. Utilization of this approach requires the State to: 1) commit to maintaining and preserving affected assets at or above a condition level established by the State, 2) maintain an inventory of the assets and perform periodic condition assessments to ensure that the condition level is being maintained, and 3) make annual estimates of the amounts that must be expended to maintain and preserve assets at the predetermined condition levels.

Roads and bridges maintained by the Department of Transportation are accounted for using the modified approach.

Michigan
Notes to the Financial Statements

Capital asset activities for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2004 were as follows (in millions):

Governmental Activities	Beginning Balance	Additions	Deletions	Adjustments and Reclas- sifications	Ending Balance
Capital assets, not being depreciated:					
Land	\$ 3,103.1	\$ 10.2	\$ (.8)	\$ 4.6	\$ 3,117.0
Land improvements	5.2	.5	-	11.5	17.2
Construction in progress	1,388.0	312.9	(283.6)	(102.3)	1,315.0
Infrastructure	11,563.7	288.7	(153.0)	-	11,699.4
Mineral rights	18.9	.9	-	-	20.0
Total capital assets, not being depreciated	<u>16,078.9</u>	<u>613.1</u>	<u>(437.4)</u>	<u>(86.2)</u>	<u>16,168.4</u>
Capital assets, being depreciated:					
Land improvements	108.8	.2	(.4)	2.4	111.0
Equipment and vehicles	676.6	53.4	(35.0)	.5	695.5
Buildings	3,138.3	93.9	(59.4)	(10.0)	3,162.8
Infrastructure	604.2	12.8	(1.1)	8.5	624.5
Total capital assets, being depreciated	<u>4,527.9</u>	<u>160.4</u>	<u>(96.0)</u>	<u>1.5</u>	<u>4,593.8</u>
Less accumulated depreciation for:					
Land improvements	(38.3)	(3.3)	-	(.1)	(41.7)
Equipment and vehicles	(512.7)	(70.4)	28.0	4.1	(551.1)
Buildings	(1,050.1)	(89.3)	23.8	.3	(1,115.4)
Infrastructure	(336.6)	(23.0)	1.1	(.7)	(359.2)
Total accumulated depreciation	<u>(1,937.7)</u>	<u>(186.1)</u>	<u>52.9</u>	<u>3.6</u>	<u>(2,067.3)</u>
Total capital assets, being depreciated, net	<u>2,590.2</u>	<u>(26.0)</u>	<u>(43.0)</u>	<u>5.0</u>	<u>2,526.5</u>
Governmental activity capital assets, net	<u>\$ 18,669.0</u>	<u>\$ 587.4</u>	<u>\$ (480.5)</u>	<u>\$ (81.1)</u>	<u>\$ 18,694.8</u>
Business-type Activities	Beginning Balance	Additions	Deletions	Adjustments and Reclas- sifications	Ending Balance
Capital assets, being depreciated:					
Buildings	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Equipment	4.8	-	-	-	4.8
Total capital assets, being depreciated	<u>4.8</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>4.8</u>
Less accumulated depreciation for:					
Buildings	-	-	-	-	-
Equipment	(4.1)	(.2)	-	-	(4.3)
Total accumulated depreciation	<u>(4.1)</u>	<u>(.2)</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>(4.3)</u>
Total capital assets, being depreciated, net	<u>.7</u>	<u>(.1)</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>.6</u>
Business-type activity capital assets, net	<u>\$.7</u>	<u>\$ (.1)</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$.6</u>

Beginning balances for Business-type activities were restated due to the addition of a new fund in the fiscal year ended September 30, 2004: The Attorney Discipline System. See Note 4 for additional discussion on this restatement.

Michigan
Notes to the Financial Statements

Depreciation expense was charged to functions of the primary government as follows:

	Amount
Governmental Activities:	
General Government	\$ 24.5
Education	.3
Family Independence Services	8.9
Public Safety and Corrections	48.5
Conservation, Environment, Recreation, and Agriculture	9.3
Labor, Commerce, and Regulatory	2.4
Health Services	6.5
Transportation	33.0
Depreciation on capital assets held by the State's internal service funds is charged to the various functions based on their use of the assets.	49.0
Total Depreciation Expense – Governmental Activities	\$ 182.4
Business-type Activities:	
Enterprise	.2
Total Depreciation Expense – Business-type Activities	\$.2

B. Discretely Presented Component Units

The following table summarizes net capital assets reported by the discretely presented component units (in millions):

	Amount
State Universities:	
Land and other non-depreciable assets	\$ 94.9
Buildings, equipment, and other depreciable assets	3,947.6
Infrastructure	62.2
Construction in progress	174.6
Total	4,279.2
Less accumulated depreciation	(1,484.3)
Capital Assets, net – State Universities	2,794.8
Capital Assets, net – Authorities	144.4
Capital Assets, Total – Discretely Presented Component Units	\$ 2,939.2

NOTE 10 – PENSION BENEFITS AND OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS

A. Defined Benefit Pension Plans

The State of Michigan administers the following defined benefit pension plans:

- Legislative Retirement System (LRS) - single employer
- State Police Retirement System (SPRS) - single employer
- State Employees' Retirement System (SERS) - single employer
- Public School Employees' Retirement System (PSERS) - cost sharing multi-employer
- Judges' Retirement System (JRS) - cost sharing multi-employer
- Military Retirement Plan (MRP) - single employer

Each plan provides retirement, disability, death benefits, and annual cost-of-living adjustments to plan members. Each plan, except MRP, is accounted for in a separate pension trust fund and also issues a publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for that plan. Those reports, except LRS, may be obtained by writing to the Department of Management and Budget, Office of Retirement Services, 7150 Harris Drive, P.O. Box 30171, Lansing, MI 48909 or by calling the Customer Information Center at (517) 322-5103 or 1-800-381-5111. The LRS report may be obtained by writing to the Michigan Legislative Retirement System, House Office Building, Suite S0927, P.O. Box 30014, Lansing, MI 48909 or by calling (517) 373-0575.

As mandated by legislation, all new State of Michigan employees hired on or after March 31, 1997, are members of the defined contribution retirement plan as opposed to the LRS, SERS and JRS defined benefit plans. Employees hired before that date were given the option of remaining in the defined benefit plan or transferring to the defined contribution plan. The decision is irrevocable and transfers were completed by September 30, 1998. This was a one-time opportunity. With the passage of the legislation permitting the transfer, the LRS, SERS and JRS defined benefit plans became closed systems.

Plan Membership Data	LRS	SPRS	SERS	PSERS	JRS	MRP
Current active:						
Vested	23	901	30,467	117,667	285	1,391
Nonvested	1	977	5,042	203,596	40	10,057
Retirees & beneficiaries receiving benefits	268	2,697	45,619	145,588	549	2,513
Terminated members with vested deferred benefits	71	16	7,202	14,429	11	1,192

SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND PLAN ASSETS MATTERS

Basis of Accounting

The financial statements are prepared using the accrual basis of accounting. Employee and employer contributions are recognized as revenues in the period in which employee services are performed. Benefits and refunds are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the terms of each plan.

Methods Used to Value Investments

Investments are reported at fair value. Short-term investments are reported at cost, which approximates fair value. Securities traded on a national exchange are valued at the last reported sales price. The fair value of real estate investments is based on independent appraisals. Investments that do not have an established market are reported at estimated fair value.

Significant Investments

No investment of any of the pension plans comprises 5% or more of the net assets available for benefits. There are no significant investments made in securities issued by the State, nor are there any loans made from the pension plans to the State. Additional disclosures concerning investments are provided in Note 8 and, concerning State Treasurer's Common Cash, in Note 5.

FUNDING POLICY

The Legislature establishes the extent to which the employer and employees are required to make contributions and establishes the benefit provisions for each plan. Actual total contributions for pensions met or exceeded the contributions required by state law.

The Public School Employees' Retirement System (PSERS) is a cost-sharing, multiple-employer, State-wide defined benefit retirement system. Statute requires a reconciliation of required contributions and actual contributions in the PSERS. Public Act 158 of 1992 provides that any overage or shortage must be paid in installments over five years.

The contributions for judges in the Judges' Retirement System are non-employer contributions to cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit pension systems.

The contributions to all other systems are employer contributions to single-employer defined benefit systems. However, the State does not make actuarially computed contributions to the Military Retirement Plan (MRP). MRP benefits, which are funded on the pay-as-you-go basis, are paid from the General Fund.

Effective in fiscal year 2000-2001, SPRS, SERS, and PSERS use the valuation for the previous fiscal year for their respective reports. This approach is consistent with Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 25. Consistent with this approach the most recent actuarial valuation was performed as of September 30, 2003.

Michigan
Notes to the Financial Statements

ANNUAL PENSION COST AND OTHER RELATED INFORMATION

*Current year contribution rates, annual pension cost, and related information for the current year for the State's single

employer defined benefit plans are as follows (amounts in millions):

	LRS	SPRS	SERS	MRP
Required contribution rates:				
State	-	23.85%	14.12%	- ^{**}
Plan Members	^{***}	-	-	-
Annual Pension Cost and Net Pension Obligation:				
Annual required contribution	\$ -	\$ 27.00	\$ 262.55	\$ 3.54
Interest on net pension asset	(.14)	1.13	10.59	.64
Adjustment to annual required contribution	.25	(.75)	(11.07)	(.67)
Annual pension cost	.11	27.38	262.07	3.51
Contributions made	-	24.79	103.87	2.70
Change in net pension asset/obligation	.11	2.59	158.20	.81
Net pension (asset) obligation at beginning of fiscal year	(1.97)	14.13	132.40	8.01
Net pension (asset) obligation at end of fiscal year	<u>\$ (1.86)</u>	<u>\$ 16.71</u>	<u>\$ 290.60</u>	<u>\$ 8.82</u>

Significant Actuarial

Assumptions used include:

Latest actuarial valuation date	9/30/04	9/30/03	9/30/03	9/30/03
Actuarial cost method	Entry Age	Entry Age	Entry Age	Entry Age
Amortization method	Level percent of payroll open	Level percent of payroll closed	Level percent of payroll closed	Level dollar closed
Remaining amortization period	12 years	33 years	33 years	33 years
Asset valuation method	5-year smoothed market	5-year smoothed market	5-year smoothed market	None, Unfunded plan
* Actuarial assumption:				
Investment rate of return	7%	8%	8%	8%
Projected salary increases	4%	4.7 - 84%	4 - 16%	4%
Includes inflation at	4%	3.5%	3.5%	4%
Cost-of-living adjustments	4% annual compounded (not compounded for legislators who first became members after 1/1/95)	2% annual non-compounded with maximum annual increase \$500	3% annual non-compounded with maximum annual increase \$300	4% for special duty retirees

*For MRP, information provided is based on most recent biennial actuarial valuation.

^{***}For participants prior to January 1, 1995, the required contribution rate is 9.0%. For participants after January 1, 1995, the required contribution rate is 7.0%. All contributions are made to the Health Insurance Fund, as described in Section C.

^{**}For MRP, there is no underlying payroll of participants. Except for five special duty members, retirees receive \$600 in annual pension benefits. Accordingly, the annual required contribution from the State is determined as a dollar amount, not as a percentage of payroll.

Michigan
Notes to the Financial Statements

Contribution rates for the current year for the State's cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit plans are as follows:

	<u>PSERS</u>	<u>JRS</u>
Required contribution rates:		
State	N/A	**
Plan Members	3.9*	5.94
Number of participating employers	719	173

N/A - Not available

*For those members who elect to participate in the "Member Investment Plan," the rate is 3.9%. Members hired after December 31, 1989, are required to participate in the "Member Investment Plan," and their contribution rate varies from 3.0 to 4.3% as salary increases.

**The State is required to contribute annually the greater of 3.5% of the aggregate annual compensation of State paid based salaries or required amount. However, the plan in the current year is fully funded; therefore, no contribution is required.

THREE YEAR HISTORICAL TREND INFORMATION

The following table provides a schedule of funding progress for the State's defined benefit plans (amounts in millions):

	Actuarial Valuation Date	(a) Actuarial Value of Assets	(b) Actuarial Liability (AAL)	(b-a) Unfunded (Overfunded) AAL	(a/b) Funded Ratio	(c) Covered Payroll	((b-a)/c) UAAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll
LRS	9/30/04	\$ 161.9	\$ 151.9	\$ (10.0)	106.6%	\$ 2.0	(494.4)%
	9/30/03	165.0	147.4	(17.5)	111.9	2.0	(875.0)
	9/30/02	167.2	143.9	(23.3)	116.2	4.3	(541.9)
SPRS**	9/30/03	1,139.1	1,186.4	47.3	96.0	113.2	41.8
	9/30/02	1,141.3	1,135.7	(5.7)	100.5	124.4	(4.6)
	9/30/01	1,148.6	1,073.6	(75.0)	107.0	118.8	(63.1)
SERS**	9/30/03	10,440.6	11,761.1	1,320.5	88.8	1,859.6	71.0
	9/30/02	10,616.3	10,752.7	136.4	98.7	2,133.5	6.4
	9/30/01	10,632.7	9,878.2	(754.5)	107.6	2,230.6	(33.8)
PSERS**	9/30/03	38,726.0	44,769.0	6,043.0	86.5	10,044.0	60.2
	9/30/02	38,382.0	41,957.0	3,575.0	91.5	9,707.0	36.8
	9/30/01	38,399.0	39,774.0	1,375.0	96.5	9,264.0	14.8
JRS	9/30/04	286.9	236.4	(50.4)	121.3	37.5	(134.6)
	9/30/03	292.3	235.2	(57.0)	124.2	38.9	(146.6)
	9/30/02	291.7	229.2	(62.5)	127.3	42.4	(147.3)
MRP*	9/30/03	-	40.4	40.4	-	.6	7,313.0
	9/30/01	-	38.0	38.0	-	.5	8,049.6
	9/30/99	-	33.5	33.5	-	.5	6,771.4

*Actuarial valuation performed biennially.

**The most recent actuarial valuation was performed as of September 30, 2003.

Michigan
Notes to the Financial Statements

The following table provides a schedule of annual pension cost and net pension obligation for the State's single employer defined benefit plans (amounts in millions):

	Year	Annual Cost (APC)	Percentage Contributed	Net Pension Obligation (Asset)
LRS				
	2001-02	.1	-	(2.1)
	2002-03	.1	-	(2.0)
	2003-04	.1	-	(1.9)
SPRS				
	2001-02	22.4	100.2	13.0
	2002-03	27.1	95.9	14.1
	2003-04	27.4	90.5	16.7
SERS				
	2001-02	111.8	-	10.1
	2002-03	184.2	33.6	132.4
	2003-04	262.1	39.6	290.6
MRP				
	2001-02	3.3	73.1	7.4
	2002-03	3.3	80.1	8.0
	2003-04	3.5	76.9	8.8

The following table provides a schedule of annual required contributions for the State's cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit plans (amounts in millions):

	Fiscal Year	Annual Required Contribution (ARC)	Percentage of ARC Contributed
PSERS			
	2001-02	669.0	90.3
	2002-03	812.9	85.9
	2003-04	978.0	71.3
JRS			
	2001-02	-	-
	2002-03	-	-
	2003-04	-	-

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

GASB Statement No. 25 requires the disclosure of certain six-year historical trend information. This information, except for MRP, is available from the separately issued financial reports of the retirement systems. For MRP, this information is presented below.

Trend information is intended to help users assess the funding status on a going-concern basis, assess progress made in accumulating assets to pay benefits when due, and make comparisons with other public employee retirement systems.

Schedule of funding progress for MRP

Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuarial Value of Assets (a)	Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) (b)	Unfunded AAL (b)-(a)	Funded Ratio (a/b)	Covered Payroll (c)	UAAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll ((b-a)/c)
9/30/99	-	33.5	33.5	-	.5	6,771.4
9/30/01	-	38.0	38.0	-	.5	8,049.6
9/30/03	-	40.4	40.4	-	.6	7,313.0

Actuarial valuation performed biennially; plans with biennial valuations need not present duplicate information for the intervening years.

Schedule of Employer Contributions for MRP:

Year Ended September 30	Annual Required Contribution	Percentage Contributed
2004	3.5	76.3
2003	3.3	79.4
2002	3.0	80.6
2001	3.0	76.1
2000	3.0	74.6
1999	2.5	84.7

Actuarial information for MRP is provided in the annual pension cost and other related information section.

B. Defined Contribution Pension Plans

State Employees' Defined Contribution Retirement Plan

The State Employees' Defined Contribution Retirement Plan (Plan) was established to provide benefits at retirement to employees of the State who were hired after March 31, 1997, and to those members of the State Employees' Retirement (defined benefit) System, Judges' Retirement System, and Legislative Retirement System who elected to transfer to this plan. The Plan is administered by the Department of Management and Budget. The State is required to contribute 4% of annual covered payroll. The State is also required to match employee contributions up to 3% of annual covered payroll. Plan provisions and contribution requirements are established and may be amended by the Legislature. Employer contributions to the plan for the year totaled \$56.0 million. Participant contributions to the plan were \$20.1 million. The reports may be obtained by writing to the Department of Management and Budget, Office of Retirement Services, 7150 Harris Drive, P.O. Box 30171, Lansing, MI 48909 or by calling (517) 322-5103.

The following investments represent 5% or more of net plan assets at September 30, 2004: SSGA Stable Value GIC Fund, \$49.2 million; Yield Enhanced Short-Term Fund, \$95.1 million; SSGA S&P 500 Index Fund, \$39.0 million; Dodge & Cox Stock Fund, \$44.9 million; Fidelity Magellan Fund, \$30.4 million; Smith Barney Large Cap Growth Fund, \$23.8 million.

Effective September 30, 2000, the assets and coverage of members of the Michigan Judges' Retirement System were transferred to the State Employees' Defined Contribution Retirement Plan.

Component Units

In addition to the Public School Employees' Retirement System (PSERS), the State university component units participate in the Teachers' Insurance and Annuity Association and College Retirement Equities Fund (TIAA-CREF). The TIAA-CREF is a defined contribution multiple-employer pension plan. The State university component units are required to contribute between 4% and 15% of annual covered payroll, as determined by each institution's employment agreements. The total contribution to the TIAA-CREF for all State university component units was \$69.8 million for the year ending June 30, 2004.

Additional plan information may be found in the separately issued financial reports of the State university component units.

Effective January 1, 2004, the State Bar of Michigan assumed responsibility for the retirement plans of State Bar employees who participated in the State of Michigan's Defined Contribution Retirement Plan (Plan). All monies held in the Plan on behalf of participating State Bar employees were subsequently transferred to the newly established State Bar 401(a) retirement plan and 457(b) retirement plans. The State Bar of Michigan is required to make minimum contributions and may establish other benefit provisions for their retirement plans. The State Bar of Michigan's contribution to the new plans was \$.8 million for the year ended September 30, 2004.

Additional information for the retirement plan can be obtained by contacting the State Bar at (517) 372-9030.

C. Other Postemployment Benefits

In addition to the pension benefits previously described in this Note, State statutes require that the State provide certain postemployment benefits (OPEB) to many of its retired employees. Health, dental, and vision benefits as well as life insurance coverage are provided to retirees of all pension-plans except MRP. These benefits are funded on a pay-as-you-go basis, except for LRS life insurance coverage, as explained below.

The LRS life insurance benefits are paid on an advance-funded basis. The actuarial cost method and actuarial assumptions are the same as for the pension plan, as discussed in Section A. At September 30, 2004, the actuarial accrued liability for life insurance premiums was \$7.7 million with net assets available for benefits of \$14.3 million. The expense for life insurance premiums was \$.1 million in fiscal year 2003-2004.

The net assets available for benefits relate to residual balances from funding provided in prior fiscal years. Public Act 93 of 2002 created a health advance funding subaccount within the State Employees' Retirement System as a means to begin prefunding, on an actuarial basis, health benefits for participants in the System. During fiscal year 2003-2004, there were no pension contributions transferred to the subaccount.

Michigan
Notes to the Financial Statements

Following is a brief summary of the other postemployment benefits as of September 30, 2004:

	<u>LRS</u>	<u>SPRS</u>	<u>SERS</u>	<u>JRS</u>	<u>PSERS</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
Participants eligible for benefits	343	2,697	45,619	549	145,588	194,796
Contribution rates for current employees (% of payroll)	None	None	None	1.5%	None	
Percentage of pre-Medicare premiums paid by participants	None	5%	5%	5%	10%*	
Expense for year (in millions)	\$4.0	\$29.2	\$354.6	\$6	\$615.4	\$1,003.8
Net assets available for benefits (in millions)	9.8	-	33.9	(.3)	484.0	527.4

*The schools that employ the plan's members pay the employer share of health costs. PSERS retirees pay the same share of health care costs required from Social Security retirees for part B Medicare coverage until Medicare coverage begins at age 65.

Dental, vision, and hearing benefits are also extended to all retirees and their beneficiaries, for which retirees pay 10% of the health premiums.

NOTE 11 – LEASES

Accounting Policy

The State leases various assets under noncancelable leasing arrangements. Leases that constitute rental agreements are classified as operating leases; the resulting expenditures are recognized as incurred over the lease term. Leases that are comparable to purchases are classified as capital leases.

In the government-wide and proprietary fund statements, assets and liabilities resulting from capital leases are recorded at lease inception. The principal portion of lease payments reduces the liability; the interest portion is expensed.

For capital leases in governmental funds, other financing sources and expenditures are recorded at lease inception. Lease payments are recorded as debt service expenditures. (As explained in Note 3, for budgetary purposes, lease payments are only reported as expenditures when paid.)

Most leases have cancellation clauses with 1 to 6 month notice requirements in the event that funding is not available. For reporting purposes, such cancellation clauses are not considered in the determination of whether a lease is cancelable, because the likelihood that they will be exercised is considered remote. Some lease agreements include renewal or purchase options. The effect of such options is reflected in the minimum lease payments only if it is considered reasonably assured that an option will be exercised. Some lease agreements include escalation clauses or other contingent rentals.

The State has entered into a few installment purchase agreements. Because the amounts involved are immaterial, and the accounting treatment is similar, such agreements are reported together with capital leases.

Leases that exist between the State and the State Building Authority (SBA, a blended component unit) are not recorded as leases in this report. In their separately issued financial statements, SBA records a lease receivable from the State. Although payables and receivables technically exist between these parties, when combined for government-wide reporting, they are eliminated. A long-term liability exists on the government-wide statements for the bonds issued by SBA to construct the assets associated with the leases. Future payments to SBA are, therefore, not included in the schedules of lease commitments below. Note 12 provides information on the amount of the Authority's bonds outstanding and a schedule of debt service requirements.

A. Primary Government – Governmental Activities

Rental expenditures incurred under operating leases totaled \$81.1 million during the fiscal year. Payments for capital lease principal, interest, and executory costs totaled \$27.6 million, \$30.2 million, and \$17.7 million, respectively.

A summary of the operating and noncancelable capital lease commitments to maturity follows (in millions).

Year Ended September 30	Capital Leases				
	Operating Leases	Principal	Interest	Executory Costs	Total
2005	\$ 43.9	\$ 32.6	\$ 28.6	\$ 17.1	\$ 78.3
2006	22.7	28.0	35.4	26.8	90.3
2007	15.7	24.5	33.8	25.7	84.1
2008	10.8	21.6	31.6	25.0	78.3
2009	7.4	19.5	29.5	23.3	72.3
2010-2014	15.5	76.1	121.9	97.6	295.6
2015-2019	7.4	74.3	87.9	82.5	244.7
2020-2024	2.8	66.3	54.8	68.9	190.0
Thereafter	-	80.1	26.1	80.6	186.8
Total	\$ 126.0	\$ 423.0	\$ 449.8	\$ 447.5	\$ 1,320.2

Michigan
Notes to the Financial Statements

The above capital leases relate to governmental activities which include the General Fund, special revenue funds, and the internal service funds. A liability of \$423.0 million has been recorded in the government-wide statements for the capital lease principal. The historical cost of assets acquired under capital leases and included in capital assets on the government-wide statements at September 30 follows (in millions):

Land	\$ 70.0
Buildings	439.2
Equipment	76.7
Total	<u>585.9</u>
Accumulated Depreciation	<u>(194.4)</u>
Net Land, Buildings, and Equipment	<u>\$ 391.4</u>

B. Primary Government – Business-Type Activities

Rental expense incurred under operating leases totaled \$5 million during the fiscal year. There were no capital lease obligations.

A summary of operating lease commitments to maturity follows (in millions):

Year Ended September 30	Operating Leases
2005	\$.4
2006	.4
2007	.4
2008	.2
2009	.1
	<u>\$ 1.4</u>

C. Discretely Presented Component Units

Operating lease commitments for universities and authorities totaled \$12.7 million. Total capital lease obligations were \$24.8 million, \$13.8 million, and \$0 for principal, interest, and executory costs, respectively.

NOTE 12 – BONDS AND NOTES PAYABLE – PRIMARY GOVERNMENT

A. General Information

General Obligation Bonds and Notes

Article 9, Section 15, of the State Constitution authorizes general obligation long-term borrowing, subject to approval by the Legislature and a majority of voters at a general election. In addition, debt may be incurred without voter approval for the purpose of providing loans to school districts. General obligation notes to provide temporary financing for such loans are recorded as liabilities in the School Bond Loan Fund, a special revenue fund. General Fund appropriations are made to finance debt principal and interest requirements for all general obligation issues. General obligation bonds are backed by the full faith and credit of the State.

The State Constitution provides that the Legislature may also authorize the issuance of general obligation short-term notes, the principal amount of which may not exceed 15% of undedicated revenues received in the preceding year. The State Constitution also provides that such notes must be repaid within the fiscal year of the borrowing. In fiscal year 2003-2004, the State issued general obligation notes to meet cash flow requirements of the General Fund.

Short-term debt activity for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2004, was as follows (in billions):

	Beginning Balance	Draws	Repayments	Ending Balance
General Obligation Notes	\$ -	\$ 1.3	\$ 1.3	\$ -

Revenue Dedicated Bonds and Notes

Long-term bonds have been issued periodically for specific purposes, with the stipulation that financing of debt requirements is to come strictly from designated revenue sources. The transportation related debt is payable solely out of funds restricted for transportation purposes by Article 9, Section 9, of the State Constitution. The State's general credit does not support such issues.

In previous years, the Department of Transportation issued grant anticipation notes. The notes have variable rates that may bear interest at a daily interest rate, a weekly rate, note interest term rate, long-term interest rate, or an ARS interest rate.

The notes are issued in accordance with the authorization provided in P.A. 51 of 1951, as amended. The proceeds of the sale of the notes, together with investment earnings on the proceeds and other available monies, will be used to pay a portion of the costs to complete the Build Michigan II highway program, to pay capitalized interest on the notes, and to pay note issuance costs.

The principal and interest on the notes are payable solely from and are secured by an irrevocable pledge of the State share of all federal grants received each year under the Federal-Aid Highway Program. Payment of the principal and interest on the notes from the State share shall be subject to an appropriation each year by the Legislature in an amount sufficient to make the payments. As of September 30, 2004, there were no principal payments made on the notes. The amount outstanding at September 30, 2004, \$600.0 million, is not disclosed in the table below.

The Michigan Underground Storage Tank Financial Assurance Finance Authority issued revenue bonds to provide financing for the activities of the Michigan Underground Storage Tank Financial Assurance Fund (MUSTFA). The bonds and notes are a limited obligation of the Authority payable solely from dedicated revenues and do not represent a general obligation of the finance authority or the State.

Revenue bonds have been issued by the State Building Authority (SBA) to acquire and/or construct various facilities for use by the State or institutions of higher education. Revenue bonds have also been issued to finance equipment capital lease refinancings and acquisitions. In addition, the SBA issues commercial paper notes to fund capital projects prior to bonding. Short-term debt activity for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2004, follows (in millions):

	Beginning Balance	Draws	Repayments	Ending Balance
Commercial Paper Notes	\$ 338.5	\$ 2,302.5	\$ 2,191.8	\$ 449.2

Note 13 provides disclosures regarding the bonds and notes payable of the discretely presented component units.

Michigan
Notes to the Financial Statements

Bonds Issued and Outstanding

General obligation and revenue dedicated bonds issued and outstanding (excluding defeased bonds) at September 30 (in millions) are as follows:

	Amounts Issued	Outstanding 9/30/2004	Fiscal Year Maturities		Average Interest Rate Percentage
			First Year	Last Year	
General Obligation Bonded Debt					
General Obligation Refunding Debt:					
Series 2001 (Refunding)	\$ 183.3	\$ 183.0	2002	2016	4.76
Series 2002 (Refunding)	300.7	300.4	2004	2017	4.40
Recreation and Environmental Protection:					
Series 1989 (1)	75.0	21.3	1991	2012	6.92
Series 1992 (1)	246.3	86.4	1994	2013	6.15
College Savings Bonds - Series 1992 Mini-bonds (1)	.5	1.0	2012	2012	6.50
Series 1992 A (1)(2)	13.9	7.3	1994	2012	6.17
Series 1993 (1)(2)	16.7	10.1	1995	2013	5.00
Series 1995 (1)	234.3	83.9	1997	2020	5.28
Series 1998 (1)	90.0	19.9	1999	2009	4.80
Series 1999 A (3)	81.8	22.4	2004	2010	5.57
Series 2000 (1)	60.0	16.9	2002	2011	5.22
Series 2001 (3)	56.8	54.9	2004	2020	4.82
Series 2003 (5)	10.0	10.0	2053	2053	0.00
Series 2003 A (1)(3)	200.0	200.0	2007	2021	5.00
Series 2004 A (3)(4)	39.4	39.4	2010	2025	variable
Series 2004 B (3)(4)	15.1	15.1	2006	2010	variable
School Loan Bonds:					
Series 1995	180.0	24.9	1997	2007	5.47
Series 1998	160.0	65.7	2001	2012	4.86
Series 2001 C (4)	45.9	45.9	2019	2021	variable
Series 2002 A (4)	50.6	31.0	2005	2019	variable
Series 2002 B (4)	47.3	46.5	2018	2021	variable
Series 2003 B (4)	3.3	3.3	2008	2010	variable
Series 2004 A (4)	203.2	203.2	2020	2024	variable
Series 2004 B (4)	35.1	35.1	2020	2024	variable
Total General Obligation Bonded Debt	2,349.0	1,527.5			
Revenue Dedicated Bonded Debt					
<u>MUSTFA Related:</u>					
Tax Dedicated Bonds:					
1996 - Revenue Bonds - Series I	216.6	104.8	1997	2010	5.67
<u>State Park Related:</u>					
2002 - Gross revenue Bonds	15.5	15.0	2004	2023	3.58
Total Revenue Dedicated Bonded Debt - MUSTFA and State Park Related	232.1	119.8			
<u>Transportation Related:</u>					
Tax Dedicated Bonds:					
Michigan Comprehensive Transportation:					
Series 1996 (Series A Refunding)	22.7	20.2	1998	2014	5.42
Series 1998 (Series A Refunding)	38.6	38.6	2005	2011	4.66
Series 2001 (Series A Refunding)	27.8	27.8	2008	2022	5.01
Series 2002 (Series A Refunding)	89.6	67.9	2003	2011	5.06
Series 2002 (Series B)	82.3	79.6	2004	2022	5.13
Series 2003	35.0	33.5	2004	2023	3.61
State Trunkline Fund Bonds:					
Series 1989 (Series A)	135.8	24.6	1994	2009	6.97
Series 1992 (Series A Refunding)	253.6	63.7	2000	2013	5.64
Series 1992 (Series B Refunding)	99.6	9.8	2000	2013	5.68
Series 1994 (Series A Refunding)	150.0	3.3	1996	2006	5.50
Series 1996 (Series A)	54.5	3.4	1998	2008	5.71
Series 1998 (Series A)	377.9	377.9	2006	2027	5.09
Series 2001 (Series A)	308.2	197.8	2003	2031	5.27
Series 2002 (Refunding)	97.9	86.0	2004	2022	4.71
Series 2004 (Refunding)	103.5	103.5	2006	2022	4.13
Series 2004	185.7	185.7	2008	2019	4.36
Total Revenue Dedicated Bonded Debt - Transportation Related	2,062.7	1,323.3			

Michigan
Notes to the Financial Statements

	Amounts Issued	Outstanding 9/30/2004	Fiscal Year Maturities		Average Interest Rate Percentage
			First Year	Last Year	
<u>State Building Authority:</u>					
1998 Series I Bonds (Refunding)	330.4	284.1	1999	2021	4.75
1999 Series I Bonds	85.7	66.5	1999	2016	4.54
2000 Series I Bonds	147.9	134.3	2000	2022	5.24
2001 Series I Bonds	121.7	108.6	2002	2019	5.28
2001 Series I Bonds (Refunding)	419.7	398.5	2003	2026	5.31
2001 Series II Bonds	170.1	157.3	2003	2020	5.28
2002 Series III Refunding	215.2	210.7	2004	2027	4.60
2003 Series I Refunding	659.4	636.7	2004	2018	3.64
2003 Series II Refunding	392.6	392.6	2005	2030	4.42
2004 Series I	155.4	155.4	2005	2020	4.08
Total State Building Authority Bonded Debt	<u>2,698.0</u>	<u>2,544.6</u>			
Total Revenue Dedicated Bonded Debt	<u>4,992.7</u>	<u>3,987.7</u>			
Total General Obligation and Revenue Dedicated Bonded Debt	<u>\$ 7,341.8</u>	<u>\$ 5,515.2</u>			

(1) Public Acts 326 and 327 of 1988 and P.A. 451 of 1994 authorized the issuance of bonds totaling \$800.0 million. As of September 30, 2004, \$774.6 million of such bonds had been issued, leaving remaining authorization of \$25.4 million. Sum of amounts issued in table differs by amount of bonds refunded or redeemed.

(2) The \$13.9 million Series 1992A and the \$16.7 million Series 1993, Recreation and Environmental Protection General Obligation Bonds, were used to provide a contribution of capital to the Michigan Municipal Bond Authority (a discretely presented component unit). An outside trustee for the Authority is holding the bonds as an investment of the Authority; no immediate cash proceeds were provided. The trustee receives the debt service payments on the bonds, which are negotiable instruments held to subsidize water pollution control financing provided by the Authority.

(3) Public Act 284 of 1998 authorized the issuance of bonds totaling \$675.0 million. As of September 30, 2004, \$331.2 million of such bonds had been issued, leaving remaining authorization of \$343.8 million. Sum of amounts issued in table differs by amount of bonds refunded or redeemed.

(4) The Multi-Modal Series, Environmental and School Loan Bond Series, currently bear interest at a commercial paper rate and are remarketed at each maturity. For the future debt service requirements, interest was estimated at the interest rate in effect at September 30, 2004.

(5) In November 2002, voters approved a ballot proposal in which the State would issue \$1.0 billion in general obligations bonds to provide capital, which is then loaned to local units of government for water quality improvement projects. Rather than issuing the bonds at public or private sale and receiving cash, the State "issued" \$100.0 million in bonds to a discretely presented component unit, the Michigan Municipal Bond Authority (MMBA). Although no cash traded hands, MMBA (the registered owner of the bonds) is holding the bond document as collateral and issuing their own revenue bonds to generate the capital. This transaction allows the State's General Fund to defer principal and interest costs until future years when the bond is repurchased/redeemed. MMBA will fund the principal and interest costs of the revenue bonds until such time that they request the State to honor the general obligation bond document.

On December 18, 2003, the State issued \$100.0 million in bonds (\$10.0 million relating to Strategic Water Quality and \$90.0 million relating to the previously existing State Water Quality Revolving Fund). The \$10.0 million bond relating to Strategic Water Quality includes a repurchase provision that requires the State to repurchase all or any portion of this bond upon 10 days prior written notice from the registered owner, MMBA. The State anticipates at this time that if the bond repurchase was acted on, the State would issue long-term debt to finance the repurchase. This bond is being used as collateral by MMBA for the Strategic Water Quality bonds being issued by MMBA to local governments. For these reasons, the State has recognized the \$10.0 million bond related to Strategic Water Quality as a liability in the entity-wide statements. The \$90.0 million "bond" document issued for the State Water Quality Revolving Fund does not contain the 10 day repurchase provision that the \$10.0 million bond does. Nor is the \$90.0 million "bond" document being used as collateral by MMBA. For these reasons, the State has not recognized a liability for the \$90.0 million "bond" document related to the existing State Water Quality Revolving Fund.

Michigan
Notes to the Financial Statements

Capital Appreciation Bonds

Capital appreciation and convertible capital appreciation bonds are recorded in the preceding table and Section B at their

accreted year-end book value. The table that follows summarizes capital appreciation bonds (in millions):

	Accreted Book Value	Ultimate Maturity Value	Fiscal Year Maturities	
			First Year	Last Year
General Obligation Bonds:				
Series 1989	\$28.5	34.3	1999	2012
Series 1992	16.7	22.1	1994	2012
College Savings Bonds - Series 1992 Mini-bonds	1.0	1.8	2012	2012
Series 1995	15.9	19.7	2001	2010
Revenue Dedicated – Transportation Related:				
State Trunkline – Series 1989 A	24.6	29.8	2004	2009
State Trunkline – Series 1992 A and B	73.5	97.7	2006	2013

Refundings and Defeasances

The State has defeased certain bonds through advance refundings by placing the proceeds of new bonds (i.e., the “refunding” bonds in the table of bonds issued and outstanding) in irrevocable trust to provide for all future debt service on the old bonds. Accordingly, the trust account assets and the liability for the defeased bonds are not recorded as assets or liabilities in

these statements and are not included in the other debt tables in this note.

The State has defeased certain bonds through current refundings in which the proceeds of the refunding debt are applied immediately to redeem the debt to be refunded.

The following table summarizes the defeased bonds outstanding at September 30 (in millions):

	Amounts Outstanding
Recreation and Environmental Protection:	
Series 1989	\$ 2.5
Series 1995	103.1
Series 1998	50.4
Series 1999 A	56.1
Series 2000 (partial)	37.4
Total	<u>\$ 249.4</u>
School Loan Bonds:	
Series 1995	\$ 103.3
Series 1998	69.1
Total	<u>\$ 172.4</u>
State Trunkline Fund Bonds:	
Series 1994 A (partial)	\$ 123.8
Series 1996 A (partial)	45.2
Series 2001 A (partial)	99.3
Total	<u>\$ 268.3</u>
State Building Authority:	
1989 Series I	\$ 10.8
1993 Series I	37.0
1994 Series I	18.2
1994 Series II	13.8
1996 Series I	51.8
1997 Series I	81.0
1997 Series II	264.0
1998 Series I	81.0
1997 Series A	10.9
2000 (MSP Phase II)	35.1
2001 (MSP Phase III)	49.2
2002 (MSP Phase IV)	32.7
Total	<u>\$ 685.6</u>

General Obligation

During the year, the State of Michigan issued, as a current refunding, \$238.3 million of School Loan Multi-Modal Bonds Series 2004 A & B maturing in years 2020 through 2024 with a variable interest rate. The maximum interest rate applicable to the Series 2004A bonds is 10% annually and the maximum interest rate applicable to the

Series 2004B bonds is 12% annually. From the proceeds, \$141.8 million was used to refund principal and interest on General Obligation bonds and School Loan Bonds, \$96.5 million was deposited into the School Bond Loan Fund to make loans to local school districts and to pay for costs of issuance of the bonds.

Michigan
Notes to the Financial Statements

Also during the year, the State of Michigan issued, as a current refunding, \$51.0 million of School Loan Multi-Modal Bonds Series 2003 D & E maturing in years 2017 through 2021 with a variable interest rate. The maximum interest rate applicable to the Series 2003 D & E bonds is 12% annually. From the proceeds, \$4.7 million was used to refund principal and interest on General Obligation bonds and School Loan Bonds, \$24.0 million was deposited into the School Bond Loan Fund to make loans to local school districts, \$22.4 million was used to make regularly scheduled principal and interest payments and to pay for costs of issuance of the bonds. These bonds were issued and completely refunded in fiscal year 2004 with the proceeds of School Loan Multi-Modal Bonds Series 2004 A & B.

The variable rate refunded and new debt bear interest rates based upon various periodic market rates and are remarketed at each maturity.

Revenue Dedicated

In May of 2004, the State issued \$103.5 million of revenue dedicated State Trunk Line Fund Refunding Bonds Series 2004. The proceeds were used to refund various portions of previously issued bonds that carried higher interest rates.

The State advance refunded these bonds to reduce its total debt service payments over the next 18 years by \$5.4 million and to achieve an economic gain of \$3.9 million.

During the year, the State Building Authority issued \$303.5 million of fixed-rate revenue refunding bonds (as part of a total issuance of \$392.6 million, which provided \$89.1 million of financing for new projects), along with \$13.2 million of Authority cash and \$15.8 million of issuance premium, for a current refunding of \$332.5 of variable-rate revenue bonds. The refunding was undertaken to reduce future debt service payments and to lock-in favorable interest rates. The refunded variable-rate bonds had no stated annual debt service requirements, had a nominal final maturity date of October 15, 2037, and bore interest at a commercial paper rate. The refunded bonds, which serially mature through October 15, 2029, carry an average fixed interest rate of 4.415%.

Also during the year, the State Building Authority (SBA) issued \$112.8 million of revenue refunding bonds (as part of a total issuance of \$155.4 million). The proceeds were used to provide resources to purchase U.S. Government securities that were placed in an irrevocable trust along with \$16.8 million of Authority cash for the purpose of generating resources for all future debt service payments of \$127.9 million of bonds.

The advance refunding was undertaken to reduce total debt service payments by \$2.8 million and resulted in an economic gain of \$1.3 million.

Michigan
Notes to the Financial Statements

Debt Service Requirements

The following table summarizes debt service requirements for outstanding bonds (in millions):

Fiscal Years Ending	General Obligation			MUSTFA, State Park, and Transportation Related		State Building Authority		Total Principal And Interest
	Principal	Fixed Interest	*Estimated Interest	Principal	Fixed Interest	Principal	Interest	
2005	\$ 56.0	\$ 53.3	\$ 5.1	\$ 65.0	\$ 67.7	\$ 78.8	\$ 126.1	\$ 452.0
2006	61.9	50.7	5.1	68.4	65.1	108.4	122.5	482.1
2007	78.1	48.0	5.1	71.0	62.4	113.2	117.9	495.6
2008	82.5	44.8	5.0	85.9	59.5	118.5	112.2	508.4
2009	86.1	41.4	5.0	89.3	56.1	124.1	106.3	508.2
2010-2014	480.5	144.5	23.7	339.7	232.7	722.0	426.9	2,370.0
2015-2019	336.0	36.7	22.1	359.5	151.2	718.3	224.4	1,848.1
2020-2024	348.8	3.0	10.1	253.6	63.1	364.7	91.1	1,134.4
2025-2029	3.3	-	-	101.4	22.2	175.4	23.6	326.0
2030-2034	-	-	-	38.7	2.1	21.3	.5	62.6
2035-2039	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Thereafter	10.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	10.0
Total	\$1,543.2	\$ 422.4	\$ 81.2	\$1,472.5	\$ 782.1	\$2,544.6	\$1,351.5	\$ 8,197.5

*Interest for Multi-Modal Bonds future debt service requirements was estimated at the rate in effect at September 30, 2004.

Interest to maturity for the State Building Authority will be significantly smaller than the amount shown in the above table because many of the bonds will be called prior to the final scheduled maturity date. The retirement of these bonds varies from project to project, as each bond issue is related to specific projects and any excess borrowing and accrued investment earnings are restricted to projects and debt service on the related bonds. State Building Authority debt service fund unreserved

fund balances totaled \$193.5 million at year-end. Most of this represents investments related to completed projects that will be used for debt service on the projects' bonds.

Some of the bonds of the State Building Authority carry variable interest rates and interest on these has been projected using an average interest rate.

B. Changes in Bonds and Notes Payable

Changes in bonds and notes payable for the year ended September 30, 2004, are summarized as follows (in millions):

Governmental Activities	Beginning Balance Restated*	Additions	Reductions	Ending Balance	Amounts Due Within One Year	Amounts Due Thereafter
Bonds Payable:						
General obligation debt	\$ 1,413.4	\$ 357.2	\$ 243.1	\$ 1,527.5	\$ 56.0	\$ 1,471.5
Revenue bonds	1,312.8	295.2	164.9	1,443.1	65.0	1,378.1
State Building Authority	2,569.7	547.9	573.1	2,544.6	78.8	2,465.8
Notes Payable:						
Transportation related	600.0	-	-	600.0	-	600.0
Deferred Loss on Refundings:						
General obligation debt	(30.0)	-	2.3	(27.7)	-	(27.7)
Revenue dedicated debt	(5.5)	(8.3)	.6	(13.2)	-	(13.2)
State Building Authority	(56.3)	(6.0)	5.5	(56.9)	-	(56.9)
Unamortized Premiums:						
General obligation debt	53.0	-	4.1	48.9	-	48.9
Revenue dedicated debt	23.2	25.3	2.2	46.2	-	46.2
State Building Authority	107.3	30.7	7.9	130.1	-	130.1
Total bonds and notes payable	\$ 5,987.6	\$ 1,242.0	\$ 958.4	\$ 6,242.7	\$ 199.8	\$ 6,043.0
Plus commercial paper notes reported as "Current Liabilities: Bonds and notes payable" on the Statement of Net Assets				449.2	449.2	-
As reported on the Statement of Net Assets				\$ 6,691.9	\$ 648.9	\$ 6,043.0

*The beginning balance of long-term debt outstanding as presented above and deferred amounts on refunding were each reduced by \$37.0 million because of the reclassification of prior year debt refunding for the State Building Authority.

NOTE 13 – BONDS AND NOTES PAYABLE – DISCRETELY PRESENTED COMPONENT UNITS

A. Bonds and Notes Payable

Bonds Payable

Bonds payable of the discretely presented component units are legal obligations of the component units and are not general obligations of the State.

The State universities and the Michigan State Housing Development Authority utilize June 30 fiscal year-ends. The

remaining discretely presented component units have September 30 fiscal year-ends.

The following table summarizes debt service requirements of the discretely presented component units as reported in their separately issued financial statements, utilizing their respective fiscal year-end (in millions):

Fiscal Years Ending In	Principal	Fixed Interest	Estimated Interest	Total
2005	\$ 320.3	\$ 307.9	\$ 3.3	\$ 631.6
2006	222.2	297.9	3.2	523.4
2007	267.2	284.6	3.1	555.0
2008	287.6	273.5	3.0	564.1
2009	300.0	249.2	2.9	552.1
	<u>1,397.3</u>	<u>1,413.2</u>	<u>15.7</u>	<u>2,826.2</u>
2010-2014	1,469.5	1,041.9	12.3	2,523.7
2015-2019	1,153.5	716.7	8.6	1,878.8
2020-2024	1,100.8	408.9	6.6	1,516.3
2025-2029	612.8	230.0	4.3	847.0
2030-2034	609.5	125.3	.8	735.7
2035-2039	932.1	54.8	-	987.0
	<u>5,878.1</u>	<u>2,577.6</u>	<u>32.6</u>	<u>8,488.4</u>
Total	7,275.5	<u>\$ 3,990.8</u>	<u>\$ 48.3</u>	<u>\$ 11,314.6</u>
Deferred amount on refunding	(89.4)			
Unamortized discount	(.1)			
Unamortized premium	135.5			
Total principal	<u>\$ 7,321.5</u>			

Included in the table above is \$607.7 million of demand bonds comprised of the Michigan Higher Education Student Loan Authority, \$151.4 million, and the Michigan State Housing Development Authority, \$456.3 million. Defeased bonds outstanding of the Michigan Municipal Bond Authority, Michigan Higher Education Student Loan Authority, and Michigan State Housing Development Authority are not reflected in the table above.

Grand Valley State University entered into an interest rate exchange agreement for \$20.0 million of outstanding variable rate bonds. In accordance with the exchange agreements, the University pays a fixed rate of 3.3%.

Notes Payable

The Michigan Municipal Bond Authority has short-term notes outstanding of \$763.1 million as of September 30, 2004.

B. Unrecorded Limited Obligation Debt

Certain State financing authorities have issued limited obligation revenue bonds which are not recorded as liabilities in these statements because the borrowings are, in substance, debts of other entities. The State has no obligation for this debt. Typically, these borrowings are repayable only from the repayment of loans, unloaned proceeds and related interest earnings, and any collateral which may be provided.

The Michigan Higher Education Facilities Authority (MHEFA) issues limited obligation bonds to finance loans to private nonprofit institutions of higher education for capital improvements. As of September 30, 2004, MHEFA had bonds outstanding of \$408.1 million. Of the above amount, \$24.0

million of bonds have been defeased in substance, leaving a remaining undefeased balance of \$384.0 million.

The Michigan Strategic Fund (MSF) issues industrial development revenue bonds which are not recorded as liabilities. The total amount issued for the period January 1, 1979 through September 30, 2004, was \$6.8 billion. MSF also issues taxable bonds which are not recorded as liabilities. The amount issued in fiscal year 2003-2004 was \$1.0 million. These borrowings are in substance, debts of other entities and financial transactions are handled by outside trustees.

The Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority (MSHFA) has issued \$5.4 billion of no commitment bonds as of September 30, 2004. Of the above amount, \$4.1 billion have been defeased in substance, leaving a remaining undefeased balance of \$1.3 billion. Economic gains and accounting gains and losses, resulting from in-substance defeasance inure to the benefit of the facility for which the bonds were issued, and accordingly are not reflected in the Authority's financial statements.

The Michigan State Housing Development Authority (MSHDA) has been authorized to issue up to \$800.0 million of limited obligation bonds to finance multi-family housing projects. At June 30, 2004, limited obligation bonds had been issued totaling \$615.2 million, of which fourteen issues totaling \$131.0 million had been retired.

The Michigan Public Educational Facilities Authority (MPEFA) issues limited obligation bonds to finance loans to qualified public educational facilities for capital improvements. As of September 30, 2004, MPEFA had bonds outstanding of \$2.6 million.

C. Short-Term Debt Activity

Western Michigan University used its revolving line of credit to finance a new student information system. Activity on the line of

credit during Western Michigan University's fiscal year ended June 30, 2004, was as follows (in millions):

	Beginning Balance	Draws	Payments	Ending Balance
Line of Credit	\$ -	\$ 6.9	\$ -	\$ 6.9

NOTE 14 – OTHER LONG-TERM OBLIGATIONS

A. Primary Government

Other Long-Term Obligations

In general, expenditures and fund liabilities are not recorded in governmental funds for long-term obligations until claims, judgments, or amounts owed are "due and payable" at September 30. Expenses and liabilities for material claims and judgment losses are recorded in the government-wide and proprietary fund financial statements when the loss is considered probable.

Capital Leases

This liability is described in more detail in Note 11.

Compensated Absences

This liability is described in Note 1.

Workers' Compensation

The gross amount of workers' compensation liability, \$158.5 million at September 30, 2004, has been recorded at its discounted present value of \$106.5 million, using a discount rate of approximately 8%. The present value of the current portion of this liability is \$23.7 million. In fiscal year 2003-2004 State agencies paid reimbursement for actual workers' compensation claims and administrative fees totaling \$40.8 million.

Net Pension Obligation

This liability is described in Note 10.

Other Claims & Judgments

The governmental activity estimated liability for other claims and litigation losses, \$414.7 million at September 30, 2004, includes amounts for litigation, such as damages in tort cases and refund claims in cases involving State taxes, transportation claims,

natural resources and environmental quality claims, and other claims, in which it is considered probable that costs will be incurred. Also included is an estimated liability totaling \$.5 million for arbitrage payable to the federal government for interest earned on bond proceeds. Where a range of potential loss exists, the amount recorded is based upon the expected minimum amount that will be lost if the State does, indeed, lose. The allowance also includes projections for highway related negligence cases based upon historical loss ratios. The State continues to vigorously contest all of these claims and the State may incur no liability in the individual cases involved. Therefore, the allowance for litigation losses may be overstated (to the extent that losses do not occur) or understated (if the State losses exceed the projected minimums which have been recorded). The maximum potential loss on the allowance for estimated litigation losses is not considered reasonably measurable.

The liability recorded for other claims and judgments within business-type activities represents overpayments by employers to the Michigan Unemployment Compensation Fund totaling \$42.9 million.

Durant Settlement

The reported estimated liability for litigation losses includes the Donald Durant, et al v State of Michigan, et al consolidated cases, which totaled \$353.6 million at September 30, 2004. This amount will, over time, be paid to each "non-Durant" school district for its underfunded State mandated program costs if certain requirements are met. See Note 23 for additional disclosure regarding the Durant case and other contingencies.

Michigan
Notes to the Financial Statements

Changes in Other Long-Term Obligations

Changes in long-term liabilities for the year ended September 30, 2004, are summarized as follows (in millions):

	Beginning Balance	Additions	Reductions	Ending Balance	Amounts Due Within One Year	Amounts Due Thereafter
Governmental Activities						
Other Long-term Obligations:						
Capital lease obligations	\$ 478.6	\$ 25.4	\$ 80.9	\$ 423.0	\$ 32.6	\$ 390.4
Compensated absences	403.1	320.5	229.3	494.4	50.1	444.3
Workers' compensation	105.5	24.5	23.5	106.5	23.7	82.8
Net pension obligations	154.5	161.6	-	316.1	-	316.1
Other claims & judgments	430.0	19.9	35.2	414.7	93.5	321.3
Durant settlement	375.0	10.3	31.7	353.6	31.7	321.9
Total Governmental Activities	\$ 1,946.7	\$ 562.3	\$ 400.6	\$ 2,108.4	\$ 231.5	\$ 1,876.8
Business-type Activities						
Other Long-term Obligations:						
Lottery prize awards*	\$ 596.7	\$ 43.2	\$ 109.8	\$ 530.0	\$ 99.8	\$ 430.2
Compensated absences	2.2	1.5	.9	2.8	.4	2.4
Other claims & judgments	44.8	-	1.8	42.9	-	42.9
Total Business-type Activities	\$ 643.6	\$ 44.6	\$ 112.5	\$ 575.7	\$ 100.2	\$ 475.6

*The amounts due within one year are included with "Accounts payable and other liabilities" on the Statement of Net Assets.

The General Fund, special revenue, and internal service funds in which the leases are recorded will liquidate the capital lease obligations. The compensated absence and workers' compensation liabilities will be liquidated by the applicable governmental and internal service funds that account for the salaries and wages of the related employees. The net pension obligations will be liquidated by the State's governmental and internal service funds that contribute toward the pension funds, based on the statutorily required contribution rates. The School Aid Fund will liquidate the Durant settlement. Other claims and judgments attributable to governmental activities will generally be liquidated by the General Fund and transportation related special revenue funds.

B. Discretely Presented Component Units

Michigan Education Trust (MET)

MET offers contracts, which for actuarially determined amounts, provide future tuition at State institutions of higher education. Contract provisions also allow the benefits to be used at private or out-of-state institutions, with the amount provided being based upon rates charged by the State's public institutions of higher education. The tuition payments are made by MET as a separate legal entity and these contracts are not considered obligations of the State. The Legislature is not obligated to provide appropriations should losses occur. The statutes and contracts provide for refunds to the participants if MET becomes actuarially unsound. Liabilities have been recorded on the statement of net assets for the actuarial present value of future tuition benefit obligations.

The 1988, 1989, and 1990 enrollments are known as Plans B and C. Enrollments after November 1995 are known as Plan D.

The actuarial report on the status of MET Plans B and C, as of September 30, 2004, shows the actuarial present value of future tuition obligations to be \$600.2 million, as compared to the actuarially determined market value of assets available of \$640.9

million. The actuarial assumptions used include: a projected tuition increase rate of 7% for the years through 2010 and 7.3% for subsequent years; and a discount rate of 5%.

The actuarial report on the status of MET Plan D, as of September 30, 2004, shows the actuarial present value of future tuition obligations to be \$367.4 million, as compared to the actuarially determined market value of assets available of \$331.6 million. The actuarial assumptions used include: a projected tuition increase rate of 7% for the years through 2010 and 7.3% for subsequent years; and a discount rate of 7.25%.

During 2000 MET changed the balance sheet presentation of the tuition benefit obligation by increasing the liability to include the present value of future contract payments expected to be collected from installment contract purchasers. There was no effect on net income or retained earnings as a result of the reclassification.

On November 8, 1994, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit ruled that MET is an integral part of the State of Michigan and, thus, the investment income realized by MET is not currently subject to federal income tax. On August 20, 1996, the Small Business Job Protection Act of 1996 (the "1996 Tax Act") was signed into law which included a provision adding a new section to the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 defining "qualified state tuition programs." A qualified state tuition program is generally exempt from income tax, but is subject to unrelated business income tax. MET has no unrelated business income. Distributions made in excess of qualified higher education expenses (whether to the refund designee, beneficiary, or to a college on behalf of the beneficiary) are taxable income to the beneficiary or the refund designee. In May 1997, MET submitted a request for ruling to the IRS for verification that MET is in compliance with the 1996 Tax Act. On December 23, 1997, the IRS issued a favorable ruling which confirms that MET is in compliance with the Act.

NOTE 15 – INCOME TAX CREDITS AND REFUNDS

A. Income Tax Credits

The Michigan Income Tax Act provides for several types of tax credits. Some credits are accounted for as revenue reductions for financial reporting purposes while others are reported as expenditures. Revenue reductions are reported for those income tax credits that are limited by the amount of an individual's tax liability before considering such credits. To the extent these nonrefundable credits will generate future year payments, they are accrued as income tax refund liabilities together with estimated overwithholdings.

Expenditures are reported for those credits which can be received even if they exceed the individual's tax liability. For these refundable credits, the substance of the transaction is that the State is making a grant payment using the income tax system as a filing and payment mechanism. The amount of credit received is not a part of the determination of tax liability. The State's property tax is the primary credit that falls into this category. Expenditures for this credit are recognized in the year the tax returns are filed and recipients claim the credit.

The following table summarizes the various credits, reported as an expense/expenditure on the "Tax credits" line in the government-wide and fund financial statements (in millions).

Property tax credits:	
General homestead	\$ 368.8
Senior citizens	276.4
Farmland preservation	26.6
Other property tax credits	29.7
Subtotal - property tax credits	<u>701.5</u>
Adoption credit	1.2
Total tax expenditures	<u>\$ 702.7</u>

B. Income Tax Refunds Payable

The \$717.5 million reported as a liability on the "Income tax refunds payable" line in the government-wide and fund financial statements includes: projected refund estimates for overwithholding and tax credits reported as revenue reductions, actual refunds made in October and November, and accruals for known income tax litigation losses.

NOTE 16 – DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLANS

The State offers its employees (excluding university employees) two deferred compensation plans to allow a portion of their salary to be deferred until future years. Executive Order 1999-7 transferred administrative oversight of the plans, labeled 457 and 401k after sections of the Internal Revenue Code, to the Department of Management and Budget. Day-to-day operations of the plans have been contracted to a third-party; however, the State Treasurer oversees investment options. The 457 plan and the 401k plan are combined for reporting purposes under the heading of "State Employees' Deferred Compensation Funds."

The State makes no contribution to the 457 plan. Generally, the State does not make matching contributions to the 401k plan; however, the State has occasionally made matching contributions to the 401k plan as part of certain employees' compensation packages.

To expand investment options, three investment tiers were developed and made available to participants on July 1, 1997. Participants invest their contributions and accumulated earnings by selecting mutual funds in one or more of the investment tiers. Employees may, at any time, transfer accumulated balances and future contributions among mutual funds in the investment tiers. Investment earnings, net of administrative charges, are credited to the participants proportionally, based upon their balances in the plan.

The 401k plan includes loan provisions. Loans to participants are recorded as assets. The 457 plan does not include loan provisions.

Net assets available for plan benefits for the 457 plan and the 401k plan at September 30, 2004, were \$1.8 and \$1.4 billion, respectively.

NOTE 17 – INTERFUND RECEIVABLES AND PAYABLES

A. Primary Government

The balances of current interfund receivables and payables as of September 30 were (in millions):

Due From	Due To							Total
	General Fund	Budget Stabilization Fund	School Aid Fund	Non-major Governmental Funds	Unemployment Compensation Funds	Internal Service Funds	Fiduciary Funds	
General Fund	\$ -	\$ 81.3	\$ -	\$ 814.4	\$ 1.7	\$ 16.2	\$ 12.5	\$ 926.0
School Aid Fund	1,466.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,466.5
Non-major Governmental Funds	64.2	-	-	146.8	.1	2.1	1.5	214.7
State Lottery Fund	-	-	9.9	-	-	.1	-	10.0
Unemployment Compensation Funds	19.8	-	-	-	3.2	-	-	22.9
Non Major Enterprise Funds	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	.1
Internal Service Funds	-	-	-	-	-	12.8	.6	13.4
Fiduciary Funds	1.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.3
Total	\$1,551.8	\$ 81.3	\$ 9.9	\$ 961.1	\$ 5.0	\$ 31.2	\$ 14.8	\$2,655.0

Interfund receivables and payables are recorded for 1) borrowings to eliminate negative balances in the Common Cash pool, as described in Note 5, 2) payroll liabilities for group insurance and retirement, and 3) tax accrual distributions for taxes collected in the following fiscal year.

Not included in the table above are the following interfund advances, which are not expected to be repaid within one year: \$6.8 million due from the Correctional Industries Revolving Fund (an internal service fund) to the General Fund for amounts loaned for capital construction and \$21.7 million due from the Blue Water Bridge Fund to the State Trunkline Fund (both reported as part of the State Trunkline Fund, a special revenue fund) for federal funds loaned for bridge construction.

B. Discretely Presented Component Units

Receivables and related liabilities between the primary government and the discretely presented component units, do not agree because the Michigan State Housing Development Authority and the ten State universities have a June 30 fiscal year-end.

NOTE 18 – INTERFUND COMMITMENTS

Michigan State Waterways Fund

In fiscal year 2002-2003, P.A. 746 of 2002, required the transfer of \$7.8 million from the Michigan State Waterways Fund to the General Fund. The Act states that in the future the General Fund is to provide reimbursement. Interfund receivables and payables are not recorded for this commitment, because there is no repayment schedule and the repayment is considered long-term and budgetary in nature.

Mackinac Bridge Authority

The Mackinac Bridge Authority, a discretely presented component unit, has over the years received \$75.3 million of subsidies, including \$12.3 million for operations and \$63.0 million for debt service. These subsidies were provided by the State Trunkline and Michigan Transportation funds, respectively, both of which are special revenue funds.

State statutes require that the Authority continue charging bridge tolls and begin repaying the State funds for the subsidies provided. These repayments are to continue until such time as the subsidies have been completely returned. The Authority has not recorded a liability and the State funds have not recorded receivables for these subsidies because: the reimbursements are contingent upon future net revenues, there is no repayment schedule, and the repayment commitment is long-term and budgetary in nature. Repayments may be authorized by the Authority, after consideration of the Authority's annual needs for its operations and planned repairs and improvements.

As of September 30, 2004, the Authority has repaid a total of \$10.3 million of the advance from the Michigan Transportation Fund, leaving a balance of \$52.8 million. No repayments have been made on the advance from the State Trunkline Fund.

NOTE 19 – TRANSFERS

Interfund transfers for the year ended September 30, 2004, consisted of the following (in millions):

<u>Transferred From</u>	<u>Transferred To</u>				<u>Total</u>
	<u>General Fund</u>	<u>Budget Stabilization Fund</u>	<u>School Aid Fund</u>	<u>Non-major Governmental Funds</u>	
General Fund	\$ -	\$ 81.3	\$ 377.8	\$ 363.2	\$ 822.3
School Aid Fund	3.2	-	-	-	3.2
Non-major Governmental Funds	259.5	-	-	1,307.1	1,566.7
State Lottery Fund	13.2	-	644.9	-	658.1
Unemployment Compensation Funds	91.6	-	-	7.8	99.3
Non-major Enterprise Funds	144.2	-	-	-	144.2
Internal Service Funds	1.2	-	-	-	1.2
Fiduciary Funds	.1	-	-	-	.1
Total	<u>\$ 512.9</u>	<u>\$ 81.3</u>	<u>\$ 1,022.7</u>	<u>\$ 1,678.1</u>	<u>\$ 3,294.9</u>

Transfers are used to 1) move revenues from the fund that statute requires to collect them to the fund that statute requires to expend them, 2) move receipts restricted to debt service from the funds collecting the receipts to the debt service fund as debt service payments become due, 3) use unrestricted revenues collected in the General Fund to finance various programs accounted for in other funds in accordance with budgetary authorizations, 4) move profits from the Liquor Purchase Revolving Fund and the State Lottery Fund as required by law, 5) transfer budgetary surpluses from the General Fund to the Counter-Cyclical Budget and Economic

Stabilization Fund or transfer accumulated surpluses from the Counter-Cyclical Budget and Economic Stabilization Fund to other funds when necessary, and 6) transfer accumulated surpluses from other funds to the General Fund when authorized by statute.

In the fiscal year ended September 30, 2004, the State recorded transfers for \$81.3 million to the Counter-Cyclical Budget and Economic Stabilization Fund from the General Fund. These transfers were made in accordance with P.A. 309 of 2004, Section 204.

NOTE 20 – FUND DEFICITS

A. Primary Government

Governmental Funds

The Advance Financing Funds, a capital projects fund, had a fund balance deficit of \$18.4 million. The deficit was caused by expenditures for projects for which bonds have not yet been issued and for expenditures incurred to improve State-owned sites that have not been sold.

The State Building Authority, a capital projects fund, had a fund balance deficit of \$435.6 million. The deficit resulted because the issuance of commercial paper represents a fund liability and the corresponding construction projects are not reported as assets in the fund.

Proprietary Funds

The Office Services Revolving Fund, an internal service fund, had a fund balance deficit of \$4.7 million. The deficit resulted because rates charged were insufficient to cover expenses incurred. The rates were increased in fiscal year 2005 to eliminate the deficit.

B. Discretely Presented Component Units

All discretely presented component units have positive net asset balances as of September 30, 2004, with the exception of the Michigan Broadband Development Authority (MBDA). MBDA's fund deficit of \$11.6 million was caused by expenses for projects for which not all corresponding revenue was received.

NOTE 21 – FUND BALANCES

A. Reservations - Primary Government

The line entitled "Reserved fund balance" on the Governmental Funds Balance Sheet at September 30 consists of the following (in millions):

	General Fund	School Aid Fund	Other Special Revenue Funds	Debt Service Funds	Permanent Funds	Total
Budgetary carry-forwards:						
Encumbrances	\$ 64.1	\$.5	\$ 80.0	\$ -	\$ 13.3	\$ 157.9
Restricted revenues	527.8	72.4	307.9	-	-	908.1
Multi-year projects (capital outlay and work projects)	34.0	-	428.5	-	37.7	500.2
Construction and debt service	-	-	70.3	-	-	70.3
Revolving loan programs	5.0	-	49.4	-	-	54.4
Funds held as permanent investments	-	-	121.3	-	452.8	574.1
Noncurrent assets	538.9	1.1	30.0	-	-	570.0
Other purposes	-	-	-	.3	-	.3
Total Reserved Fund Balances	\$ 1,169.8	\$ 74.1	\$ 1,087.4	\$.3	\$ 503.8	\$ 2,835.4

Budgetary carry-forwards represent unused spending authorization that continues to be available in the new year. Restricted revenue carry-forwards include revenues restricted by law for specified purposes. The largest restricted revenue carry-forward in the General Fund is related to the Low Income and Energy Efficient Program in the amount of \$34.1 million. The \$34.0 million of multi-year projects in the General Fund includes \$7.9 million of capital outlay and \$26.0 million of work project authorizations. Such amounts are reserved because the funds are legally segregated for a specific purpose.

Reserved fund balance for restricted revenues within the School Aid Fund represents the amount held within the school aid stabilization fund, created by P.A. 158 of 2003.

Reserves for revolving loan programs represent fund balance which has been appropriated for the purpose of making loans that will encourage economic development in the State.

Repayments on such loans are authorized to be used to make new loans.

Funds held as permanent investments represent amounts that have been legally restricted for the purpose of providing a long-term source of investment income. These investments can include either specific investments held for the fund or portions of the fund's share of the Common Cash pool.

Reserves are recorded for noncurrent assets if they do not represent current financial resources available for appropriation. No reservation is recorded for noncurrent assets if doing so would result in a duplicate reduction of unreserved fund balance. This occurs if the noncurrent assets have already been reserved for some other reason or if they are related to revenues that have been deferred because of not being "available."

Michigan
Notes to the Financial Statements

Reserved fund balance for other purposes represents a reserve account required by the State Park Gross Revenue Bonds document. This reserved amount is used to pay principal and interest in the event of default.

Also, no reservations of fund balances are recorded in single purpose special revenue funds. From the overall State perspective, the unreserved fund balances of funds other than the General Fund are restricted by the nature of the fund type and they are not available for general State purposes.

B. Net Asset Designations - Primary Government

The line "Unrestricted net assets" on the government-wide Statement of Net Assets contains designations as follows:

The State Sponsored Group Insurance Fund, an internal service fund described in Note 24, designated \$75.7 million for future catastrophic losses.

NOTE 22 – DISAGGREGATION OF PAYABLES

The line "Current Liabilities: Accounts payable and other liabilities," as presented on the government-wide Statement of Net Assets and the applicable Balance Sheets and Statements of Net Assets in the fund financial statements, consists of the following (in millions):

	General Fund	School Aid Fund	Non-major Governmental Funds	Other Funds	State Lottery Fund	Michigan Unemployment Compensation Funds	Non-major Enterprise Funds	Total
Medicaid Programs	\$ 496.5	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 496.5
Non-Medicaid Health Programs	169.4	-	.7	-	-	-	-	170.1
Family Independence Programs	186.0	-	.2	-	-	-	-	186.1
Transportation Programs	-	-	461.9	-	-	-	-	461.9
School Aid Programs	-	140.7	-	-	-	-	-	140.7
Merit Award Scholarships	-	-	69.6	-	-	-	-	69.6
Payroll and Withholdings	130.8	-	12.3	-	.3	-	.3	143.6
Tax Refunds other than Income Tax	95.8	7.0	4.2	-	-	-	-	107.0
Unearned Receipts	49.9	-	9.2	-	-	-	-	59.1
Amounts Held for Others	21.5	.2	7.4	-	1.4	-	-	30.5
Capital Project Related	-	-	38.4	-	-	-	-	38.4
Prize Awards	-	-	-	-	135.7	-	-	135.7
Liquor Purchase	-	-	-	-	-	-	58.3	58.3
Unemployment Payments	-	-	-	-	-	26.9	-	26.9
Internal Service Fund Liabilities	-	-	-	69.8	-	-	-	69.8
Due to Fiduciary Funds*	-	-	-	14.8	-	-	-	14.8
Miscellaneous	238.5	-	111.1	-	8.6	-	.2	358.4
Total	\$ 1,388.3	\$ 148.0	\$ 715.0	\$ 84.6	\$ 146.0	\$ 26.9	\$ 58.7	\$ 2,567.4

*This amount represents amounts due to fiduciary funds that are reclassified as external payables on the government-wide Statement of Net Assets.

NOTE 23 – CONTINGENCIES AND COMMITMENTS

A. Primary Government

Litigation

In the government-wide and proprietary fund financial statements, the State accrues liabilities related to significant legal proceedings if a loss is probable and reasonably estimable. In the governmental fund financial statements, liabilities are accrued when cases are settled and the amount is due and payable.

The State is a party to various legal proceedings seeking damages, injunctive, or other relief. In addition to routine litigation, certain of these proceedings could, if resolved in the State's favor, substantially affect State programs or finances. These lawsuits involve programs generally in the areas of corrections; tax collection; commerce and budgetary reductions to school districts and governmental units; and court funding. Relief sought generally includes damages in tort cases; improvement of prison medical and mental health care and refund claims for State taxes. The State is also a party to various legal proceedings that, if resolved in the State's favor, would result in contingency gains to the State, but without material effect upon fund balance/net assets. The ultimate dispositions and consequences of all of these proceedings are not presently determinable, but such ultimate dispositions and consequences of any single proceeding or all legal proceedings collectively should not themselves, except as listed below, in the opinion of the Attorney General of the State and the Office of the State Budget, have a material adverse effect on the State's financial position. Those lawsuits pending which may have a significant impact or substantial effect on State programs or finances, if resolved in a manner unfavorable to the State, include the following:

Durant et al v State of Michigan: On November 15, 2000, more than 365 Michigan school districts and individuals filed two suits in the Michigan Court of Appeals. The first suit, Durant et al v State et al ("Durant III"), asserts that the State School Aid appropriation act, P.A. 297 of 2000, violates the Michigan Constitution, Article 9, §§ 25-34 (the "Headlee Amendment"), because it allegedly transfers per pupil revenue guaranteed to school districts under the Constitution of 1963, Article 9, § 11, for unrestricted school operating purposes, in order to satisfy the State's independent funding obligation to those school districts under Article 9, § 29. The State won this case in the Court of Appeals, and the Supreme Court denied the plaintiffs' application for leave to appeal.

The second suit, Adair et al v State et al ("Adair"), asserts that the State has, by operation of law, increased the level of various specified activities and services beyond that which was required by State law as of December 23, 1978 and, subsequent to December 23, 1978, added various specified new activities or services by State law, including mandatory increases in student instruction time, without providing funding for these new activities and services, all in violation of the Headlee Amendment. In the original complaint, the Adair plaintiffs sought an unspecified money judgment equal to the reduction in the State financed proportion of necessary costs incurred by the plaintiff school districts for each school year from 1997-1998 through the date of any judgment and for attorneys' fees and litigation costs. The Adair plaintiffs also sought a declaratory judgment that the State has failed to meet its funding responsibility under the Headlee Amendment to provide the plaintiff school districts with revenues sufficient to pay for the necessary increased costs for activities and services first required by State law after December 23, 1978, and to pay for increases in the level of required activities and services beyond that which was required by State law as of December 23, 1978.

On January 2, 2001, plaintiffs filed a first amended complaint in both Durant III and Adair increasing the number of school district plaintiffs to 443. On February 22, 2001, plaintiffs filed a second amended complaint in Durant III increasing the number of school district plaintiffs to 457. On April 16, 2001, plaintiffs filed a second amended complaint in Adair increasing the number of school district plaintiffs to 463. The second amended complaint includes a request for declaratory relief, attorneys' fees and litigation costs but does not include a request for money judgment.

On April 23, 2002, the Court of Appeals dismissed the complaint in Adair in its entirety and with prejudice. Plaintiffs filed an application for leave to appeal and a motion for immediate consideration of the application for leave to appeal in the Michigan Supreme Court on May 14, 2002, which was granted on December 18, 2002.

On June 9, 2004, the Michigan Supreme Court issued its opinion in Adair. The Court held that, with three exceptions, all of the plaintiffs' claims were barred by the doctrines of *res judicata* and release. The Court ruled that all but three of the claims that plaintiffs alleged were new or increased activities could have been included in the Durant I litigation because the activities existed during the time that the Durant I litigation was pending.

The other three claims involve statutes that were enacted after the Court's 1997 Durant I decision. The Court ruled that two of these post-Durant I statutes are not new mandates because the activities are either not new or are merely permissive. The third claim involves the record keeping activities and the operation of the Center for Educational Performance and Information, which was created by Executive Order in 2000 (MCL 380.1752; EO 2000-9). Plaintiffs alleged that the statute and Executive Order require districts to create and maintain student data following State-specified data-gathering procedures and transmit the data electronically to the State. The Supreme Court ruled that the plaintiffs' allegation that districts had to now actively participate in maintaining data that the State requires for its own purposes presents a colorable claim under the Headlee Amendment. The Court reversed the Court of Appeals' dismissal of the claim and remanded the issue to the Court of Appeals to determine whether this claim constitutes a new State-mandated activity in violation of the Headlee Amendment. A Motion to Dismiss is pending before the Court of Appeals. If the plaintiffs prevail in the record keeping claim, there could be financial liability for the State.

County Road Association of Michigan et al v John M. Engler et al: On March 6, 2002, the County Road Association of Michigan and the Chippewa County Road Commission filed a complaint in Ingham County Circuit Court challenging various provisions of Executive Order 2001-9. The Executive Order was proposed by the Governor and approved by the appropriations committees of both houses of the Legislature on November 6, 2001, for the purpose of reducing appropriated expenditures, to balance the State budget. The complaint consists of five counts, alleging that Defendant State agencies: (1) violated Article 9, Section 9 of the State Constitution, by unlawfully allowing the Department of State to bill the Department of Transportation for expenses in excess of those necessary to collect motor vehicle taxes and fees; (2) violated Article 9, Section 9 of the State Constitution, by utilizing, for non-transportation purposes, revenues from the sale of information, or products, the creation of which was funded by constitutionally

restricted transportation funds; (3) violated Article 5, Section 20 and Article 9, Section 17 of the State Constitution, and MCL 247.661 *et seq* by allowing the Department of Treasury to bill the Department of Transportation for expenses in excess of those necessary to collect motor vehicle taxes and fees; (4) violated Article 9, Section 17 of the State Constitution, by transferring funds from the Comprehensive Transportation Fund (CTF) to the General Fund; and (5) violated Article 9, Section 17 of the State Constitution, by transferring funds from the Transportation Economic Development Fund to the General Fund.

Three public transit authorities have intervened in the suit, asserting a single claim identical to that alleged by Plaintiffs with respect to the CTF. The Plaintiffs and Intervenors seek preliminary and permanent injunctive relief to nullify particular provisions of Executive Order 2001-9 and to restore funding to the CTF, the Michigan Transportation Fund (MTF), and the Transportation Economic Development Fund.

The Plaintiffs and Intervenors obtained two injunctions from Ingham County Circuit Court Judge William E. Collette. One injunction barred the State from diverting \$20 million to the General Fund from the MTF and the other barred the State from diverting \$12.8 million to the General Fund from the CTF. The State was granted interlocutory appeals on February 19, 2003, and the Court of Appeals stayed the two injunctions. On January 13, 2004, in a published opinion, the Court of Appeals vacated the CTF injunction, and remanded for dismissal, holding that Executive Order 2001-9 legitimately diverted \$12.8 million from the CTF to the General Fund. On the same day, in an unpublished opinion, the Court of Appeals reversed in part and affirmed in part the MTF injunction, holding that \$12.5 million was legitimately diverted from the MTF to the General Fund but that the remainder was not. In February 2004 Intervenors filed an application in the Supreme Court seeking reversal of the Court of Appeals as to the CTF injunction.

In April 2004 the State filed an application for leave in the Supreme Court seeking reversal of the Court of Appeals as to the unfavorable portion of the decision affecting the MTF injunction. That same month Plaintiffs filed an application in the Supreme Court seeking reversal of the Court of Appeals as to the favorable portion of the decision affecting the MTF injunction. In September 2004 the Supreme Court entered an order holding the two applications concerning the MTF injunction in abeyance and notifying the parties that it is considering the application concerning the CTF injunction and the oral argument will be heard and supplemental briefs may be filed. The State may have to provide an alternate source of funding to balance the budget and make up revenue shortfalls of approximately \$35 million of the original \$60 million sought.

Two consolidated class actions, representing approximately 4,000 motor carriers subject to Michigan regulation, originated in the Court of Claims in Westlake, et al v State of Michigan and Troy Cab v State of Michigan, et al. The motor carriers claim a full refund of annual motor carrier authority fees and per vehicle fees (plus interest) dating back to 1982. The amount of such fees collected approximates several million dollars per year.

On March 11, 2003, the Michigan Court of Appeals dismissed all claims against the State. Plaintiffs' Applications for Leave to Appeal at the Michigan Supreme Court were denied on December 3, 2003. However, the Plaintiffs have now filed Petitions for Writs of Certiorari at the U.S. Supreme Court, which has requested briefs from the Department of Justice on the merits of the Petition. Based on the position taken in the Department of Justice briefs, it is reasonably possible that Plaintiffs will prevail. The entire potential claim, including interest, could exceed \$75 million.

Comben v State of Michigan: The Court of Appeals held that in light of Section 15 of the Severance Tax Act, MCL 205.315, severed oil and gas are not subject to taxation and foreclosure under the General Property Tax Act. Plaintiff Antrim County Treasurer originally sought a declaratory ruling whether owners of severed oil and gas interests were entitled to notice of tax foreclosures under the new tax foreclosure process adopted in 1999 P.A. 123. The trial court and the Court of Appeals held that under the severance tax act and the dormant minerals act, severed oil and gas rights are not subject to taxation and foreclosure. An Application for Leave to Appeal has been filed with the Michigan Supreme Court.

The State presently holds mineral rights only in 2.1 million acres of land, and mineral and surface rights in another 3.8 million acres of land, which reverted to the State for tax delinquencies and which are administered by the Department of Natural Resources. The vast majority of these 5.9 million acres of mineral rights were obtained by tax foreclosures occurring before 1941. State revenues from oil and gas activities over the last 10 years have averaged approximately \$35 million annually. A separate class action to quiet title to severed oil and gas rights and for damages is presently pending in Antrim County Circuit Court. The action is consolidated with a Court of Claims action with the same name (Black Stone Minerals v State of Michigan).

Federal Grants

The State receives significant financial assistance from the federal government in the form of grants and entitlements. The receipt of federal grants is generally conditioned upon compliance with terms and conditions of the grant agreements and applicable federal regulations. Substantially all federal grants are subject to either federal single audits or financial and compliance audits by grantor agencies. Questioned costs as a result of these audits may become disallowances after the appropriate review of federal agencies. Material disallowances are recognized as fund liabilities in the government-wide and proprietary fund financial statements when the loss becomes probable and reasonably estimable. As of September 30, 2004, the State estimates that additional disallowances of recognized revenue will not be material to the general purpose financial statements.

Federal sanctions that may result in a loss to the State include \$50.4 million for the Food Stamp Program.

Lakeland Neurocare et al v State of Michigan: Two lawsuits involving a group of eight non-Medicaid nursing homes challenge the constitutionality and legality of MCL 333.20161. Originally enacted in May 2002, this provision requires Department of Community Health (DCH) to assess a "bed tax" against all non-governmental nursing homes, to use this revenue to draw down "matching" federal funds, and to pay the combined sum to Medicaid nursing homes as increased reimbursement. For the first fiscal year, this resulted in more than \$100 million in increased payments. For the 2003-2004 fiscal year, this sum more than doubled. In November 2003, Ingham County Circuit Court Judge William Collette ruled that the original version of the Act violated the constitution by not distinctly stating the assessment as a tax. In December 2003, the Legislature corrected this misunderstanding, made it retroactive to May 2002, and increased the cap on the amount the DCH could assess. In two subsequent rulings, Judge Collette has effectively exempted the plaintiff homes from payment of the tax for two periods of time. The first of those – compelling repayment of \$1.3 million escrowed from 2002-2003 – is pending before the Court of Appeals on application for leave. The second of them – enjoining collection of the tax for the period October 1, 2003 through December 28, 2003 - will also be appealed when the order enters. DCH is concerned that, if allowed to stand, these exemptions will result in the

federal government finding that Michigan's bed tax violates the governing law, 42 USC 1396b(w) – by not being uniformly imposed on the entire class. This translates to potential disallowances totaling more than \$150 million for the two years.

Gain Contingencies

Certain contingent receivables related to the Family Independence Agency are not recorded as assets in these statements. Amounts recoverable from Family Independence Agency grant recipients for grant overpayments or from responsible third parties are recorded as receivables only if the amount is reasonably measurable, expected to be received within 12 months, and not contingent upon future grants or the completion of major collection efforts by the State. If recoveries are accrued and the program involves federal participation, a liability for the federal share of the recovery is also accrued. The unrecorded amount of potential recoveries, which are ultimately collectible, cannot be reasonably determined.

In November 1998, the Attorney General joined 45 other states and five territories in a settlement agreement against the nation's largest tobacco manufacturers, to seek restitution for monies spent by the states under Medicaid and other health care programs for treatment of smoking-related diseases and conditions. Michigan's share of the settlement is expected to be \$8.5 billion over the next 25 years, and then \$350.0 million per year, adjusted for inflation and other factors, in perpetuity. While Michigan's percentage share of the base payments will not change over time, the amount of the annual payment is subject to a number of modifications including adjustments for inflation and usage volumes. Some of the adjustments may result in increases in the payments (inflation, for example), while other adjustments will likely cause decreases in the payments (non-participating manufacturer adjustments, for example). The net effect of these adjustments on future payments is unclear, therefore only receivables and deferred revenues which can be reasonably estimated have been recorded for the future payments.

Construction Projects

The Department of Transportation has entered into construction contracts that will be paid with transportation related funds. As of September 30, 2004, the balances remaining in these contracts equaled \$545.5 million.

Contingent Liability for Local School District Bonds

Public Act 108 of 1961, as amended, resulted in a contingent liability for the bonds of any school district which are "qualified" by the Superintendent of Public Instruction. Every qualified school district is required to borrow and the State is required to lend to it any amount necessary for the school district to avoid a default on its qualified bonds. In the event that funds are not available in the School Bond Loan Fund in adequate amounts to make such a loan, the State is required to make such loans from the General Fund. As of September 30, 2004, the principal amount of qualified bonds outstanding was \$13.5 billion. Total debt service requirements on these bonds

including interest will approximate \$1.2 billion in 2005. The amount of loans by the State (related to local school district bonds qualified under this program), outstanding to local school districts as of September 30, 2004, is \$527.4 million. Interest due on these loans as of September 30, 2004, is \$87.7 million.

B. Discretely Presented Component Units

Student Loan Guarantees

The Michigan Higher Education Assistance Authority (MHEAA) is contingently liable for loans made to students by financial institutions that qualify for guaranty. The State, other than MHEAA, is not liable for these loans. MHEAA's default ratio is currently below 5% for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2004. As a result, the federal government's reinsurance rate for defaults for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2004, is 100% for loans made prior to October 1, 1993, and 98% for loans made on or after October 1, 1993, to September 30, 1998. In the event of future adverse default experience, MHEAA could be liable for up to 25% of defaulted loans. Management does not expect that all guaranteed loans could default in one year. At the beginning of each fiscal year, MHEAA's reinsurance rate returns to 98%.

For loans made on or after October 1, 1998, the reinsurance rate will be 95%. In the event of future adverse default experience, MHEAA could be liable for up to 25% of such defaulted loans. Accordingly, MHEAA's expected maximum contingent liability is less than 25% of outstanding guaranteed loans; however, the maximum contingent liability at September 30, 2004, is \$759.4 million.

MHEAA entered into commitment agreements with all lenders that provide, among other things, that MHEAA will maintain cash and marketable securities. MHEAA was in compliance with this requirement as of September 30, 2004, at an amount sufficient to guarantee loans in accordance with the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended.

Multi-Family Mortgage Loans

As of June 30, 2004, the Michigan State Housing Development Authority (MSHDA) has commitments to issue multi-family mortgage loans in the amount of \$77.4 million and single-family mortgage loans in the amount of \$7.7 million.

MSHDA has committed up to approximately \$2.1 million per year for up to 30 years from the date of completion of the respective developments (subject to three years advance notice of termination) from its accumulated reserves and future income to subsidize operations or rents for certain tenants occupying units in certain developments funded under MSHDA's multi-family program.

NOTE 24 – RISK MANAGEMENT

A. Primary Government

General

The State has elected not to purchase commercial insurance for many of the risks of losses to which it is exposed. The State is self-insured for most general liability and property losses, portions of its employee insurance benefit and employee bonding programs, automobile liability, and workers' compensation and unemployment compensation claims. Areas of risk where some level of insurance coverage is purchased include: aircraft liability, property and loss rental insurance that may be required by bond or lease agreements, portions of the State employee insurance benefits program, certain State artifacts, builder's risk coverage, boiler and machinery coverage, and employee bonding. Settled claims have not exceeded commercial coverage in any of the past ten fiscal years.

The State has established two internal service funds, which are described below, to account for certain aspects of the risk management program. Fund expenditures (expenses) are recognized in the paying funds in a manner similar to purchased commercial insurance. For other uninsured losses not covered by an internal service fund program, such as general liability and property losses, the State recognizes fund liabilities in the fund incurring the loss as follows: governmental funds record an expenditure when a loss is due and payable; proprietary funds record an expense when it is probable that a loss has occurred

and the amount can be reasonably estimated. As explained more fully in Note 14, losses for workers' compensation and certain types of litigation losses have been recognized as liabilities in the government-wide financial statements.

For unemployment claims, the Unemployment Agency (UA) bills the State for the actual amount of claims paid to former State employees. The State accrues liabilities in the governmental fund financial statements for unemployment compensation, only to the extent paid by UA through September 30. During the 2003-2004 fiscal year, expenditures for payments to former State employees (not including university employees) totaled \$15.6 million. The potential liability for future payments cannot be estimated.

The State's two internal service funds, which account for certain areas of risk management, such as portions of its employee insurance benefits, employee bonding, and automobile liability, follow accounting standards established by the GASB. This results in a reporting which is very similar to that used in the private insurance industry. The various component programs within the two funds may incur deficits during a given year, but each program's surplus and unrestricted net asset balance is considered in calculating future charges or benefit levels.

Risk Management Fund

This fund was established during fiscal year 1989-1990 to account for insurance management activities implemented within the Department of Management and Budget. The automotive liability and administrative functions are accounted for as operating activities of this fund. Expenses and liabilities for claims, including incurred but not reported or not processed claims, have been recorded in the amount of \$7.5 million. This includes a long-term portion, which is recorded at \$5 million. Changes in the Risk Management Fund's claims for automobile liability for the fiscal years ending September 30, 2004 and 2003 are as follows (in millions):

	2004	2003
Balance - beginning	\$ 7.6	\$ 6.6
Current year claims and changes in estimates	1.7	3.4
Claim payments	(1.7)	(2.5)
Balance - ending	<u>\$ 7.5</u>	<u>\$ 7.6</u>

State Sponsored Group Insurance Fund

The Department of Management and Budget uses this fund to account for employee and retiree insurance benefit programs, which are largely self-funded. Expenses and liabilities for claims, which include incurred but not reported or not processed benefit claims, based on preliminary estimates from the plan administrators, have been recorded as liabilities in the amount of \$167.9 million. This includes a long-term portion, which is recorded at a discounted present value of \$87.5 million using a discount rate of 10.5% (first 10 years of disability), 9.0% (next 10 years), and 6.0% thereafter for claims incurred prior to January 1, 1993. Claims incurred in past years were discounted using rates as follows in the calculation of incurred but not reported claims: 1993 and 1994 used a rate of 6.0%, 1995 used a rate of 6.25%, 1996 and 1997 used a rate of 5.75%, and 1998 through 2002 used a rate of 5.25%, and 2003 and 2004 used a rate of 5.50%.

Payments to the State Sponsored Group Insurance Fund are based on estimates of amounts needed to pay prior and current year claims. In addition, a portion of the fund's net assets has been designated for catastrophic losses. The risk management designation represents the level of reserves that should be maintained to ease large fluctuations in premium levels in years of unexpected excessive claims. That designation was \$75.7 million at September 30, 2004. Unrestricted net assets totaled \$86.7 million at September 30, 2004.

Changes in the State Sponsored Group Insurance Fund's claims liability for employee and retiree insurance benefit programs for the fiscal years ending September 30, 2004 and 2003 are as follows (in millions):

	2004	2003
Balance - beginning	\$ 179.2	\$ 172.7
Current year claims and changes in estimates	857.8	611.7
Claim payments	(869.1)	(605.2)
Balance - ending	<u>\$ 167.9</u>	<u>\$ 179.2</u>

B. Discretely Presented Component Units

State Universities

The State university component units participate with the other Michigan public universities in the Michigan Universities Self-Insurance Corporation (MUSIC), which provides indemnity to its members against comprehensive general liability, errors and omissions losses, and property damage commonly covered by insurance. Loss coverages for comprehensive general liability and property are structured on a three-layer basis with each member retaining a portion of its losses, MUSIC covering the second layer, and commercial carriers covering the third layer. For all policy years through June 30, 1993, errors and omissions coverage was structured on a two-layer basis with no excess insurance provided. Effective July 1, 1993, MUSIC obtained excess insurance coverage from commercial carriers covering the third layer. For automobile liability there is no member retention. Comprehensive general liability, property and automobile liability coverage is provided on an occurrence basis; errors and omissions coverage is provided on a claims made basis.

NOTE 25 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

A. Long-Term Borrowing

In October 2004, the State of Michigan issued Multi-Modal General Obligation School Loan Bonds, Series 2004C in the aggregated principal amounts of \$22.0 million. The Series 2004C Bonds were issued in the Commercial Paper Rate Mode. The bonds will mature on March 21, 2024.

In November 2004, the State of Michigan issued Full Faith and Credit General Obligation Notes in the amount of \$1.3 billion. The notes will mature on September 30, 2005, with interest rates of 2.0% and 3.5%.

B. Investments

In November 2004, the value of a short-term investment held by the State of Michigan Retirement System was permanently written down resulting in a loss of \$12 million. The amount of the write down was less than .03% of the total value of the portfolio, which was \$47 billion at September 30, 2004.

C. Long-Term Borrowing - Discretely Presented Component Units

Subsequent to their respective year-ends, the following discretely presented component units issued bonds and/or entered into swap agreements (in millions):

	Bonds Issued	Swap Agreements
Michigan Public Educational Facilities Authority	\$ 3.8	\$ -
Michigan State Hospital Finance Authority	86.7	-
Michigan State Housing Development Authority	197.8	-
Grand Valley State University	28.0	-
Oakland University	31.8	-
Saginaw Valley State University	11.8	-
Western Michigan University	-	45.9
Total	\$ 360.0	\$ 45.9

Disclosures regarding these bonds and transactions are available in the separately issued reports of the various organizations.