

An analysis of dried samples of clay shows the following results:

	No. 1. Top of bank.	No. 2. About 20 feet down.	No. 3. About 30 feet down.	No. 4. Beneath marl.
Silica.....	65.05	47.60	45.60	50.40
Alumina.....	25.00	15.00	15.85	22.10
Iron.....	5.80			
Calcium Carbonate.....	2.05	28.29	28.82	24.00
Magnesium Carbonate.....	0.40	6.00	8.60	0.52
Loss.....	1.64	2.91	3.13	2.98

These analyses differ from each other in the percentage of calcium carbonate present. This is a very desirable addition\* to the clay, but for the purpose of comparison, the following table is presented, which is calculated on the basis of no carbonate of lime being present, and magnesia is reduced from carbonate to oxide.

	No. 1.	No. 2.	No. 3.	No. 4.
SiO <sub>2</sub> .....	67.60	69.45	68.95	69.02
MgO .....	0.19	4.20	4.65	0.35
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> .....	26.00	21.80	23.35	30.26
Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>3</sub> .....	6.00			

These clays are all high in silica, which is the most desirable element in the manufacture of Portland cement, and they are low in any elements which are undesirable. In fact, these clays are hardly to be surpassed in chemical composition, and so far as the writer knows, are fully equal to those found in any locality.

In connection with the manufacture of Portland cement experience has shown much more difficulty in securing deposits of good clay than in obtaining carbonate of lime, and most of the difficulties which have been experienced in the manufacture of Portland cement have been due to the fact that the clays obtainable contain less than 50 per cent of silica. As showing the fact that a good cement is made with clay of a similar composition, I submit analysis of the Sandusky clay, used by the Sandusky Cement Company, and the Warner clay, used by the Empire Cement Company, two of the oldest companies using marl and clay, reduced to a similar basis as the table given above.

	Sandusky.	Empire.
SiO <sub>2</sub> .....	72.2	65.50
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> .....	18.30	33.50
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> .....	6.65	
MgO .....	2.08	0.82
	99.23	99.82

\*In this statement, Prof. Carpenter differs from the prevailing opinion, as may be noted by comparing statements elsewhere in the report. This is not because the calcium carbonate itself is deleterious, but because as the analyses show it is liable to be very variable, and to be associated with much magnesia, so that it is more difficult to make the cement of a constant composition. L.

I have made in the laboratory of Sibley College, a small quantity of Portland Cement from this material. This was of excellent quality, giving a tensile strength as follows:

Age.	Strength (lbs.)	Remarks.	Age.	Strength (lbs.)	Remarks.
2 days.....	285	Neat.	1 month..	1022	Neat.
" .....	328	Neat.	1 " ..	1112	Neat.
2 " .....	375	Neat.	1 " ..	962	Neat.
8 " .....	868	Neat.	7 days....	240	3 pts. sand.
8 " .....	860	Neat.	7 " .....	220	3 pts. sand.
1 month....	1056	Neat.	7 " .....	212	3 pts. sand.

All samples left one day in air and remainder of time in water.

#### **Watervale Portland Cement Co.**

Capital \$1,000,000.00, of which \$600,000 common, \$400,000 preferred, a share of the common being given with every share of the preferred.

The parties interested in this project were also interested in the Omega and Elk Rapids, and apparently are letting it lie dormant, until the latter are better established. The company was said to own 800 acres of marl bed, on the Willow Brook farm and about the Herring Lakes, in Sections 13, 14, 15, 22, 23, 24, T. 25 N., R. 16 W., and Sections 18 and 19, T. 25 N., R. 15 W. The average depth is said to be 20 feet. There is said to be also 60 acres of clay banks three feet deep and over, and 175 acres of other lands with houses, etc.

A feature of this proposition is the nearness to the Great Lakes, so that the lower lake can easily be made a harbor, being from 60 to 80 feet deep, while the upper lake, which is said to be shallow, is said to be underlain by over 25 feet of marl, which also extends beneath the swamps around its margin, where it is covered by not over three feet of vegetable matter.

One reason for the comparative scarcity of marl near the Great Lakes may be that owing to the relatively recent fluctuations of level, there has been not enough time for its accumulation, and it is worth noting that these lakes are close to an axial line of tilting, passing through Port Huron, along which the lake level must have been relatively permanent. The property was reported upon by Lathbury & Spackman, Prof. Delos Fall, and C. B. Stowe.

#### **Lupton Portland Cement Co.**

Organized under the laws of New Jersey, January, 1901. Office in Chicago. G. T. Stanley, president; E. A. Worthington, vice pres-

ident and treasurer; W. Higgs, secretary; W. C. Edgar, assistant secretary; A. H. Cederberg, superintendent. Capital \$1,250,000, of which \$600,000 was to be placed on the market, two-thirds at two-thirds of par, the balance at par.

The size of the plant planned may be 1,200 barrels daily output.

The photographs in the prospectus show very well the swampy outbuilt margin to the lakes.

Mr. Stanley is we believe the prime mover in this company, having been engaged in lumbering around Lupton.

Extracts from the report of A. H. Cederberg:

Messrs. Lathbury & Spackman of Philadelphia, have analyzed our marl and clay, and the following is their analysis in full:

Lab. No. 942.—Marl from North Lake No. 1.

Silica (SiO <sub>2</sub> ).....	.25%	
Alumina and Iron Oxide (Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> ).....	.19%	
Lime (CaO).....	52.38%	93.53%
Magnesia (MgO).....	1.14%	CaCO <sub>3</sub>
Sulphuric Acid (SO <sub>3</sub> ).....	.18%	
Loss on Ignition.....	46.05%	
	<hr/>	
	100.19	

Lab. No. 943.—Marl from North Lake No. 2.

Silica (SiO <sub>2</sub> ).....	.24%	
Alumina and Iron Oxide (Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> ).....	.08%	
Lime (CaO).....	52.97%	94.58%
Magnesia (MgO).....	1.13%	CaCO <sub>3</sub>
Sulphuric Acid (SO <sub>3</sub> ).....	.08%	
Loss on Ignition.....	45.49%	
	<hr/>	
	99.99	

The analyses of marls show them to be very uniform and high in lime, while they are low in injurious ingredients, such as magnesia and sulphuric acid, hence are well adapted to the manufacture of Portland cement.

Lab. No. 957.—Blue Clay No. 2.\*

Silica (SiO <sub>2</sub> ).....	56.09%	
Alumina & Iron Oxide (Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> & Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> )..	28.89%	Very
Lime (CaO) .....	00.00%	little
Magnesia (MgO) .....	0.58%	Iron
Sulphuric Acid (SO <sub>3</sub> ).....	0.41%	Oxide
Loss on ignition.....	7.58%	
	93.55	
Difference (alkalies) .....	6.45	

This clay is well adapted for the manufacture of Portland cement. A mixture of equal parts of blue clay No. 2, and the Spring Lake clay† would give a clay with a better ratio between the alumina and silica than the blue clay alone; also the percentage of magnesia in this mixture would be low.

The village of Lupton is on the Rose City branch of the Detroit and Mackinac railway, about 27 miles from the Emery Junction. The conditions for a mill site are more than satisfactory. A mill could be erected, as suggested, right on the outskirts of the village of Lupton, or down by the lakes, which are situated about a mile from the village itself.

The marl deposits are, I may say, inexhaustible; and from samples taken, not only by myself, but that have also been forwarded to me from Lupton, and to Messrs. Lathbury & Spackman of Philadelphia, I can state that the marl is superior in its quality to most of the marl beds that have come to my notice. The conditions for getting at this marl are very easy. One of the lakes could be drained at an expense of a few hundred dollars to such an extent that steam shovels would be entirely unnecessary, thus reducing the cost of putting the marl on cars to the mill, to a minimum.

The clay that has been found on the property is not very well adapted to the mixture for first-class Portland cement; but only a few miles away from there another clay deposit is of superior quality, and which clay, I am informed, can be deposited at the mill at a cost of thirty cents per ton. The ratio between marl and clay necessary for a mixture would lie between the figures of four and five to one.

After having made thorough chemical analyses of the raw material, and which analyses correspond entirely to those made by Messrs. Lathbury & Spackman, I went to work and ran through a rotary kiln enough raw material to produce a sufficient quantity of Portland cement, in order to make necessary physical tests. The chemical mixture in the raw was made so as to conform to the standard requirements of Portland cement by the American Society of Civil Engineers, which requirements, as you well know, are

\*Apparently a Michigan series shale clay. L.

†A brown clay used to reduce the ratio of alumina which is rather too high.

higher even than those of the United States government. The fineness of the cement produced was satisfactory, the color was pure Portland shade and tensile strength on the briquettes made was as follows:

- After 24 hours: 444 and 486 lbs. Average 460 lbs.  
(Final set in air and balance in water.)
- After 3 days: 618 and 643 lbs. Average 633 lbs.  
(1 day in air; 2 days in water.)
- After 7 days: 702 and 829 lbs. Average 815½ lbs.  
(1 day in air and 6 days in water.)
- After 30 days: 891 and 916 lbs. Average 903½ lbs.  
(1 day in air, 29 days in water.)

Only the regular methods adopted in cement making were used in the making of these briquettes. The initial setting was 172 minutes; the final setting 360 minutes in all instances. The amount of sulphuric acid in the finished product was 1.53 per cent, thus insuring a cement of superior quality and of which there can be no doubt as to its durability.

In using the above figures, I have taken into consideration the fact that you must produce 1,200 barrels of Portland cement every 24 hours. That means that a plant must be constructed that has a capacity of turning out 1,500 barrels in the same time—24 hours. It may astonish you to hear of this, but it remains as an absolute fact. We very often hear that parties go to work and construct a cement plant of 1,200 barrels capacity, and they will figure in this 1,200 barrels on no repair account whatever. As a result, there are in the United States to day only two mills to my knowledge that have a capacity of standing by the figures agreed upon for a 24 hour output. These two mills are the Lehigh Portland Cement Company's mill "B," at West Coplay, Pennsylvania, and the Whitehall Portland Cement Company's mill at Cement Town, Pennsylvania. It must be very evident to you that if an engineer guarantees to turn out cement at a certain figure, he must rely upon it that his output is never decreased, but if anything, increased during the 24 hour run. We very often hear some engineers that guarantee 200 barrels of clinkers per day in a 60-foot rotary kiln. That guarantee is all nonsense. It is an absolute impossibility. In the first instance, every rotary kiln must stand idle from three to four hours every day, owing to what is in burning practice called "patching." Hence, if a cement mill has got, say for instance, twelve rotary kilns, it means to say that two rotary kilns will practically lie idle during the 24 hours.

Furthermore, patching is not the only cause of shutting down a kiln. The brick lining in a rotary kiln is subjected to an intensely hard wear and tear, and it is nothing unusual to see rotary kilns relined partly every two months. That means another shut down of the rotary kilns for two whole days. In my experience, I have found that it is a safe guarantee to figure on a rotary kiln having an average monthly capacity of 130 barrels of clinkers in 24 hours. So much in the rotary kiln process.

If we go to the grinding process, the conditions there are just the same. A maker of machinery has been invited to figure on an outfit to produce so many barrels. He gives you a figure. You go to another manufacturer and he turns in another figure. The manufacturer that turned in the first figure has probably been a trifle too high. He wants to reduce his first figure in order to obtain the bid, and the first thing he overrates the grinding capacity of the machine he proposes to furnish. Knowing this full well, it is an absolute necessity to have a surplus of grinding capacity in both raw and clinker departments of not less than twenty-five per cent, thus doing away with any danger whatever tending to reduce your 24 hour output and increase the manufactured cost per barrel.

It is also a very noticeable fact that repairs cost as a rule a mere trifle as far as cost is concerned, and that the largest expense in connection with the cement mill is the *shut downs*, thus decreasing the output and increasing the cost to a considerable degree.

#### **Standiford Portland Cement Company.**

In the northwest corner of Branch County, not far from Union City but nearer Athens, are some extensive beds of marl which have been investigated for the Standiford Portland Cement Company by Prof. Delos Fall and H. K. Whitney. The results of a thorough survey and a large series of analyses are shown in the map and tables herewith given. Mr. Whitney says that over one thousand soundings of same were taken, 508 on land, 96 on the shore line and 445 on the lakes when covered with ice.

"The bottom of lakes, except at mouths of cracks and in the deepest portions of the line of flow is clear clean marl. At the mouths of creeks and in the deepest portions of Kynion and Lehr Lakes in line of flow it is overlain with sediment as indicated on the large map. It is a soft dark sediment, apparently organic material, or the same mixed with marl and is almost entirely in deep water and outside the estimated 240 acres."

"A large part of the surface material (peat, muck, etc.) on the marl lands could readily be burned off in time of low water. Points when it is four feet deep are in general two feet above ordinary water level; some points four or five feet above. It is, however, quite possible that it might have value for fuel.

"The difference in water level between the lakes (between Lehr and Kynion Lakes, 5 meters between Kynion and Clayton Lakes 1 inch), could be readily eliminated by dredging for marl. On May 9, 1900, Clayton Lake was 2 feet 4½ inches above the river at mouth of outlet from lakes at time of medium high water. At ordinary or low water it would be as much as 3 feet. The distance is 1¼ miles

direct (about  $1\frac{3}{4}$  miles on the line of the creek). For about \$1,000 the water in lakes could be lowered about  $1\frac{1}{2}$  to 2 feet, with a benefit of about \$1,000 to the adjoining property, aside from marl beds. Then at low water nearly all of the surface material could be burned off from the marl lands, with little trouble and at nominal expense. Similar large marshes in the vicinity have been burned off below the ordinary water level, accidentally or intentionally."

The estimated acreage of marl with an average depth of 20 feet is computed as follows by Mr. Whitney:

	Acres.	Acres.
Area of lakes more than 20 feet deep.....	136	
Area of lakes less than 20 feet deep.....	104	
Total area of lakes .....	—	240
Area of land 10 feet or more marl, 4 feet or less of surface .....	90	
Area of land 10 feet or more marl, 4 feet or more surface .....	52	
10 to 30 feet of marl (average 20 feet) .....	142	
5 to 10 feet of marl (average 7 feet) .....	33	175
Area of land and water .....		415
Less allowance (possible error) .....		15
		400

For commercially available marl we shall have, however, within 30 feet of surface:

	Acres.
Area of land, 10 to 30 feet thick, averaging 20 if we neglect thickness above 30 feet .....	142
Area in lakes to 20 feet of water .....	104
	246
Less allowance for error .....	6
Total acreage 20 feet thick .....	240
The 33 acres of marl between 5 and 10 feet thick would be equivalent to .....	10
Total .....	250

Which would be equivalent to over 8,000,000 cubic yards.

Prof. Fall went over the bed and took at proper intervals a series of 84 samples, and the analyses given in the table annexed are of the entire series not omitting any.

SAMPLES from MARL BEDS of the <b>STANDIFORD PORTLAND CEMENT CO.</b> ATHENS, MICH.										Explanation.			
TABLE showing: Depth of Samples, Depth of Marl, Depth and Kind of Surface Covering, etc., at all points in said Marl Beds from which Samples were taken for Chemical Analysis.										M = Muck. P = Peat. G = Grass-roots and loose, partly decayed Vegetation W = Water [in lake] B = Bottom of Marl [at depth sounded] + = No Bottom. H = Quite Hard [given] 28, H - Hard at 28' below surface C = Clayey appearance of bottom			
For Location of Same, See Map [Station Nos. corresponding to Sample Nos.]										Samples taken by Delos Fall, Sr. D., Analytical Chemist, Albion, Mich. and Harlan K. Whitney, Surveyor, Barrie Creek, Mich.			
Sample Number	Surface Material	Depth of Surface Material	Depth of Sample	Depth of Clear Marl	Depth of Sounding	Bottom of Marl etc	Sample Number	Surface Material	Depth of Surface Material	Depth of Sample	Depth of Clear Marl	Depth of Sounding	Bottom of Marl etc
1	1 a *	P	2 1/2	12 1/2	29 1/2	35 B [Bottom at 25]	31	45	P	4	16	22	26 H.
2	1 b			20 1/2			32	46	G	1	18	23	24 B.
3	2 a	G & W	1 1/2	10	30 1/2	32 + [No Bottom]	33	47	P	2	15	20	22 B.
4	2 b.			20-24			34	48	P	2 1/2	17	5 1/2	8 B.
5	3	P	3	2	20 1/2	23 1/2 B.	35	49	W	2 1/2	17	17 1/2	18 B.
6	4	G	1	2	29	30 B.	36	50	P	3	13	12	15 B.
7	5	W	2 1/2	15	29 1/2	32 +	37	51	W	1/2	10	24 1/2	26 B.
8	6	W	5	14	20	25 B.	38	52	P	2	18	18	20 B.
9	7	W	4	10	27 1/2	31 1/2 B.	39	53	G	1/2	18	27 1/2	28 B.
10	8 a	G & W	1	15	31 +	32 +	40	54	W	1	16	23	24 B.
11	8 b			22			41	55	P	3	10	13	16 B.
12	9	M & P	2	20	22 1/2	24 1/2 B.	42	56	W	1/2	15	31 1/2	32 +
13	10	M & P	5	19	16	21 B.	43	57	W	1	15	31 +	32 +
14	11	M & P	6	20	14	30 B.	44	58	W	9	14-16	21	30 B.
15	12	M & P	4 1/2	15	27 1/2	32 +	45	59	P	2	12-15	29 +	31 + [28, H].
16	13	W	3 1/2	20	29	32 B.	46	60	P	2	15-17	22 1/2	24 1/2 B.
17	14	P	2 1/2	15-20	25 1/2	26 B.	47	61	P	2 1/2	7-9	8	10 1/2 B.
18	15	W	1	2	22	23 B.	48	62	P	3	9-11	9 1/2	12 1/2 B.
19	16	W	1	10	24 1/2	25 1/2 B.	49	63	W	1	12-17	19	20 B.
20	17	P	4	18	28 +	32 + [30 H]	50	64	W	1/2	5-6	8	8 1/2 B.
21	18	P	3	20	25	28 B.	51	65	W	7	6-20	24 +	31 +
22	19	P	4	13-14	13	17 B.	52	66	W	1	12-15	16 1/2	17 1/2 B.
23	20	P	3	20	18	21 B.	53	67	P	2	12	17	19 B.
24	21	W & G	1	15	17	18 B.	54	68	W	1	19	30 +	31 +
25	22	P	1 1/2	15	23-	24 1/2 B.	55	69	W	2	17	27-	29 H [Dark color].
26	23	W & G	1	15-16	22 1/2	23 1/2 B.	56	70	W	17	30-31	14	31 + [29, H].
27	24	P	4	10-12	14	18 B.	57	71	G	4	1	22	26 B.
28	25	W	1	10	24	25 B.	58	72	W	1 1/2	14	24	25 1/2 B.
29	26	P	3	15	29 +	32 +	59	73	W	1	11	20	21 B.
30	27	P	4	15	28 +	32 +	60	74	W	10	20	18	28 B.
31	28 a **	P	2	12	30 +	32 +	61	75	W	8	18	23 +	31 + [29, H].
32	28 b.			20			62	76	W	6	19	20	26 B [26, H].
33	28 c.			32			63	77	W	2	15	21	23 B.
34	29	M	3	5-7	25	28 B.	64	78	P	2	12	21	23 B.
35	30	M	4	19	19 1/2	23 1/2 B.	65	79	G	1/2	13	28 1/2	29 B.
36	31	G	2 1/4	16	27 3/4	30 B.	66	80	W	1 1/2	18	25 1/2	27 B.
37	32	P	3	15	15	18 B.	67	81	W	1	14	24 1/2	25 1/2 B.
38	33	W	1	2	27	28 B.	68	82	P	5	10		
39	34	P	3 1/2	12	11 1/2	15 B.							
40	35 a	P	2 1/2	10	15	17 1/2 B.							
41	35 b			16 1/2									
42	36	W	1	5-6	28	29 B.							
43	37	P	4	10	17	21 B.							
44	38	P	5	16	25 +	30 H.							
45	39	M	6	10	21	27 B.							
46	40	P	5	10	24 +	29 H.							
47	41	W	0	4	21 1/2	21 1/2 B.							
48	42	P	5	8	4	9 B.							
49	43	W	1/2	11	20	20 1/2 B.							
50	44	P	3	10	19	22 B.							

Fig. 28. Table of data relative to the samples, the analyses of which are given on subsequent pages. The numbers in the second column correspond to the Roman numerals in the second columns of Figs. 29 and 30. Soundings of Standiford Portland Cement Company on Kynion, Lehr and Clayter lakes, Sections 4, 5, 8, 9, 16 and 17, T. 5 S., R. 8 W.



Analyses of Kynion, Lehr, and Clayton Lake Marls, T. 5 S., R. 8 W., by Delos Fall Ph.D., at the McMillan Chemical Laboratory, Albion								
Lab Number	Field Mark	SiO <sub>2</sub>	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	CaCO <sub>3</sub>	MgCO <sub>3</sub>	SO <sub>3</sub>	Organic Matter	Depth in Feet
500	IA	.63	.998	88.73	20	none	9.45	
501	IB	.72	.72	86.85	23	none	11.71	
502	IIA	1.16	3.06	89.05	.37	none	6.36	
503	IIIB	1.91	4.83 <sup>a</sup>	85.58	none	none	7.68	
504	IV	3.78	5.19 <sup>a</sup>	82.97	none	none	8.06	
505	V	3.78	4.29 <sup>a</sup>	80.48	none	none	11.45	
506	VI	2.99	1.91	79.86	none	none	11.44	
507	VII	2.01	4.11	81.43	trace	none	12.45	
508	VIII	.65	2.40 <sup>a</sup>	88.55	none	none	7.40	
508B	VIII B	1.60	4.05	84.75	trace	.37	9.23	
509	IX	.73	2.00	77.60	trace	1.54	18.13	
510	X	3.52	2.71	93.76	trace	<sup>b</sup>	none	
511	XI	3.81	2.18 <sup>a</sup>	91.86	trace	none	2.15	
512	XII	4.74	1.00	83.22	1.50	.74	8.80	
513	XIII	2.98	1.60	88.03	none	.55	6.01	
514	XIV	1.89	3.43	90.27	trace	none	4.41	
515	XV	2.20	4.15	88.49	trace	none	5.17	
516	XVI	5.98	2.14	86.89	trace	none	2.58	
517	XVII	2.68	3.26	85.15	none	none	8.91	
518	XVIII	2.64	3.73	89.71	none	none	3.92	
519	XIX	3.25	3.55 <sup>a</sup>	85.57	{merest} {trace}	.38	5.25	
520	XX	2.10	7.25	88.36	{merest} {trace}	2.43 <sup>c</sup>	14	
521	XXI	1.21	2.00	89.83	trace	.76	4.26	
522	XXII	1.17	3.87	91.38	trace	none	3.14	
523	XXIII	1.15	.77	91.57	trace {merest} {trace}	1.25	3.38	
524	XXIV	3.18	1.55	90.61	{merest} {trace}	1.79	2.93	
525	XXV	1.38	.71	91.97	.11	.90	2.73	surface
526	XXVI	2.18	1.14	91.15	.42	1.19	3.06	
527	XXVII	3.62	5.21	87.03	{merest} {trace}	.39	3.92	
528	XXVIII	3.34	4.83	90.10	trace	none	1.73	12
528B	XXVIII B	4.84	5.74	85.42	trace	none	4.00	20
528C	XXVIII C	7.47	7.37	82.89	.25	none	2.02	32
529	XXIX	2.11	5.13	87.41	2.11	none	5.35	5-7
530	XXX	.65	1.27	92.83	trace	.27	5.25	18-20
531	XXXI	1.11	1.38	93.95	.18	.19	3.19	
532	XXXII	8.34	5.22	83.26	trace	1.34	1.48	
533	XXXIII	1.56	1.36	93.20	trace	.66	3.22	
534	XXXIV	2.62	2.93	92.67	none	1.34	1.13	
535	XXXV	6.92 <sup>c</sup>	3.11	85.53	none	.66	3.78	
536	XXXVI	1.08	1.15	91.11	trace	1.04	5.62	
537	XXXVII	.88	1.70	91.51	2.01	1.38	2.52	
538	XXXVIII	2.15	2.13 <sup>a</sup>	90.84	trace	.45	4.43	

Trace of iron  
b - 1.78 SO<sub>3</sub> reported by M.H.S.  
c - Sandy

Fig. 29. Analyses of the Standiford P. C. Co. marl by Delos Fall. See Fig. 28.

Analyses of Kynion, Lehr, and Clayton Lake Marls, T. 5 S., R. 8 W., by De los Fall Ph.D. at the McMillan Chemical Laboratory, Albion.								
Lab Number	Field Mark	SiO <sub>2</sub>	Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	CaCO <sub>3</sub>	MgCO <sub>3</sub>	SO <sub>3</sub>	Organic Matter	Depth in Feet
539	XXXIX	2.30	2.78	90.83	.30	1.77	3.02	
540	XL	1.20	2.80	88.82	trace	1.26	5.92	
541	XLI	.53	.71	92.29	trace	.55	5.92	
542	XLII	1.78	.96	93.98	trace	.54	2.74	
543	XLIII	.27	.77	91.40	1.33	.51	5.72	
544	XLIV	.68	1.18	91.85	2.00	1.70	2.59	
545	XLV	2.10	1.04	90.21	trace	.63	6.02	
546	XLVI	.47	.90	88.82	1.44	.97	5.35	
547	XLVII	2.05	2.65 <sup>a</sup>	87.33	trace	.32	7.65	
548	XLVIII	5.59	2.65	82.03	trace	.37	9.36	
549	XLIX	1.43	1.87	89.49	2.41	1.09	3.71	
550	L	1.12	1.21	89.39	.39	.67	7.22	
551	LI	.45	1.43	89.60	1.77	.74	6.03	
552	LII	11.45	4.83	77.94	trace	2.28	1.72	
553	LIII	.60	.97	90.84	1.39	.70	4.78	
554	LIV	.58	1.24	90.50	1.13	.87	5.69	
555	LV	2.063 <sup>b</sup>	3.41	68.02	1.71	2.18	3.80	
556	LVI	.57	1.27	91.47	trace	.72	3.29	
557	LVII	1.27	1.10	89.33	1.39	.61	3.96	
558	LVIII	1.23	.90	87.06	trace	.69	9.72	
559	LIX	2.93	4.22	87.06	.3	.79	4.70	
560	LX	2.20	2.32	90.01	.5	.73	4.24	
561	LXI	4.81	2.42	88.44	trace	none	2.33	
562	LXII	3.97	2.60	86.25	1.50	.91	4.77	
563	LXIII	2.30	2.95 <sup>a</sup>	87.88	trace	1.13	5.74	
564	LXIV	3.74	2.85 <sup>a</sup>	87.33	none	1.22	4.86	
565	LXV	2.38	2.36 2.00	81.25	none	.70	13.31	
566	LXVI	6.21	2.46	85.68	trace	.79	2.86	
567	LXVII	3.54	1.80	91.19	trace	.52	2.95	
569	LXIX	2.00	3.03 <sup>a</sup>	82.58	trace	.73	11.66	
571	LXXI	6.16	.81	88.52	trace	.50	4.25	
572	LXXII	1.17	1.36	92.24	.5	.67	4.06	
573	LXXIII	1.30	.99	91.21	trace	none	6.50	
574	LXXIV	1.39	1.91 .71	93.15	none	1.44	2.6	
575	LXXV	1.25	1.85 <sup>a</sup>	90.42	none	.19	6.29	
576	LXXVI	1.75	2.85 <sup>a</sup> 2.74	90.65	trace	.71	4.04	
577	LXXVII	2.71	4.27	85.68	.30	.61	3.69	
579	LXXIX	2.50	2.48	90.54	trace	.8	2.50	
580	LXXX	4.42	1.04	88.83	trace	.89	4.82	
581	LXXXI	1.55	.93	82.89	trace	.34	4.29	
582	LXXXII	.91	1.73	87.33	trace	.52	9.51	
583	LXXXIII	1.91	3.61	90.10	none	1.19	3.19	

a - Trace of Iron  
b - Sanding.

Fig. 29. Analyses of Fig. 28 continued; where ever in column 4, there are are two sets of figures, the upper is for the total iron and alumina, the lower the alumina alone.

The material in every case was dried at 100 C. to expel moisture and sampled. The tables give the amount of each substance found in 100 parts of the dried samples. The samples were taken with apparatus especially prepared for the purpose by which it was possible to know accurately the depth from which the samples came. The average analysis is given among the other analyses by Prof. Fall, page 352, and "exclusive of organic matter the carbonate of lime in these eighty-four samples would average 93.10%, a showing which is remarkably high when it is taken into account the nearly five per cent of clay which the marl contains."

The marl is also very finely and evenly divided.

One peculiarity of this bed to which he calls attention is that a small percentage of clay, ranging from two to six per cent, is found as an admixture with the marl. This of course would be no detriment to the quality of the cement, for as he remarks, the percentage of magnesia and sulphuric acid are insignificant.

#### **Bellaire Portland Cement Co.**

This company has been but recently organized to operate near Bellaire, Antrim County. The conditions will be not unlike those at Elk Rapids. It is, I presume, a successor of the Lake Shore company.

#### **West German Portland Cement Co.**

Articles filed at Ann Arbor Aug. 13, 1902. Capital \$1,000,000, half preferred. Object to manufacture cement, coke and peat in Lima Township. Linus E. Leach of Detroit appears to be chief stockholder. The marl beds are around Four Mile Lake.

#### **Locations reported by Douglas Houghton Survey.**

The first geological survey of the State back in the forties, paid considerable attention to the location of marl, not, however, for its value for cement, but as a fertilizer, though at that time it was also extensively used for making quick-lime. A brief summary of the locations which they noticed, should be given here, since the reports in question are not only out of print, but not easy to obtain second-hand. I have added foot-notes calling attention to the fact, when the locations have since been utilized.

That marls were generally attributed to shells may be indicated by the fact that the symbols used on the maps to denote the location of marls was a small figure of a shell.

First Annual Report, 1838, H. D. No. 4, pp. 276-317, No. 14, pp. 1-39, p. 13 or 287, description of Chara deposits near Grand Rapids,

in Saline Springs; p. 34 or 306, northern part of St. Joseph,\* Monroe near Monroe,† and Jackson County.‡

Second Annual Report, 1839, H. D. No. 23,

p. 393, White River.

p. 451,

p. 464, Sec. 15, T. 1 N., R. 1 W.

33 Leslie township.§

35, T, 2 N., R.1 W.

p. 479, Sections 2, 4, 5, 8, 22, 27, Plymouth Township, Oakland County.

Sec. 9, Canton.

495, Monroe, Sections 7 and 9, T. 6 S., R 9 E.

From Third Annual Report of State Geologist, p. 94 of the separate edition.

#### MARL OR BOG LIME AND TUFA.

“That variety of the mineral which is here designated by the name of marl, is chiefly a carbonate of lime, or lime combined with carbonic acid. It is frequently argillaceous, and mixed with earthy and carbonaceous matters. Throughout the counties enumerated, this mineral is found only in the gravels, sands and clays which overlie the rocks, and may be defined as an alluvial deposit from the waters which have percolated soils charged with lime. On reaching the surface, the water parts with a portion of its carbonic acid, and becomes no longer capable of holding lime in solution, which is then deposited in the form of a pulverulent, chalky substance, in the beds of lakes or beneath the peat marshes.

“As carbonate of lime is a constituent of the covering of molluscous animals, these circumstances are favorable to the collection of great numbers of shells, so that these not unfrequently constitute even the main portion of the bed itself, which may then receive the name of ‘shell marl.’

“That form of lime which is called tufa, has a similar origin. It differs in external character, being hard, light and porous, and is that which is familiarly known as ‘honey-comb lime.’ This characteristic difference is the result of circumstances, not of composition. Tufa is formed in situations which allow access of air, when a strong union of the particles takes place. Marl being always deposited under water, or beneath the peat of bogs, the surrounding

\* See p. 312. † See p. 312. ‡ See pp. 291, 309, 315.

§ See p. 316.

fluid prevents cohesion. This condition is that which is very commonly designated as bog lime."

p. 95. "Thus, according to circumstances, we find a variety of forms assumed by these deposits, from a 'tufaceous marl,' in which the particles have but partially cohered, to a hard 'tufa' or travertin rock, appearing as ledges in exposed hillsides.

"All these recent fresh water limes exist in great abundance in most of the counties enumerated, as well as throughout the interior of the State. In the northern part of Hillsdale,\* and the counties of Washtenaw and Oakland, in particular, so extensive and universally distributed are the beds of this useful mineral, that an attempt to ascertain and enumerate all the places in which it exists, is unnecessary, if not impossible.

"But notwithstanding its wide distribution, the uses, and even the existence of this mineral are so little known or heeded, even by those who have most reason to appreciate its value, that I shall adventure some remarks upon its application to practical purposes, and the method of ascertaining its presence.

"For making quicklime, the value of marl and tufa is already appreciated in those parts of our State which, like the counties under review, are nearly destitute of lime rock. Consequently these have supplied the deficiency, and been applied to all the purposes of the best rock lime. Though somewhat inferior in strength, the lime thus obtained is even preferred for particular purposes. It is said, for instance, to be preferable as a wash, owing to its superior whiteness. Its real value is frequently underrated from its not being sufficiently burned; marl being erroneously supposed to require a less degree of heat than limestone.

"Some of the largest deposits of tufa I have met with are formed along the banks of the Huron Valley, between Ypsilanti and Dexter, at several of which, large quantities of lime are manufactured.

"The circumstances which may give rise to the formation of either tufa or shell-marl, where the same source of supply exists, are hereby exemplified. Ledges of tufa occupy the elevated sides of the valley, while copious springs discharging from its foot, occasion a peat morass between it and the river, beneath which is a body of soft marl several feet in thickness.

"Impressions of leaves and branches of trees, and even bones of animals, are numerous in some portions of the tufa, these substances have evidently served as nuclei around which the particles of lime were deposited from the water of the springs, thus both giving an interesting character to the bed and illustrating its formation."

p. 98. "After this recommendation of marl, it may be expected that I advise under what circumstances to look for it. Marl is frequently to be recognized by its light ash color, about the margin and occupying the shallows of lakes. In general, the marl which is most obtainable, will be found to be overlaid by peat or muck of the marshes, often at a depth of several feet. Sometimes its presence, under these circumstances, is indicated by a slight coat-

---

\*Location of Omega plant.

ing of lime visible upon the vegetation on the surface. The growth of the marl bed often causes the overlying bog to swell up into a protuberant form. But such indications are not always visible, and then trial may be made by thrusting down a pole or rod through the peat, when sufficient of the marl, if there be any, will adhere, usually, to make known its presence.

"Every farmer ought to examine well his marshes with this view, and if there is any reason to believe that marl exists there, to test the question fully by digging.

"It may be advisable to raise the marl in the fall and subject it to the action of the winter's frost, in order to bring it to a pulverized state previous to use upon land."

p. 55. "Marl, which is more universally distributed than any other of the calcareous manures of this district, and which will, in consequence of this fact, admit of a universal application, is in itself more valuable for this purpose than limestone, since it generally contains vegetable and animal matter in combination, and its effects are more immediate. It exists in a state of minute subdivision, and is in a condition prepared to become directly a constituent of the soil, while it is necessary that limestone, as well as gypsum, should first be reduced to powder."

### *Marl.*

"Deposits of marl were found in nearly every town in the counties under consideration, occurring in beds and banks of lakes and streams, in marshes, as well as occasionally, on the more elevated and dry lands, at a considerable distance from water.

"This latter position is not unfrequent, but marls found in this situation, invariably show that they occupy what has heretofore been the bed of of some lake or pool. Thus the marl does not seem to be confined to any particular soil or geological position.

"For further particulars, respecting the origin and formation of marl, I refer you to Mr. Hubbard's report."

### *Local Details of Marl. Jackson County.*

"Shell-marl occurs more or less abundantly in the town of Napoleon, on Sections 12, 14, 15, and 19, and other deposits of minor importance were also noticed in this town.

"In the town of *Columbus*, marl occurs, forming very extensive deposits in the vicinity of Clark's Lake. It also occurs abundantly on Sections 8, 9, 13, 19, 28, and 29, in the same town. Several of these deposits have an area of more than one hundred acres.\*

"Several very extensive beds of marl were noticed in the (P. 56) town of *Liberty*, on Sections 11, 13, 23, 24, and 27, as well as in the bed of Powell's Lake and its vicinity.

---

\*These deposits are not far from the Peninsular plant.

"The town of *Spring Arbor* abounds in extensive beds of marl, which were more particularly noticed on Sections 21, 28, and 29.\*

"*Hanover*.—A bed of marl having an area of more than one hundred acres, was noticed, forming a portion of the bed and banks of Farwell's Lake. Inexhaustible deposits of shell and tufaceous marl occur near a lake which forms the head of Kalamazoo River.†

"Town of *Sandstone*.—Marl is not unfrequently met with in making excavations in the marshes in this town. It was noticed near the village of Barry, and also on the farm of Hon. Mr. Gridley.

"*Pulaski*.—Marl occurs in abundance in many of the lakes and marshes of this town. A very extensive bed of shell and tufaceous marl was noticed on the farm of Isaac N. Swain, Sec. 2, occupying an area of more than 60 acres, and having a thickness exceeding six feet. An extensive bed was also noticed on Section 25.

"*Rives*.—A somewhat extensive deposit of marl occurs on Section 9.

"*Leoni*.—Marl, in inexhaustible quantities, occurs near the outlet of Wolf Lake, and also upon Sections 4, 11, 12, 22, and 23.

"Town of *Jackson*.—Marl occurs in this town, in abundance, on Sections 20, 21, 26, 27, and 31 (town 3 south, range 7 west), and also on Section 31 (town 2 south, range 1 west).

"*Concord*.—Several extensive beds of marl occur in this town which were more particularly examined on Sections 8 and 9. Also in the bed and banks of the Kalamazoo River.

"*Grass Lake*.—On Sections 13 and 29,‡ in this town, extensive beds of shell-marl were examined.

"*Springport*.—An extensive bed of marl occurs on Section 15.

"*Tompkins*.—An extensive bed of shell-marl was examined on Section 17, in this town."

#### *Eaton County.*

p. 57. "*Kalamo*.—Several very extensive beds of marl were observed on Sections 22 and 25 (town 2 north, range 6 west), and on Sections 19 (range 5 west)."

#### *Kalamazoo County.*

"*Texas*.—Shell and tufaceous marl occur in beds of several lakes in this town. Also on Sections 31 and 32 of the same town, is an extensive deposit of this mineral.

"*Alamo*.—On Sections 1, 9, 12, and 24, extensive beds of marl were examined.

"*Cooper*.—Marl is not unfrequently met with in the alluvial lands in the vicinity of the Kalamazoo River.

"*Ross*.—Marl was noticed in several of the lakes and marshes of this town.

\*This is the location of the Pyramid Portland Cement Co.: the Peerless have recently bought beds here.

†These deposits are not far from the Omega plant.

‡Location of the Zenith plant.

"*Kalamazoo*.—Tufaceous and shell-marls occur in a large marsh and in the valley of a small stream northwest from the village of Kalamazoo.\*

"*Chester*.—Extensive deposits of marl abound in this town, on Sections 4, 9, 10, 11, 12, and 24."

*Calhoun County.*

"Marl occurs at intervals† through this county in the alluvial lands of the Kalamazoo River, and pebbles and boulders are not unfrequently seen in the bed of the stream, incrustated with a thick coat of tufaceous marl.

"*Milton*.—Marl was observed in this town on the farm of Hon. S. McCamly. It also occurs in several of the small lakes and streams.

"*Marengo*.—Marl is not of very frequent occurrence in this town. An extensive bed was observed on Sections 1 and 2.

"Marl was observed in the town of *Marshall*, near the Hon. Mr. Pierce's mills. Also, in comparatively small quantity, in the lowlands between the village of Marshall and the Kalamazoo River."

*Kent County.*

p. 58. "Town 6 North, Range 2 West. Tufa occurs in this township in the bed of the Flat River, on Section 26, in a very extensive deposit.‡

"Marl was observed on Sections 3 and 8, Township 6 north, Range 12 west.

"Extensive deposits of shell-marl occur on Sections 22 and 23, township 7 north, range 10 west.

"Marl was examined in township 8 north, range 11 west, on Sections 13 and 14, in a dry burr oak plain."

*Ionia County.*

"Tufaceous‡ marl occurs in inexhaustible quantities in the vicinity of Lyon, town, Maple P. O. Incrustated in some portions of the tufa, are quantities of leaves, recent shells, and in one instance have been found the vertebra and other remains of a large snake.

"Marl occurs on Section 1, township 6 north, range 5 west; its extent unknown.

"Extensive beds of shell and tufaceous marl exist near Mr. Dexter's mill in the village of Ionia. Also, in the bed and banks of several of the small streams west of Ionia village.

"Extensive beds of marl occur on Sections 10, 11 and 22, township 8 north, range 8 west.

"This abstract of the locations of this valuable mineral only includes some of the most extensive deposits. It is sufficient, how-

\*Probably the site of the original Portland cement plant.

†Six places are noted on the map issued by the Douglass Houghton Survey.

‡This is tufa and not the bog lime desired by cement plants.

ever, to render it apparent that marl is distributed in sufficient abundance to afford a ready supply for use as a manure, as also for the manufacture of quick lime. It is within the reach of every man to obtain this restorative for his soils or a lime for economical purposes; an article of which otherwise much of the country would be nearly destitute."

p. 73 (Report of C. C. Douglass).

The great profusion in which the deposit is distributed through the counties of VanBuren, Allegan, and Ottawa, is deemed a sufficient reason for noticing a few of the most extensive deposits.

On Sections 20 and 21, half a mile northeast from Mr. Newell's steam mill, on Maskego Lake, is a very extensive deposit of shell-marl that may be profitably used as a manure on the sandy lands of that vicinity.

Extensive deposits of shell and tufaceous marl occur in the valley of the Kalamazoo River, on Sections 9, 10, 16 and 17, township 3 north, range 15 west, of more than one hundred acres. Also on Sections 16 and 17, township 4 north, range 16 west, there is a deposit of shell and tufaceous marl occupying the area of more than seventy-five acres.

A very extensive deposit of marl was examined on Sections 16 and 17, township 3 north, range 13 west. Some of the portions of this marl are found to contain too much iron ore to make good quick-lime. Care should therefore be had in selecting that portion of the marl which is free from this mineral.

On Sections 13 and 14, township 2 south, range 13 west, marl of a good quality occurs.

Fourth Annual Report, 1841, p. 104, marl and peat, p. 122, marl and peat.

The remaining references to minor, or at least not extensively exploited deposits, will be arranged according to counties, proceeding east and north from Monroe County.

#### **Locations arranged by counties.**

1. (68) *Monroe County*.—The marl deposits referred to by the Douglass Houghton Survey above have been also described by Sherzer in his recent report on the county.\* He lists: Claim 422, the largest; claim 161; claim 520 (?); S. E. quarter of Sec. 24,\* Summerfield; Sec. 7 of Exeter; Sec. 9 of Ash; Sec. 9 of London.

A good sample of marl taken from along the line of the Detroit and Lima Northern was sent in by C. A. Chambers, in September, 1898.

2. (67) *Lenawee County*.—Marl has been reported two miles from Britton, Deerfield township, which is probably calcareous clay and not bog lime.

\*Vo. VII, Part I, p. 200. "No large beds of this substance are known to occur."

In the extreme northwest corner we have the Peninsular plant, and there is a good deal of bog lime in this region, e. g., Lowe's Lake, T. 5 S., R. 3 E.

3. (66) *Hillsdale County*.—Besides the deposits of the Omega plant there is said to be a bed of bog lime 11 to 28 feet thick at Nettle Lake, just south of Camden. It is said to have been examined by Mr. Hunter of Philadelphia.

Another bed is near Reading. It belongs to the Monolith Portland Cement Co., whose officers are L. McCoy of Battle Creek, president; M. H. Lane of Kalamazoo and I. P. Baldwin, vice-presidents; H. T. Harvey of Battle Creek, secretary; G. B. Tompkins of Sturgis is treasurer.

Another deposit is at Sand Lake, three and one-half miles west of Hillsdale, Sec. 1, T. 6 S., R. 3 W.

4. (65) *Branch County*.—The plants of this county have already been described by Mr. Hale, and in connection with factories. The county is very rich in bog lime. See the descriptions of the plants at, and visits to, Quincy, Coldwater, Bronson, Union City.

Still other factories are planned at Helmer on the Fort Wayne branch of the L. S. & M. S. R. R. and just west of Coldwater on the river.

5. (64) *St. Joseph County*.—Marl beds near Sturgis have been tested by the same people interested in Bristol and Turkey Lakes, Indiana.

6. (63) *Cass County*.—Near Vandalia at Donald's Lake, Sections 31 and 32, T. 6 S., R. 1 W, is said to be a large deposit, in some places over 25 feet deep.

Near Dowagiac, just north of town, in the lowlands of this old glacial drainage valley, is bog lime. It is said that there are 600 acres, running from 18 to 28 feet in depth, with a percentage of from 75 to 84% calcium carbonate.

Near Niles, beside the bed described by Mr. Hale,\* on the farm of R. A. Walton, within half a mile of the C. C. C. and St. L. R. R. is said to be a large bed of marl of excellent quality, along a small stream. Marl was also found with mastodon bones near here.

Harwood Lake on the St. Joseph county line, about 10 miles from Constantine, is said to be surrounded by bog lime. The circumference is about 2 miles and the depth in one place over 50 feet. The owner is W. W. Harvey of Constantine. He also owns a 200 acre bed near Bair Lake, Sec. 5, T. 6 S., R. 13 W.

---

\*Page 107.

7. (62) *Berrien County*.—As mentioned on p. 154 by Mr. Hale, bog lime occurs in the marshes near Benton Harbor.

8. (61) *Van Buren County*.—There is marl in Secs. 13 and 14, T. 2 S., R. 13 W.

9. (60) *Kalamazoo County*.—This is the county where cement was first manufactured, as already described by Mr. Hale,\* and Kalamazoo has been quite a headquarters for such enterprises. (Indiana Portland Cement Company, Kalamazoo Portland Cement Company.) Beside the old site and the Hope township regions described by Mr. Hale there are:

Sugar Loaf Lake, southeast of Schoolcraft, T. 4 S., R. 12 W.

Mud Lake north of Schoolcraft.

Vicksburg, T. 4 S., R. 11 W. Around Vicksburg in Kalamazoo County there are lakes with abundant marl, and directly west is a shaking bog (bog lime) where the Grand Trunk has had much difficulty in maintaining grade. The neighborhood is heavily covered with drift with a good deal of sand.

Near Climax, "100 acres with 20 feet of marl."

10. (59) *Calhoun County*.—Homer Lake on the farm of H. O. Cook, and under the lake and under 120 acres of the marsh is bog lime varying from 10 to 30 feet in depth. This bed lies west of the town, and there is said to be a bed of greater area around Kessler's Lakes north of town.

As mentioned in the early reports there are also marl beds of size in Eckford township.

Near the north line of the county, close to Bellevue at Mud Lake are large deposits. See Eaton County. Also in Convis township, on Kinyon Lake (the Creed farm), is a bed.

On the Torrey farm one and one-half miles west of Albion is a small bed of marl, said to be about 25 acres, at the center over 17 feet deep, and shallowing gradually to the edges, and covered by a foot or two of earth (muck). This is an ideal of a complete and as Mr. Hale calls it sealed bed.

The analysis by W. H. Simmons was as follows:

Silica .....	00.76	00.82
Iron and Aluminum oxides.....	1.71	1.86
Calcium as carbonate .....	87.57	95.18
Magnesia .....	no trace.	
Sulphurous anhydride .....	.20	.22
Organic matter .....	7.91	
Difference .....	1.85	1.92
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total .....	100.00	100.00

It is suggested that this was taken so near the surface as to contain an unusual amount of organic matter. The second set of figures is referred to marl free from organic matter.

11. (58) *Jackson County*.—There is said to be a bed, 2.5 feet thick, of white marl underlain by one of blue marl, on the farm of J. Dooley and neighbors, almost a mile long, about six miles northeast of Albion.

The bed in Rives township mentioned by the early survey is extensive, and has recently been tested somewhat.

The Michigan Portland Cement Co., a forerunner of the Wolverine is said to have contracted for about 4,000 acres around Portage Lake, which lies in an old glacial drainage channel, surrounded by extensive swamps, and to have planned a private railroad striking the Michigan Central at Munith.

A bed of bog lime is reported near Kelley's Corners. Also four miles from Jackson.

12. (57) *Washtenaw County*.—Four-mile Lake, between Chelsea and Dexter, on the line between T. 1 and 2 S., and in Range 4 E. is surrounded by bog lime. It is said to average 36 feet deep of bog lime, 96% calcium carbonate. About 1,000 acres are said to have been secured, \$20,000 having been paid for 200 acres of land.

Marl is also reported from Mill Lake, J. H. Runciman, owner.

Near Ypsilanti is said to be a bed of 75 acres, 12 feet thick, under 2 feet of stripping.

On Sec. 12, T. 2 S., R. 6 E., is a small bed of 25 acres and others similar near by. Such beds are very common.

13. (56) *Wayne County*.—Wayne County is not likely to contain much bog lime except perhaps in the extreme northwest corner.

14. (55) *Macomb County*.—The same remarks apply to Macomb County.

15. (54) *Oakland County*.—This county being high, and early uncovered by the ice, and full of old lines of glacial drainage, and deep holes occupied by glacial lakes, probably contains much bog lime,—more than has been reported.

W. A. Brotherton says that there is marl 40 feet above the stream on Stony Creek, near Rochester. Large deposits are reported near Clarkston.

I. J. Hiller is said to have a bed of 75 to 100 acres.

A small bed of 20 acres and 6 feet deep is reported at Bloomfield Center, with others near by.

The beds near Holly have already been referred to in connection with the Egyptian P. C. Co.

16. (53) *Livingston County*.—Near Brighton and only a mile from the R. R. are marl beds of about 100 acres and average depth of about 12 feet. Lime Lake, Sec. 36, T. 2 N., R. 5 E.

West of South Lyon in Green Oak township are also some bog lime deposits.

Two miles north of Oak Grove is a bed of bog lime, on land belonging to Pierce Elwell and others, on the line of the Ann Arbor R. R. There are other beds on the Ann Arbor line north of Howell.

The deposits around Hamburg and Lakelands, have been mentioned in connection with the Standard Portland Cement Co. There are said to be over 1,600 acres of land underlain by bog lime, which in places is 60 feet deep.

17. (52) *Ingham County*.—There are beds of bog lime near Leslie, one located by H. C. Barden.

Analysis of a Leslie marl is as follows:

Silica and alumina, oxides.....	2.60
Ferric oxide .....	2.25
Calcium as carbonate.....	72.20
Magnesium as carbonate.....	.85
Organic matter .....	12.
Water .....	10.
	<hr/>
Total .....	99.90

There is also a small bed covering about 15 acres, in the north-west quarter of Sec. 24, Vevay, T. 2 N., R. 1 W., belonging to Chester Dolbee. It is now cut through by a stream. On the north side Mr. W. F. Cooper found 6 inches muck, 18 inches marl to sand and gravel; then 20 steps south-southwest, near center of marsh, and gravel; then twenty steps south-southwest, near center of marsh, 9 inches muck; and over 5 feet marl, without reaching bottom. Here the marl was quite white and pure: northwest of this hole a sample full of shells was taken. In general immediately beneath the muck it was full of shells, and deeper down, became a sludge. Under the microscope Chara material appears to be abundant.

18. (51) *Eaton County*.—On the line between Eaton and Calhoun Counties, three miles from Bellevue, there is said to be a bed of bog lime of over four hundred acres, and an average depth of 20 feet. In places it is 37 feet deep and there is but one to two feet of water above it.

There is said to be a suitable clay immediately adjacent.

Around Lacey's Lake, Kalamo township, T. 2 N., R. 6 W., is said to be a large bed of bog lime in places 20 feet thick.

19. (50) *Barry County*.—Mr. Hale has described quite fully the deposits at Cloverdale in Hope township.

Near Prairieville, the township southwest, the bog lime deposits exist, but are said not to be enough to start a cement plant upon.

To the west at Fish Lake, near Orangeville, there are extensive deposits of very good quality as shown by Prof. Fall's analyses. There are 200 acres or more of an average depth of perhaps 20 feet. There is from 0 to 2.5 feet of peat stripping on top. The deepest marl appears to be often close to the water's edge. Though a good bed it is over four miles from the railroad.

Just north in Gun Lake, between towns 2 and 3 N., R. 11 W., the relation between the thickness of the marl and the depth of water is shown by the following table of soundings.

---

\*Chapter VI, pp. 107 to 131.

Depth of water.	Of marl.	Remarks.
4	10	
3.5	4.5	500 feet from the previous sounding.
5	27	No bottom, edge of deeper water.
3.5	...	
5	20	25 feet from shore.
5	1 to 4	North end of the west lake, bottom gravel.
4	9	
3	2	
3	3	
4	2 to 4	
7	12	
8	17	
8	...	Sand at 31 feet.
7.5	22.5	
3	3	Gravel bottom.
e	r	

It is obvious that the deeper water does not always have the deeper marl.

A little farther north, Cobb Lake, Sections 5 and 8, and Barlow Lake, Sections 7 and 18 contain bog lime (said to be 93% calcium carbonate).

21. (48) *Ottawa County*.—A sample of marl from the Lake Shore west of Grand Rapids gave W. M. Courtis, M. E. the following results:

(The sample loses 6.376% of water and volatile hydrocarbon when dried at 100°.)

The dried marl contains:

Organic matter .....	0.790%
Combined with water less organic matter as above .....	0.235%
Silica (no sand) .....	2.528%
Tricalcic phosphate .....	0.150%
Chlorine as sodium chloride.....	0.119%
Alumina and a little iron.....	0.432%
Carbonate of magnesia.....	1.250%
Carbonate of lime to balance.....	94.496%
Sulphur .....	none
	100.000%

20. (49) *Allegan County*.

22. (47) *Kent County*.—Beside a number of marl beds in the north part of the county around Cedar Springs, which have been in part described by Mr. Hale, and the insignificant deposits described in the Douglass Houghton reports, there are other large deposits. Mr. Nellist reports 13 deposits many of them first rate,

though not convenient. The largest he says is in Wabsis Lake, which is in some places over 100 feet deep (T. 9 N., R. 9 W.). An analysis by A. N. Clark gave:

Calcium as carbonate.....	90.30
Magnesia as carbonate.....	3.21
Alumina and ferric oxide.....	0.73
Insoluble in HCl, mainly sand.....	0.94
Difference, organic matter and water.....	4.82
Total .....	100.00

Lamberton Lake, Grass Lake, in Cannon township, and Crooked Lake all contain marl.

There is also marl in a lake on Section 21, Grattan Township, in two little lakes on Section 8, Cascade Township, and in small quantities on Sections 9 and 15, Wyoming Township.

The following is an analysis of a Kent County marl from near Cedar Springs controlled by Stewart and Barker of Grand Rapids. It will be noticed that No. 1 is an analysis of the fresh wet marl, of which we have very few, almost all the analyses being figured to dry marl, or being made on specimens already pretty well air dried.

	1	2
Insolubles (silica or sand).....	00.30	00.42
Iron and Alumina.....	.30	.42
Calcium as carbonate.....	67.66	95.04
Magnesia as carbonate .....	1.67	2.34
Soda and loss of dry material.....	1.27	1.78
Water and organic matter.....	28.80	
Total .....	100.00	100.00

The second set of figures are as bog lime analyses are often given, and show that this, except for possibly too much organic matter is a very good specimen indeed. The stripping or top layer contains much more organic matter,—up to 38%. See also Mr. Hale's descriptions in Chapter VI, § 4.

23. (46) *Ionia County*.—Jordan Lake near Lake Odessa, is surrounded by extensive beds of bog lime, which extend into Barry County. The lake lies on the line. They are convenient to the P. M. R. R.

Mud Lake just west of South Lyons also contains bog lime.

There is also said to be some near Muir.

24. (45) *Clinton County*.—In the Chandler marsh three and a half miles north of Lansing, T. 5 N., R. 2 W., there is marl, i. e., bog lime, as reported by F. R. Singlehurst.

Merle Beach, significantly named, on Muskrat Lake, T. 6 N., R. 2 W., has also deposits of bog lime over quite a large area under about a foot of peat muck.

25. (44) *Shiawassee County*.—Marl is reported right at Owosso in the Abley Addition, in the southwest corner of Owosso township. Also in the Maple River flats marl is reported in some places over 16 feet thick. Over in Gratiot County around Bannister, Mr. Davis and I did not find any marl along the Ann Arbor R. R. in the extensive marshes there.

A deposit is also reported on the farms of M. Carey, R. F. Kay and J. G. Marsh, in Woodhull township.

26. (43) *Genesee County*.—A number of deposits in this county have already been described in connection with the Detroit, Egyptian, and Twentieth Century Portland Cement plants.

Holden and Buell Lakes, Thetford township, T. 9 N., R. 7 E.


Mud Lake, Arbela township, T. 10 N., R. 7 E.

Marl properties around the above lakes were gathered together by Fred C. Zimmerman and R. Adams of Saginaw. "It appears that the depth of the deposit is anywhere from 10 to 30 feet and deeper. In Holden (Sec. 3) and Buell (Sec. 2) Lakes, where the water is shallow, it can be seen in large quantities. All these lake beds consist of extraordinarily pure marl beds of unusual depth and such consistency that it can be pumped from the bottom.

"Analysis of the material taken from these deposits made at the Ohio State University, gives the following:

Carbonate of lime.....	89.39
Carbonate of magnesia .....	1.95
Silica .....	6.29
Iron and alumina .....	.99
Organic matter .....	1.00
	99.62"

The silica is too high if this is a fair sample of the marls, which I doubt.



SILVER LAKE MARL BEDS.

27. (42) *Lapeer County*.—In the Annual Report for 1901, Mr. F. B. Taylor says:

“There is a considerable quantity of marl in the county, and localities so far determined are shown upon the map. None of them, so far as learned, are of large enough extent to form a basis of cement works in the present stage of this industry. No beds have yet been found having an extent of over 100 acres. Because of their present unavailability mainly, the marls found have not been tested thoroughly to determine their suitability for cement. The largest swamps in the county in the eastern and northeastern parts, appear not to yield marl. Marl was formerly burned for lime in several parts of the county, most notably in southwestern Hadley, and southeastern North Branch townships. There is marl near Orion.”

28. (41) *St. Clair County*.—This county may have a few small beds of bog lime in the western part but none have been reported.

29. (40) *Sanilac County*.—This county was reported in Vol. VII of our reports. Marl probably underlies a good many of the swamps, such as the “Stone wall swamp,” in the western part of the county. Some beds have been tested by Cass City parties and are believed to be extensive enough to work. Their proximity to the fine shale exposures of the Lake Huron shore in Huron and Sanilac Counties might be a point in their favor.

30. (39) *Huron County*.—Deposits of marl are described in Vol. VII, and may be sought from Mud Lake northeast to Bad Axe and east to Parisville. It is not likely, however, that there are any very extensive deposits.

31. (38) *Tuscola County*.—Mud Lake in Arbela township has been mentioned in connection with the deposits in Thetford township, Genesee County, just south.

Near Cass City there are said to be big beds of marl 10 feet or more thick. Shale clays can probably also be obtained in this region, as there are exposures of the Michigan series. An analysis of the marl by Prof. Kedzie runs:

Insolubles (silica) .....	.24
Oxides of iron and alumina.....	.14
Calcium oxide (as carbonate 94.32).....	52.82
Magnesium oxide (as carbonate 2.56).....	1.25
Carbon dioxide .....	39.16
Difference, organic, etc., (2.72).....	6.39

Total .....	100.00
-------------	--------

The variation in the items of difference shows how much of the lime is combined as sulphate or with an organic acid.

32. (37) *Saginaw County*.—There is probably no bog lime, i. e., what the cement companies call marl, in Saginaw County, beyond possibly a few inches in swampy hollows.

What has been reported as marl, like that on the Prairie farm, is clay marl,—and only an ordinary surface clay free from grit. For instance, E. Wetzel opened a bed of clay at Zilwaukee, eight feet down and about twelve feet thick, which gave H. & W. Heim on analysis:

	Top.	Bottom.
Silica and alumina.....	65.1	63.75
Calcium carbonate.....	20.4	20.9
Difference .....	14.5	15.35
Total .....	100.00	100.00

33. (36) *Gratiot County*.—Five miles west of Alma is a small bed of 30 acres from 4 to 16 feet deep.

#### *Cedar Lake District.*

34. (35) *Montcalm County*.—Montcalm County is full of lakes, many of them containing more or less marl. The neighborhood of Cedar Lake, a few miles east of Alma, shows an interesting variety of occurrence of marl and has been somewhat investigated, as shown by Fig. 31 and the following description:

Bass Lake occupies a hollow in the sands. The shore is sandy and there is no outlet. Rock Lake is similar but the surroundings are somewhat more gravelly. Marl Lake has already been referred to by Mr. Davis.\* The water is pure, milky white, and this he attributed to the fact that there is a bench about 100 yards wide of pure marl around the lake over which the water is shallow, from two feet down. There is no peat covering over this bed. This we notice in the diagram of soundings (Fig. 31), and we notice too that soundings 5, 9 and 13 outside the shore of the lake show no marl.

Mr. Jno. Webster's estimate of marl on this lake is of marl 12 feet thick, 100 yards wide, over a circumference of 5,186 feet, i. e., 6,220,800 cubic feet. It is quite likely that there is two or three times as much as this.

On the other hand, about Cedar Lake, which is slightly higher, the conditions are entirely different. The marl is covered with peat

\*Page 83.

and at the edge, which is the margin of the lake, drops off to 30 feet depth very rapidly. The peat varies, as we see in the diagram of soundings, from one to five feet thick, being generally about three feet thick.

The land south of the railroad rises rapidly 175 feet or more, and the lake lies in a valley in the till. As bearing on the origin of the marl, it is worth noting that an upward pressure of the ground water is shown by three flowing wells on the road from the station to the lake, which penetrate the drift to a depth of 48 feet. The




Fig. 31. Sketch map of the lakes near Cedar Lake Station of the Pere Marquette R. R., T. 10 N., R. 5 and 6 W.

temperature of these wells is for the first one 49° F., and for the one nearest the lake 48.3° F. It is quite likely that Cedar Lake is deep enough to come pretty near to the artesian stratum and allow considerable upward seepage. This is of interest in considering the origin of the marl. An analysis by R. C. Kedzie of clay brought to the surface by one of these wells, is as follows:

Silica, soluble .....	60.00
Sand .....	3.00
Calcium as carbonate* (CaCO <sub>3</sub> ).....	18.31
Magnesium as carbonate (MgCO <sub>3</sub> ).....	3.00
Alumina and oxide of iron.....	14.80
Difference (water or losses) .....	.89

100.00%

\*It is probable that the lime and magnesia are not all carbonate, hence the difference is too small.

This would not be a bad Portland cement clay so far as the analysis goes, but it is one of the common surface calcareous clays and it is not likely that the lime would come twice alike.

Cedar Lake is quite a little lower than the railroad station and the water level seems to have been falling. This may have helped the peat to spread more rapidly over the marl, and helps to account for the marl extending considerably above the present level of the lake, a meadow north of the station showing a considerable thickness of marl, analyses B and C. In this latter place it is cut into by the stream. When the material for analyses A and B was taken the marl was 22 feet thick with about one-half foot of muck on top.

Of B, which is near the railroad, a sample barrel was shipped to the H. S. Mould Co., for briquetting, and they report that it can be successfully handled at a cost not to exceed 50c a ton.

## ANALYSES.

Analyst.	F. S. Kedzie.		F. S. Kedzie.		R. C. Kedzie.	
	No. A.		No. B.		No. C.	
	Wet.	Dry.	Wet.	Dry.	Clay.	
Insoluble matter.....		73		1.58		
Alumina.....		26		.10		.30
Iron oxide.....		52.54		52.36		.60
CaO as carbonate.....		1.24		.97		60.00
MgO as carbonate.....						3.00
CO <sub>2</sub> .....		42.00		41.12		
Organic matter.....		3.23		3.86		1.50
Water.....	29.95		34.81			84.60
Difference.....	70.05		65.19			
	100.00		100.00		100.00	

Around Cedar Lake, Mr. Webster estimates, see Fig. 31, 13 feet of marl on 20 acres, i. e., 11,325,600 cubic feet, and tributary two acres 11,174,400 cubic feet beside.

Around Geiger Lake (Fig. 31), he finds six and one-half acres 12 feet thick, or 3,136,320 acres. These estimates are certainly very conservative, and as Mr. Webster himself says, a larger amount of time in testing would have materially increased the amount upon which he could surely estimate. Altogether in the region there is said to be some 700 acres of marl lands, options upon which were held by W. S. Nelson and George Reed. Cedar Lake has furnished some of the material for microscopic tests, and for Prof. Davis's experiments.

The Cedar Lake marl is very pure Chara lime, and there is just a small residue, in which angular quartz grains, rarely as large as 0.07 mm occur. There is one well terminated crystal of tourmaline, prismatic with blunt rhombohedral terminations, the two ends slightly different in tint, with appropriate refraction, bi-refraction, and pleochroism.

*Riverdale.*

Just east of the Cedar Lake district in Gratiot County is Riverdale,—where the Pere Marquette crosses the Pine River. The valley here is much too large for the Pine, being an old gravel filled drainage channel of the ice. Southwest of the village, toward a small pond known as Mud Lake, is a swamp covered with peat, underlain with marl toward the center. The peat cover is thicker toward the margin of the swamp.

This also is in a region where flowing wells occur at a shallow depth (38 feet).

In Mud Lake marl, from near Riverdale, the cell walls appear as dark lines, with more coarsely polarizing matter between as the calcite radiates from them. There are also bodies, fruit or spores (?) with a little greater index than balsam, spheroidal in shape, with yellow polarization colors and a distorted black cross of + character, and a diameter of about 0.04 mm.

Olson Lake and a number of other lakes near Howard City contain bog lime, and some of them have been already described by Mr. Hale.

35. (34) *Muskegon County*.—A sample of marl has been sent by Mr. Keating of the Muskegon Board of Trade, but no extensive deposits have been made public. Mr. Hale found nothing pure.

36. (33) *Oceana County*.—H. Kennedy is said to have on his farm in Rothbury, a lake whose bottom is rich in fertilizer (?) of a marly nature.

Marl is also said to have been found near Pentwater.

37. (32) *Newaygo County*.—Some of the deposits of Newaygo County have been quite fully described in connection with the Newaygo plant and by Mr. Hale.

A sample of marl from Fremont Lake gave:

	Prof. Fall.	Prof. F. S. Kedzie.	
Silica.....	2.28		3.93
Iron and alumina.....	1.60		.39
Calcium as carbonate.....	88.25	(44.48CaO)	79.44
Magnesia as carbonate.....	1.40	( 1.39MgO)	2.91
Difference, organic and loss.....	6.47		13.33
	100.00		100.00

Direct estimate gave Prof. Kedzie 34.54 CO<sub>2</sub> and 15.36 organic matter and loss. Thus the indications are that in this marl the CaO is combined in part with an organic acid.

An adjacent surface clay gave Prof. Kedzie:

Silica .....	38.36	
Alumina (and ferric oxide) .....	22.18	
Calcium oxide .....	13.96	
as carbonate .....		24.96
Magnesium oxide .....	8.19	
as carbonate .....		17.12
Carbonic oxide .....	16.45	
Difference, organic matter, alkalies and loss .....	.86	
	<u>100.00</u>	<u>102.62</u>

38. (31) *Mecosta County*.—In the neighborhood of Barryton are said to be marl beds from 20 to 300 acres in extent (T. 16 N., R. 7 W.).

The beds around Pierson are described in Sec. 3 of Chapter VII.

39. (30) *Isabella County*.—The Littlefield Lake deposit has already been described by Mr. Davis, and in connection with the Farwell P. C. Co. It is probably the best in the county.

40. (29) *Midland County*.—This county probably contains no large deposits of bog lime.

41. (28) *Bay County*.—The shale clays of this county, developed by the coal mines, have been mentioned in connection with the Hecla P. C. and C. Co. There are probably no large deposits of bog lime, unless possibly in the extreme north.

42. (27) *Arenac County*.—There is not much bog lime in this county, though there is some valuable limestone. There may be a little under the marshes of the west end.

43. (26) *Gladwin County*.—Extensive beds are reported within a mile of the county seat, and there may be others northwest.

44. (25) *Clare County*.—See description of the beds of the Clare Portland Cement Co.

45. (24) *Osceola County*.

46. (23) *Lake County*.—The principal beds here are probably in the possession of the Great Northern P. C. Co., and have been previously described.

A bed is reported on Section 3, T. 20 N., R. 14 W.

47. (22) *Mason County*.—Large beds are reported near Miller-ton on the line of the Manistee and Grand Rapids R. R., near the west line of Lake County.

48. (21) *Manistee County, and region of the Manistee and North-eastern R. R.*

Through the courtesy of J. J. Hubbell, chief engineer of the Manistee and Northeastern R. R. we have the result of their work of investigation which he has superintended, to add to the notes of Mr. Hale on his trip. This region includes:

49. (20) *Wexford, and also Benzie, Grand Traverse and Leelanau Counties*.

The collection embraces some 52 clays and marls of which samples were taken, and turned over to us in their case.

Many of the surface clays, though calcareous as usual, run lower in carbonates than those from other parts of the State as the following group of analyses shows, in which, however, the magnesia is so high relative to the lime as to show that the material is dolomitic. Such clays will hardly effervesce with cold acid, and may thus pass for better cement clays than they really are.

Lab. No.....	592	593	594	595
Mark .....	65	66	67	68
Silica .....	61.94	56.64	61.10	59.36
Alumina .....	11.58	12.18	13.91	12.38
Iron (ferric) oxide....	3.49	3.59	3.62	3.62
Calcium oxide .....	5.92	8.17	6.32	5.63
Magnesium oxide ....	4.85	4.29	3.91	4.62
Sulphuric anhydride..	.18	.31	.31	.30
Difference, CO <sub>2</sub> , organic, alkalies, etc.....	12.04	14.82	10.83	14.09
Total .....	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00
Calcium as carbonate*.	10.6	14.6	11.3	10.1
Magnesium as carbonate	10.1	9.0	8.2	9.7
Total dolomitic matter	20.7	23.6	19.5	20.2
Difference to balance, organic, alkalies, etc	2.11	3.48	1.56	4.14

\*Calculations below totals are by A. C. Lane and not by analyst.

W. H. Simmons of Bronson, Chemist, Dec. 17, 1900.

The following are some of the clays:

No. 1A. East Lake, Sec. 4, 5, T. 21 N., R. 17 W., 4 feet thick; 1 to 2 feet of sand stripping, a smooth, pink brick clay, surface, high in lime with a trace of sand.

No. 1B. Same location, 5 to 10 acres of it, 2 feet thick; 2 feet muck stripping, with no sand and but a trace of lime. A smooth blue clay.

No. 2. Arendale Hill, Sec. 15, T. 22 N., R. 16 W.; extensive quantity, with 2 to 4 feet stripping; very high in sand and high in lime. A pink brick clay, but probably with some small pebbles.

No. 4. Onekama, Sec. 25, T. 23 N., R. 16 W.; extensive quantity, with light stripping, no sand, but a moderate amount of lime; in appearance like 1A.

No. 5. Manistee, Sec. 31, T. 22 N., R. 16 W.; moderate quantity, with 3 feet stripping, a trace of sand and high in lime; a laminated, pinkish, fine grained clay.

No. 6. Copemish, Sec. 7, T. 24 N., R. 13 W.; small quantity, with 2 to 4 feet stripping; no sand, and a moderate amount of lime; a smooth, pink plastic clay.

No. 7. Betsey River ("Aux Becs Scies"), Sec. 10, T. 24 N., R. 14 W.; quantity large and stripping light; sand high, and moderate amount of lime; a massive, pink clay.

No. 8. Horicon, Sec. 9, T. 25 N., R. 12 W.; a limited quantity of clay with heavy stripping; with no sand, but much lime; a blue clay, till clay, with small limestone fragments.

No. 9. Carp Lake, Sec. 1, T. 28 N., R. 12 W.; large quantity with light stripping; only a trace of sand, and a moderate amount of lime; a tough, plastic, pinkish clay.

No. 10. Duck Lake, Sec. 26, T. 26 N., R. 12 W.; limited amount with heavy stripping; no sand but high in lime; a pink clay.

No. 11. Cedar Run, Sec. 6, T. 27 N., R. 12 W.; moderate amount with 4 to 6 feet of stripping; no sand, and a moderate amount of lime; looks much like 1A; a smooth, pink clay.

No. 12. Carp Lake, Sec. 15, T. 28 N., R. 12 W.; quantity limited and stripping 5 to 19 feet; with no sand but high in lime; compared with No. 9 it appears smoother and more uniform.

No. 13. Traverse City, Sec. 28, T. 28 N., R. 11 W.; large amount, with no stripping; a smooth, pink clay. Analysis:

Sand .....	00.00
Silica .....	29.06
Magnesia .....	2.07
Lime (carbonate?) .....	50.02
Alumina .....	12.03
Iron (oxide?) .....	5.02
Difference .....	1.80
	<hr/>
	100.00

No. 14. Sherman, Sec. 31, T. 24 N., R. 11 W.; limited amount; too much stripping; sand but a trace, but lime heavy; a cream-colored clay.

No. 16. Platte River, Sec. 14, T. 27 N., R. 14 W.; amount limited, and too much stripping; with no sand, but a trace of lime; a deep red, plastic clay; would be a valuable clay if favorably located.

No. 17. Platte River, Sec. 29, T. 27 N., R. 14 W.; amount limited, but stripping light; only a trace of sand and lime; a red clay, similar to No. 16; a valuable clay, but I suspect that the lack of lime is due to leaching, and would not be found persistent.

No. 20. North and east of Wexford, Sec. 23, T. 25 N., R. 11 W.; amount small, and amount of stripping unknown; high in sand and lime; a buff, brick clay.

No. 22A. Dean's Mill, Sec. 30, T. 24 N., R. 11 W.; one hundred acres of it, with 1 foot of stripping; no sand and only a trace of lime; a buff clay with roots in it; valuable if not merely a superficial layer.

No. 22B. Dean's, Sherman, same section; a large amount directly under 22A; analysis as follows:

Sand .....	trace
Silica .....	41.76
Alumina and iron oxides.....	17.16
Lime (oxide?).....	16.00
Magnesia .....	5.62
Loss (on ignition?).....	19.02
Difference .....	0.44
	<hr/>
Total .....	100.00

This analysis shows that the clay 22A is probably due merely to superficial leaching, and will be irregular in thickness. No. 22B is a smooth, pink clay with a resemblance to No. 1A, etc.

No. 22C. Dean's, Sherman, same locality and directly under 22B; large amount; analysis as follows:

Sand .....	trace
Silica .....	47.68
Aluminum and iron oxides.....	17.70
Lime (oxide?) .....	12.50
Magnesia .....	5.38
Loss .....	16.42
Difference .....	0.32
	<hr/>
Total .....	100.00

A drab, plastic clay, a shade bluer than 22B.

No. 22D. Dean's Mill, same section; stripping of 22C; amount extensive; with no sand, and only a trace of lime, according to report, but the sample with the survey effervesces freely; apparently the same as 22C.

No. 23. Northport, Leelanau County, Sec. 10, T. 31 N., R. 11 W.; large amount, but 3 feet of stripping; no sand and a moderate amount of lime; a good deal like the clay at Manistee, No. 5.

No. 24. Meesick, Sec. 11, T. 23 N., R. 12 W.; large quantity with light stripping; no sand, and a moderate amount of lime, much like No. 23.

No. 25. Eddington farm, Sec. 25, T. 27 N., R. 13 W.; extensive quantity with light stripping; high in sand, and moderate in lime; a dark red clay, with some small pebbles. Apparently a till clay of little value.

No. 26A. Stanley's clay, Harrietta, Part I, p. 3, this report, probably, large amount with 2 or 3 feet of stripping; analysis (compare analysis 24 of Part I):

Sand .....	0.00
Silica .....	55.60
Magnesia .....	12.00
Loss on ignition (?).....	15.60
Difference (calcium oxide?).....	16.80

No. 27. Carp Lake, Sec. 12, T. 28 N., R. 12 W.; amount limited and stripping heavy; no sand but much lime; much like 26A.

No. 28. Carp Lake, same section as No. 27; large amount and no stripping; no sand and moderate amount of lime; one of the common, smooth red clays.

No. 29. Manistee, Sec. 30, T. 22 N., R. 18 W.; large amount and no stripping; much sand and a moderate amount of lime; contains some small pebbles,—a till clay.

No. 30. Monroe Center, Sec. 6, T. 25 N., R. 11 W.; large amount, with 1 to 3 feet of stripping; no sand and no lime; a greenish, somewhat vari-colored clay, and if the sample is a fair one, it ought to be a very valuable clay.

No. 31A. Russell's farm, Sec. 22, T. 21 N., R. 18 W.; a very large amount with no stripping; only a trace of sand and a moderate amount of lime; one of the common type of smooth, pink plastic clay.

No. 31B. Russell's farm, same location; 2 to 3 feet thick with No. 31A as a stripping; with much sand and a moderate amount of lime; these two clays together could be nicely combined in making brick.

No. 32. Sherman, Sec. 31, T. 24 N., R. 11 W.; large amount with light stripping; no sand and no lime; smooth, with a greenish tinge; apparently a valuable clay for cement or other purposes.

No. 33. Near Sherman, Sec. 25, T. 24 N., R. 12 W.; large amount with light stripping; no sand and a moderate amount of lime; a smooth, pink plastic clay.

No. 34. On Manistee River, Sec. 10, T. 23 N., R. 12 W.; amount unknown, but no stripping; no sand and a moderate amount of lime; a smooth, red clay.

No. 35. Bear Creek, Sec. 6, T. 22 N., R. 14 W.; very large amount with very light stripping; no sand and a moderate amount of lime; a very smooth clay, in color between blue and purplish drab.

No. 36. Platte township, Sec. 29, T. 27 N., R. 14 W.; limited amount with light stripping; only a trace of sand or lime; the sample, however, is a common pink clay, probably a till clay, with small pebbles, and free effervescence in acids.

No. 37. State Lumber Co., S. W. quarter of N. E. quarter, Sec. 22, T. 26 N., R. 14 W.; high in sand with a trace of lime; a pink clay.

No. 38. Jas. Case, Homestead P. O.; southwest quarter of northwest quarter, Sec. 22, T. 26 N., R. 14 W.; no sand and moderate in lime.

No. 39. Carp Lake, Sec. 12, T. 28 N., R. 12 W.; see No. 9; large amount, with moderate amount of stripping; a trace of sand and moderate amount of lime; a reddish clay.

No. 40. Carp Lake, same location as No. 39; a large amount with moderate stripping; similar in sand, lime and appearance.

No. 42A. Brosch Estate, Traverse City, Sec. 1, T. 27 N., R. 11 W.; 80 acres of it, 2 feet thick; stripping light; analysis:

Sand .....	00.00
Calcium oxide.....	3.15
Magnesium oxide.....	0.31
Aluminum and iron oxides.....	32.79
Silica .....	60.62
Difference .....	3.13
	<hr/>
Total .....	100.00

No. 42B. Same location as 42A; 80 acres of unknown depth, with 2 feet of stripping; no sand and a moderate amount of lime. This appears to be the main bed of reddish clay, of which A is a superficial layer, produced by leaching. They are very similar in looks.

No. 43. B. Hoke, Sherman, southwest quarter of the southwest quarter, of Sec. 29, T. 24 N., R. 11 W.; moderate amount with light stripping; high in sand, and moderate in lime; a blue clay.

No. 44. Southeast quarter of the southeast quarter, of Sec. 18, T. 24 N., R.12 W.; with a trace of sand and moderate in lime; a pink clay.

No. 45. Corey, Wexford Corners, northeast quarter of the northwest quarter, Sec. 18, T. 24 N., R. 11 W.; with a trace of sand, and moderate in lime; a pink clay.

No. 47A. Lake Bluff, north of Leland, Sec. 4, T. 30 N., R. 12 W.; bluff 200 feet high, with no stripping; free from sand with a moderate amount of lime; a typical pink till clay; the sample is not free from pebbles and sand, though they are not abundant.

No. 48A. Lake Leelanau, Sec. 11, T. 30 N., R. 12 W.; large amount with light stripping; no sand but high in lime; a smooth, pink clay.

No. 48B. Lake Leelanau, layer below 48A; similar in character; very smooth.

No. 48C. Lake Leelanau, layer below 48A; similar in character.

No. 52. Sec. 19, T. 24 N., R. 11 W.; small amount with heavy stripping; a large amount of sand, but low in lime.

No. 53. Clay to be used at Baldwin; a smooth, pink clay, freely effervescing; one of the common surface clays. No. 60 is a calcareous shale from the bay shore near Petoskey. No. 15 was a clay from the foundation of the new pumping station at Detroit, of the water-works; a green sand clay, freely effervescing. The remaining numbers are of marls, many of them not along the line of the road.

No. 54 was a very fine specimen of almost pure calcium carbonate, bog lime, or marl, from Baldwin.

No. 55 was supposed to be an average sample, perhaps not quite so fine, showing some root marks and some shells.

No. 56. Bronson Lake, Sec. 4, T. 26 N., R. 13 W.; a widening of the Platte River; dark blue with quite a number of shells.

No. 57 is from Newaygo.

No. 58. This is from the "Bigmarsh," a filled lake connected with the Betsey ("Aux Becs Scies") River, Sections 1 and 2, T. 25 N., R. 13 W.; said to cover 988 acres, varying from 6 to 35 feet in depth, and (as a result of 600 soundings) to contain about 23,000,000 cubic yards. The sample has a slight bluish tinge, and a good many shells, and appears quite as good as most commercial marls, that of the Peninsular plant, for instance.

No. 59. From Carp Lake, Sec. 24, T. 30 N., R. 12 W.; at the narrows; a good-looking, bluish marl.

Besides these deposits of bog lime, there are in this district:

Mr. Farr's deposit at Portage Lake, Onekama, already described by Mr. Hale.

A deposit in the south end of the lake at Arcadia.

A deposit in Little Platte Lake, Sec. 36, T. 26 N., R. 15 W.

The deposits in Upper Herring Lake, controlled by the Water-vale P. C. Co., already described.

A deposit at the head of Carp Lake, Sec. 10, T. 28 N., R. 12 W., as well as that at the narrows, already mentioned.

On the north side of Glen Lake, Sec. 26, T. 29 N., R. 14 W.

In Traverse Lake and perhaps Lime Lake, T. 29 N., R. 13 W.

50. (19) *Missaukee County.*

51. (18) *Roscommon County.*

52. (17) *Ogemaw County*.—Beside the extensive deposits of bog lime described in connection with the Hecla cement plant, and the projected plant at Lupton, the same is reported from:

Gamble Lake, Sec. 11, T. 23 N., R. 3 E.

Devore Lake, Sec. 11; same township.

Sage Lake, a large lake in the south central part of T. 23 N., R. 4 E.

As there are probably outcrops on the Rifle River of the Michigan and coal series, it is likely that good shale clays may be found in the county, although as already remarked, the Hecla plant plans to go to the coal measure shales of Bay County for theirs.

53. (16) *Iosco County*.—Valuable marl beds are said to have been found near East Tawas by H. C. Bristol.

The Michigan series of outcrops in this county at Alabaster, and up the Au Gres River, and exposures of argillaceous limestone, suitable for rock cement and probably of shale clays for mixing in Portland cement occur.

The following analysis, is I think, from one of these clays, taken from near Alabaster and Sherman, and analyzed for R. A. McKay of Bay City by F. S. Kedzie:

Silica .....	58.95
Aluminum oxide .....	14.45
Iron oxide.....	7.60
Calcium oxide.....	2.94
Magnesium oxide.....	.86
Sulphuric anhydride (SO <sub>3</sub> ).....	1.73
Alkalies as K <sub>2</sub> O.....	2.54
Water of combination.....	7.50
Difference, organic matter and loss.....	3.43
Total .....	100.00

Its freedom from lime or grit, and high per cent of silica are valuable qualities.

54. (15) *Alcona County*.—In the annual report for 1901 some details are given regarding the bog lime deposits of this county (pp. 60 to 65) as follows. The deposit north of Harrisville about three miles, near what is known as Ludington's Spring, received some attention in the summer of 1899.

"Marl deposits in Alcona County, so far as examined, were found to be too thin to be utilized in the cement industry. There is from 6 to 10 feet of rather impure marl in the lake on the township line a mile west of Lincoln. About 6 feet of marl, apparently of good

quality, was found on the border of Tubb's Lake in Sec. 31, T. 26 N., R. 7 E., forming a platform 10 or 12 rods wide. Marl deposits 1.5 to 2 feet thick are exposed in a railway ditch in a swamp one-half mile north of Harrisville station, and a similar depth in railroad ditches south of Greenbush on the west side of Cedar Lake.

"Deposits of what is popularly known in Michigan as marl, but is nearly a pure calcium carbonate, occur at a number of points, at Springport (South Harrisville), Kirk Ludington's, Shabno's, four miles south of the Presbyterian church in T. 27 N., R. 9 E., etc.

"A very interesting deposit in some ways is one that is crossing the lake road about three miles and a half north of Harrisville.

"It covers probably not less than 20 acres. I do not know how deep it is, though it has been tested.

"The interesting thing, however, is its mode of occurrence, which is directly in front of the bluffs worn by the lake at a higher level, with no ridge or barrier between it and the present lake. It is wasting away, but the upper part is redissolved and precipitated and passes into a firm and hard calcareous tufa, while as one goes down, it becomes granular and then soft. It appears to be a genuine Chara lime formed by the precipitation of lime by the lake weed known as Chara, but it can hardly be supposed to have been found in such purity directly on the beach of a great lake, and we are forced to assume that it is the relic of a small lake, the rest of which has been eroded away.

"There is enough, perhaps, for lime kilns, but hardly, I think, for a cement plant; and, beside, it is so hard and granular on top that the advantage of marl, its fine sludgy character suitable for mixing, would be lost.

"In general, clay deposits of fine and uniform texture are rather rare in Alcona County, but in the southeast part there is considerable clay that carries but few pebbles, an area of several square miles being found around Mikado and northward from there between Gustin and Killmaster. Although an unsuccessful attempt has been made to burn a kiln of brick at Mikado, it seems probable that the surface clay will in many cases prove suitable for brick or tile. It will at least be worth while to experiment further with the clay, for that part of the county would be greatly improved by underdraining with tile, and it will be an advantage to manufacture the tile where it is to be used.

"At South Harrisville, Sec. 32, T. 26 N., R. 9 E., some brick has been made of glacial clay. It is not entirely free from pebbles, effervesces somewhat and makes cream-colored brick. Some brick has been made also at Mikado (West Greenbush) of a similar quality. All the clays of the county are Pleistocene or surface clays, and it is the almost universal rule that such clays have more or less calcium and magnesium carbonate. Generally the top of the bed is free from carbonates, which have been leached out.

"Just at Harrisville the stream falls over a smooth, well-bedded clay, apparently an old lake clay free from pebbles. If not, it could easily be washed free by the stream.\* Back of Sturgeon

---

\*As at Sebewaing, see Vol. VIII, Part I.

Point, on Sec. 25, T. 27 N., R. 9 E., are fields where a similar clay appears to be present, but it is particularly well exposed where the Black River opens out from the hilly moraine country to the swampy land of the old lake bottom on Sec. 3 of the same township and Sec. 34, just north. Here a calcareous clay is extremely well exposed in the bed of the stream, appearing almost as though it were bed rock. But probably the same bed of clay also appears in the bluffs of the outer valley above the flood plain and at least 10 feet above the river. Here it is light reddish in color, does not effervesce with acid, lies close to the abandoned track of an old logging railroad, and could be readily worked. I should think it would make unusually good brick and tile (see analysis below), though it is quite possible that farther working and testing with auger would show that in going deeper more lime was encountered. Still it is quite likely that an important top layer may have been leached free.

"There are indications that similar clays occur all the way along, but somewhat below and nearer the shore, the highest former shore line of Lake Huron (645 to 655 A. T.).

"The Au Sable River also flows at several points over firm, well-bedded pink clays, apparently free from pebbles but full of lime. A good place to observe them, however, is in a little side stream at Bamfields, Sec. 11, T. 25 N., R. 5 E., where they are well exposed.

"Three typical samples of the clays were sent to the McMillan Chemical Laboratory, Albion, for analysis, and the following reports were received from Prof. Delos Fall:

	910.	911.	912.	Millbury.
Free sand.....	13.98	11.53	38.55	
Combined silica.....	27.60	25.71	22.73	
	41.58	37.24	61.28	61.03
Alumina.....	12.58	7.08	16.37	18.10
Oxide of iron.....	3.59	3.99	5.59	6.65
Calcium oxide.....	13.04	17.70	2.33	1.29
Carbonic oxide.....	17.26	21.00		
Calcium carbonate.....	23.28	31.60		
Sulphur anhydride.....	0.41	0.41	0.67	1.55
Magnesia*.....	6.44	6.52	1.21	.53
Org. Matter.....	3.72	3.46	9.14	9.20
Difference, principally alkalis.....	98.62	97.40	96.59	
	1.38	2.60	3.41	
	100.00	100.00	100.00	

"No. 910 is the ordinary calcareous clay or marl of the district from Black River near the water level, and is free from pebbles or grit. It will be seen that it is composed of about one-third very fine sand or rock flour, one-third clay proper, and one-third dolomite. It may be used for making brick, but will yield a light brick that will not stand hard burning.

\*There is not enough CO<sub>2</sub> to combine with all the lime and magnesia, hence there is probably some hydrous magnesian silicate present. L.

“Samples of clay from the Au Sable valley appear to have similar composition.

“No. 911, from the old brickyard southeast of Harrisville, is a typical tile clay and contained some small limestone pebbles. It will be seen that it contains even more lime, nearly half. Neither of the clays appear to be suited to the higher uses for clay.

“No. 912, the third clay, which lies over No. 910 and may be derived from it by solution of the calcareous material, is of an entirely different character. If the silica is finely divided enough, and I think it is, it would make an excellent clay to mix with Portland cement for the manufacture of marl. I have given an analysis of the Millbury, Ohio clay, which is largely used in the State for cement manufacture, for comparison.

“It would also make an excellent grade of red brick, and very probably also paving brick. It remains to be seen by a series of borings how much of this clay there is, but in all probability field tests showing whether it effervesces with muriatic acid will be sufficient to show this.”

55. (14) *Oscoda County*.—There are probably considerable beds of bog lime, but little is as yet known of them. Some is reported about 5 miles west of Luzerne, near Tyrrell, T. 26 N., R 1 E.

56. (13) *Crawford*.—There is a deposit of bog lime close to Grayling. The analysis given in the Agricultural Bulletin, No. 99, is in error.

An analysis of the Grayling marl by W. M. Courtis, M. E., is as follows:

Water lost at 100°C .....	61.
Dried marl .....	49.
	<hr/>
Moisture .....	0.60
Organic matter.....	9.80
Insoluble silica .....	0.78
Soluble silica .....	0.13
Ferrie oxide.....	1.13
Alumina .....	0.07
Calcium carbonate.....	87.00
Magnesium .....	0.91
Sulphuric acid.....	0.27
	<hr/>
	100.69

Same sample figured without the organic matter is:

Calcium carbonate.....	97.00
Silica .....	1.01
Ferrie oxide.....	1.26
Alumina .....	0.08
Magnesium carbonate.....	1.01
Sulphuric acid.....	0.30
	<hr/>
	100.66

57. (12) *Kalkaska County*.

58. (11) *Grand Traverse County*.—Some of the beds of this county have been described in connection with the Elk Rapids Portland Cement Co., and the work of Mr. Hubbell for the Manistee and Northeastern R. R.

59. (10) *Benzie County*.—The beds of this county have been largely described in connection with the Watervale plant, by Mr. Hale, and in connection with the Manistee and Northeastern R. R.

A bed of 100 acres is reported near Aral.

60. (9) *Leelanau County*.—Some beds of this county have been referred to in connection with the explorations of the Manistee and Northeastern R. R.

61. (8) *Antrim County*.—The Elk Rapids factory is located in this county. Beside this company the "Lake Shore Cement Company" (G. W. Davis of Mt. Pleasant, S. B. Daboll of St. Johns, and others), have obtained options on the lime deposits of Intermediate (which Mr. Hale has described, p. 142, as Central Lake), Grass and Clam Lakes, some 600 acres in all it is said. The shores of this county contain, as I believe, valuable exposures of shale clay.

63. (6) *Otsego County*.

64. (5) *Montmorency County*.

65. (4) *Alpena County*.—There is marl, limestone and clay, abundant in this county. See the description of the Alpena Portland Cement Co. and the Annual Report for 1901. The limestones I believe to be especially valuable.

We have also an analysis of an Alpena County marl, by W. M. Courtis:

Carbonate of lime.....	74.48
Carbonate of magnesia.....	0.50
Silica.....	7.20
Alumina.....	0.54
Ferric oxide.....	2.36
Sulphuric acid.....	0.89
Organic matter.....	12.88
Water.....	1.25
	<hr/>
	100.10

66. (3) *Presque Isle County*.—The conditions of Alpena County are repeated here.

The following are analyses of a limestone and yellow clay shale south of Rogers City, which I owe to Mr. J. G. Dean of Hassan, Tagge, and Dean of Detroit:

*Limestone.*

Silica.....	0.62
Alumina and ferric oxide.....	0.20
Calcium carbonate.....	98.34
Magnesium carbonate.....	0.45
Sulphur anhydride.....	tr.
Organic.....	0.09
	<hr/>
	99.70

*Shale Clay, Yellow.*

Silica.....	66.39
Alumina.....	13.60
Ferric oxide.....	5.87
Calcium oxide.....	.99
Magnesium oxide.....	.50
Sulphur anhydride.....	1.00
Organic, etc.....	10.32
	<hr/>
	98.67

There are said to be marl beds half a mile from the court house. Only about two feet of stripping are said to be needed.

67. (2) *Cheboygan County*.—Very extensive deposits of marl are reported on Black (otherwise called Cheboygan) lake. The little steamer *Eva* of the Onaway-Cheboygan mail line is said to plough through acres of it in the bed of Lower Black River, between Stony Point and Taylor's Landing. Limestone also occurs frequently.

Beds are reported 5 miles from Mullett Lake, and at other places. A sample has been sent me, said to come 7 miles from Wolverine, close to the county line, west and a little south, T. 33 N., R. 3 E., probably near the Cobb and Mitchell lumber R. R., extending over 160 acres, and said to be enough to make 16,000 barrels of cement.

This deposit or another near by has been described as occurring in a dried up lake which has a trout stream flowing from it, but no distinct inlet in an area perhaps 40 rods by 160 rods, and in thickness 7 feet or so. It is bluish, effervesces freely, but not as rapidly as many marls, and appears to be clayey or magnesian. Turns the acid somewhat amber, mainly from a little organic matter.

68. *Emmet County*.

69. *Upper Peninsula*.—The marls of the Upper Peninsula have been relatively little investigated. Beside the account given by Mr. Hale of beds near Munising, Wetmore, Manistique, Corrine, we have the following notes:

At the World's Fair in Chicago Dr. W. H. Tucker made an exhibit of the marls from Naubinway, Mackinac County. He reports them to exist in large quantities, lying in a bed some 10 feet in thickness, which is overlain by but 6 inches of a mixture of marl and vegetable mould. The analysis is reported by him to be as follows:

Insoluble matter .....	3.25
Alumina and iron.....	0.52
Carbonate of lime.....	92.79
Carbonate of magnesia .....	2.27
Organic matter by difference.....	1.17
	100.00*

Compare the deposit at Corinne near by described by Hale, p. 140.

A large deposit of marl is said to exist close to St. Ignace, discovered by John Prophet.

---

\*Report of the Board of World's Fair Managers.

Near Manistique large deposits of marl are said to be controlled by the White Marble Lime Co.

North of Menominee, T. 34 N., R. 26 E., there are said to be lakes with marl, and near Stephenson.

A very good sample has been sent in by W. B. Rosevear from Drummond's Island.

*Houghton, Houghton County.*—A small amount of marl was found in making some excavations at West Houghton.




Fig. 32. Section of marl deposit at Houghton.

- I. Ordinary forest swamp surface, ground covered with grass.
- II. About 2 feet of old rotten timbers fairly well compressed.
- III. About 6 feet of very fine peat, pretty solid.
- IV. Marl full of small shells.
- V. Fine clay.
- VI. Glacial drift.

Mr. W. V. Savicki gives the section of a deposit shown in Fig. 32, perhaps the same, not far from the old Atlantic Mill, but in the thickest place exposed only about a foot thick. It was exposed in a ditch dug in 1899 for the Houghton water supply.

Mr. Geo. L. Heath made the following analysis:

The marl was first dried at 105°C. As the organic matter is determined by difference and as it is not altogether certain that the lime is combined as carbonate, or the potash and soda as carbonate instead of silicate the difference 6.81 may be less than the real amount of organic matter by a trifle.

Silica .....	1.85		
Iron oxide and trace of alumina .....	1.18		
Potassium-oxide .....	0.27	as carbonate	0.40
Sodium oxide .....	0.19	as carbonate	0.32
Calcium oxide .....	48.88	as carbonate	87.28
Magnesium oxide .....	0.78	as carbonate	1.64
Calcium sulphate .....	1.02		
Loss on ignition, CO <sub>2</sub> organic matter, etc....	46.33	difference	6.81
Total .....	100.50		

*Concluding Remarks.*

It will be easily seen that the foregoing notes are a very uneven and imperfect account of the deposits of bog lime in the State. Yet they are enough to show why they are so imperfect. Deposits of bog lime are everywhere present in the State, though most often probably in the higher parts. They are often covered by swamp, and often difficult of access, being neither water nor yet land. The resources of the State Survey are entirely inadequate to make a systematic study of them, and we have depended largely upon the investigations of private parties, incidental observations, and the hasty summer's work of Mr. Hale.

Enough has been learned to bring out some salient points, however, and show that there is no lack of marl which should be more properly called bog lime, in most of the State. It is much higher in lime, and has but few transitions to the calcareous clays, which are abundant in the State, and have just as good title to the name marl, but usually run from 30 to 40% of carbonates. Deposits of surface clays which run low in carbonates are rare, and there is usually a fair percentage of magnesia. An important exception are the clays which are but weathered shales.

I have concluded to append together instead of scattered through the text according to locations a group of analyses which are due to Prof. Delos Fall of the Board, and at the same time reprint a valuable paper which he presented to the Michigan Society of Engineers, with the permission of the Society. Other\* analyses by Prof. Fall will be found scattered through the report, having been received at various times from the various parties for which he has executed them. It is apparent that he and his pupils have been connected with many of the successful enterprises in the State.

---

Namely: pp. 136, 154, 304, 305, 336.

## MARLS AND CLAYS IN MICHIGAN.\*

BY DELOS FALL, SC. D., ALBION COLLEGE.

Portland cement is a chemical compound resulting from the burning at a temperature of about 3,000 degrees Fahrenheit, of an intimate mixture of a certain definite proportion of pure limestone and clay of a definite condition and pure quality. The limestone may be of a solid and crystalline form or in a finely divided condition, in which it is found in the so-called marl beds of Michigan. The clay may be solid shale or the plastic variety that has resulted from the disintegration of the parent rock. What constitutes a pure quality for clays and marls, and what the proportion in which they are to be mixed is a question which the chemist alone can determine.

*Marl.*

The term "marl" from the mineralogical standpoint, is a mixture in any proportion of limestone and clay. In certain parts of our country, notably in the southern states, but not to my knowledge in Michigan, this mixture approximates very closely to the proportion required for a high grade Portland cement. As the term is used with us, it applies to a comparatively pure calcium carbonate with a certain very small proportion of clay, and it may contain a small percentage of magnesia and sulphuric acid.

Deposits of marl are found in the beds of those lakes in Michigan, which, owing to their past histories, are now surrounded by marsh lands, the marl being found in the bottom of such lakes, and extending out under the overlying muck or peat. These beds vary in depth from a few inches at the edge of the deposit to 30 or 40 feet in the center. In places they are almost entirely uncovered, and are exposed to view in such a way that they can be immediately utilized without any expense for the stripping process which must be employed in all cases where there is a covering of muck or peat. Occasionally a layer of greater or less thickness is found, consisting of decayed organic matter lying in the center of the depth of the bed, indicating that in the process of the deposition of the marl, the level

---

\*From Michigan Engineer, 1901, pp. 124, 133.

has alternately risen and fallen. In some cases it has been found possible, by draining off the water of the lake, to uncover rich and extensive beds of marl with no other expense attached to this stage of the work. The composition of the marl in the various beds in Michigan varies to a considerable extent. One bed, which has been exhaustively examined, gives, on analysis, average of fifty samples, a composition as follows:

Silica, $\text{SiO}_2$ .....	.53%
Alumina, $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ .....	.75
Iron oxide, $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ .....	Trace.
Calcium carbonate, $\text{CaCO}_3$ .....	96.
Magnesium carbonate, $\text{MgCO}_3$ .....	.09
Sulphuric anhydride, $\text{SO}_3$ .....	.02
Organic matter .....	3.09
	<hr/>
	99.90

This is extremely pure. Marl is rarely found running so high in calcium carbonate, and so low in clay, magnesia and sulphuric acid.

An average of eighty-four samples from another bed resulted in the following composition, which may be taken as fairly to represent the marls of Michigan:

Silica, $\text{SiO}_2$ .....	2.08%
Iron oxide, $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ }	2.59
Alumina, $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ }	
Calcium carbonate, $\text{CaCO}_3$ .....	88.06
Magnesium carbonate, $\text{MgCO}_3$ .....	.32
Sulphuric anhydride, $\text{SO}_3$ .....	.78
Organic matter .....	5.30
	<hr/>
	99.13

Exclusive of the organic matter, the 84 samples average 93.10% of carbonate of lime.

The above bed is characterized by a strain of blue clay accompanying the marl. This is not a serious adulteration of the marl, except that it will require more constant attention from the chemist in order to produce a mixture of constant composition.

The main points of interest concerning prospective value of marl for manufacturing purposes are the proportions existing in the raw material of carbonate of lime, magnesia, sulphuric acid, and organic

matter. It is desirable that the carbonate of lime should run as high as possible, in order that there may be the largest percentage available of this, which is the most important contribution to the final composition of Portland Cement. Too much organic matter will lower the percentage of carbonate of lime, and clog the rotaries in the process of burning, and, because of this fact, will diminish the amount of the finished product which the rotary furnace is capable of producing per day. With excessive amount of organic matter present in the marl, the total output of a sixty by six rotary furnace might be as low as seventy-five or eight barrels per day, when, with the marl containing less organic matter, say from two to not more than five per cent, the product should be from 120 to 130 barrels per day.

The presence of magnesia in the cement must be considered deleterious to the quality of the cement, from the fact that it refuses to unite with the clay at the temperature required for the burning of the cement, and is left at the end of the process in the form of caustic magnesia  $MgO$ . When water is added it takes up that water to an extent which produces a hard product of increased volume, and hence produces a cracking or disintegration of the proposed structure. In general, it may be said that a percentage of not more than two per cent is not considered harmful. The presence of sulphuric acid in the marl and clay, and its effect upon the finished product, does not seem to be appreciated as it ought to be, for it is noticeable that most of the reports of chemists as to their analytical findings give no mention of sulphuric acid in the marl. The chemical analyses should always state the presence or absence of this ingredient, and the proportion in which it occurs. Sulphuric acid generally occurs in the form of calcium sulphate or gypsum, and it is well known that the presence of it in considerable percentage, say more than two per cent, retards the setting quality of the cement. At the same time, it possesses no hydraulic qualities, but will in the presence of water partially dissolve and thus lead to the final disintegration of the proposed structure.

#### *Michigan Clays.*

Originally it was supposed that the difficult problem for the initiation of a Portland Cement industry was to discover sufficiently large beds of pure marl; indeed, it is true that that feature of the problem is not so easy a task as many suppose, the number of beds

in Michigan being somewhat limited as to quantity, accessibility, and quality. On the other hand, it was supposed that an inexhaustible supply of clay of proper quality could be found adjacent to any marl bed. Farmers and others would point to large deposits of clay which they were sure would prove of sufficient purity and quality for the purpose. By this general tradition, promoters and investors have been led into the establishment of large plants, only to find that they must seek long and sometimes unsuccessfully for clay of the proper material. Not all clay will make a good Portland Cement.

Clay is essentially a silicate of aluminum but rarely occurs without the admixture of iron oxide, calcium carbonate, or sulphate, and sometimes magnesia. While calcium carbonate must be used in the mixture for cement making, its presence in the clay complicates to a large degree the problem of the chemist in making that mixture, and more especially maintaining the mixture in a uniform and constant composition. Many of our clays run too high in alumina, making, upon burning, a quick setting cement, not so durable and permanent as that produced from a clay containing a less amount of alumina. The following analysis of a Michigan clay will aptly illustrate this point:

Silica, $\text{SiO}_2$ .....	60.1%
Alumina, $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ .....	20.73
Iron oxide, $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ .....	5.18
Lime, $\text{CaO}$ .....	1.19
Magnesia, $\text{MgO}$ .....	.44
Sulphuric anhydride, $\text{SO}_3$ .....	3.35
Loss on ignition .....	8.16
	99.15%

This analysis illustrates another bad feature existing in some Michigan clays, namely, the too large percentage of sulphuric acid.

The 3.35 per cent of sulphuric anhydride present in this clay represents 5.6 per cent of calcium sulphate, and this in the mixture with marl would bring the percentage very near to two per cent, which might be considered to be the limit permissible for that ingredient. The analysis above given would be almost ideal if the alumina ran at from six to ten per cent, and the  $\text{SO}_3$  was lower or altogether absent.

Clays should contain very little free sand, iron oxide, or organic matter. It should have a tendency to gelatinize when treated with

acids. The silica must be combined and not free, for the reason that at the temperature at the command of the cement-maker, free silica will not combine to form a silicate of lime which is the essential ingredient in Portland cement. About sixty per cent of the clay should be silica.

Three classes of clay found in Michigan are illustrated by the following analyses from my laboratory note book:

	No. 1.	No. 2.	No. 3.
Silica, $\text{SiO}_2$ . . . . .	49.36	60.70	71.84
Alumina, $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ . . . . .	10.30	20.92	15.53
Iron oxide, $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ . . . . .	3.90	7.06	3.57
Cal. car., $\text{CaCO}_3$ . . . . .	31.01	.73	.75
Mag. car., $\text{MgCO}_3$ . . . . .	1.77	None.	Trace.
Sul. triox., $\text{SO}_3$ . . . . .	3.15	.60	1.24
Organic matter . . . . .	1.00	9.89	5.68
	100.49	99.90	98.61

No. 1 possesses the great disadvantage of a variable quantity of calcium carbonate, the fact that the relation of this to the clay itself is that of a mere mixture growing out of accidental and therefore varying conditions making it very certain that no two samples of the same bed would show the same composition. The clay is also imperfect from the presence of a high percentage of calcium sulphate. The temperature at which proper calcination would take place can scarcely be inferred, but it would probably be high. Clay No. 2 is a good clay; it will burn at low temperature and be economical of fuel. It is rather high in alumina and would make a quick setting cement. Its setting quality could be retarded by the addition of a small percentage of gypsum.

Clay No. 3 is too high in silica; the temperature required for the calcination would necessarily be very high, the excessive temperature being hard to acquire and very disastrous to the life of the rotary.

#### *Discussion.*

Mr. Lane.—There are many kinds of marl in the State,—one kind and another, and the question of clay is an important one. One advice I should give—if I were to give advice—would be to call in the services of a chemist; but before starting to get your chemist, it might be well to make a few preliminary tests yourself. Now

there are two simple tests, which, if applied might prevent two-thirds of the samples sent to my office from ever being sent. In the first test, if you can feel grit when you chew the clay, feel the clay in your teeth, probably it is not worth investigating. In the second place testing it with hot acid, if there is a good deal of lime, it is likely to mean more or less magnesia, and certainly a good deal of trouble for the chemist. Ordinary vinegar or anything hot and sour, is not bad to test it with, if you happen to be in the woods. These two tests will rule out a good many clays. Another point is the question of coal to be used, which certainly is important. I think there is Michigan coal that ought to be good enough to answer the purpose. I have seen samples of Michigan coal, taken from near Saginaw, which show very little ash and very little sulphur, and I think ought to be quite good.

Professor Campbell.—I will say that in our own work here we have undertaken in the past couple of years to do a little work on cement, though our experiments have not taken us far enough along to draw any reliable conclusions. We are trying to study a few of the conditions which exist. In the course of that we have analyzed a number of samples of clay and marl, and in the synthetic work that we are trying to do, we are trying to control the different factors which determine the property of the cement. The chemical composition is only one. It is well known that the proportions are very close between the different elements which have to be maintained in the mixture, but this is only one of the various factors which we are working on. One factor is the time limit, the time for which the material is subjected to a given heat, say 2,300 degrees Fahrenheit, will give the same result as a shorter time at 2,700 or 2,900 degrees.\* These are some of the problems on which we are trying to throw a little light; but it will be necessary to make long-time tests. One of the great troubles that we find in studying the tests that are made, is the short-time tests that are used in making cement tests. They are usually from 24 hours to 28 days, most of them not exceeding 28 days in time, which seems like nothing to me to determine the property of cement. We have had a few from three to six months, and a year, and some that we had kept longer. Cement will change very much after three months, and after six months. We have had cement that would gain steadily up to three months, then drop off at six months, after that gain again, so it is almost impossible to draw conclusions from a short-time test. Now

\*See "Some preliminary experiments upon the clinking of Portland Cement," by E. D. Campbell, *Journal Am. Chemical Society*, Vol. XXIV, No. 10, Oct. 1902.

this question of magnesia is a vital one. In one case, for instance, we have made cement with as high as 6.90 per cent and after six months' test, the cement has been gaining with no signs of deteriorations as yet. Whether this will continue, or whether it will commence to deteriorate after a year or two, time will tell. I do not feel like expressing much of an opinion on the clay that is best adapted to cement work, because the longer we work on it, the less I feel we know or are able to pass an opinion on. I have had occasion two or three times to change my own opinion after working awhile. While some experiments will lead to the idea that clay should have a certain proportion of aluminum, silica, etc., other clays that at first are thought to be not at all satisfactory will give equally good results. So I do not feel like expressing my opinion very strongly as to what an ideal clay or marl should be.

Mr. Lane.—I would like to ask if you have made any experiments as to the influence of the fineness of grinding.

Professor Campbell.—We always try to grind to the same degree of fineness and test the fineness. To give an idea of a single property of the cement, the time of setting, for instance. I think there are not less than six different factors that determine the time of setting, and every one of these may vary, so that it is hard to get at the exact benefits of a single factor. It will undoubtedly be years before we can get at the true nature of what cements are, and the influence of the different factors on the properties of cement. Portland cement is extremely sensitive to water, and quite a difference will be produced by the addition of a little water.

Mr. Russell.—By the addition of a little water when you have reached the turning point, it is remarkable how the strength runs down. The man who works for a dollar a day says, "Turn on more water," and "That is enough" and it is impossible to induce people to see that their structure would be very much better if they would understand that there were quantitative relations between the water and the cement, and that they might learn that lesson from those who are able to give it, and their work would be very much bettered by it.

Mr. Brigden.—What is the least amount of water possible to make a good mixture?

Mr. Russell.—Well, you can work with a trowel a neat cement with something like 22 per cent, can you not, Professor Campbell?

Prof. Campbell.—That is as low as you often get. If you go much beyond that, say 23 per cent, it will run the tensile strength down to a remarkable degree and impair the structure.

Mr. Brigden.—I think the man with the hoe has the better end of the argument in almost every case, whether the work is done by the contract or by the day; you can stand and watch him, and you will occasionally hear from the bottom of the trench, "This is too stiff," or "This is too wet," etc. I know but little about the matter of cement or its development. I can hardly understand what Mr. Greene meant when he said there seemed to be a large opening for the manufacture of water pipe from cement. I had supposed, and I think I have good authority for that supposition, that the use of cement-lined pipe (if that is what he means) was going entirely out of date, and that cast iron was used for water mains, and I think that is true of every portion of the United States east of the Mississippi River.

Mr. Rogers.—I would like to ask Prof. Campbell something about the practical methods for the engineer to determine whether there is too much magnesia in the cement or not, if he has only a few days or a month or so to test the cement before he has to use it.

Prof. Campbell.—I cannot give a method that would be entirely satisfactory, because a great many of the difficulties that are often attributed to magnesia in the way of expansion, I think are not due to magnesia at all; so I do not as yet think there is any entirely satisfactory test. Of course, the chemist's analysis will show the per cent of magnesia in the cement; but then the old question comes up again as to what per cent is allowable. That is the question that we are working on at the present time.

Mr. Whitney.—In regard to this question of mixing cements, I think one feature that is often overlooked, and it may be because of the contractor's haste or tendency to save, is that long mixing has a good deal to do with the strength of the cement, that is, after the water is put on to the cement and mixed with the sand, the mixture grinds the cement finer and makes the water appear milky, and when that condition prevails, you will find the strength of the cement is a great deal more. I want to say a word about marl beds. I have had some experience the last two years, and have probably made something like fifteen hundred soundings. In the question of sounding marl beds, there are two or three points of interest that may be brought out. They vary as to their depth where sometimes we would least expect it, and the bottom of them is so irregular

that sometimes it is necessary to take soundings quite close together. Another thing is that there is a good deal of difference in the appearance of marls. Some will be yellow as ordinary corn meal, and very mealy and be very poor marl, and there will be some that is nearly white, and some that you will first feel like throwing out, when, upon a careful examination, you will find that it is pure marl, but not so finely disintegrated. Then again you will run across sand that is a little closer to the surface than you hoped to find it, and you have got to be rather particular to know when you strike it. Often you will find marl in the condition of nodules\*, and the person sounding will be almost sure he has struck gravel; he can hear it grate, and it is almost impossible to turn an augur through it; it takes a pretty good job of well-driving, sometimes, to get through a small layer of it. There is one other fact I might mention, that of course would be easily observed, and that is that around the mouth of streams flowing into lakes where there are marl deposits, there is apt to be a layer of organic matter over the marl, sometimes very deep, sometimes quite deep, and sometimes mixed with the marl and extending quite a way. I would also say that in sounding with augurs, it is sometimes quite desirable to have two sizes of augurs, as there is often a good deal of suction which pulls off the material at the bottom, and gives you, when you pull it up, the material from the top. Of course the use of a go-devil is something by which you can take up samples from any depth, and is quite valuable. Where there is a mixture of clay it is quite apt to be found toward the bottom, and one can rapidly detect it by the color or by the feeling or the appearance of the augur when it is pulled up, and of course the per cent of clay can be actually determined by a chemical analysis.

---

\* Probably Schizothrix, elsewhere described, p. 90.

CLAY ANALYSES.

Analyst.	Delos Fall.		A. N. Clark.	Fall.	
	No. ....	601 to 604			822
SiO <sub>2</sub> Silica.....		62.65	69.72	69.00	75.10
Alumina.....		23.06	18.96	15.16	12.35
Iron oxide.....		6.82	1.29	5.00	8.21
					1.13
Calcium as oxide.....		1.02	.40	.80	.....
Magnesium as oxide.....		.11	tr.	3.36	.....
Sulphuric anhydride.....		4.23	1.13	.....	2.42
Organic matter.....		2.22	.....	.....	.....
Difference.....		.....	7.76	6.68	.....
		100.11	99.26	100.00	99.21

Nos. 601 to 604 represents the average of 4 clay samples, from near Athens.  
 No. 822. Jackson, probably a coal measure shale clay, rather too high in silica.  
 An analysis by Mr. Clark.  
 635. Kalamazoo county clay.

MARL ANALYSES.

Analyst.	Delos Fall.				
		No. ....	327 to 424	819	820
SiO <sub>2</sub> .....			.53	.58	.60
Alumina.....			.754	.76	.70
Calcium as oxide.....			52.61	.....	.....
as carbonate.....			(93.91)	94.75	75.06
Magnesium as oxide.....			20.90	.09	tr
as carbonate.....			(1.88)	.....	.....
Sulphuric anhydride.....			.....	.62	1.24
as calcium sulphate.....			.....	.....	.....
P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> .....			.....	.....	.....
Organic matter and carbon dioxide.....			42.28	.....	.....
Water.....			.....	.....	.....
Difference.....			3.12	.....	.....

Nos. 327 to 424 is an analysis representing the average of 14 samples from Lime Lake.  
 Nos. 819 and 820 represent the average of 25 borings at Spring Arbor, the Pyramid Portland Cement Co. location.

MARL ANALYSES.

Analyst.	Delos Fall.								
		No. ....	425	426	427	428	506 to 886	740	743
Silica.....			2.658	.371	.332	.452	2.08	.645	.61
Alumina.....			2.658	.721	.729	1.563	2.59	2.22	1.90
Calcium as carbonate.....			86.373	84.973	86.439	89.675	88.06	94.18	93.81
Magnesium as carbonate.....			tr.	tr.	tr.	.50	.32	.234	.19
Sulphuric anhydride.....			.....	.....	.....	.78	.201	.....	.22
Organic.....			.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
Water.....			8.351	13.935	12.001	8.31	5.30	.....	.....
Difference.....			.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
			100.040	100.000	99.501	100.500	99.13	97.480	96.73

Nos. 425 to 428 are from Goose Lake. See p. 233.  
 Nos. 506 to 886 represent the average of analyses of 84 samples from Athens, T. 4 S., R. 8 E.; the iron included with the alumina.

FISH LAKE MARL ANALYSES.

Analyst. Delos Fall.									
No. ....	688	689	690	691	692	693	694	695	
Insoluble SiO <sub>2</sub> .....	.54	.77	.41	.38	.26	.22	.06		.24
Alumina .....	.82	.64	.38	.60	.38	.55	.5		.54
Iron .....	tr.	tr.	tr.	tr.	tr.	tr.	.....		.....
Calcium as carbonate .....	94.33	949.4	93.35	95.42	94.21	98.86	92.12		90.52
Magnesia .....	none		none						
Sulphuric anhydride .....	1.12	.87	.44	.87	.80	.75	1.10		.82

KALAMAZOO COUNTY MARL ANALYSES.—Nos. 622 to 638, except 635.

Analyst. Delos Fall.									
No. ....	622	624	625	926	627	628	629	630	
Insoluble Silica .....	3.47	.82	1.68	2.38	1.70	2.40	1.86		1.33
Alumina .....	3.32	.34	1.95	1.25	3.04	2.46	2.60		3.52
Calcium carbonate in Ing marl as carbonate .....	90.22		.....	92.52	92.55	91.19	94.15		.....
MgO .....	80.93	92.11	92.47	88.16	87.33	86.84	92.21		91.38
SO <sub>3</sub> .....	tr.	.8	.0	.0	.07	.0	.0		tr.
	1.98	.8	1.39	.75	1.29	3.52	1.26		1.82

Analyst. Delos Fall.									
No. ....	631	632	633	634		636	6.37	6.38	
Insoluble Silica .....	1.36	.58	3.4 clay	1.64	.....	1.16	3.21		3.61
Soluble Silica .....									
Alumina .....	2.10	.90	4.05	1.95	.....	2.40	4.07		4.04
Iron oxide .....									
Calcium as oxide .....	tr.								
as sulphate .....									
as carbonate .....	91.26	92.34	88.98	88.28	.....	91.86	88.18		90.77
Magnesium as oxide .....									
as carbonate .....		0.	mere trace	0.	.....	0.	0.		0.
Sulphuric anhydride .....	.96	2.11	1.40	1.36	.....	1.53	4.49		2.02

## CHAPTER X.

### METHODS OF, AND COMMENTS ON TESTING CEMENT.

BY RICHARD L. HUMPHREY.

Structures of masonry or concrete owe their stability almost entirely to the character of the substance which binds or cements together the brick, stone, and other materials used in their construction.

From the earliest times, therefore, there has been an almost constant endeavor to obtain some material which would attain great strength in a very short period of time and which would resist the forces which tend to disintegrate or decompose it. Such a material must harden rapidly, equally well in air or water and have great adhesive qualities.

The material used in the earlier structures consisted of a mixture of sulphate of lime (gypsum) and sand (the latter usually of a volcanic origin) or a mixture of lime and volcanic ash or trass and sand.

Such mortars required considerable time to harden and also protection during the initial stage of hardening from rain and frost, which readily dissolved and disintegrated them.

It was necessary therefore to frequently renew the mortar in masonry by pointing, unless sufficient carbonic acid had been absorbed from the air to convert the lime into a carbonate, in which form it offered greater resistance to the weather.

This material proved very unsatisfactory even when carefully used and protected from the weather during the early stages of hardening, and at best was only meagrely hydraulic.

The most satisfactory results were obtained with Roman Cement, a mixture of fat lime and a volcanic ash. With the downfall of the Roman Empire the art of making this cement was lost and subsequent experimenters endeavored to recover and to equal or excel this Roman Cement. As these efforts became fruitful of results and the quality of the mortar improved, it became necessary to de-

wise some means by which the relative value of different mortars could be determined.

The present system of testing may be said to have begun with the experiments of John Smeaton in 1756, in connection with the rebuilding of the Eddystone Lighthouse. Smeaton in his endeavors to obtain a cement which would harden under water made cements from various materials and tested their hydraulic qualities by immersing small pats or cakes, made of the cement, under water.




Fig. 33. Apparatus for determining the adhesive strength of mortars.

Later Pasley measured the adhesive qualities of mortars by sticking two bricks together and determining the force required to pull them apart. See Fig. 33 (2).

He also determined this same property by building out from a wall, horizontally, as many bricks as possible in a given time. See Fig. 34 (1).

\*In accordance with our custom all illustrations printed with the text are figures. In this paper a number of the figures are reduced from plates containing a number of figures, the numbers referring to which are placed in parentheses

This test was more properly a test for determining the rate of setting.




Fig. 34. Illustrations of apparatus in cement tests.

Vicat gauged the relative hardness of his mortars by measuring the penetration of a weighted needle falling from a given height.




Fig. 35. Vicat needle as originally designed.

The apparatus which he devised for this purpose is shown in Fig. 35.

It was not, however, until 1858 that a definite system for testing was evolved. In that year John Grant, the Engineer in charge of the London Main Drainage System proposed the tests by which the cement used in this work was inspected.

This marked the beginning of systematic tests of cement. The evolution from these few simple tests has been rapid; at present there are numerous tests in use, all more or less rational, many impractical and none entirely satisfactory.

It is to be noted, however, that the extreme methods formerly in vogue are becoming less used, and the better informed engineers are adopting less radical and more simple tests.

During this period in the development in the methods for making tests, the manufacturer has been forced to meet tests of constantly increasing severity. As a result the quality of the cement, particularly of the American Portland, has been so greatly improved that today the manufacturer is able to produce a material, capable of attaining great hardness in a few hours and exceeding in a few months the strength attained by ancient mortars after 2,000 or more years.

Indeed the quality of the modern Portland Cement has improved so considerably that it has engendered a greater confidence on the part of the Engineer, resulting in a rapid extension of its field of usefulness. So great is the varied application of cement in construction that some one has truly said, "We are on the threshold of the Cement Age."

In Fig. 44 is shown the relative strengths of the modern high grade Portland Cement, cement of the time of Grant, common lime mortar etc., which illustrates the marked superiority of the modern cement.

While it is true that the quality of cement has been vastly improved, the methods for making the tests are still crude and leave much to be desired. Nor are the tests sufficiently defined to enable the novice to follow them with satisfactory results. It is only after considerable experience that sufficient skill is acquired which permits of even approximately satisfactory results.

To define a system of testing which will serve as a reliable guide for novice and expert alike in determining the qualities of cement is a problem of no little difficulty.

The object in testing cement is first to ascertain whether the quality is up to a certain prescribed standard (the specifications), and second for purposes of research.

The inspection and testing of cement is an art requiring considerable experience and much skill. The difficulty in making the tests lies almost wholly in the "personal equation" of the person who makes the tests, a variable which renders the results of such tests not only relative but inaccurate.

Another difficulty in the inspection of cement is the fact, that a cement having passed satisfactory tests at the place of manufacture is no guarantee that the cement will yield the same or even as satisfactory tests at the place of consumption, even should the same person make the tests. From the moment the clinker is reduced to an impalpable powder until it is made into a mortar or concrete and becomes a part of the structure, its physical and chemical properties are constantly undergoing changes which affect its value as a building material. It is doubtful whether these changes ever cease. The cement being to a greater or less extent affected by external influences tending to decompose the mass or by internal influences tending to disintegrate it.

The selection of methods for testing is not so easy as it would at first appear. The system should not depend on cumbersome methods or expensive apparatus. The number of tests should be few and simple in execution.

The inspection of cement may be divided into two classes (1) the mill tests or those made at the place of manufacture, and (2) tests of acceptance or those made at the place of consumption. The latter can be further subdivided into field and laboratory tests.

In the first class are those made by the manufacturer to check the quality of his product and are usually as severe as it is possible to make them, especially as regards constancy of volume.

This is due to a desire on the part of the manufacturer to thoroughly test the quality of his cement before it is shipped.

The methods for making the tests in both classes are, however, the same. The tests in general use are for the determination of fineness, time of setting, tensile strength, neat and with a standard sand, for 24 hours, 7 and 28 days, together with the cold water pat test, and some form of accelerated test, usually the so called "Boiling test." In addition to these, the determination of specific gravity and a chemical analysis of the finished product are made

at the mill at regular intervals; most mills make at least one complete analysis of the product each day in order to check the composition.

Where analyses are required on work not possessing the requisite facilities they should be made by some well established chemical laboratory.

While the methods used by the consumer or manufacturer are the same the number of tests made are modified to suit the time available for the purpose and the facilities.

The standard for gauging the results of the tests of acceptance for determining the value of a cement for the purposes for which it is to be used is the specifications. The requirements of this specification should be based on the results obtained by the persons who make the tests. Before fixing these requirements it should be first ascertained what results can be obtained from well known brands of cement by the persons making the tests. Upon these results should be based the requirements of the specifications.

The scope of the tests to be made will depend on the facilities and the importance of the work. In permanent laboratories the testing should be systematic and thorough. Such a system will now be described in more or less detail, indicating where it may be modified to suit other conditions.

#### SAMPLING.

The selection of the sample from which the tests are to be made while apparently a very simple matter is one of considerable importance and should therefore be carefully done.

At the time of sampling a note should be made of the condition of the cement, i. e., whether cement is lumpy, caked or otherwise damaged.

The sample should be taken from the heart of the package as the outer portion is sometimes more or less impaired.

About one barrel in every ten should be sampled.

Where the cement is delivered in barrels the sample can be drawn through a hole made in one of the staves midway between the heads by means of an auger or sampling iron similar to the ones used by sugar inspectors, Fig. 36 (9.) if the shipment is in bags, the sample is taken from the heart of the package with the hand, or a scoop.

When the sample is taken at the place of manufacture it should be done regularly as it comes from the mill and goes into the bin.

Where cement is held in storage pending the result of the tests, it should be protected from the weather, in order to prevent its being damaged.

The samples should be passed through a sieve having twenty or thirty meshes per lineal inch in order to remove the lumps and foreign matter. This is also a very efficient means of mixing the individual samples in case an average sample is desired; where time will permit, the individual samples should be tested separately in addition to the test on the averaged samples.

#### CHEMICAL ANALYSIS.

Systematic chemical analyses of cement should be made in all permanent laboratories, not with a view of eventually introducing into specifications chemical requirements (other than those for sulphuric acid and possibly magnesia) but in order that we may have some data pertaining to the composition of the cement when studying the results of the long time tests.

Chemical analyses are chiefly valuable to the manufacturer. The determination of silica, of iron and alumina and of lime is of little value as an indication of quality. They furnish valuable aid in detecting adulterations with inert material in considerable quantity and in determining the quantity of certain deleterious constituents as magnesia and sulphuric anhydride.

The following scheme of chemical analysis is recommended:

One half gram of the finely pulverized sample, dried at 100° C., is thoroughly mixed with four or five times its weight in sodium carbonate, and fused in a platinum crucible until carbon dioxide,  $\text{CO}_2$ , no longer escapes; the crucible and its contents is placed in a beaker and twenty or thirty times its quantity of water, and about 10 cubic centimeters of dilute hydrochloric acid (HCl) is added; when complete solution is effected, it is transferred to a casserole and placed on a water bath, and evaporated to dryness several times. The mass is taken up with dilute hydrochloric acid, HCl, and water, heated for a short time and filtered, washing the residue on the filter thoroughly with hot water. The filter is dried, ignited and weighed. This weight (less ash) gives the amount of silica,  $\text{SiO}_2$ .

The filtrate is brought to boiling, and ammonia is added in slight excess; the boiling is continued until the odor of ammonia is no

longer perceptible. Filter and wash, re-dissolve in hot dilute HCl, again precipitate with ammonia and filter through the previous filter and wash with boiling water. The precipitate dried, ignited and weighed, less ash gives the amount of alumina,  $Al_2O_3$ , and ferric oxide,  $Fe_2O_3$ .

The iron is determined volumetrically by fusing the ignited precipitates of alumina and iron with de-hydrated potassium sulphate in the platinum crucible, it is then dissolved in sulphuric acid and titrated with potassium permanganate.

The filtrate from the iron and alumina is heated to boiling, and boiling ammonium oxalate is added until a precipitate is no longer formed. After boiling for a few minutes it is set aside for a short time, when the precipitate has settled perfectly, decant the clear liquid through a filter, wash by decantation, dissolve the precipitate in hot dilute hydrochloric acid, HCl, using as small a quantity as possible to effect a complete solution; heat to boiling and add ammonia, heat on a water bath for a few minutes; when the solution clears filter through the previous filter, wash thoroughly with hot water. Dry the precipitate, ignite to constant weight, and weigh as CaO; or dissolve with sulphuric acid and determine the lime volumetrically by titration with potassium permanganate of a known strength.

The thoroughly washed precipitate of calcium oxalate is dissolved in hot dilute sulphuric acid and the solution is titrated with standardized potassium permanganate.

The filtrate from the calcium oxalate is made alkaline with ammonia and 30 cubic centimeters of solution of hydro-disodium phosphate is added; the whole is set aside in a cool place for twenty-four hours; it is then filtered and washed about fifteen times with ammonia water solution (1:5). Dry the precipitate on the filter, brush on to a large watch glass, burn filter on the lid of the weighed crucible. When the carbon is consumed transfer the precipitate to the crucible and ignite to dull redness, keeping the crucible covered. If the precipitate is not perfectly white on cooling, moisten with a few drops of nitric acid, evaporate and ignite to dryness; weigh as magnesium pyrophosphate and calculate to MgO.

*Sulphuric acid.*—This is determined in a separate portion. Weigh out about five grams and treat as in the regular analysis, separating the silica; the filtrate is heated to boiling, acidulated

with hydrochloric acid, and boiling barium chloride is added; the boiling is continued for ten minutes; when the precipitate has subsided, filter. The precipitate is thoroughly washed in hot water, dried, ignited and weighed as barium sulphate and calculated to sulphur trioxide,  $\text{SO}_3$ .

*Carbonic acid.*—This can be determined with sufficient accuracy by means of the ordinary extraction apparatus.

For routine work where quick results are desired the above scheme may be shortened in the following manner:

The first solution may be effected by treating the finely pulverized sample with concentrated HCl diluted with an equal portion of water to which a few drops of concentrated  $\text{HNO}_3$  has been added. Evaporate to dryness on the sand bath until all odor of HCl has disappeared. The residue is then treated with concentrated HCl boiled a few minutes diluted with water and filtered. The silica is separated by filtration and ignition as above, or the residue after taking up and boiling with concentrated HCl can be treated with sodium carbonate and the solution effected with concentrated HCl and water as above described.

While other short cuts could be suggested, it is not deemed advisable since the saving in time is not commensurate with the accuracy.

#### SPECIFIC GRAVITY.

The determination of specific gravity or true density is of questionable value except in the hands of an experienced operator.

In as much as the differences in the results are very small, considerable care must be exercised to obtain accurate determinations.

It is perhaps useful in detecting underburning or adulteration with material of low specific gravity. The adulteration must, however, be in considerable quantity in order to materially effect the results.

A better means of detecting adulteration is through the use of a liquid of heavy gravity and not capable of affecting the cement.

Le Chatelier's apparatus is the best means for making determination of specific gravity.

This apparatus consists of a flask D Fig. 36 (3) of 120 cubic centimeters capacity, the neck of which is about 20 centimeters long. In the middle of this neck is a bulb C, above and below which are two marks engraved on the neck, the volume between these marks,

E and F, being exactly 20 cubic centimeters. Above the bulb the neck is graduated into 1-10 cubic centimeters. The neck has a diameter of 9 millimeters. Benzine free from water is used in making the determinations.

The specific gravity can be determined in two ways: (1) The flask is filled with benzine to the lower mark E, and 64 grams of powder are weighed out; the powder is carefully introduced into the flask by the aid of the funnel B. The stem of this funnel descends into the neck of the flask to a point a short distance below the upper mark. As the level of the benzine approaches the upper mark, the powder is introduced carefully and in small quantities at a time until the upper mark is reached. The difference between the weight of the cement remaining and the weight of the original quantity (64 grams) is that which has displaced 20 cubic centimeters. (2) The whole quantity of cement is introduced, and the level of the benzine rises to some division of the graduated neck. This reading + 20 cubic centimeters is the volume displaced by 64 grams of cement. The specific gravity is then obtained by dividing the weight in air by the displaced volume.

The flask, during the operation is kept immersed in water in a jar A, in order to avoid any possible error due to variations in the temperature of the benzine. The cement in falling through the long tube completely frees itself from all air bubbles. The results obtained agree within .02.

#### FINENESS.

The degree of final pulverization which the cement receives is exceedingly important. It has been found that the coarser particles in cement are inert and have no hardening qualities. The more finely a cement is pulverized, all other conditions being the same, the greater will be its cementing properties or what is usually known as its "sand carrying" capacity.

The test for fineness consists in determining the percentages of grains of certain sizes. By our present methods this is accomplished by separating the particles with standard sieves.

These sieves are of brass wire cloth having a circular frame 6 to 10 inches in diameter about  $2\frac{1}{2}$  inches high and usually provided with a top cover and bottom pan, figure 36 (8.)

What are known as the No. 100 and No. 200 sieves are generally used. These sieves should have theoretically 100 and 200 meshes

per lineal inch and the wire should have diameters of .0045 inch and .0023 inch respectively. As it is impossible to obtain sieves having exactly this number of meshes on account of the impossibility of weaving the wire cloth with sufficient uniformity by hand methods, the specifications should state the approximate number of meshes and the size of the wire of the sieves to be used in making the tests.

The sample for sieving should be thoroughly dried at a temperature of about 212° F., since in this condition the cement sieves much more readily. One hundred grams make a very convenient quantity to sieve.

The manner in which the sieving is done determines to a large extent the time required for the operation. After the fine flour has passed through the sieve the coarser particles pass through very slowly; and since the final operation determines the fineness, it is important that it should be done thoroughly.

The cement is best sieved by moving the sieve forward and backward with one hand in a slightly inclined position and striking the side of the sieve gently with the palm of the other hand at the rate of about 200 strokes per minute.

The cloth of the sieve should be carefully watched, as it is liable to break and produce abnormal results.

The introduction of large pebbles or gravel, retained on a screen having ten meshes per lineal inch, into the sieve, accelerates the operation of sieving.

The sieving can be considered complete when not more than one tenth of one per cent passes through the sieve after one minute of continuous sieving.

#### NORMAL CONSISTENCY.

The percentage of water to be used in making tests of setting, briquettes and pats is of the greatest importance, for upon this depends the results obtained. The paste used in these tests should be of definite or what is called a standard consistency. The same consistency should be used for all tests.

The best consistency is one so wet that mortar cannot be compressed in molding and not so wet as to make a sloppy test piece which would shrink.

The best method for estimating the proper percentage of water to be used is by means of the Vicat apparatus.

This apparatus illustrated in Fig. 36 (1), consists of a frame K, bearing the movable rod L, having the cap A at one end, and the piston B, having a circular cross-section of 1 centimeter diameter at the other. The screw F holds the needle in any desired position. The rod carries an indicator which moves over a scale (graduated to centimeters) attached to the frame K. The rod with the piston and cap weighs 300 grams; the paste is held by a conical hard rubber ring, I, 7 centimeters in diameter at base, 4 centimeters high, resting on the glass plate J, 15 centimeters square.




Fig. 36. Modern form of Vicat needle and other testing apparatus for Portland Cement.

Trial pastes are made with varying percentages of water. The paste is of proper consistency when the piston gently applied to the surface of the paste (confined in the hard rubber ring) sinks to a point a given distance above the upper surface of the glass plate J. (about 28 mm.).

Having determined the requisite percentage of water for neat pastes the percentages required for sand mixtures can be determined from the following table:

PERCENTAGES OF WATER FOR STANDARD MIXTURES.

Neat.	1 to 1.	1 to 2.	1 to 3.	1 to 4.	1 to 5.
15%.....	11.0	9.3	8.5	8.0	7.7
16%.....	11.3	9.6	8.7	8.1	7.8
17%.....	11.7	9.8	8.8	8.3	7.9
18%.....	12.0	10.0	9.0	8.4	8.0
19%.....	12.3	10.2	9.2	8.5	8.1
20%.....	12.7	10.4	9.3	8.7	8.2
21%.....	13.0	10.7	9.5	8.8	8.3
22%.....	13.3	10.9	9.7	8.9	8.4
23%.....	13.7	11.1	9.8	9.1	8.5
24%.....	14.0	11.3	10.0	9.2	8.6
25%.....	14.3	11.6	10.2	9.3	8.8
26%.....	14.7	11.8	10.3	9.5	8.9
27%.....	15.0	12.0	10.5	9.6	9.0
28%.....	15.3	12.2	10.7	9.7	9.1
29%.....	15.7	12.4	10.8	9.9	9.2
30%.....	16.0	12.7	11.0	10.0	9.3
31%.....	16.3	12.9	11.2	10.1	9.4
32%.....	16.7	13.1	11.3	10.3	9.5
33%.....	17.0	13.3	11.5	10.4	9.6
34%.....	17.3	13.6	11.7	10.5	9.7
35%.....	17.7	13.8	11.8	10.7	9.9
36%.....	18.0	14.0	12.0	10.8	10.0
37%.....	18.3	14.2	12.2	10.9	10.1
38%.....	18.7	14.4	12.3	11.1	10.2
39%.....	19.0	14.7	12.5	11.2	10.3
40%.....	19.3	14.9	12.7	11.3	10.4
41%.....	19.7	15.1	12.8	11.5	10.5
42%.....	20.0	15.3	13.0	11.6	10.6
43%.....	20.3	15.6	13.2	11.7	10.7
44%.....	20.7	15.8	13.3	11.9	10.8
45%.....	21.0	16.0	13.5	12.0	11.0
46%.....	21.3	16.1	13.7	12.1	11.1
Cement.....	500	333	250	200	167
Sand.....	500	666	750	800	833

$E=2.3 N A \times 60$  where

N=weight of water (in grams) required for 1,000 grams of neat cement.

A = weight of cement (in kilograms) in 1,000 grams of sand mixture.

E = weight of water (in grams) required for sand mixture.

#### TIME OF SETTING.

The determination of the time required for a cement to set or the time which elapses before the paste ceases to be fluid and plastic is of considerable practical importance. The beginning of this state is called the "initial set" and the moment when the paste

offers a given resistance to change of form is called the "hard set." After the cement has set the process of crystallization or hardening begins.

To add water and again mix a cement which has set is called "retempering." As a cement loses a great deal of its initial strength by "retempering" it is necessary to determine the length of time required for the cement to set in order to avoid "retempering" the mortar on the work.

Tests for the time of setting are made on pastes of neat cement only, as in sand mortars the grains of sand impede the free penetration of the needle.

Vicat devised the original apparatus (Fig. 35) for determining the rate of hardening of lime mortars. In the tests as recommended by Vicat, the weighted needle was allowed to fall into the material under test. In the test as now used, the needle is applied carefully to the surface and allowed to sink into the mass under a given weight, Fig. 36 (1). This apparatus has been described under Fig. 36, two pages before. In this test the cap A is replaced by the cap D, and the piston B is replaced by the needle H. The rod L then weighs 300 grams. The hard rubber ring containing the paste of normal consistency is placed under the needle which is gently brought in contact with the surface and allowed to sink into the mass under the load of 300 grams.

For neat pastes the setting is said to have commenced when the polished steel needle weighing 300 grams, does not completely traverse the mass of normal consistency confined in the rubber ring, and the setting is said to be terminated, when the same needle gently applied to the upper surface of the mass does not sink visibly into it.

A thermometer C graduated to  $1.5^{\circ}$  C. is stuck into the mass and the increase of temperature of mass during setting can be thus observed.

Care should be taken to keep the sides of the needle clean as the collection of cement on the needle retards the penetration of the needle, while cement on the point of the needle reduces the area of needle and tends to increase the penetration.

The test specimens should be kept in moist air during the test. This is best accomplished by placing the specimens on a rack over water contained in a pan covered with a damp cloth kept away from the specimen by a wire screen. The specimens can also be kept in a moist closet.

## TENSILE STRENGTH.

The setting of cement is the change from a condition of fluidity to a solid state. When cement has set, the process of hardening is said to commence. The relative degree of hardening at any age is measured by determining its transverse, compressive, adhesive or tensile strength in pounds per square inch.

Of these tests the tensile test is universally used and has met with great favor on account of the convenience with which the test is made and the cheapness of the apparatus required.

The test piece is of one inch section and is shown in Fig. 36 (5). For convenience in molding and removing the briquettes from the molds, the sharp corners should be rounded off with curves of one half inch radius, the briquettes to be of the form shown in Figure 36 (6).

*Molds.*—The molds should be made of brass or some equally non-corrosive material and can be either of the single or gang-type, the latter is preferable since the convenience and facility for molding several briquettes at one time is greater than in the case of the single mold. The greater quantity of material which can be mixed at a time tends to produce more uniform results.

The convenience in cleaning, compactness and facility with which they can be handled are also arguments in favor of the gang type.

There should be sufficient metal in the sides of the mold so as to prevent spreading of the mold when in use.

The molds should be wiped with an oily cloth before using, this prevents the cement sticking to the mold and damaging the briquette during the removal from the mold.

*Mixing.*—About one thousand grams of cement makes a very convenient quantity of material to mix at a time and will make about eight or ten briquettes.

The French system of weights and measures because of the relation between the gram and the cubic centimeter is the most convenient to use. The proportions should be stated by weight.

The mixing should be done on some non-absorbing, non-corroding surface, preferably plate glass, although marble or slate would do.

If the mixing be done on a surface of marble or of slate it will be advisable to keep this surface covered with a wet cloth when not in use, or thoroughly wet the surface previous to being used. A surface of this character when not in use, becomes quite dry,

and absorbs some of the water from the first few batches mixed on it; this renders the mortar much dryer and materially affects the results, especially with sand mixtures.

The cement is weighed out and placed on the mixing slab and formed into a crater into which the proper percentage of clean water is added.

The material is turned into the crater with a trowel and when the water is absorbed, the mixing is completed by thoroughly kneading with the hands; the latter process being similar to that used in kneading dough. The duration of the kneading should be about one minute. During this operation the hands should be protected with rubber gloves.

An inexperienced operator should mix for a definite length of time; a one or more minute sand glass is a very convenient guide.

If the person making the test is very inexperienced, it may be necessary to use one half the quantity of material.

When the moisture begins to disappear from the surface and the paste becomes mealy and does not stick together, the cement has begun to set and should be thrown away.

Paste which appears to be of the proper consistency at first, becomes quite wet after thorough kneading, while pastes which appear at first quite dry become plastic.

In sand mixtures the mixing should be thorough in order to insure coating each grain of sand with cement. This is a very important feature in sand tests and is often the reason why one person obtains so much higher results than others.

The temperature of the room and of the water used in mixing should be kept as near 70° F. as practical. The air of the room should be kept moist.

A high temperature and dry air in the room in which the tests are made, tends to dry out the test pieces, thereby checking the process of hardening, resulting in low strengths and often in cracking of the test pieces and in some instances disintegration.

*Molding.*—The mortar having been mixed to the proper consistency is placed at once into the molds with the hands.

The molds are filled at once, the paste is pressed in with the fingers and smoothed off with a trowel on both sides. This should take about two or three minutes for 8 or 10 briquettes. The mortar should be heaped upon each mold and then pressed in by drawing the trowel over the surface of the mold, holding the blade of the trowel at an

angle of about  $5^\circ$ . The mold is turned over and the operation repeated.

The briquettes are marked in the head with steel dies while still soft, or with a large soft lead pencil just before removing from the molds.

An excellent idea of the uniformity of the mixing and molding is afforded by weighing the briquettes upon removing from the molds. The variations in the weight of briquettes should not exceed 3 per cent.




Fig. 37. Box for preservation of briquettes.

*Preservation of briquettes.*—After the completion of the molding, care should be taken to keep the briquettes in moist air; this prevents them drying out thus checking the process of hardening, and prevents the production of checks and shrinkage cracks.

The most convenient way to preserve the briquettes prior to immersion in water, is by means of a moist box or closet. (Fig. 37.)

This may be of soap-stone or slate, or a metal lined wooden box, covered with felt on the inside; the closet should hold water in the bottom and be provided with shelves on which to place the briquettes or the molds containing the briquettes.

For the twenty-four hour tests the briquettes should be placed in the moist closet immediately after molding and kept there until broken; briquettes to be broken at longer periods should be immersed after 24 hours in moist air, in water maintained as near  $70^{\circ}$  F. as practical.




Fig. 38. Olsen testing machine, hand driven.

For preserving the briquettes in water either pans or large tanks are used.

The former should be of the agate ware type, since they do not corrode and are easily cleaned.

A very convenient arrangement for tanks is shown in (Fig. 37). The tanks are in tiers, the supports can be framed of angle iron or

wrought iron pipe. Each tank is provided with a hot and cold water supply pipe and a waste pipe; the inlet being at the bottom and the overflow at the top of the tank. These tanks can be built of soapstone or slate, or they can be enamelled iron sinks.

Where pans are used the water should be renewed once each week. Care should be observed to keep the briquettes covered with water.

When running water is used, care should be observed to maintain the water as near 70° F. as possible.




Fig. 39. Olsen testing machine, power driven.

*Breaking briquettes.*—Briquettes should be broken as soon as they are removed from the water. Care should be exercised in centering the briquettes in the testing machine, as cross strains are liable to result from improper adjustment, producing cross strains which lower the results of the tests. The breaking load should not be ap-

plied too suddenly, as the vibration produced, often snaps the briquettes apart before the full strength is developed.

Figs. 38 and 39 show one form of testing machine.




Fig. 40. Fairbanks testing machine.

The clips should be kept clean, and the briquettes free from grains of sand or dirt which would tend to prevent a good bearing.

Care should also be observed in applying the initial load; this is particularly the case with the Fairbanks machine Fig. 40 and constitutes the chief objection to this machine. In long time tests the initial load must be very great, and as there is no way of regulating this load satisfactorily, the variations in the results are often largely due to variations in the amount of the initial load applied. In order to regulate the application of this initial strain, it is the practice in some laboratories to place weights in the shot pan at the commencement of the test, the amount of the weight being dependent upon the age and character (natural or Portland) of the cement under test, the weight increasing with age—it being greater for Portland than for natural cement, and also greater for neat than for sand tests.

It often happens that the last molded and usually the densest briquettes are broken at twenty-four hours or seven days and the first molded or less dense at twenty-eight days or longer. This difference in density may be considerable, in which case the tests may show an apparent falling off in strength. Again, the cement

may begin to set before the last briquettes is molded, and should these briquettes be broken at the long time period, a loss of strength might be again apparent, or even indications of disintegrations appear. All these facts tend to emphasize the necessity of uniformity in mixing and molding in order to secure uniform density in the briquettes, and thus avoid the resultant apparent losses in the tensile strength.




Fig. 41. Riehle testing machine.

#### CONSTANCY OF VOLUME.

One of the most important tests to which cement is subjected, and one which is the most difficult to make, is that which pertains to the soundness. The methods that have been suggested are legion. This test cannot be used by a novice with safety, and even in the hands of an expert all tests for soundness must be made with extreme care.

The object of the test is to determine whether the cement will maintain a constant volume, and develop no evidence of unsoundness or loss of strength. It is exceedingly important that cement

should not only develop strength but it should also maintain this strength.

Tests of this character can be divided into two classes: (1) normal pat tests and (2) accelerated tests.

The former consists in immersing a pat of neat cement after hard set in water maintained at a temperature as near 70° F. as possible. To successfully meet this requirement it should remain firm and hard and should not check, become distorted or show other evidence of unsoundness.

Months and even years are requisite to develop evidences of unsoundness by this method unless the cement be of very poor quality. The accelerated tests are for this reason in greater favor, because results can be obtained in considerable less time—in a few hours as a matter of fact.

Of the latter class of tests one best adapted to general use is to immerse the pat (after twenty-four hours in moist air), for three hours in an atmosphere of steam coming from boiling water contained in a loosely covered vessel. The pat to satisfactorily pass this test should remain firm and hard and show no signs of checking, cracking, distortion or disintegration. A more reliable test, but one which is more expensive and which requires considerable care in maintaining the water at a fixed temperature, is to immerse the pat (after twenty-four hours in moist air) in water maintained at a constant temperature of 170° F.

One of the difficulties encountered in these tests is in making the pats; these are usually made of neat cement, about three or four inches in diameter, from one-quarter to one-half of an inch thick at the center and tapering to thin edges at the circumference. The pats should be made with the same percentage of water as in the case of the other tests. Simple as the making of these pats may appear to be, it is extremely difficult for inexperienced persons to make them correctly. Pats may be so trowelled as to give initial strains which develop cracks during the test. A good plan is to strike the glass on which the pat is made after molding; this rearranges the mass, drives the moisture through the pat and makes the density of the pat more uniform. Care should be taken that the pats do not dry out—this produces shrinkage cracks, which give a false impression of unsoundness. Most pats leave the glass, and unless this is accompanied by swelling, curvature of the pat, or cracking at the edges, it should not be taken as evidence of unsoundness. In some cases the cement may set before the pat is finished,

and when placed in steam or hot water, the outer edge may lift off. This to the inexperienced is also misleading.




Fig. 42. Result of tests of constancy of volume.

Cements should not be condemned on the results of the accelerated tests alone, nor should a cement be considered sound because it has passed such tests.

The results of such tests are shown in Figs. 42 and 43.




Fig. 43. Result of tests of constancy of volume.

## CONCLUSION.

The tests just described constitute those most essential for general purposes in determining the value of cement delivered for use. Tests for determining the compressive, transverse, adhesive or abrasive strength, together with those for determining the effect of frost, action of sea water and the porosity, furnish information having a value for the purposes of research, or where the conditions render such data desirable. Permanent laboratories where work of this kind can be carried on, should be equipped for such tests. Tests of still greater importance, which cannot be used as tests of reception, are those made on the work. These consist in tests of briquettes made from mortar taken from the mixing box or cubes of concrete.

Data obtained from such tests is valuable, inasmuch as it furnishes information concerning the strength of the concrete or mortar taken from the mixing of the mortar or concrete.

There should be some system under which the tests are made, that is, there should be a regular number of briquettes made from each sample, and they should be broken at regular intervals; whenever possible these tests should be extended beyond the regular twenty-eight day period, as it is very desirable to know what the strength is at the end of several years. In addition to the tensile tests, each sample should be submitted to all the tests usually employed. The data obtained from these tests should be carefully recorded in a book kept for the purpose.

Having made the above tests, the interpretations of the results obtained is the next and most serious difficulty which confronts the inspector. It is impossible always to insist on a rigid compliance with the requirements of the specifications, since the failure to meet these requirements may be due to faults in the testing.

It often happens that the person who makes the tests does not use the same amount of energy in each test; this is particularly the case where the number of tests made is large, or the test pieces may dry out or they may be affected by the conditions under which they are preserved.

In cases where the cement fails to meet the requirements, it should be given a re-test before condemning it.

It may be well at this point to call attention to the falling off in tensile strength which occurs at the end of one, two or more months.

Just what causes this action has not as yet been satisfactorily explained.

All cement as it acquires hardness becomes brittle, the length of time required varying from a few months to several years.

In the early stages of the process of hardening, the mass is tough and in a more or less amorphous condition; but as the crystallization proceeds, the mass becomes brittle. It would seem that the loss in tensile strength can be attributed to **crystallization**.

The modern rotary kiln process is such that we can obtain artificially, in a very short space of time, a result that nature requires centuries to accomplish.

We are required to make tests of a material, which for all practical purposes can be considered a stone; it would seem logical therefore to apply those tests usually applied to tests of stone, i. e., compressive tests.

This would seem to be a proper method for ascertaining the real strength of cement especially for long periods of time.

Tension tests should be used for the purpose of determining the relative value of shipments of cement, and should be confined to tests not extending over 28 days.

When small compression machines, capable of crushing one inch or one and a half inch test pieces, can be built to compete with the present tensile machine, then we will be able to retire the tension tests.

Passing judgment on the quality of a shipment of cement, is one of the most difficult problems that confronts an engineer. You are dealing with a material subject to numerous conditions, any one of which may affect its value as a material of construction. It should be borne in mind that cement is manufactured in one form, tested in another and used in a third. Abnormal behavior in the tests does not necessarily indicate its probable action in actual use.

When we consider the ancient structures which were built with materials of inferior quality (when gauged by our present standards) we are impressed with the hardness and durability of the mortars.

Again it is very rare that we see cases of failure that can be ascribed to the bad quality of the cement. Our facts are not sufficiently established to enable us to state just what qualities or ingredients are requisite for a good cement.

We know, however, as far as our knowledge extends, that the modern rotary kiln product possesses the property of acquiring great strength and hardness in a very short period of time and has thus far been able to resist all normal forces tending to destroy it. What the future will develop only time will tell.

Our system of testing under the best conditions is very imperfect and leaves much to be desired.

Without positive information as to what is required of a good cement, and under an imperfect system of testing it does not seem fair to be too rigid in our requirements.

Testing cement and the interpretation of the results obtained, requires the liberal application of common sense and good judgment, mellowed by practical experience.

No better rule can be observed by the person acquiring his first experience in testing cement than, "When in doubt re-test the cement."

The future alone can prove the correctness of our present theories, and in the meanwhile, in lieu of something better, we must accept our present cements with faith in their high excellence as a building material.



Constancy of volume.											
Pat in air.				Pat in cold water.				Pat in steam or hot water.			
7 days.	28 days.	6 mos.	1 year.	7 days.	28 days.	6 mos.	1 year.	7 days.	28 days.	6 mos.	1 year.

square inch.					Per cent water.	Remarks.
Compressive.						
1 cement, 5 Standard Sand.						
24 hours.	7 days.	28 days.	Months.	Years.		

CEMENT TESTS BY RICHARD L. HUMPHREY.

Name.	Sample number.	Specific gravity.	Fineness in per cent of residue on sieve number.			Percentage of water used.	Setting.				Tensile strength in pounds, per square inch.							
			50.	100.	200.		Time in minutes.	Temperature.		Neat cement.		1 cement, 3 std. quartz sand.		Briquettes preserved in moist air 24 hours after moulding, then immersed in water at 60°-70° F.		Briquettes preserved in moist air 24 hours after moulding, then immersed in water at 60°-70° F.		
								Initial.	Hard.	Air. ° F.	Water. ° F.	7 days.	28 days.	7 days.	28 days.	7 days.	28 days.	7 days.
Peerless.....	1	3.04	0.3	7.7	21.4	22	40	552	71-70	66	244	509	633	791	52	187	303	338
Enson.....	2	3.17	0.4	7.2	20.8	20	90	345	71-73	68	183	456	605	633	34	144	236	271
Atlas.....	3	3.11	0.6	12.1	25.9	16	51	473	71-70	68	360	709	870	896	79	180	255	284
Atlas.....	4	3.10	0.0	10.4	23.6	18	58	414	71-73	69	413	711	744	923	71	183	252	303
Bronson.....	5	3.17	0.1	8.0	19.7	20	228	551	71-70	68	117	433	754	774	28	144	197	218
Peerless.....	6	3.05	0.3	8.4	20.0	22	31	428	71-70	68	160	479	578	669	50	167	238	321
Alsen.....	7	3.14	0.4	10.5	27.7	20	5	77	71-71	68	305	487	523	705	65	193	231	270
Alsen.....	8	3.09	0.7	10.7	24.8	22	12	325	71-73	69	360	519	681	726	99	225	286	298
Wolverine.....	9	3.17	0.1	12.9	28.4	18	9	402	71-70	68	361	803	872	911	217	268	314	
Dryerhoff.....	10	3.14	0.0	10.2	27.8	20	8	50	71-71	68	389	540	568	809	74	233	247	272
Alsen.....	11	3.09	0.7	11.7	27.9	22	14	320	71-73	68	494	607	613	810	101	320	301	338
Wolverine.....	12	3.18	0.2	12.5	28.7	18	10	416	71-71	68	386	728	832	840	72	217	276	325

In the test for soundness and constancy of volume, all the *peels* (which were preserved in moist air for 24 hours, then immersed in air at 60° to 70° F., in water at 60° to 70° F., in steam for three hours and in water at 180° F. for 24 hours) of the brands tested proved perfectly sound.




Fig. 44. Diagram illustrating the strength of cement at various epochs, for different intervals after setting.

The above table shows results on samples of Portland cement collected by D. J. Hale and sent to Richard L. Humphrey for testing (not samples which were submitted by interested parties) taken from warehouses where cement was sold every day by retail dealers.

Each sample and each duplicate of a sample were packed separately, first in a paper sack then in a cloth sack, then in a small oblong wooden box just containing the package. Each box then contained but one sample and the sacks could not possibly mix by breaking or sifting.

About 10 or 12 pounds of cement was taken at a time. It was taken as nearly as possible at the center of a sack or barrel, no sack being sampled which by caking showed the effect of moisture, a leaking roof or a situation exposing it to moist draughts of air as between doorways. The duplicate sample was selected in as nearly the same spot as possible to the one in which the first sample was taken. That is, it was selected from the center of the same barrel or sack.

Numbers 3, 4, 7, 8, 10 and 11 were collected at Meecham & Wright's warehouse, 98 Market St., Chicago, Ill. Numbers 1 and 6 Peerless, was sampled from Stevens, Hobbs & Co., Benton Harbor, Mich. Numbers 2 and 5 Bronson, was sampled from Jno. Wallace & Co., St. Joseph, Mich.

The following are the numbers and names of the samples taken:

- No. 1. Peerless.
- No. 2. Bronson.
- No. 3. Atlas limestone cement, made at Coplay, Pa.
- No. 4. Atlas—Duplicate of No. 3.
- No. 5. Bronson—Duplicate of No. 2.
- No. 6. Peerless—Duplicate of No. 1.
- No. 7. Dykerhoff.
- No. 8. Alsen's Portland Cement, from Jtzehoe, Germany.
- No. 9. Wolverine, taken at Thomas Moulding's warehouse, 40th and Wentworth Sts., Chicago, Ill.
- No. 10. Dykerhoff—Duplicate of No. 7.
- No. 11. Alsen—Duplicate of No. 8.
- No. 12. Wolverine—Duplicate of No. 9.

The samples were submitted to Mr. Humphrey with the number only and not the name of the manufacturer or statement of which were duplicates.

NOTES BY D. J. HALE.

Upon consideration of these results the following comments appear to be suggested.

The specific gravity checks the closest of all the tests and would serve to identify the duplicates as such. The specific gravity of well made cements does not vary greatly, and in the case of these cements the total variation is only 14 per cent. The duplicates, however, vary from each other very slightly indeed, three sets agreeing and three varying, one duplicate from the other, one per cent (Nos. 1 and 6, 3 and 4, 9 and 12).

Fineness seems to have agreed best with the 50 mesh sieve. In this test three out of six pairs gave identical results. This was not paralleled in the tests on the 100 and 200 mesh sieves, the divergence between brands in several instances not being as great as that between duplicates.

Duplicates only can be compared as to setting, since different percentages of water were used, duplicates, however, receiving the same. It will be noticed that the greatest divergence in initial set between duplicates 2 and 5 occurred when the air temperature varied. However, in 3 and 4 there was the same difference in air temperature with a difference of only seven minutes in the initial set. It can be seen that even with the careful effort here made to keep temperatures as nearly as possible equable the time of setting is very difficult to keep even, and as a source of comparison between respective brands would scarcely be reliable, as the divergence between samples is in many cases not as great as that between duplicates.

The tensile strength appears from a comparative point of view the most unsatisfactory of the tests. In the 96 tests made there were but two instances in which duplicates gave the same number of pounds breaking test, 3 in which they varied 2 pounds from each other, 3 in which they varied 3 pounds from each other. The greatest variation between duplicates was 159 pounds. On the other hand so often do the duplicates differ more from each other than from other brands that it does not seem as if this test could show which was the sounder of two brands. For example take 1 and 6, 24 hours neat. The difference is 80 pounds; between 6 and 5 which are not duplicates but rival brands, 57 pounds; between 6 and 2, rival brands, 23 pounds.

There can be no doubt that this set of tests was made as carefully as they could be made by our present methods of testing. In a general way some test higher than others but in such an uncertain manner that excepting for the specific gravity test it would scarcely be possible to pick out by means of the record here shown, those samples which were duplicates from those which were different brands. It can scarcely be fair to allow one set of experiments no matter how carefully carried out to settle the question of whether or not our present methods are an actual test or not of the quality of our cements. This series seems to accentuate the emphatic declarations of Mr. Humphrey and many who are called upon to investigate the merits of different cements by present methods, that these methods are of small value as an actual test. They cannot, however, be totally condemned until a better system is devised. It is also not to be forgotten that the water and steam test showed all to be first-class cements. While this test does not serve to distinguish between good cements, it should certainly not be discarded because it is valuable in detecting a worthless brand.

## INDEX TO PART III.

- A.
- Absorption**, rates of..... 209
- Acids**, chlorine, etc..... 37
- Acid**, sulphuric.....37, 50, 345, 361  
phosphoric.....37, 50  
See names of bases and also
- ANALYSES.
- Ackers Point**, 17, 110, 111, 113, 114,  
120, 129
- Addison Lake**..... 228
- Adhesive strength of mortars**, ap-  
paratus for determining..... 355
- Adulterants for paints**..... 4
- Agricultural Bulletin No. 99**.... 337
- Alabaster** ..... 334
- Alamo**, Kalamazoo county..... 310
- Albion**..... 314
- Alcona County**..... 334
- Algae**, fresh water, lime precipita-  
ting agents.....90, 92, 221  
See also CHARA.
- Alkalies**, effect of, on solubility.... 210
- Alpena County**.....33, 179, 388, 339
- Alpena Portland Cement Company**  
180, 224, 225, 338
- Alsen's Portland Cement**, test.382, 384
- Alma Sugar Company**..... 73
- American engine practice** ..... 158
- American Portland**..... 383
- American Society of Civil Eng'rs.** 299
- Amnicola limosa**..... 98
- Amnicola lustrica**..... 98
- Analyses**.....5, 46, 121
- Analyses** by Gustav Bischof..... 56  
by C. H. Hess..... 233  
by Frank S. Kedzie..... 153  
by L. S. Leltz..... 73  
by Delos Fall..... 153  
See FALL.
- of Bronson clays. .... 239  
marls. .... 105
- of Cedar Lake marl.....75, 76
- Analyses.—Con.**
- of cement..... 360
- of clay 137, 171, 222, 241, 288,  
291, 296, 322, 323, 327, 329,  
330, 332, 334, 336, 337, 339,  
346, 347, 352
- Bronson..... 239
- Millbury..... 229
- of Cloverdale samples..... 20
- of Coldwater marl..... 76
- of Fremont Lake marl..... 136
- of gas..... 203
- Partial, of samples collected  
by D. J. Hale, and analyzed  
by A. N. Clark..... 21
- of kiln brick ..... 176
- of limestone..... 339
- of Littlefield Lake marl..... 76
- of marl 8, 32, 48, 75, 76, 103,  
136, 218, 226, 236, 240, 279,  
283, 287, 291, 292, 295, 298,  
315, 316, 318, 319, 320, 321,  
324, 337, 338, 339, 342, 344, 353
- methods of..... 218
- Millbury clay ..... 229
- Pittsburg coal..... 231
- Portage Lake marl..... 157
- Quantitative ..... 72
- of raw material used by  
Wolverine Portland Ce-  
ment Co..... 247
- of waters.....46, 99
- of Goose Lake..... 234
- White Pigeon..... 103
- Antrim County**.....16, 338
- Apparatus** to determine depth and  
outline of marl beds..... 108  
for cement tests..... 356
- Appearance of marl**..... 31  
See also MARL.
- Aral**, bed of marl near ..... 338
- Arbela Twp., Tuscola Co.**..... 321

- Arenac Co.**..... 326  
**Arendale Hill**..... 328  
**Armstrong, G. M. S.**..... 171  
**Artesian stratum**..... 323  
**Ash**..... 312  
**Aspedin, J.**..... 159  
**Athens**..... 301, 352  
**Atlas Co. cement**..... 175, 179, 382  
**Au Gres River**..... 334  
**Au Sable**..... 336, 337
- B.
- Balker (or Backus) Lake** 17, 19, 107,  
 119, 122, 123  
**Bad Axe**..... 321  
**Bair Lake**..... 313  
**Bakie Loch, Forfarshire**..... 78  
**Baldwin**..... 333  
**Ball principle of grinding**..... 181  
**Barlow Lake**..... 318  
**Barus**..... 85  
**Barry**..... 310, 317  
**Barrytown**..... 326  
**Bass Lake**..... 322, 333  
**Bay City**..... 167  
**Bay County**..... 326  
**Bayous, marl in**..... 16  
**Bear Creek**..... 331  
**Bear Lake**..... 137  
**Beebe, C. E.**..... 282  
**Beechwood Point**..... 115  
**Beers, Henry**..... 151  
**Bellaire Portland Cement Co.**..... 306  
**Bellevue**..... 317  
**Benham, F. G.**..... 293  
**Benzie County**..... 137, 327, 338  
**Berrien County**..... 314  
**Betsey River**..... 328  
**Bevin Lake**..... 276, 277  
**Bicarbonated salt**..... 59  
**Bicarbonates**..... 202, 211  
 See also CALCIUM, MAGNE-  
 SIUM and ANALYSES.
- "Big Marsh"**..... 333  
**Big White Fish Lake**..... 20, 131  
**Bineau**..... 209  
**Bischof, Gustav**..... 56, 209  
**Black Lake**..... 340  
**Blind Island**..... 290  
**Bog iron**..... 124
- Bog iron ore**..... 49  
**Bog lime**..... 307, 313, 342  
 See also MARL.  
 Analyses of..... 226  
 Deposit of, near Fish Lake.. 317  
 General description of..... 307  
 near Eaton County..... 317  
 near Kelly's Corners... 315  
 near Lacey's lake..... 317  
 lakes..... 325  
 near Leslie..... 316  
 near Oak Grove..... 316  
 origin of..... 41, 199
- Bones**..... 234, 249  
**Boussingault and Levy**..... 209  
**Boutron and Boudet**..... 209  
**Brickyard**..... 147, 150  
**Britton, Deerfield township**..... 312  
**Branch County**..... 313  
**Brigden, Mr. W. W.**..... 349, 350  
**Briquettes of cement**..... 161, 370, 372  
**Bristol, H. C.**..... 334  
**Bristol Lake**..... 313  
**Bronson 1, 103, 104, 163, 167, 171,**  
 173, 182, 228, 384  
**Bronson Lake**..... 333  
**Bronson clays, Analyses of**..... 239  
**Brosch estate**..... 332  
**Brotherton, W. A.**..... 316  
**Brown, F. W.**..... 176, 177  
**Buell**..... 320  
**Buildings**..... 188  
**Bulrushes**..... 283  
**Bunsen**..... 209  
**Burning**..... 174, 179  
 cost of..... 178  
**Burning Dept.**..... 178  
**Bush Lakes**..... 277
- C.
- Calcareous clays**..... 5  
**Calcareous tufa**..... 335  
**Calcium**..... 205  
**Calcium bicarbonate**..... 206, 207  
**Calcium carbonate**... 34, 47, 50, 56,  
 313, 318  
 See also MARL, BOG LIME  
 and ANALYSES.  
 Solution of..... 200, 210  
 Effect of, on marl..... 296

<b>Calcium carbonate.—Con.</b>	<b>Cement and Engineering News,</b>
Compared in parts per million, Horseshoe, Long, Guernsey, Pine and Mud Lakes.....	224, 233
Precipitation of.....	55
<b>Calcium hydrate.....</b>	172
<b>Calcium oxide.....</b>	192
<b>Calcium succinate.....</b>	87, 88, 89
<b>Calhoun County.....</b>	311, 314
<b>Calhoun Lake.....</b>	150
<b>Campbell, E. D.....</b>	158, 291, 348, 349, 350
<b>Canada, extension of marl in.....</b>	6
<b>Canton.....</b>	307
<b>Carbonates.....</b>	342
See also ANALYSES.	
<b>Carbonate of iron.....</b>	211
<b>Carbonates, magnesium.....</b>	50
Solubility of.....	45, 200
<b>Carbon dioxide, dissolved.....</b>	86, 362
<b>Caro.....</b>	211
<b>Carp Lake.....</b>	328, 330, 331, 332, 333
<b>Carpenter, Prof. R. C.....</b>	293
<b>Case, James.....</b>	331
<b>Cascade Township.....</b>	99, 314
<b>Cass County.....</b>	33, 313, 321
<b>Castalia, Ohio.....</b>	78
<b>Cedar Lake, in Montcalm County,</b>	
18, 33, 80, 91, 99, 100, 322,	
323, 324, 335	
<b>Cedar Run.....</b>	328
<b>Cedar Springs.....</b>	99
<b>Cederberg, A. H.....</b>	190, 298
<b>Cement.....</b>	160, 224
Adulterated with coarse materials.....	181
Amount of material for.....	294
Chemical analysis of.....	360
Cooling of.....	179
Constitution of.....	172
Curing of.....	180
grinding.....	180
industry.....	189
Making of.....	171
manufacture, Adaptibility of marl to.....	2
Mill tests of.....	358
"Silica".....	181
specifications.....	186, 188
Tensile strength of.....	297, 300
testing, Humphrey's report on	188
Tests of.....	280, 357
<b>Cement City.....</b>	33
<b>Cement factories, Burning of.....</b>	189
<b>Central Lake.....</b>	16, 20, 46, 59, 63, 142, 145, 146, 148, 150, 338
<b>Central Lake, Antrim County.....</b>	143
<b>Chalk.....</b>	6, 160
<b>Chambers, C. A.....</b>	312
<b>Chapman Lake.....</b>	252, 253
<b>Chamberlain's well.....</b>	118
<b>Chara, agent in marl production,</b>	73, 78
deposits.....	306
foetida, analyzed by Gustav Bischof.....	56
fragilis.....	89
fragments, Analysis.....	4, 86, 220
hispidula.....	78
in lakes of Denmark.....	78
lyelli.....	78
material.....	317
Method of concentration by plants, Limit of depth.....	87
Source of thick crusts on.....	79
(Stonewort), 56, 57, 58, 60, 70, 71, 73, 74, 77, 78, 82, 83, 88, 89, 95, 223, 287, 335	
<b>Cheboygan County.....</b>	340
<b>Chemical analyses.....</b>	282
See ANALYSES.	
<b>Chemical effect of marl on fertilizers</b>	4
theory of precipitation of marl	
42, 44, 58, 64	
<b>Chester.....</b>	311
<b>Chlorophyl.....</b>	57, 112, 122
<b>Clam Lakes.....</b>	338
<b>Clapp, Theodore E.....</b>	103
<b>Clare.....</b>	151, 168, 294
<b>Clare County.....</b>	293, 327
<b>Clare Portland Cement Company.....</b>	293
<b>Clarke, J. M.....</b>	90
<b>Clark, A. N., Analyses.....</b>	20, 21, 319
<b>Clark's Lake.....</b>	309
<b>Clarkston.....</b>	316
<b>Clays, Michigan.....</b>	345
<b>Clay.....</b>	105, 147, 149, 167, 170, 192, 296, 299, 322, 324, 327, 329, 331, 333, 336, 338, 339, 346
Analyses of.....	137, 171, 222, 241, 288, 291, 296, 322, 323, 327, 329, 330, 332, 334, 336, 337, 339, 346, 347, 352

- Clay**—Con.  
 on Clout's farm..... 20  
 Calcareous..... 5  
 for cement..... 331  
 Hubbell collection of fifty-two  
     328, 333  
 Effect of on marl..... 230  
 land..... 286  
 Marly..... 8  
**Clay shale**..... 227  
**Clayton Lakes**..... 301, 303, 304, 305  
**Clinker grinding**..... 177, 179, 183, 184  
**Clinton County**..... 320  
**Clippert & Spalding's brick yard**.. 147  
**Cloverdale Lakes**.. 13, 14, 17, 18, 25,  
     49, 52, 107, 114, 115, 117, 118  
**Coal** ... 175, 185  
   dust..... 175  
   series..... 334  
**Cobb Lake**..... 318  
**Cohn**..... 65  
**Coldwater shale**..... 149  
**Coldwater** .... 77, 103, 104, 105, 106,  
     175, 228, 313  
**Cole, John**..... 136, 137  
**Collins, Mr. F. S., Walden, Mass.**.. 90  
**Colon**..... 55  
**Columbus Township**..... 309  
**Composition of raw material**..... 40  
   Commercial importance of... 30  
   See ANALYSES.  
**Consistency**..... 364  
**Convis Township**..... 314  
**Cooper Township**..... 310  
**Cooper, W. F.**..... 317  
**Copemish**..... 328  
**Corey**..... 332  
**Corinne**..... 20, 46, 140, 340  
**Corunna**..... 293  
**Cossa**..... 209, 215  
**Cost, Estimates of**..... 178, 186  
   of construction of cement  
     plant..... 194, 196  
   of manufacture..... 197  
   of burning..... 178  
**Courtis, W. M., Analyses by**... 287,  
     318, 337, 339  
**Crane, use of**..... 166  
**Crapo Lake**..... 252, 254  
**Crow's Farm**..... 147  
**Cruse on solubility**..... 209
- Crystal Lake**..... 138  
**Crystals**..... 219  
**"Curing"**..... 185
- D.
- Davis, C. A.**..... 43, 64, 65, 97, 100,  
     199, 217, 273, 292, 322  
**Dayton, Simon**..... 118  
**Dean's clay, Sherman**..... 329, 330  
**Dean, J. G.**..... 170, 200, 233, 332  
**Detroit Journal**..... 224, 237  
**Detroit Portland Cement Company** 320  
**Devonian black shale**..... 149  
**Devore Lake**..... 334  
**Diatoms**..... 36, 73, 91  
**Dickson Lake**..... 276  
**Digging**..... 165  
**Dipper dredge**..... 166  
**Dolbee, Chester**..... 317  
**Dolomite, solubility**..... 210  
   in clay..... 222, 327, 336  
**Dooley, J., farm N. E. of Albion**... 315  
**Doolittle, R. E.**..... 251, 281  
**Douglass, C. C.**..... 312  
**Douglass Houghton Survey**..... 306  
**Dowagiac**..... 313  
**Draining**..... 166, 344  
**Dredging**..... 166  
**Dome kiln**..... 161  
**Drummond Island**..... 341  
**Duck Lake**..... 46, 142, 228, 328
- E.
- East Jordan**..... 46, 148, 150  
**East Lake**..... 328  
**East Tawas**..... 334  
**Eaton County**..... 310, 314, 317  
**Eddystone lighthouse**..... 159  
**Edwards Lake**..... 251, 252, 253  
**Egyptian Portland Cement Co.**... 316  
**Electrical installation**..... 195  
**Elk**..... 248  
**Elk horns**..... 234  
**Elk Rapids Portland Cement Com-  
   pany Plant**..... 189, 244  
**Elwell, Pierce**..... 316  
**Emmet County**..... 340  
**Empire Cement Company**..... 296  
**Engel and Ville**..... 210  
**England, cement materials of**.... 160  
**Escauaba**..... 15, 62, 138

- Evaporation..... 220  
 Exeter..... 312  
 Expense of raw grinding at Lupton 174
- F.
- Faiji, Henry..... 180  
 Fairbanks Machine..... 373  
 Fall, Delos, Analyses by 136, 154,  
 301, 302, 304, 305, 306, 326, 336,  
 342, 352, 353  
   paper by..... 343  
 Farr, A. W..... 157, 333  
 Farr's Liquid Marl Sampler..... 11  
 Farwell..... 294  
 Farwell's Lake..... 310  
 Farwell Portland Cement Co..... 292  
 Ferric oxide and alumina..... 35  
 Fertilizer, (marl)..... 3, 4  
 Fineness of cement..... 363  
 Fineness of grinding, effect of on  
   cement..... 181, 349  
 Five Lakes..... 293  
 Fish Lake..... 317, 353  
 Flint pebbles for grinding..... 234  
 Ford, J. B..... 248  
 Forfarshire..... 77  
 Frankfort..... 138, 141  
 Freight rates..... 193  
 Fremont Lake..... 99, 135, 240, 326  
 Fremont Lake marl, Analysis of  
   ..... 136, 326  
 Fremont flowing well..... 46  
 Fresenius..... 209  
 Fuel..... 174
- G.
- Gamble Lake..... 334  
 Gases in rain water..... 209  
 Geikie, A..... 78  
 Geiger Lake..... 323, 324  
 Genesee County..... 275, 320, 321  
 George Lake..... 252  
 German Portland Cement Co..... 291  
 Gladwin County..... 326  
 Goose Lake..... 33, 100, 233, 352  
 Gordon, C. H..... 147  
 Grabau, A. W..... 225, 227  
 Grand Rapids..... 33, 206  
 Grand Traverse County..... 338  
 Grand Traverse Region..... 141, 327  
 Grant, John..... 357  
 Grass Lake..... 33, 228, 286, 310, 338  
   quantity of marl in..... 283  
 Grattan Township..... 319  
 Gratiot County..... 100, 322  
 Grayling..... 33, 337  
 Great Marl Lake..... 240  
 Great Northern P. C. Company... 327  
 Greenbush..... 335  
 Greening, Chas. E..... 243  
 Greenland chert pebbles..... 181  
 Greensand Marls..... 5  
 Green Oak Township..... 316  
 Gregory, W. M..... 227  
 Gridley..... 310  
 Griffin mill..... 180, 181  
 Grinding, Fineness of..... 181, 349  
 Guernsey Lake 19, 46, 52, 53, 107,  
   124, 130  
 Gypsum..... 345
- H.
- Hale, D. J. 20, 21, 91, 199, 292, 313,  
   325, 384  
   record of field work by..... 102  
 Hanover..... 228, 310  
 Hard water lakes... 58  
 Hardness of Water..... 118  
 Harrietta..... 138, 330  
 Harrisville..... 334, 335  
 Harwood Lake..... 313  
 Hassan, Tagge and Dean..... 158  
 Hastings Lake..... 228  
 Hauer, K. von..... 210  
 Heath, Geo. L..... 342  
 Heat necessary to produce clinker,  
   Amount of..... 176  
 Hecla Portland Cement and Coal  
   Company 40, 166, 179, 185, 250,  
   251, 326, 334  
 Heim, H. and W..... 322  
 Helmer..... 313  
 Herring Lakes..... 138, 297  
 Hess Lake..... 240  
 Hess, W. H..... 233, 281  
 Hickory Creek..... 154  
 Higgins Lake..... 91  
 Hillsdale..... 308, 313  
 Hodge, Dr..... 235  
 Hoke, B..... 332  
 Holden..... 320  
 Holly..... 316

- Homestead P. O.**..... 331  
**Hood, A. P.**..... 181  
**Hope Township**..... 119  
     See CLOVERDALE.  
**Horicon**..... 328  
**Houghton**..... 341  
**Horseshoe Lake** 19, 46, 49, 52, 53,  
     55, 56, 58, 119, 122, 123, 124,  
     126, 130  
     soundings of..... 121  
**Howard City**..... 325  
**Howell**..... 99  
**Hubbard, Bela**..... 309  
**Hubbell, J. J.**..... 327, 338  
**Humphrey, R. L.** 188, 240, 354, 382, 384  
**Hunt, T. S.**..... 210  
**Hunt, Robt. G. & Co.** 13, 158, 274, 280  
**Huron**..... 231  
**Huron Valley**..... 308
- I.
- Identification**..... 9, 308  
**Ignition, Loss on**..... 192  
**Illinois, Extension of marl in**..... 6  
**Indiana Lakes, Extension of marl**  
     in..... 6, 99, 167  
**Engineers, Proceedings**..... 158  
**Ingham County**..... 316  
**Interlochen**..... 142  
**Intermediate Lake**..... 142, 338  
     See CENTRAL LAKE.  
**Ionia County**..... 311  
**Iosco County**..... 334  
**Iron, bog**..... 124  
**Iron, effect of on marl**..... 231  
**Iron oxide, deposit of**..... 50  
**Isabella County**..... 326
- J.
- Jackson County**..... 309, 315  
**Jackson**..... 310  
**Johnson Lake**..... 228  
**Jonesville**..... 106  
**Jordan Lake**..... 319
- K.
- Kalamo**..... 310  
**Kalamazoo**..... 1, 106, 161, 162, 310, 311  
**Kalamazoo County**..... 310, 314  
     marl, analyses..... 353  
**Kalamazoo River**..... 310, 312  
**Kalkaska County**..... 338
- Keating, Mr.**..... 325  
**Kedzie, Frank S., Analyses**..... 154,  
     288, 292, 321, 323, 326, 334  
**Kent County**..... 311, 318  
**Kerner**..... 78  
**Kimball**..... 240  
**Kiln brick, Analyses of**..... 176  
**Kiln, continuous**..... 161  
     Dietsch and Schofer..... 196  
**Kiln process of cement manufac-**  
     **ture**..... 162  
**Kiln, Rotary**..... 162  
**Kiln, set**..... 196  
**Kippenberger**..... 215  
**Kirk, Ludington**..... 335  
**Kleinheksel, John H.**..... 151  
**Kynion Lake**..... 301, 303, 304, 305, 314  
**Kupfer, A.**..... 210  
**Kuster's work**..... 215
- L.
- Labor Commission**..... 224  
**Lacey's Lake**..... 317  
**Lagendorfer**..... 182  
**Lake Bluff**..... 332  
**Lake Cochituate**..... 51  
**Lake County**..... 327  
**Lakelands**..... 288, 289  
**Lake Leelanaw**..... 332  
**Lake Spring and water**..... 51  
**Lane, A. C.**..... 2, 91, 97, 99, 100, 199,  
     347, 349  
**Lansing**..... 218  
**Lapeer County**..... 321  
**Lathbury, B. B.**..... 158, 191  
**Lathbury and Spackman**..... 2, 158,  
     171, 174, 179, 186, 198, 199, 224,  
     251, 298, 299  
**LeChatelier, tests by**..... 172, 362  
**Leelanau County**..... 327, 328  
**Lehr Lake**..... 301, 303, 304, 305  
**Leland**..... 333  
**Leltz, L. G.**..... 73  
**Lenawee County**..... 233, 312, 327, 338  
**Leoni**..... 310  
**Leslie Township, marl**..... 307, 316  
**Liberty**..... 309  
**Lime kilns**..... 3, 140  
**Lime**..... 345  
**Lime, see CALCIUM and MARL.**  
     Effect of, on clinker..... 230  
**Lime Lake**..... 20, 50, 133, 134, 233

**Limestone, Analyses**..... 339  
**Limestone flour**..... 222  
**Limnæa humilis**..... 98  
**Lincoln**..... 334  
**Little Lake**.....15, 139  
**Littlefield Lake**..77, 85, 92, 95, 273,  
 292, 293  
**Little Marl Lake**..... 240  
**Little Traverse Bay**..... 141  
**Little Whitefish Lake**.....131, 132  
**Livingston County**..... 316  
**London**..... 312  
**Long Lake**..17, 18, 25, 46, 52, 58, 62,  
 106, 107, 110, 117, 118, 119, 120,  
 123, 124, 126  
 Caustic marl of..... 115  
 Soundings of..... 124  
**Loranger, U. R.**..... 251  
**Lower Black River**..... 340  
**Ludington's Spring**..... 334  
**Ludwig**..... 118  
**Lupton**.....185, 189, 298, 299  
 Expense of raw grinding at.. 174  
**Lupton Portland Cement Company** 297  
**Lyell, Sir Charles**..... 77

M.

**Mackinaw City**..... 141  
**Macomb County**..... 315  
**Magnesia**....128, 169, 170, 192, 223,  
 342, 345, 349  
 See also ANALYSES.  
**Magnesia or alumina brick**..... 175  
**Magnesium carbonate**.....35, 210  
 bicarbonate..... 213  
 oxide..... 192  
**Maine**..... 97  
**Manistee and Northeastern rail-  
 road**..... 338  
**Manistee**.....154, 327, 328, 331  
**Manistee Junction**.....46, 150  
**Manistee River**..... 331  
**Manistique**.....140, 341  
**Manufacture of Portland Cement  
 from marl**..... 158  
**Marble**..... 6  
**Marengo**..... 311  
**Marshall**..... 311  
**Materials for cement**..... 160  
**Marl, Acreage of**..... 302

**Marl—Con.**

Adaptability of, for cement  
 manufacture..... 2  
 analyses..8, 32, 48, 75, 76, 103,  
 136, 153, 157, 218, 236, 240,  
 279, 283, 287, 291, 292, 295,  
 298, 315, 318, 319, 320, 321,  
 324, 337, 338, 339, 342, 344, 353  
 Appearance of..... 31  
 Average of volume to barrel. 168  
 in bayous..... 16  
 beds, without chara..... 81  
 bed, sealed..... 17  
 bed, increase and decrease in  
 depth of..... 17  
 Caustic..... 124  
 Chemical precipitation of... 58  
 claims..... 312  
 and clay in Michigan..... 343  
 and clay in properties, De-  
 velopment of, for Mfg. of  
 Portland cement..... 191  
 Suitable time for investi-  
 gation of..... 191  
 Tests to be made of..... 192  
 Necessary composition of... 169  
 and origin of..... 32  
 Contamination of..... 7  
 covered with water..... 13  
 deposits, Relation of to direc-  
 tion of prevailing strong  
 winds... .. 96  
 Requisites for..... 169  
 Deposition of.....22, 63, 66  
 upon aquatic plants..... 69  
 Depth of..... 169  
 Distribution of.....5, 6, 9  
 in a single bed, 16  
 as fertilizer..... 309  
 formation. . . . .54, 62  
 found in hard water lakes... 14  
 Gradation in quality of..... 18  
 Grain of..... 170  
 Granular structure of..... 74  
 Impure..... 48  
 Indication of, by circum-  
 stances of occurrence..... 47  
 Interpretation of..... 34  
 Lake.....275, 276, 292, 322  
 lakes, Level of..... 15  
 Location of, according to  
 counties..... 312

- Marl**—Con.  
 Location of.....13, 15  
 Location of, Conditions governing..... 22  
 Location and size of bed..... 38  
 manufacture, magnitude of cost of..... 2  
 Materials, overlying..... 29  
 underlying..... 28  
 Natural history of, Contribution to..... 65  
 Organic matter in..... 21  
 See also **SUCCINIC ACID**.  
 Origin of.....2, 323  
 and peat..... 82  
 precipitate..... 58  
 Precipitation of..... 59  
 Precipitated in deep water.. 52  
 Pure.....61, 83  
 Quality of.....61, 193  
 Quality and formation of, Change in..... 62  
 Quantity of.....278, 283  
 Settling of..... 84  
 shells..... 97  
 stages or steps or growth of.. 17  
 Surroundings of..... 23  
 Tuffaceous..... 311  
 Ultimate sources of..... 66  
 Uses of..... 3  
 Underlying cedar swamp.... 93  
 Wet..... 177  
**Marshgrowth**, Lining of..... 27  
**Maskego Lake**..... 312  
**Mason County**..... 327  
**Mastodon**..... 313  
**Material for cement**, Amount of... 294  
**McLouth, C. D.**..... 137  
**McMillan**..... 90  
**Medway**..... 160  
**Merkell's**.....210, 215  
**"Merl" or Marl Lake**..... 83  
**Mecosta County**..... 326  
**Michigan**..... 65  
**Michigan Alkali Co.**, Wyandotte (J. B. Ford)..... 248  
 Description of plant..... 248  
**Michigan clays**..... 171  
 Extension of marl in..... 6  
**Michigan Miner**..... 227  
**Michigan Portland Cement Co.**..33, 315  
**Midland County**..... 326  
**Mikado**..... 335  
**Milton**..... 311  
**Milwaukee**..... 182  
**Millbury**.....170, 229, 336, 337  
**Mineral Lake**..... 276  
**Mill tests of cement**..... 358  
**Mills Lake**.....252, 255  
**Millstone**..... 180  
**Missaukee County**..... 333  
**Mixing cement**..... 368  
**Mixing materials**, Methods of.... 161  
**Mixing and raw grinding**..... 173  
**Molds, cement**.....368, 369  
**Mollusca**.....68, 101  
**Monroe**..... 307  
**Monroe Center**..... 331  
**Monroe County**..... 312  
**Montcalm County**..... 322  
**Montmorency**..... 338  
**Mortars**, Adhesive qualities of.... 355  
**Moscow**..... 228  
**Mosher's Lake**..... 228  
**Mosely**..... 78  
**Motive power**..... 184  
**Mound Springs**.....20, 147  
**Muck, Marly**..... 8  
**Muck or peat**..... 343  
 See **PEAT**.  
**Mud or Round Lake**....14, 46, 117, 129  
**Mud Lake**, Hope Township. 52, 53, 62, 100, 116, 118  
 Oakland County.....275, 276, 280  
 Ionia County..... 320  
 Genesee County.....320, 321  
**Muir**, Ionia County..... 320  
**Mullett Lake**..... 340  
**Munising**..... 139  
**Murray, G.**..... 90  
**Muskegon County**.....137, 325
- N.
- Napoleon**..... 309  
**Naubinway marl**.....33, 340  
**Nellist, J. F.**..... 318  
**Nelson, W. S.**..... 324  
**Nettle Lake**..... 313  
**Newaygo County**.....325  
**Newaygo Portland Cement Co.**, (Gibraltar).....189, 240, 241  
**Newberry**.....172, 176

**Newell's steam mill**..... 312  
**New England**..... 65  
**New Jersey marl**.....3, 5  
**New York**..... 78  
**Niles** .....98, 107  
**Northbranch Township**..... 321  
**Northcote**..... 210  
**North Island**.....144, 145, 146  
**North and South Carolina marls**.. 5

O.

**Oak Grove, Bog lime near**..... 316  
**Oakland County**.....275, 308, 316  
**Oceana County**..... 325  
**Ogemaw County**..... 334  
**Ohio**.....167, 337  
 Engineers' proceedings..... 158  
**Olsen testing machine**.....371, 372  
**Olson Lake** ..... 325  
**Omega Portland Cement Company**  
 168, 173, 179, 227, 232, 308, 313  
**Onokama Lake**..... 28, 154, 328  
**Organic matter**.....27, 37, 345

See also ANALYSES.

**Origin of marl**..... 2  
**Orion** ..... 321  
**Osborn Company**.....158, 232  
**Osceola County** ..... 327  
**Oscoda County** ..... 337  
**Ottawa** .....312, 318  
**Otsego County** ..... 338  
**Overburning**..... 179  
**Owosso**..... 200  
**Oxides of iron and alumina**..... 192

P.

**Paints, Adulterants for**..... 4  
**Parmelee, H. P.**..... 42  
**Pasley** ..... 355  
**Pat tests**..... 375  
**Paw Paw River**..... 154  
**Peat** .93, 281, 303, 314, 317, 320, 322,  
 325, 343  
**Peerless Portland Cement Co.**237,  
 310, 384  
**Penhallow**..... 90  
**Peninsular Portland Cement Co.**  
 233, 313  
**Pentwater**..... 325

**Per cent of water used in mixing  
 cement**.....182, 349, 366  
**Perry, C. W., of Clare**..... 293  
**Petobago Lake**..... 245  
**Petroleum** ..... 175  
**Pettenkoper** ..... 209  
**Phosphoric acid**.....37, 50  
**Pickerel Lake, Newaygo County,**  
 99, 240  
**Pierson** ..... 326  
**Pierson Lakes**..... 131  
**Pine Creek**..... 46  
**Pine Lake**...19, 46, 53, 81, 107, 126,  
 131, 148  
 Deep waters of..... 53  
 Soundings at..... 127  
**Pisidium** ..... 98  
**Pisidium contortum**..... 97  
**Pittsfield, Mass.**..... 97  
**Planorbis parvus**..... 97  
**Planorbis bicarinatus**..... 98  
**Plant life, Decayed** ..... 27  
**Plants, Fixed**.....59, 60  
**Platte Lake, Little**..... 333  
**Platte River** .....137, 329  
**Platte Township**..... 331  
**Plummer Lake** .....252, 254  
**Plymouth Township** ..... 307  
**Portage Lake, Onokama**...17, 63,  
 154, 155, 333  
**"Portland," Origin of name**..... 158  
**Portland cement, Manufacture of** 158  
**Power, Cost of**..... 185  
**Prairieville**..... 317  
**Precipitate of crystals**... 217, 218, 220  
**Presque Isle County** ..... 339  
**Price Lake**..... 52  
**Proceedings, Ohio Society of Sur-  
 veyors and Civil Engineers,** 158  
**Proceedings, Indiana Eng. Soc.**... 158  
**Prophet, John**..... 340  
**Prospecting, Methods of**..... 29  
**Prospecting tools**.....9, 139  
**Pulaski** ..... 310  
**Pupidae**..... 98  
**Pyramid Portland Cement Com-  
 pany**.....291, 352

Q.

**Quicklime**.....3, 308  
**Quincy** .....103, 104, 106, 175, 228

- R.
- Rafflee Lake.....273, 275, 276  
 Ransome rotary kiln.....1, 174, 175  
 Raw material, Admixture of..... 171  
 Record book, Form of..... 380  
 Reading..... 313  
 Reed City..... 151  
 Reed, George..... 324  
 Rice Lake.....21, 29, 59, 151, 152, 156  
 Rice reeds..... 142  
 Riley and Sizanne, chara lime-  
 stones..... 78  
 Rim roller..... 180  
 Riverdale..... 325  
 Rives..... 310  
 Robinson, H..... 293  
 Rock cement..... 334  
 Rock Islet..... 122  
 Rock Lake..... 322, 323  
 Rocky Island..... 113  
 Roman cement..... 354  
 Roscommon County..... 333  
 Rosevear, W. B..... 341  
 Ross, Kalamazoo..... 310  
 Rotary kiln.....1, 179  
 Rotary process..... 163  
 Round Lake.....46, 107, 110, 290  
 Runciman, J. H., Mill lake..... 315  
 Runyan Lake.....<sup>6</sup>.....274, 275, 283  
 Russell's farm..... 331  
 Russell, I. C.....158, 180, 288, 291, 349
- S.
- Sage Lake..... 334  
 Saginaw Bay..... 90  
 Saginaw County..... 322  
 Sagittaria..... 284  
 St. Clair County..... 321  
 St. Ignace..... 141  
 St. Joseph.....307, 313  
 St. Joseph's River..... 154  
 Saline Springs..... 307  
 Salts Bicarbonated.....59, 60
- See BICARBONATES.
- Salt (sodic chloride), effect on sol.. 213  
 Salt wells..... 177  
 Sampling cement..... 359  
 Sand.....28, 161, 170  
 Sand lake.....228, 313  
 Sand marl cement..... 37  
 Sand, Marly..... 8
- Sandstone..... 310  
 Sandusky Cement Co..... 296  
 Sanilac County..... 231  
 Savicki, W. V..... 341  
 Schimper..... 78  
 Scirpus lacustris..... 119  
 Schizothrix.....77, 90, 351  
 aggregates..... 236  
 Schloessing.....208, 209, 211  
 Sedimentary theory.....42, 44  
 Setting, Time of..... 187  
 "Settle backs"..... 160  
 Seward..... 78  
 Shabno's..... 335  
 Shale.....149, 192, 321, 338, 342  
 Shells..... 43  
 Shell deposit..... 61  
 Shell theory..... 41  
 Shell structure..... 221  
 Shepard, W. H.....293, 294  
 Sherman..... 329, 330, 331, 332  
 Sherzer, Will H..... 312  
 Shiawassee County..... 320  
 Shore wash, spoiling marl..... 24  
 Siderite..... 210  
 Silica, Effect of, on marl...36, 73,  
 192, 231  
 See also ANALYSES.
- Silt, under water..... 26  
 Silver Lakes.....276, 280, 290  
 Simons, W. H..... 328  
 "Slag"..... 187  
 Slurry.....158, 176  
 Smeaton.....159, 355  
 Smidth, F. L., & Company..... 189  
 Snow, Dr. Julia W..... 90  
 Sodium bicarbonate..... 215  
 Solms-Laubach..... 78  
 Solubility of gases in water..... 208  
 Solubility, Point of..... 45  
 Solubility of calcium oxide, etc... 212  
 Somers, J. H., Coal Company..... 293  
 Soundings in lakes.....18, 19  
 South Bend..... 162  
 South Island, Central Lake, Sec-  
 tion across.....145, 146  
 South Lyon..... 316, 320  
 Spaulding Township..... 99  
 Species, list of.....101, 102  
 Specific gravity, Apparatus for  
 making determinations..... 362  
 Specific gravity and fineness..... 187

**Specifications for cement for Navy**

**Dept.**.....186, 188

**Spring, deep**.....53, 62

**Sulphur** ..... 132

percentage of calcium and magnesium in..... 45

Point of saturation of..... 45

Soft..... 62

water, hard..... 15

Characteristic of a marl region..... 23

**Spring Arbor**.....310, 352

**Spring Lake**..... 104

**Springport**.....310, 335

**Squaw Lake**..... 275

**St. Ignace**..... 340

**Standard Portland Cement Company**.....168, 288, 289, 316

**Standiford Portland Cement Company**..... 301, 303

**Stanger & Blount**.....175, 176

**Stanley, J. S.**.....298, 330

**State Lumber Company**..... 331

**Sterki, Dr. V.**..... 98

**Stone**..... 224

**Stone wall swamp**..... 321

**Stoneworts**..... 78

**Stony Point**..... 340

**Storage and packing**..... 185

**Stowe, C. B.**..... 283

**Straits of Mackinac**..... 46

**Strawberry Lake**..... 290

**Streams**..... 25

**Strength, Compressive, of marl**..... 183

Highest..... 182

Tensile.....183, 188

**Sturgis**.....313

**Succinic acid**.....88, 287

**Sulphate, Sodie or magnesia**..... 210

**Sulphur spring**..... 132

**Sulphuric and phosphoric acids, chlorine, etc.**..... 37

**Sulphuric acid** 37, 50, 169, 170, 192, 345, 361

See also ANALYSES.

**Summerfield**..... 312

**Surface**..... 25

**Surfacing**..... 169

**Surroundings of marl**..... 23

**Susan Lake**..... 81

**Swain, Isaac N.**..... 310

**Swan Creek**..... 104

T.

**Tamarack log** ..... 29

**Taylor, F. B.**..... 321

**Taylor's Landing**..... 340

**Tensile strength of cement** 232, 300, 368

**Temperature, Average**..... 216

**Temperature of lake waters**..... 51

**Temperature of marl**..... 286

**Temperature of wells**..... 323

**Tests, Analytical**..... 71

**Tests of cement** 188, 232, 256, 257, 269, 281, 297, 300, 382

See Also ANALYSES.

**Testing cement**..... 181, 348 355

**Tests, Tension**.....300, 378

**Texas, Kalamazoo County**..... 310

**Three Rivers Cement Company**... 292

**Tims Lake, Quantity of marl in** 283, 286

**Tompkins Township**..... 310

**Tooth and scouring powder, use of marl for**..... 4

**Tourmaline**..... 325

**T. 1 N., R. 1 W.**..... 307

**T. 1 and 2 S., R. 4 E.**..... 315

**T. 2 N., R. 1 W.**..... 307

**T. 2 S., R. 1 W.**..... 310

**T. 2 S., R. 2 E.**..... 283

**T. 2 S., R. 13 W.**..... 312, 314

**T. 2 and 3 N., R. 11 W.**..... 317

**T. 2 N., R. 5 E.**..... 316

**T. 2 N., R. 5 W.**..... 310

**T. 2 N., R. 6 W.**..... 310

**T. 3 S., R. 7 W.**..... 310

**T. 3 N., R. 13 W.**..... 312

**T. 4 N., R. 6 E.**..... 274

**T. 4 N., R. 16 W.**..... 312

**T. 4 S., R. 11 W.**..... 314

**T. 4 S., R. 12 W.**..... 314

**T. 5 N., R. 7 E.**..... 277

**T. 6 N., R. 1 W.**..... 313

**T. 6 N., R. 2 W.**..... 320

**T. 6 N., R. 3 W.**..... 313

**T. 6 N., R. 5 W.**..... 311

**T. 6 N., R. 12 W.**..... 311

**T. 7 N., R. 10 W.**..... 311

**T. 8 N., R. 8 W.**..... 311

**T. 8 S., R. 11 W.**..... 291

**T. 9 N., R. 9 W.**..... 319

**T. 10 N., R. 11 W.**..... 99

**T. 11 N., R. 5 E., Sec. 3**..... 99


**T. 11 N., R. 11 W.**..... 99

T. 12 N., R. 4 W.....	100	Tri-calcic silicate.....	172
T. 13 N., R. 5, Sec. 36.....	99	Trout Lake.....	141
T. 14 N., R. 4 W., Sec. 3.....	100	Tubb's Lake.....	335
T. 17 N., R. 4 W.....	293	Tube mill.....	180
T. 17 and 18 N., R. 4 W.....	293	Tucker, Dr. W. H.....	340
T. 20 N., R. 12 W., Sec. 24.....	333	Tufa.....	308
T. 21 N., R. 17 W., Secs. 4 and 5.....	328	Tufa, Calcareous.....	43, 335
T. 21 N., R. 18 W., Sec. 22.....	331	Turbidity due to marl.....	83
T. 22 N., R. 16 W., Secs. 15 and 31.....	328	Turkey Lake.....	313
T. 22 N., R. 18 W., Sec. 30.....	331	Turtle Lake.....	237
T. 23 N., R. 12 W., Sec. 10.....	331	Tuscola County.....	321
T. 23 N., R. 16 W., Sec. 25.....	328	Twenty one Lake.....	46, 107
T. 24 N., R. 11 W., Sec. 18.....	332	Twentieth Century Portland Cement Co.....	281, 320
T. 24 N., R. 11 W., Sec. 19.....	333	Twin Lakes.....	134
T. 24 N., R. 11 W., Sec. 30.....	329	Tyrrell.....	337
T. 24 N., R. 11 W., Sec. 31.....	329, 331		
T. 24 N., R. 12 W., Sec. 25.....	331		
T. 24 N., R. 12 W., Sec. 18.....	332		
T. 24 N., R. 13 W., Sec. 7.....	328		
T. 24 N., R. 14 W., Sec. 10.....	328		
T. 25 N., R. 11 W., Sec. 6.....	331		
T. 25 N., R. 11 W., Sec. 23.....	329		
T. 25 N., R. 12 W., Sec. 9.....	328		
T. 25 N., R. 15 W.....	297		
T. 25 N., R. 16 W.....	297		
T. 26 N., R. 1 E.....	337		
T. 26 N., R. 9 E., Sec. 32.....	335		
T. 26 N., R. 12 W., Sec. 26.....	328		
T. 26 N., R. 13 W., Sec. 4.....	333		
T. 26 N., R. 15 W., Sec. 36.....	333		
T. 27 N., R. 9 E.....	335, 336		
T. 27 N., R. 11 W., Sec. 1.....	332		
T. 27 N., R. 12 W., Sec. 6.....	328		
T. 27 N., R. 14 W., Sec. 6.....	331		
T. 27 N., R. 14 W., Sec. 14.....	329		
T. 27 N., R. 14 W., Sec. 29.....	329, 331		
T. 28 N., R. 11 W., Sec. 28.....	329		
T. 28 N., R. 12 W., Sec. 1.....	328		
T. 28 N., R. 12 W., Sec. 10.....	333		
T. 28 N., R. 12 W., Sec. 12.....	332		
T. 28 N., R. 12 W., Sec. 15.....	328		
T. 29 N., R. 13 W.....	333		
T. 29 N., R. 14 W., Sec. 26.....	333		
T. 30 N., R. 12 W., Sec. 4.....	332		
T. 33 N., R. 3 E.....	340		
T. 33 N., R. 7 W., Sec. 3.....	245		
T. 34 N., R. 26 E.....	341		
Traverse Bay region.....	46, 81		
Traverse City.....	329, 332		
Treadwell & Reuter.....	45, 46, 47, 67, 200, 201, 235		
Tri-calcic aluminate.....	172		
		U.	
		Underburning.....	179
		Union City.....	1, 104, 161, 162, 228
		Upper Herring Lake.....	333
		Upper Peninsula.....	16
		Uses of marl.....	3
		V.	
		Valvata tricarinata.....	97, 98
		Van Buren.....	312, 314
		Vandalia at Donald's Lake.....	313
		Vevay.....	317
		Vicat.....	159, 356, 364, 365, 367
		Veenboer, Dr. M.....	151
		Volume, Constancy of.....	187, 374, 376
		W.	
		Wade, Chas.....	106
		Walker, Mr.....	82, 221
		Ward, Henry B.....	81, 82
		Warren Lake.....	276
		Warren & Whipple.....	52
		Warrington.....	210
		Washtenaw.....	308, 315
		Water, Analyzed for carbonates... ..	46
		Water, Effect of on cement.....	349
		Hardness of.....	118
		Waters, Movement of lakes.....	52
		Water plants.....	56
		Water, per cent of used in mixing cement.....	182, 349
		Water power.....	185
		Water, Requisite for cement... ..	349, 366
		Water, Spring.....	216

Watervale.....	166, 297, 338	Willow Brook Farm.....	297
Wayne County.....	315	Wisconsin, Extension of marl in...	6
Webster, John.....	322	Wolverine Portland Cement Com- pany .....	182, 246, 384
Weed.....	65	Woodstock . . . . .	167, 228
Wesenberg-Lund.....	68, 78	Wyoming Towuship.....	319
West, Mr. F. E.....	88		
West German Portland Cement Co.....	306	Z.	
Wetmore.....	20, 139	Zenith Portland Cement Company	282, 310
Wexford.....	327, 329 332	Zonotrichia.....	90, 91, 95
Wheeler, Mr.....	104	See SCHIZOTHRIX.	
White Pigeon.....	103, 291	Zukey Lake.....	91, 168, 288
White River.....	307		
Whitney, H. K.....	301, 350		

# MAP OF THE LOWER PENINSULA OF MICHIGAN,

COMPILED BY  
ALFRED C. LANE, STATE GEOLOGIST.



1901


Deposits Described on Page	
No.	Page
1	224
2	227
3	233
4	237
5	239
6	244
7	246
8	248
9	251
10	267
11	270
12	272
13	281
14	282
15	288
16	291
17	291
18	292
19	292
20	293
21	297
22	297
23	301
24	306
25	306
26	324
27	327
28	329
29	338
30	328
31	328
32	328
33	328
34	328
35	328
36	328
37	328
38	328
39	328
40	328
41	328
42	328
43	328
44	328
45	328
46	328
47	328
48	328
49	328
50	328
51	328
52	328
53	328
54	328
55	328
56	328
57	328
58	328
59	328
60	328
61	328
62	328
63	328
64	328
65	328
66	328
67	328
68	328

## IMPORTANT DEPOSITS OF BOG LIME.

Portland Cement Factories. P  
Factory Sites Suggested. A

### DESCRIPTION IN TEXT.

- |    |                             |          |
|----|-----------------------------|----------|
| 1  | Alpena P. C. Co.            | Page 224 |
| 2  | Omega P. C. Co.             | " 227    |
| 3  | Peninsular P. C. Co.        | " 233    |
| 4  | Peerless P. C. Co.          | " 237    |
| 5  | Bronson P. C. Co.           | " 239    |
| 6  | Elk Rapids P. C. Co.        | " 244    |
| 7  | Wolverine P. C. Co.         | " 246    |
| 8  | Michigan Alkali Co.         | " 248    |
| 9  | Hecla Cement and Coal Co.   | " 251    |
| 10 | Great Northern P. C. Co.    | " 267    |
| 11 | Detroit P. C. Co.           | " 270    |
| 12 | Egyptian P. C. Co.          | " 272    |
| 13 | Twentieth Century P. C. Co. | " 281    |
| 14 | Zenith P. C. Co.            | " 282    |
| 15 | Standard P. C. Co.          | " 288    |
| 16 | Pyramid P. C. Co.           | " 291    |
| 17 | German P. C. Co.            | " 291    |
| 18 | Three Rivers P. C. Co.      | " 292    |
| 19 | Farwell P. C. Co.           | " 292    |
| 20 | Clare P. C. Co.             | " 293    |
| 21 | Watervale P. C. Co.         | " 297    |
| 22 | Lupton P. C. Co.            | " 297    |
| 23 | Standiford P. C. Co.        | " 301    |
| 24 | Bellaire P. C. Co.          | " 306    |
| 25 | West German P. C. Co.       | " 306    |



Long 88°W.  
From Greenwich.

Lat 43°N.

20 19 18 17 16 15 14 13 12 11 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11