



# The Lake Huron Binational Partnership

## Phosphorus in Saginaw Bay, Have We Met the Target?


### About the Bay<sup>1</sup>

Saginaw Bay is a large estuary and embayment of Lake Huron on the eastern coast of the state of Michigan that extends southwest 82 kilometers (51 miles) from Lake Huron to the mouth of the Saginaw River in Bay City, Michigan. The bay is essentially divided into inner and outer bay regions, marked by a constriction extending from Point Lookout on the western shoreline to Sand Point on the eastern shoreline (Figure 1).

Although the respective surface areas are similar, the inner bay is relatively shallow and only contains approximately 30 percent of the bay's total water volume<sup>2</sup>, with a mean depth of 4.6 meters (15 feet). The outer bay has a mean depth of 15 meters (49 feet).

Saginaw Bay has a drainage basin seven times larger (ca. 21,000 km<sup>2</sup>, 8,108 miles<sup>2</sup>) than the immediate area of the bay<sup>2</sup>. The Saginaw River, located near the southwestern end of the bay near Bay City, is the dominant source of surface drainage into the bay, accounting for approximately 70 percent of the total drainage of tributaries to the bay and drawing from 80 percent of the bay's total basin area. Consequently, the inner bay is heavily impacted by occasionally large seasonal inputs from the Saginaw River. Saginaw River daily discharge rates vary by season, ranging from 28 million cubic meters (98 million cubic feet) per day in the spring to 2.4 million cubic meters (85 million cubic feet) per day in the fall<sup>3</sup>. The outer bay is primarily influenced by Lake Huron.

Figure 1. Map of Saginaw Bay showing Michigan Department of Environmental Quality and Environment Canada Monitoring Stations.



### The Phosphorus Problem<sup>4</sup>

Generally, the Great Lakes are *phosphorus limited*, meaning that the amount of phosphorus determines the basic productivity of the lake. Higher levels of phosphorus support increased plant growth and greater productivity. Scientists classify lakes based on the level of productivity. In 1979, the International Joint Commission described Lake Huron as *oligotrophic*, or having low productivity<sup>5</sup>. Saginaw Bay was described as *eutrophic*, or having high productivity.

During the 1970s and 1980s, the Saginaw River added nearly two metric tons of total phosphorus per day to the bay, the largest contribution of phosphorus to the Great Lakes by any river in Michigan. The total phosphorus concentrations

in the inner bay were elevated; in the range of 0.038 and 0.032 mg/L in 1974 and 1975, respectively<sup>6</sup>, and 0.047 mg/L in the spring of 1978<sup>7</sup>. The added phosphorus promoted the growth of nuisance blue-green algae that was likely responsible for the foul odors and poor taste of drinking water withdrawn from the bay.

### Supplement to Annex 3 of the 1978 Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement

#### Total Phosphorus Target for Saginaw Bay

The average concentration of total phosphorus should be 0.15 mg/l or less.

Control of phosphorus inputs was the principal pollution control strategy adopted under the 1972 Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement between the United States and Canada. The 1987 Supplement (Annex 3) to the 1978 Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement led to specific targets for total phosphorus in the bay (0.015 mg/l). The targets represent planning guides to reduce phosphorus loading into Saginaw Bay. The phosphorus target load for Saginaw Bay is 440 metric tonnes per year for the purpose of alleviating drinking water taste and odor problems<sup>8</sup>.


## Phosphorus Monitoring<sup>1,9</sup>

The Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ) has conducted seasonal monitoring of Saginaw Bay water quality at seven monitoring stations since 1993. Environment Canada (EC) has conducted ship-based water quality monitoring in the Great Lakes since the late 1960s. Four stations in each of the inner and outer Saginaw Bay have been included in this program since 1985. Inner bay station locations are shown for both of these monitoring programs in Figure 1.

The MDEQ conducts sampling at a monthly frequency from ice-out through November, weather permitting. All seven stations are sampled at approximately 1 meter (3 feet) depth; station 060062 is also sampled at mid-depth (data from both depths at this station are averaged for analysis). EC typically conducts two monitoring cruises per year, one in the spring (late April – early May) and one in the summer (August). In recent years, cruises are conducted approximately every second year.

Figure 2 shows the average total phosphorus concentration from inner bay sites each spring as measured by the two monitoring programs. Both data sets show considerable year-to-year variability in the results, but that

concentrations in general are reduced relatively to those observed in the 1970s. The MDEQ data are generally higher, probably because some sites are located closer to shore-based nutrient sources. The EC data set shows that the long-term (20-year) trend has been slightly declining phosphorus concentrations, from about 0.018 mg/L in the mid-1980s to about 0.01 to 0.015 mg/L total phosphorus in recent years. For comparison, the average concentrations of total phosphorus in the open waters of Lake Huron during the spring over the past two decades have been in the range of 0.004 to 0.005 mg/L<sup>9</sup>.



A linear regression model of total phosphorus concentrations over time shows that total phosphorus has decreased in Saginaw Bay at a rate of approximately 0.005 mg/L per year. However, the regression is not statistically significant for either data set (EC slope 1985 – 2004 = -0.0056 mg/L per year,  $R^2 = 0.31$ ; MDEQ slope 1993 – 2003 = -0.0052 mg/L per year,  $R^2 = 0.09$ ). The most recent EC data (spring 2004) are the lowest on record. Continued monitoring will assist in determining if this declining trend will continue in the years to come.

Figure 3 shows the distribution of station average data by year. Data from the majority of stations in all years have been characterized by total phosphorus concentrations at or exceeding the target of 0.015 mg/L. When these results are considered along with those of chlorophyll *a* monitoring, they indicate that Saginaw Bay may currently be classified as a *mesotrophic* (mid-productivity) to *eutrophic* (high productivity) waterbody.

## Phosphorus Modeling of Saginaw Bay

The most recent report by the MDEQ on Great Lakes tributaries estimated that the Saginaw River has a mean flow of 6.4 million cubic meters (226 million cubic feet) per day, and a phosphorus loading rate of 227 metric tonnes of phosphorus per year<sup>10</sup>. The loading from the Saginaw River alone therefore represents about 52 percent of the target load for the entire Bay.


Bierman and Dolan<sup>11</sup> reported on phosphorus model calibration efforts conducted in Saginaw Bay. One of their conclusions was that wind-induced sediment resuspension was an important mechanism for re-introducing phosphorus into the water column. In the calibrated model, the resuspension mechanism was found to account for 36 percent and 68 percent of the computed spring and fall average total phosphorus concentrations, respectively. The sediments therefore act as an important pool of phosphorus, potentially available despite source reduction efforts.

## Conclusions

Data obtained from monitoring conducted between 1984 and 2003 indicate mesotrophic to eutrophic conditions in Saginaw Bay. Phosphorus concentrations have been highly variable but are generally lower than those observed in the 1970s. In the past two decades, concentrations have not decreased significantly. A majority of water quality measurements continue to exceed the target total phosphorus concentration of 0.015 mg/L. Further reductions in phosphorus loadings over time may be required to achieve the Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement targets.

## References

1. Great Lakes Environmental Center. 2005. Water Quality Monitoring of Saginaw and Grand Traverse Bays. Report prepared for the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality in partial fulfillment of Contract #071B1001643. MDEQ-WB Report #MI/DEQ/WB-05/042
2. Budd, J.W., Kerfoot, W.C., and Maclean, A.L. 1998. Documenting Complex Surface Temperature Patterns from Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) Imagery of Saginaw Bay, Lake Huron. *J. Great Lakes Res.* 24(2): 582-594.
3. Beeton, A.M., Smith, S.H., and Hooper, F.F. 1967. Physical Limnology of Saginaw Bay, Lake Huron. Technical Report 12, Great Lakes Fishery Commission.
4. Public Sector Consultants, Inc. 2000. Measures of Success: Addressing Environmental Impairments in the Saginaw River and Saginaw Bay. Report prepared for The Partnership for the Saginaw Bay Watershed.
5. International Joint Commission. Water Quality of the Upper Great Lakes. 1979.
6. Upper Lakes Reference Group. The Waters of Lake Huron and Lake Superior. Volume II (Part A). Lake Huron, Georgian Bay, and the North Channel. Report to the International Joint Commission, 1977.
7. Johengen, T.H., T.F. Nalepa, G.A. Lang, D.L. Fanslow, H.A. Vanderploeg, M.A. Agy1. NOAA Technical Memorandum GLERL-115. Physical and Chemical Variables of Saginaw Bay, Lake Huron in 1994-1996. 2000.
8. Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement of 1978 as amended by Protocol signed November 18, 1987. International Joint Commission. 1988.
9. Environment Canada Surveillance Program, Ecosystem Health Division, Ontario Region, Environment Canada, Burlington, Ontario, Canada.
10. Aiello, C. 2005. Michigan Water Chemistry Monitoring, Great Lakes Tributaries 2003 Report. MDEQ-WB Report #MI/DEQ/WB-05/058.
11. Bierman, V.J., Jr., and D.M. Dolan, 1986a. Modeling of phytoplankton in Saginaw Bay: I. Calibration Phase. *J. Environ. Engr. ASCE.* 112:400-414.



## Keep Informed!

Check out our Web site. We're at [www.binational.net](http://www.binational.net). Join our mailing list by contacting:

### In the United States:

James Schardt  
 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
 Great Lakes National Program Office  
 77 West Jackson Blvd., G-17J  
 Chicago, IL 60604  
 Phone: (312) 353-5085  
 Fax: (312) 353-2018  
 Email: [schardt.james@epa.gov](mailto:schardt.james@epa.gov)

### In Canada:

Marlene O'Brien  
 Environment Canada  
 867 Lakeshore Road  
 Burlington, ON  
 L7R 4A6  
 Phone: (905) 336-4552  
 Fax: (905) 336-6272  
 Email: [marlene.obrien@ec.gc.ca](mailto:marlene.obrien@ec.gc.ca)