I. **Goals of Act 381 – What do we want it to do?**

1. Provide a mechanism to finance the cost gap, or increment, between development of a greenfield site and a contaminated, blighted, or functionally obsolete site.
2. Facilitate economic development (private investment, increased local tax revenue, community revitalization, and job creation) and work in alignment with other statutory or programmatic mechanisms to achieve the latter.
3. Encourage appropriate reuse of contaminated, blighted or functionally obsolete sites.
4. Protect public health.
5. Create a sense of place for urban areas.

II. **What actions or activities are needed to achieve those goals?**

1. What should be considered as "eligible activities?"
2. Should the Act contain DEQ/MEDC criteria for project funding in statute, through rule making or guidance?
3. Are “Core Community” designations necessary?
4. Should eligible activities be retroactively allowed in select situations?
5. What other roles and responsibilities should be clarified in the Act?

III. **Criteria established to meet the goals**

1. What criteria should be used to evaluate projects?
2. How can site-specific flexibility be incorporated into the process?
3. How do you balance flexibility with predictability?

IV. **Process discussion**

1. How can the program and process become:
   a. Less complex
   b. More efficient
   c. Sufficiently flexible
2. This involves collaboration between involved parties at the beginning of a project, such as initial scoping sessions with the local unit of government, DEQ, MEDC, developer, property owner, and other stakeholders prior to a brownfield plan being approved.
3. Can the brownfield plan also function as an Act 381 and/or grant/loan work plan?
4. How can the approval process be streamlined? Timing is critical to a successful redevelopment project.

V. **Reporting/Treasury**

1. What Resources are available for the Department of Treasury to operate and provide assistance to local governments and authorities?
2. What reporting is desirable and acceptable to establish meaningful metrics to measure program effectiveness?
3. How are reports used?
4. Who should receive reports?

VI. **Local site remediation funding**

VII. **Grant and loan program: Streamlining and integrating with Act 381**