

 <p>Michigan Department of Human Services</p> <p>CSA</p> <p>Children's Services Administration Communication Issuance</p>	Type: <input type="checkbox"/> Informational Memorandum (IM) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Program Instruction (PI) <input type="checkbox"/> Policy Guide (PG)
	Issuance Date: 01/02/13 Obsolete Date: 1/31/2013
	Response Due: 1/14/2013
	Log No.: 13-004
	Contact: Christine Rehagen, RehagenC@michigan.gov
	Originating Office: Child Welfare Field Operations (CWFO)
	Subject/Title: Foster Care Social Work Contacts
	Distribution: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DHS Child Welfare Staff <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BCAL <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Private Agency Child Welfare Staff <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CWTI <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CSA Central Office Managers/Staff <input type="checkbox"/> SACWIS <input type="checkbox"/> Native American Tribes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data Management <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DHS County Directors <input type="checkbox"/> Adult Services Staff <input type="checkbox"/> Other:

One of the most critical activities for a foster care worker is the completion of quality social work contacts. By engaging children, foster parents, and biological parents in conversations about a child's safety, permanency, and well-being, caseworkers can gather information that is invaluable to making good case work decisions and develops the relationships and trust necessary to ensure a child's best interests continue to be the priority.

The Department currently monitors face to face visits with children in care, their provider, their parent(s), and the facilitation of visits between children, their parents, and their siblings. Below are current statewide compliance numbers for worker-child, parent-child, and worker-parent visits required per policy (FOM 722-6):

Type of Visit	% of Statewide Compliance as of 10/31/2012
Month 1: Two Worker – Child Face to Face Visits	61%
Month 1: One Worker – Child Face to Face Visit In the Placement	69%
Month 2: Two Worker – Child Face to Face Visits	Report is currently under construction to determine compliance.
Subsequent Months: One Worker – Child Visit	76%
First 2 Months after a Placement Change: Two Worker – Child Face to Face Visits	Report is currently under construction to determine compliance.
Month 1: Two Worker – Parent Face to Face Visits	62% Mother / 38% Father
Month 1: One Worker – Parent Face to Face in the Placement	32% Mother / 20% Father
Subsequent Months: One Worker – Parent Face to Face Visit	65% Mother / 47% Father
All Months: At least two Parent – Child Face to Face Visits	34% Mother / 21% Father

*Source: InfoView (CR-601, 602, 603, 604, 605)

Detailed reports of total county compliance on these items is attached. At this time, reports are not available showing performance by supervising agency (i.e. private agency, DHS).

It has been demonstrated through case readings and clean up efforts that Michigan is completing many more visits than what is being reported in SWSS. In many cases, visits are either not being entered or not being entered correctly.

To address this, Child Welfare Field Operations is requesting the following of each local office and private agency:

1. Development of a plan to improve the reporting of social work contacts including:
 - a. How to address errors in data entry
 - b. How to improve the timely entry of contacts (i.e. improve compliance with the 5-day requirement)
 - c. How to improve the number of visits completed

DHS plans are to be returned to your designated Business Service Center Director; private agency plans are to be returned to Lynn Hedges, Child Welfare Field Operations (hedgesL2@michigan.gov) by **January 14, 2013**.

Improvements in performance will be measured using the InfoView Reports (CR 601,602,603,604,605,867). A brief e-learning tool on the entry of social work contacts and the use of data reports to monitor performance will be available in January 2013 through CWTFI. All managers will be held accountable for timely and accurate entry of foster care social work contacts.

Data Entry

Of the foster care contacts entered during the entire month of October 2012, only 57% were entered within the required 5 days. Entering contacts timely is important, not only for reporting purposes but for accuracy. Details about a visit or a contact is always more accurate when it is recorded shortly after the contact occurs. Supervisors should review contacts with their staff on either SWSS or SWSS-Web to ensure they are entered accurately. Job aids are attached to this Communication Issuance for reference. DHS supervisors can regularly review the CR-867 to track performance.

Attachment: FC SW Contacts Report_Aug-Oct 2012.xlsx

Foster Care Social Work Contacts
08/01/2012 - 10/31/2012

County Name	First Month Worker Child			Subsequent Months Worker Child			First Month Worker Parent					
	Number of Children Who Entered Care	Percentage of Children with at least 2 F2F Contacts	Percentage of Children with at least 1 F2F Contact at Placement	Number of Children in Care at least 1 Month	Percentage of Children with at least 1 F2F Contact	Percentage of Children with at least 1 F2F Contact at Placement	# of Cases Where Mother is Participating	% of Cases that meet required Worker-Mother F2F Contacts	% of Cases that meet required Worker-Mother F2F Contacts at Parental Home	# of Cases Where Father is Participating	% of Cases that meet required Worker-Father F2F contacts	% of Cases that meet required Worker-Father F2F Contacts at Parental Home
ALCONA				8	75.0%	75.0%						
ALGER	1	0.0%	0.0%	2	100.0%	100.0%	1	0.0%	100.0%	1	0.0%	100.0%
ALLEGAN	3	0.0%	100.0%	143	60.8%	48.3%	3	100.0%	0.0%	3	0.0%	0.0%
ALPENA				68	85.3%	69.1%						
ANTRIM	3	0.0%	66.7%	47	91.5%	83.0%	2	100.0%	0.0%	2	0.0%	0.0%
ARENAC	7	57.1%	100.0%	28	89.3%	75.0%	5	40.0%	40.0%	3	0.0%	0.0%
BARAGA				11	54.5%	36.4%						
BARRY	6	100.0%	100.0%	44	93.2%	77.3%	6	83.3%	16.7%	4	50.0%	0.0%
BAY	7	57.1%	57.1%	117	87.2%	76.1%	5	100.0%	80.0%	3	0.0%	0.0%
BENZIE				8	62.5%	62.5%						
BERRIEN	22	54.5%	72.7%	318	73.0%	66.4%	21	33.3%	28.6%	18	22.2%	11.1%
BRANCH	3	100.0%	100.0%	94	77.7%	71.3%	3	100.0%	33.3%	2	50.0%	0.0%
CALHOUN	12	91.7%	83.3%	211	79.6%	64.9%	9	100.0%	22.2%	6	66.7%	0.0%
CASS	9	33.3%	55.6%	133	67.7%	60.2%	9	0.0%	33.3%	9	0.0%	22.2%
CHARLEVOIX				44	88.6%	72.7%						
CHEBOYGAN	2	50.0%	50.0%	68	73.5%	66.2%	1	100.0%	0.0%	1	0.0%	0.0%
CHIPPEWA	8	75.0%	75.0%	47	40.4%	31.9%	8	75.0%	75.0%	6	83.3%	33.3%
CLARE	2	100.0%	100.0%	37	67.6%	54.1%	2	100.0%	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.0%
CLINTON	2	100.0%	100.0%	87	62.1%	58.6%	2	100.0%	100.0%	1	0.0%	0.0%
CRAWFORD	1	100.0%	100.0%	45	66.7%	64.4%	1	100.0%	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.0%
DELTA	2	50.0%	50.0%	36	55.6%	52.8%	2	50.0%	50.0%	1	100.0%	0.0%
DICKINSON	3	33.3%	66.7%	45	97.8%	82.2%	3	66.7%	66.7%	2	0.0%	50.0%
EATON	12	33.3%	66.7%	114	84.2%	77.2%	10	60.0%	50.0%	8	50.0%	37.5%

Foster Care Social Work Contacts
08/01/2012 - 10/31/2012

County Name	First Month Worker Child			Subsequent Months Worker Child			First Month Worker Parent					
	Number of Children Who Entered Care	Percentage of Children with at least 2 F2F Contacts	Percentage of Children with at least 1 F2F Contact at Placement	Number of Children in Care at least 1 Month	Percentage of Children with at least 1 F2F Contact	Percentage of Children with at least 1 F2F Contact at Placement	# of Cases Where Mother is Participating	% of Cases that meet required Worker-Mother F2F Contacts	% of Cases that meet required Worker-Mother F2F Contacts at Parental Home	# of Cases Where Father is Participating	% of Cases that meet required Worker-Father F2F contacts	% of Cases that meet required Worker-Father F2F Contacts at Parental Home
EMMET	2	50.0%	50.0%	50	80.0%	74.0%						
GENESEE	20	35.0%	25.0%	757	77.0%	65.9%	13	23.1%	15.4%	6	0.0%	0.0%
GLADWIN				38	81.6%	71.1%						
GOGEBIC				32	75.0%	65.6%						
GRAND TRAVERSE	2	100.0%	100.0%	79	63.3%	62.0%						
GRATIOT	2	0.0%	0.0%	52	92.3%	88.5%	2	0.0%	0.0%	2	100.0%	100.0%
HILLSDALE	4	100.0%	100.0%	81	97.5%	87.7%	4	100.0%	100.0%	2	100.0%	100.0%
HOUGHTON				23	73.9%	52.2%						
HURON				28	85.7%	78.6%						
INGHAM	43	67.4%	72.1%	533	82.7%	73.2%	41	61.0%	19.5%	31	29.0%	16.1%
IONIA	1	0.0%	0.0%	68	77.9%	72.1%	1	0.0%	0.0%	1	0.0%	0.0%
IOSCO	4	75.0%	75.0%	31	74.2%	61.3%	4	100.0%	100.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%
IRON				11	81.8%	27.3%						
ISABELLA	1	100.0%	100.0%	88	76.1%	60.2%	1	100.0%	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.0%
JACKSON	14	64.3%	78.6%	247	63.6%	53.8%	13	76.9%	23.1%	9	0.0%	11.1%
KALAMAZOO	26	34.6%	53.8%	577	76.6%	63.1%	23	43.5%	26.1%	16	25.0%	31.3%
KALKASKA	2	100.0%	100.0%	27	77.8%	77.8%						
KENT	22	68.2%	63.6%	797	75.3%	67.0%	18	66.7%	27.8%	15	46.7%	13.3%
KEWEENAW												
LAKE	3	33.3%	33.3%	33	100.0%	87.9%	3	33.3%	0.0%	2	0.0%	0.0%
LAPEER	1	100.0%	100.0%	48	68.8%	64.6%	1	0.0%	0.0%	1	0.0%	0.0%
LEELANAU				32	62.5%	50.0%						
LENAWEE	5	100.0%	100.0%	94	76.6%	71.3%	4	50.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%
LIVINGSTON	6	100.0%	100.0%	114	78.9%	71.1%	6	100.0%	100.0%	3	0.0%	0.0%

Foster Care Social Work Contacts
08/01/2012 - 10/31/2012

County Name	First Month Worker Child			Subsequent Months Worker Child			First Month Worker Parent					
	Number of Children Who Entered Care	Percentage of Children with at least 2 F2F Contacts	Percentage of Children with at least 1 F2F Contact at Placement	Number of Children in Care at least 1 Month	Percentage of Children with at least 1 F2F Contact	Percentage of Children with at least 1 F2F Contact at Placement	# of Cases Where Mother is Participating	% of Cases that meet required Worker-Mother F2F Contacts	% of Cases that meet required Worker-Mother F2F Contacts at Parental Home	# of Cases Where Father is Participating	% of Cases that meet required Worker-Father F2F contacts	% of Cases that meet required Worker-Father F2F Contacts at Parental Home
LUCE				9	100.0%	100.0%						
MACKINAC	2	100.0%	100.0%	16	75.0%	56.3%	2	100.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%
MACOMB	30	73.3%	90.0%	715	76.6%	66.7%	24	54.2%	54.2%	19	63.2%	42.1%
MANISTEE				19	73.7%	57.9%						
MARQUETTE	11	90.9%	90.9%	102	76.5%	64.7%	8	75.0%	37.5%	9	66.7%	55.6%
MASON	5	60.0%	20.0%	36	69.4%	52.8%	5	100.0%	0.0%	5	60.0%	0.0%
MECOSTA	1	100.0%	100.0%	66	86.4%	71.2%	1	100.0%	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.0%
MENOMINEE	2	0.0%	100.0%	22	100.0%	63.6%	2	0.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%
MIDLAND	8	75.0%	50.0%	56	71.4%	62.5%	7	100.0%	42.9%	5	80.0%	0.0%
MISSAUKEE				11	81.8%	81.8%						
MONROE	10	100.0%	100.0%	111	75.7%	73.0%	9	100.0%	44.4%	10	70.0%	40.0%
MONTCALM	2	0.0%	50.0%	108	58.3%	55.6%	2	50.0%	50.0%	2	0.0%	50.0%
MONTMORENCY				11	63.6%	63.6%						
MUSKEGON	21	90.5%	95.2%	432	76.2%	70.1%	21	100.0%	28.6%	12	58.3%	50.0%
NEWAYGO	5	100.0%	60.0%	94	78.7%	57.4%	5	100.0%	0.0%	3	0.0%	0.0%
OAKLAND	34	26.5%	41.2%	591	86.3%	68.5%	30	16.7%	30.0%	22	9.1%	9.1%
OCEANA				28	57.1%	57.1%						
OGEMAW	3	100.0%	100.0%	25	76.0%	76.0%	2	100.0%	50.0%	2	50.0%	50.0%
OSCEOLA	3	66.7%	66.7%	43	93.0%	74.4%	3	66.7%	33.3%	2	50.0%	0.0%
OSCODA	1	100.0%	100.0%	17	76.5%	70.6%	1	100.0%	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.0%
OTSEGO	1	100.0%	100.0%	37	62.2%	62.2%	1	100.0%	0.0%	1	100.0%	0.0%
OTTAWA	6	66.7%	83.3%	161	78.9%	74.5%	5	60.0%	0.0%	5	60.0%	0.0%
PRESQUE ISLE	3	66.7%	100.0%	7	85.7%	85.7%	3	100.0%	0.0%	3	100.0%	0.0%

Foster Care Social Work Contacts
08/01/2012 - 10/31/2012

County Name	First Month Worker Child			Subsequent Months Worker Child			First Month Worker Parent					
	Number of Children Who Entered Care	Percentage of Children with at least 2 F2F Contacts	Percentage of Children with at least 1 F2F Contact at Placement	Number of Children in Care at least 1 Month	Percentage of Children with at least 1 F2F Contact	Percentage of Children with at least 1 F2F Contact at Placement	# of Cases Where Mother is Participating	% of Cases that meet required Worker-Mother F2F Contacts	% of Cases that meet required Worker-Mother F2F Contacts at Parental Home	# of Cases Where Father is Participating	% of Cases that meet required Worker-Father F2F contacts	% of Cases that meet required Worker-Father F2F Contacts at Parental Home
ROSCOMMON	4	75.0%	0.0%	34	85.3%	76.5%	4	75.0%	75.0%	4	25.0%	0.0%
SAGINAW	4	75.0%	75.0%	194	83.0%	77.8%	3	100.0%	33.3%	3	100.0%	33.3%
ST CLAIR	9	66.7%	77.8%	266	77.8%	74.4%	6	83.3%	66.7%	5	20.0%	0.0%
ST JOSEPH	8	12.5%	62.5%	115	78.3%	67.8%	8	12.5%	0.0%	8	0.0%	0.0%
SANILAC	2	100.0%	100.0%	59	74.6%	61.0%	2	100.0%	0.0%	2	0.0%	0.0%
SCHOOLCRAFT	3	0.0%	0.0%	20	25.0%	25.0%	3	0.0%	0.0%	3	0.0%	0.0%
SHIAWASSEE	5	60.0%	100.0%	86	79.1%	74.4%	4	75.0%	50.0%	3	33.3%	0.0%
TUSCOLA	10	90.0%	80.0%	83	74.7%	68.7%	9	100.0%	55.6%	9	77.8%	55.6%
VAN BUREN	3	100.0%	100.0%	131	87.0%	80.9%	3	33.3%	0.0%	2	50.0%	0.0%
WASHTENAW	13	76.9%	84.6%	206	70.9%	64.6%	4	25.0%	0.0%	0	0.0%	0.0%
WAYNE	81	49.4%	54.3%	2871	70.6%	58.8%	50	56.0%	36.0%	36	41.7%	19.4%
WEXFORD	4	100.0%	100.0%	50	86.0%	58.0%	3	100.0%	0.0%	4	0.0%	0.0%
STATEWIDE	557	61.0%	68.6%	12428	75.6%	65.4%	457	61.5%	32.4%	342	37.7%	19.9%

Foster Care Social Work Contacts
08/01/2012 - 10/31/2012

County Name	Subsequent Month Worker Parent				Parent Child					
	# of Cases Where Mother is Participating	% of Cases that meet required Worker-Mother F2F Contacts	# of Cases Where Father is Participating	% of Cases that meet required Worker-Father F2F contacts	# of Cases Where Mother is Participating	% of Cases with at least 2 F2F Mother Contacts	% of Cases with at least 4 F2F Mother Contacts	# of Cases Where Father is Participating	% of Cases with at least 2 F2F Father Contacts	% of Cases with at least 4 F2F Father Contacts
ALCONA	5	60.0%	3	0.0%	4	50.00%	50.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%
ALGER										
ALLEGAN	95	60.0%	59	45.8%	78	11.50%	2.60%	48	4.20%	4.20%
ALPENA	40	65.0%	20	65.0%	28	57.10%	32.10%	14	42.90%	28.60%
ANTRIM	31	77.4%	24	54.2%	18	22.20%	22.20%	16	0.00%	0.00%
ARENAC	15	73.3%	10	50.0%	22	45.50%	31.80%	15	40.00%	33.30%
BARAGA	6	83.3%	6	66.7%	6	50.00%	33.30%	6	16.70%	16.70%
BARRY	31	77.4%	25	56.0%	27	44.40%	22.20%	18	50.00%	27.80%
BAY	75	80.0%	57	50.9%	65	30.80%	24.60%	48	10.40%	0.00%
BENZIE	4	100.0%	3	66.7%	3	66.70%	66.70%	2	50.00%	50.00%
BERRIEN	206	64.1%	136	48.5%	173	31.20%	16.80%	113	15.00%	9.70%
BRANCH	53	75.5%	42	40.5%	36	50.00%	30.60%	26	26.90%	23.10%
CALHOUN	113	74.3%	68	42.6%	94	58.50%	46.80%	60	35.00%	18.30%
CASS	94	52.1%	82	42.7%	83	16.90%	0.00%	71	16.90%	1.40%
CHARLEVOIX	24	95.8%	24	50.0%	14	57.10%	57.10%	11	54.50%	54.50%
CHEBOYGAN	49	73.5%	45	64.4%	33	30.30%	9.10%	30	6.70%	3.30%
CHIPPEWA	32	31.3%	29	17.2%	27	18.50%	7.40%	23	0.00%	0.00%
CLARE	13	61.5%	12	75.0%	11	54.50%	54.50%	10	30.00%	30.00%
CLINTON	55	60.0%	41	17.1%	43	20.90%	4.70%	31	3.20%	0.00%
CRAWFORD	17	58.8%	9	33.3%	14	42.90%	28.60%	6	0.00%	0.00%
DELTA	13	92.3%	10	80.0%	12	58.30%	33.30%	9	66.70%	33.30%
DICKINSON	28	85.7%	23	65.2%	31	51.60%	32.30%	21	23.80%	14.30%
EATON	51	76.5%	49	71.4%	36	33.30%	11.10%	33	21.20%	3.00%

Foster Care Social Work Contacts
08/01/2012 - 10/31/2012

County Name	Subsequent Month Worker Parent				Parent Child					
	# of Cases Where Mother is Participating	% of Cases that meet required Worker-Mother F2F Contacts	# of Cases Where Father is Participating	% of Cases that meet required Worker-Father F2F contacts	# of Cases Where Mother is Participating	% of Cases with at least 2 F2F Mother Contacts	% of Cases with at least 4 F2F Mother Contacts	# of Cases Where Father is Participating	% of Cases with at least 2 F2F Father Contacts	% of Cases with at least 4 F2F Father Contacts
EMMET	17	76.5%	12	25.0%	15	40.00%	33.30%	11	18.20%	18.20%
GENESEE	342	57.6%	249	49.0%	281	28.10%	11.70%	205	17.60%	6.80%
GLADWIN	21	81.0%	17	76.5%	11	100.00%	90.90%	10	90.00%	80.00%
GOGEBIC	18	83.3%	16	56.3%	15	46.70%	40.00%	11	9.10%	9.10%
GRAND TRAVERSE	38	52.6%	28	53.6%	33	51.50%	30.30%	27	44.40%	29.60%
GRATIOT	32	75.0%	13	46.2%	33	87.90%	75.80%	17	64.70%	64.70%
HILLSDALE	69	89.9%	66	71.2%	44	38.60%	31.80%	43	34.90%	18.60%
HOUGHTON	18	55.6%	14	28.6%	14	0.00%	0.00%	12	0.00%	0.00%
HURON	14	100.0%	12	100.0%	10	20.00%	20.00%	10	40.00%	20.00%
INGHAM	292	70.2%	165	42.4%	257	32.70%	22.60%	137	15.30%	8.00%
IONIA	44	63.6%	33	42.4%	40	37.50%	27.50%	28	14.30%	3.60%
IOSCO	20	85.0%	17	58.8%	23	30.40%	8.70%	20	10.00%	10.00%
IRON	10	80.0%	5	60.0%	2	100.00%	100.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%
ISABELLA	53	56.6%	47	27.7%	44	9.10%	6.80%	38	2.60%	2.60%
JACKSON	164	59.8%	108	41.7%	124	29.80%	21.80%	69	17.40%	14.50%
KALAMAZOO	329	66.9%	253	36.4%	253	34.40%	19.00%	193	13.50%	7.80%
KALKASKA	12	58.3%	8	62.5%	12	75.00%	16.70%	8	0.00%	0.00%
KENT	469	65.0%	262	55.3%	416	45.20%	26.00%	232	39.70%	23.30%
KEWEENAW										
LAKE	17	88.2%	7	57.1%	19	47.40%	36.80%	8	50.00%	37.50%
LAPEER	30	70.0%	20	95.0%	24	62.50%	58.30%	17	47.10%	41.20%
LEELANAU	15	86.7%	12	66.7%	13	53.80%	30.80%	12	16.70%	8.30%
LENAWEE	60	63.3%	47	55.3%	50	46.00%	36.00%	38	31.60%	26.30%
LIVINGSTON	58	70.7%	46	63.0%	38	23.70%	21.10%	29	13.80%	13.80%

Foster Care Social Work Contacts
08/01/2012 - 10/31/2012

County Name	Subsequent Month Worker Parent				Parent Child					
	# of Cases Where Mother is Participating	% of Cases that meet required Worker-Mother F2F Contacts	# of Cases Where Father is Participating	% of Cases that meet required Worker-Father F2F contacts	# of Cases Where Mother is Participating	% of Cases with at least 2 F2F Mother Contacts	% of Cases with at least 4 F2F Mother Contacts	# of Cases Where Father is Participating	% of Cases with at least 2 F2F Father Contacts	% of Cases with at least 4 F2F Father Contacts
LUCE	5	60.0%	3	0.0%	3	33.30%	33.30%	2	0.00%	0.00%
MACKINAC	11	90.9%	15	80.0%	13	30.80%	30.80%	17	23.50%	23.50%
MACOMB	391	57.8%	282	37.9%	326	23.60%	11.70%	237	12.70%	4.60%
MANISTEE	9	44.4%	6	16.7%	9	77.80%	0.00%	6	0.00%	0.00%
MARQUETTE	55	74.5%	51	54.9%	47	27.70%	17.00%	43	20.90%	14.00%
MASON	17	70.6%	13	69.2%	17	29.40%	0.00%	13	0.00%	0.00%
MECOSTA	51	78.4%	37	59.5%	36	63.90%	33.30%	26	42.30%	34.60%
MENOMINEE	18	88.9%	7	57.1%	17	76.50%	41.20%	6	66.70%	66.70%
MIDLAND	33	66.7%	27	44.4%	26	50.00%	23.10%	23	17.40%	8.70%
MISSAUKEE	2	50.0%	3	66.7%	2	50.00%	50.00%	3	0.00%	0.00%
MONROE	68	66.2%	41	63.4%	70	24.30%	11.40%	44	31.80%	9.10%
MONTCALM	55	52.7%	38	31.6%	50	32.00%	20.00%	35	28.60%	11.40%
MONTMORENCY	7	85.7%	2	0.0%	2	0.00%	0.00%	0	0.00%	0.00%
MUSKEGON	253	69.2%	162	35.8%	181	21.00%	10.50%	124	9.70%	6.50%
NEWAYGO	38	39.5%	29	27.6%	38	36.80%	21.10%	29	37.90%	3.40%
OAKLAND	304	67.8%	190	47.9%	255	34.10%	20.40%	161	13.00%	6.80%
OCEANA	17	5.9%	11	36.4%	17	0.00%	0.00%	10	20.00%	10.00%
OGEMAW	5	80.0%	7	42.9%	5	60.00%	40.00%	5	20.00%	0.00%
OSCEOLA	32	78.1%	30	63.3%	27	55.60%	18.50%	25	24.00%	20.00%
OSCODA	14	78.6%	10	100.0%	7	28.60%	28.60%	3	66.70%	0.00%
OTSEGO	21	100.0%	13	76.9%	18	83.30%	38.90%	10	60.00%	60.00%
OTTAWA	81	65.4%	67	38.8%	73	42.50%	27.40%	59	30.50%	25.40%
PRESQUE ISLE	4	75.0%	2	100.0%	4	25.00%	0.00%	2	50.00%	0.00%

Foster Care Social Work Contacts
08/01/2012 - 10/31/2012

County Name	Subsequent Month Worker Parent				Parent Child					
	# of Cases Where Mother is Participating	% of Cases that meet required Worker-Mother F2F Contacts	# of Cases Where Father is Participating	% of Cases that meet required Worker-Father F2F contacts	# of Cases Where Mother is Participating	% of Cases with at least 2 F2F Mother Contacts	% of Cases with at least 4 F2F Mother Contacts	# of Cases Where Father is Participating	% of Cases with at least 2 F2F Father Contacts	% of Cases with at least 4 F2F Father Contacts
ROSCOMMON	15	86.7%	14	71.4%	17	52.90%	17.60%	16	43.80%	6.30%
SAGINAW	89	83.1%	62	61.3%	62	62.90%	32.30%	39	46.20%	28.20%
ST CLAIR	148	62.8%	107	58.9%	130	41.50%	19.20%	94	30.90%	13.80%
ST JOSEPH	60	56.7%	49	46.9%	48	33.30%	18.80%	37	18.90%	16.20%
SANILAC	42	50.0%	35	28.6%	30	43.30%	26.70%	23	13.00%	8.70%
SCHOOLCRAFT	17	29.4%	12	0.0%	17	23.50%	23.50%	11	0.00%	0.00%
SHIAWASSEE	58	70.7%	40	60.0%	50	56.00%	42.00%	36	25.00%	25.00%
TUSCOLA	59	69.5%	45	71.1%	39	64.10%	41.00%	25	32.00%	12.00%
VAN BUREN	70	78.6%	49	69.4%	50	38.00%	34.00%	34	41.20%	32.40%
WASHTENAW	80	37.5%	39	23.1%	65	20.00%	10.80%	28	10.70%	10.70%
WAYNE	1245	60.1%	689	43.0%	1031	27.30%	12.40%	545	16.90%	7.50%
WEXFORD	28	64.3%	24	29.2%	25	32.00%	12.00%	24	16.70%	12.50%
STATEWIDE	6594	64.8%	4445	47.3%	5436	34.30%	19.60%	3581	21.10%	12.00%