



RICK SNYDER
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN
DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS
BUREAU OF CONSTRUCTION CODES
IRVIN J. POKE
DIRECTOR

STEVEN H. HILFINGER
DIRECTOR

To: State Boundary Commissioners
From: Kevin O'Brien, P.S. Office of Land Survey and Remonumentation
Date: August 17, 2011
Subject: **Docket # 11-AP-1 Legal Sufficiency Review**
Petition for Annexation of territory in Lodi Township to the City of
Saline (Washtenaw County)

The following comments are for your consideration on the legal sufficiency of this petition:

1. This petition to request the annexation of territory in Lodi Township to the City of Saline was filed with the Boundary Commission on June 24, 2011.
2. The petition contains an 11"x17" site plan map, an aerial map, and city tax maps labeled PART I map. The area proposed for annexation is shown but is not labeled and the limits of the **City of Saline** or **Lodi Township** are not labeled on the north, east or west side of the area proposed for annexation on the PART I map as required by commission rule 25. The PART I map incorrectly depicts the area north of the proposed annexation as being the recorded subdivision plat of "Colony Estates; however the Washtenaw County tax maps, descriptions and the records at the Washtenaw County Register of Deeds show this area as being "Northview Condominiums."
3. According to the PART III legal description, a gap of 3.94' exists between the northwest corner of the City of Saline and southwest corner of the territory proposed for annexation and a gap of 4.10' exists between the north line of the City of Saline and the southeast corner of the territory proposed for annexation based upon the documentation provided by the Secretary of State as of April 19, 2011. The PART I map and the PART III legal description are substantially consistent with each other; however, both do not agree with the limits of the City of Saline and are therefore not accurate.
4. PART Va of the petition is not signed by the petitioner or acknowledged by a notary as required by Rule 123.3(2), R123.1 and R123.41.

Providing for Michigan's Safety in the Built Environment

5. Based upon our review, it is our position that legal sufficiency has not been met for this petition.

Sincerely,



Kevin M. O'Brien, P.S.