

# **Letter Health Consultation**

---

Evaluation of Fish Tissue Data

WURTSMITH AIR FORCE BASE

OSCODA, IOSCO COUNTY, MICHIGAN

**Prepared by  
Michigan Department of Community Health**

AUGUST 31, 2012

Prepared under a Cooperative Agreement with the  
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES  
Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry  
Division of Community Health Investigations  
Atlanta, Georgia 30333

## **Health Consultation: A Note of Explanation**

A health consultation is a verbal or written response from ATSDR or ATSDR's Cooperative Agreement Partners to a specific request for information about health risks related to a specific site, a chemical release, or the presence of hazardous material. In order to prevent or mitigate exposures, a consultation may lead to specific actions, such as restricting use of or replacing water supplies; intensifying environmental sampling; restricting site access; or removing the contaminated material.

In addition, consultations may recommend additional public health actions, such as conducting health surveillance activities to evaluate exposure or trends in adverse health outcomes; conducting biological indicators of exposure studies to assess exposure; and providing health education for health care providers and community members. This concludes the health consultation process for this site, unless additional information is obtained by ATSDR or ATSDR's Cooperative Agreement Partner which, in the Agency's opinion, indicates a need to revise or append the conclusions previously issued.

You May Contact ATSDR Toll Free at  
1-800-CDC-INFO

or

Visit our Home Page at: <http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov>

LETTER HEALTH CONSULTATION

Evaluation of Fish Tissue Data

WURTSMITH AIR FORCE BASE

OSCODA, IOSCO COUNTY, MICHIGAN

Prepared By:

Michigan Department of Community Health  
Under Cooperative Agreement with  
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services  
Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR)



STATE OF MICHIGAN  
DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH  
LANSING

RICK SNYDER  
GOVERNOR

OLGA DAZZO  
DIRECTOR

August 29, 2012

Joseph Bohr, Coordinator  
Fish Contaminant Monitoring Program  
Michigan Department of Environmental Quality  
P.O. Box 30458  
Lansing, MI 48909

Dear Mr. Bohr:

On May 2, 2012, the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ) provided fish tissue data to the Michigan Department of Community Health's (MDCH) Division of Environmental Health, requesting a public health opinion. The fish, taken from ponds in Clark's Marsh, near Oscoda in Iosco County, had been analyzed for perfluorinated chemicals (PFCs), the main chemical of interest being perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS). MDCH concluded that the levels of PFOS in the fish exceeded the preliminary no-consumption screening level. The source of the PFCs is not sufficiently characterized nor is it controlled. The lack of characterization and control of the source presents uncertainty whether the existing samples, which represent a snapshot in time, are representative of future concentrations. Based on these two facts, MDCH issued a public health "do not eat" fish advisory notice (attached).

This letter discusses in further detail the derivation of the preliminary Fish Consumption Screening Value (FCSV) for PFOS and the analytical results of the Clark's Marsh fish samples. Recommendations for additional actions are also provided.

The MDEQ is overseeing environmental monitoring at the former Wurtsmith Air Force Base (WAFB) in Oscoda (see attached map). The WAFB was proposed as a National Priorities List (Superfund) site in 1994 (<http://www.epa.gov/R5Super/npl/michigan/MI5570024278.html>). In recent years, the fire-training area on the south side of the base has been investigated for PFCs (R. Delaney, MDEQ, personal communication, 2011). PFOS was a constituent of aqueous film-forming foam (AFFF), used for fire-fighting when flammable liquids, like aviation fuel, are involved (<http://www.fffc.org/images/AFFFfactsheet.pdf>). PFCs have contaminated the groundwater, which flows toward Clark's Marsh, a wetland area with fishable ponds. The marsh is located between WAFB and the Au Sable River, a nationally popular fishery (R. Delaney, MDEQ, personal communication, 2011).

Fish samples were taken from four ponds in Clark's Marsh in 2011 and analysis completed in 2012. Table 1 shows the results of the sampling. Although other PFCs were present (data not shown), PFOS was the predominant PFC detected in the fish samples.

Table 1. Perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) concentrations in fish sampled from ponds in Clark's Marsh (south of Wurtsmith Air Force Base [WAFB]) in Oscoda, Iosco County, Michigan in 2011. (Concentrations are in nanograms per gram [ng/g, or parts per billion (ppb)]).

Pond	No. fish	Species	PFOS Range	PFOS Average <sup>1</sup>
1 (closest to WAFB)	5	Pumpkinseed	4,750 - 8,930	7,040
2	5	Pumpkinseed	3,290 - 9,580	5,642
3	4	Pumpkinseed	3,170 - 3,820	3,390
4 (farthest from WAFB)	5	Pumpkinseed (4), Bluegill (1)	334 - 1,290	618

The State of Michigan currently does not have finalized FCSVs for PFCs, nor does a formal U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) chronic Reference Dose (RfD) for PFOS exist. However, the EPA (2009) has derived a subchronic RfD of 0.08 micrograms per kilogram-day ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}\text{-day}$ ) for PFOS, and the Minnesota Department of Health (MDH; 2007) has established the same value as its chronic RfD for PFOS. The EPA subchronic/MDH chronic RfD is derived from a study by Seacat et al. (2002) in which male and female *Cynomolgus* monkeys were orally dosed with different levels of PFOS for 183 days. Increased levels of thyroid-stimulating hormone in males, reduced total triiodothyronine in males and females, and reduced levels of high-density lipoproteins in females were observed at the lowest administered dose. The half-life of PFOS in humans is estimated to be 5.4 years (Lau et al., 2007).

The EPA selected the No Observed Adverse Effect Level (NOAEL) of 0.03 milligrams per kilogram per day ( $\text{mg}/\text{kg}\text{-day}$ ) and applied uncertainty factors of 10 for intraspecies variation, 3 for toxicodynamic variations in dose-response between monkeys and humans, and 13 for toxicokinetic consideration of differences in clearance from the body, for a composite interspecies uncertainty factor of 39. The total uncertainty factor applied, therefore, was 390 (EPA 2009).

$$EPA_{subchronic}RfD = \frac{0.03}{(10 \times 3 \times 13)} = 0.000077 \text{ mg / kg - day} = 0.08 \mu\text{g / kg - day}$$

When it first developed a chronic RfD for PFOS, MDH selected the Lowest Observed Adverse Effect Level (LOAEL) of 0.15  $\text{mg}/\text{kg}\text{-day}$  and divided it by 20, for slower elimination, to derive a human dose equivalent of 0.0075  $\text{mg}/\text{kg}\text{-day}$ . MDH applied an uncertainty factor of 10 for intraspecies variation, a factor of 3 for toxicodynamic variations between species (the toxicokinetic considerations were addressed when deriving the human dose equivalent), and a factor of 3 for extrapolating from a LOAEL to a NOAEL. The total uncertainty factor applied, therefore, was 100, resulting in an RfD of 0.075  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}\text{-day}$  (MDH 2007).

MDH re-evaluated its assessment of PFOS using blood serum data from the monkey study. In the re-evaluation, MDH derived a benchmark dose of 35 micrograms per milliliter ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$ ) and converted that to a human dose of 0.0025  $\text{mg}/\text{kg}\text{-day}$ . They applied the same intraspecies uncertainty factor (10) and the interspecies toxicodynamic factor of 3, for a total uncertainty factor of 30 (MDH 2007).

$$MDH_{chronic}RfD = \frac{0.0025}{(10 \times 3)} = 0.000083 \text{ mg / kg - day} = 0.08 \mu\text{g / kg - day}$$

<sup>1</sup> Average given is the arithmetic mean. Sample size was not sufficient to conduct statistical analysis.

Following EPA guidance (2000), MDCH used the EPA subchronic/MDH chronic RfD in a screening value algorithm to derive a preliminary FCSV for PFOS:

$$FCSV_{PFOS} = \frac{RfD \times BW}{CR}$$

where BW (body weight) = 80 kg (EPA 2011), and  
CR (consumption rate) in grams/day (g/day).

MDCH calculates the consumption rate (CR) by assuming a frequency of fish meals ranging as low as 6 meals per year. MDCH considers one meal of fish to be one half pound, which is equal to 227 grams (Great Lakes Consortium 2007). At 6 meals per year, the average CR is about 3.7 grams of fish per day and the preliminary  $FCSV_{PFOS}$  is calculated to be 1.7  $\mu\text{g/g}$ , which is equivalent to 1,700 ppb. Fish containing levels of PFOS greater than this concentration should not be eaten.

Although the concentrations of PFOS in fish tested from Pond 4 (average PFOS concentration of 618 ppb) were less than the preliminary FCSV, the lack of source control and characterization given the presence of the chemical is sufficient reason to extend the no-consumption advisory to this pond. Also, because PFC concentrations may biomagnify in the food chain, resulting in higher trophic level species of fish with higher concentrations, the advisory is applied to all fish species.

Currently, there are no PFC data for fish in the Au Sable River. Groundwater may discharge to the river, and the Clark's Marsh ponds are connected to the river by surface water, although fish are not expected to travel between the water bodies (R. Delaney, MDEQ, personal communication, 2012). Until data from Au Sable River fish are available, the lack of PFC source control and characterization at this site is the basis on which MDCH has extended the no-consumption advisory to fish in the lower stretch of the river. There is a dam about four miles upriver from the marsh, so potentially contaminated fish would not be expected to swim upstream of that point.

**Based on the fish samples collected from Clark's Marsh exceeding the preliminary FCSV for PFOS, the lack of source control and characterization, and given that the key toxicological study for PFOS showed effects presumed to be relevant to humans, MDCH concludes that consumption of fish from Clark's Marsh and the lower Au Sable River may harm people's health. Therefore, people should not eat fish from Clark's Marsh or, as a precaution, the lower Au Sable River (from Foote Dam to the mouth).**

MDCH makes the following recommendations for follow-up public health actions:

- *Conduct additional fish testing in this area to better understand the extent of the problem.* MDEQ has fish samples from the Au Sable River and Van Etten Lake, which is east of WAFB, and has begun the analysis. MDCH will evaluate the results and adjust the fish consumption advisory as appropriate.
- *Determine a State of Michigan FCSV for PFOS and, if possible, other PFCs.* MDCH has begun this process and is conferring with toxicologists from other agencies.
- *Conduct outreach and education to affected communities.* MDCH is working with the U.S. Department of Defense (DOD), who is the responsible party for the WAFB.
- *Conduct a broader evaluation of public health impacts from environmental contamination at the WAFB.* MDCH will partner with its federal partner, the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, in assessing the site. Findings will be documented in a Public Health Assessment report.

Sincerely,

*Christina Rose Bush*

Christina Bush, Toxicologist  
Toxicology and Response Section  
Division of Environmental Health

CC: Bob Delaney, MDEQ Remedial Division  
District Health Department #2  
Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry  
David Strainge, U.S. Department of Defense  
Oscoda Township  
Au Sable Township  
U.S. Forest Service

Attachments

## References:

- Great Lakes Consortium. A Protocol for Mercury-Based Fish Consumption Advice – An addendum to the 1993 “Protocol for a Uniform Great Lakes Sport Fish Consumption Advisory.” 2007 May. Available at [http://www.dhs.wisconsin.gov/eh/fish/fishfs/2007hg\\_add\\_final\\_05\\_07.pdf](http://www.dhs.wisconsin.gov/eh/fish/fishfs/2007hg_add_final_05_07.pdf)
- Lau C, Anitol K, Hodes C, et al. 2007. Perfluoroalkylacids: A review of monitoring and toxicological findings. *Toxicol Sci* 99(2):366-394.
- Minnesota Department of Health (MDH). Health Risk Limits for Perfluorochemicals – Report to the Minnesota Legislature 2008. St. Paul (MN): MDH; 2008 Jan. Available at <http://www.health.state.mn.us/divs/eh/hazardous/topics/pfcs/finalreport011508.pdf>
- Seacat AM, Thomford PJ, Hansen KJ, et al. 2002. Subchronic toxicity studies on perfluorooctanesulfonate potassium salt in Cynomolgus monkeys. *Toxicol Sci* 68:249-264.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Exposure Factors Handbook: 2011 Edition. Washington DC: National Center for Environmental Assessment; 2011 Sept. Report No.: EPA/600/R-090/052F. Available at <http://www.epa.gov/ncea/efh/pdfs/efh-complete.pdf>
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Guidance for Assessing Chemical Contaminant Data for Use in Fish Advisories - Volume 2: Risk Assessment and Fish Consumption Limits - Third Edition. Washington DC: EPA Office of Water; 2000 Nov. Report No.: EPA/823/B-00-008. Available at [http://water.epa.gov/scitech/swguidance/fishshellfish/techguidance/risk/upload/2009\\_04\\_23\\_fish\\_advice\\_volume2\\_v2cover.pdf](http://water.epa.gov/scitech/swguidance/fishshellfish/techguidance/risk/upload/2009_04_23_fish_advice_volume2_v2cover.pdf)
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Memorandum to Glenn Adams, U.S. EPA Region 4 Superfund Division, from Janine Dinan and Dave Crawford, U.S. EPA Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response, concerning the toxicity of perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) and perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS). October 28, 2009. Available at <http://www.epa.gov/oppt/pfoa/pubs/Final%20PFOA%20PFOS%20RfD%20memo%2010-28-09.pdf>



May 2, 2012 MDCH news release: MDCH Issues "Do Not Eat" fish Advisory for Clarks Marsh in Iosco County". <http://www.michigan.gov/mdch/0,4612,7-132-8347-277156--,00.html>

The screenshot shows a Windows Internet Explorer browser window with the address bar containing the URL: <http://www.michigan.gov/mdch/0,4612,7-132-8347-277156--,00.html>. The browser's address bar also shows "Live Search". The page title is "MDCH - MDCH Issues 'Do Not Eat' Fish Advisory for Clarks Marsh in Iosco County".

The website header features the MDCH logo and the text "Department of Community Health". Below the header is a navigation menu with links for "Michigan.gov Home", "MDCH Home", "Sitemap", and "Contact MDCH". A search bar is located on the right side of the header.

The main content area displays the news release title: "MDCH Issues 'Do Not Eat' Fish Advisory for Clarks Marsh in Iosco County". Below the title, it lists the contact information: "Contact: Angela Minicuci (517) 241-2112" and the release date: "FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: May 2, 2012".

The body of the news release contains the following text:

**LANING** - The Michigan Department of Community Health (MDCH) has issued a "do not eat" advisory for all fish taken from Clarks Marsh, south of the former Wurtsmith Air Force Base, in Iosco County. Pumpkinseed and bluegill fish samples were collected from the marsh in 2011. Laboratory analysis of the samples indicates that levels of perfluorinated chemicals (PFCs) in the fish are too high for people to safely eat.

Currently there are no PFC data for fish from the Au Sable River near the marsh, however MDCH advises that people do not eat fish taken from the river south and east of Clarks Marsh.

The MDCH bases its fish consumption advice on reference values used by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Minnesota Department of Health.

While only smaller fish from Clark's Marsh have been analyzed, scientific information suggests that as larger predatory fish eat the smaller fish, the PFCs will build up in the edible flesh, therefore the fish advisory covers all species. For the Au Sable River upstream from Clarks Marsh, people should follow the fish consumption advice in the Michigan "Eat Safe Fish" Advisory, at [www.michigan.gov/satsafe/fish](http://www.michigan.gov/satsafe/fish) or call (800) 648-6942.

PFCs are chemicals that are used in fire-fighting foams, non-stick ("Teflon") manufacturing, electroplating, and textiles. They are of concern because they are persistent in the environment and the food chain and can result in health hazards to humans. The only known site of PFC contamination in Michigan is the former Wurtsmith Air Force Base in Oscoda. MDCH is working with the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality and the EPA to determine the nature and extent of this issue.

\*\*\*

The right sidebar of the website contains a "Related Content" section with a list of links to other news items, including "Emergency Department Visits Increase 83 Percent During Michigan's Recent Heat Wave", "Michigan Office of Services to the Aging Honors 2011 Gatekeepers of the Year", "Teen Drivers At-Risk for More Crashes During Summer Months", "Eleven Communities Awarded Fluoridation Equipment Grants", "Official State of Michigan Heirloom Birth Certificate Designs Announced", "Ethical Guidelines During Public Health Emergencies Available For Public Comment", "First West Nile Virus Activity of 2012 Detected in Michigan MDCH Urges Citizens to 'Fight the Bite' During the July 4 Holiday", "MDCH Lifts 'Do Not Eat' Fish Consumption Advisory for the Kalamazoo River", and "2012 Senior Citizens of the Year Announced".

The bottom of the screenshot shows the Windows taskbar with the Start button and several open applications, including Internet Explorer, Wurtsmith, and Microsoft Excel. The system tray shows the time as 9:46 AM.

Map of Wurtsmith Air Force Base, the area of Clark's Marsh, the Au Sable River (to south), Van Etten Lake (inland lake to northeast), and the town of Oscoda (east, along Lake Huron shoreline), Iosco County, Michigan.

