



Standards for the Preparation of Central Office School Administrators

SBE PRESENTATION DRAFT



Introduction to Standards for the Preparation of Central Office School Administrators

SBE PRESENTATION DRAFT

Process of Reviewing and Revising Administrator Standards

The Michigan Department of Education (MDE), in collaboration with Michigan stakeholders, have been working to improve the preparation of the educator workforce in Michigan. This is in direct alignment with MDE's Top 10 Strategic Education Plan. As part of this continuous improvement process, MDE convened a stakeholder committee to examine Michigan's preparation standards for school administrators, both at the building and central office levels. These preparation standards were adopted in 2013 and were based on the Educational Leadership Constituent Council (ELCC) Standards for Administrator Preparation (2011). The work to revise Michigan's administrator preparation standards became a priority when the National Policy Board for Educational Administration (NPBEA) replaced the ELCC standards with the National Educational Leadership Preparation Standards (NELPS) in 2018. The stakeholder committee was charged with two tasks:

1. Update administrator preparation standards to align with revised national administrator standards (NELPS)
2. Provide administrator preparation programs with standards that align to national accreditation expectations

Assumptions and Beliefs

The stakeholder committee held the following beliefs about well-prepared school administrators and effective school administrator preparation as non-negotiables to guide their work:

- *PK-12 learners-first*: All decisions must consider the impact on Michigan's PK-12 students
- *Instructional leadership*: School administrators must be prepared to provide instructional leadership for multiple content areas and grade or developmental levels
- *Performance of quality educator evaluations*: School administrators demonstrate instructional leadership while performing high-stakes evaluations and providing quality feedback to educators
- *Equity*: School administrators are a gatekeeper to equitable practices for both students and adults in schools and districts
- *Cultural competence*: School administrators are critical composers of a school and district's cultural environment, determining whether all students and families feel welcome and included
- *Clinically based preparation*: School administrator preparation should be embedded in real-world experiences serving Michigan's students and families
- *Shared responsibility for student success*: School administrator preparation programs must ensure that candidates share responsibility for **all** students and provide diverse experiences that incorporate equity, inclusiveness, and cultural responsiveness throughout the program
- *Three-dimensional preparation*: School administrator preparation programs must include three dimensions:
 - Awareness – acquiring concepts, information, definitions, and procedures
 - Understanding – interpreting, integrating, and using knowledge and skills

SBE PRESENTATION DRAFT: Introduction to Standards for the Preparation of Central Office School Administrators

- Application – apply knowledge and skills to new or specific opportunities or problems

The stakeholder committee reviewed state and national school administrator preparation standards, current research exploring what skills and knowledge school administrators need to develop during preparation and recent survey data from Michigan PK-12 administrators identifying areas of expertise and skills school administrators need to develop prior to certification. Throughout the process, the committee requested feedback and critical input from additional stakeholders through surveys and informal conversation. They analyzed the NELPS to ensure they addressed key non-negotiables and areas of need identified through practitioner input.

The following documents were utilized as primary source material for this work:

- [Michigan Standards for the Preparation of Elementary and Secondary PK-12 Administrators](#) (2013)
- [Michigan Standards for the Preparation of Central Office Administrators](#) (2013)
- [Educational Leadership Constituent Council Standards Educational Leadership Program Standards: Building Level](#) (2011)
- [Educational Leadership Constituent Council Standards Educational Leadership Program Standards: District Level](#) (2011)
- [National Educational Leadership Preparation Program Recognition Standards: Building Level](#) (2018)
- [National Educational Leadership Preparation Program Recognition Standards: District Level](#) (2018)

The stakeholder committee used these resources, to determine whether Michigan's current administrator preparation standards required updating, and if the standards required updating, whether the committee would recommend to the State Board of Education one of the following actions:

- adopting the NELPS or another standards set as they are,
- adapting the NELPS or another standards set for a Michigan context, or
- creating new standards.

At the end of this process, the committee determined the NELPS as written were reflective of what beginning education administrators in Michigan need to know and be able to do to be successful and competent leaders.

The National Education Leadership Preparation Standards

The NELPS for building and district-level school administrators were developed by the National Educational Leadership Preparation Specialized Professional Association, sponsored by the NPBEA. These standards represent a historic shift in the preparation of educational leaders from an emphasis on organizational management to the promotion of the well-being of all individuals within the school environment. The NELPS outline the knowledge and skills a well-prepared beginning school administrator should have upon successfully completing a preparation program.

SBE PRESENTATION DRAFT: Introduction to Standards for the Preparation of Central Office School Administrators

The NELPS are comprised of eight standards with subsequent components that provide detailed context and clarification of each overarching standard. The eight standards are:

- Standard 1: Mission, Vision, and Improvement
- Standard 2: Ethics and Professional Norms
- Standard 3: Equity, Inclusiveness, and Cultural Responsiveness
- Standard 4: Learning and Instruction
- Standard 5: Community and External Leadership
- Standard 6: Operations and Management
- Standard 7: Policy, Governance, and Advocacy
- Standard 8: Internship

Although the topics addressed in each standard are the same across the Elementary and Secondary PK-12 and the Central Office standards, the components are uniquely crafted to differentiate knowledge and skills relevant to the level of practice. The stakeholder committee, considering the non-negotiable of a clinically based program, determined effective implementation of these standards relies on embedding preparation in an educational context meaningful to the candidate.

Changes from current standards to NELPS

The NELPS shift from previous ELCC standards, upon which the current Michigan administrator preparation standards were based. One such shift changes the stem used at the beginning of each standard from “apply knowledge that promotes the success of every student,” to “understand and demonstrate the capacity to promote the current and future success and well-being of each student and adult.” Another shift expands the responsibilities of administrators to all of the community members of a school. These shifts align with MDE’s Top 10 Strategic Education Plan goal to “improve the health, safety, and wellness of all learners” by focusing programs and outcomes on learner and adult well-being instead of solely student success.

Other shifts between the current standards and the NELPS include more explicit focus on:

- promoting equity, inclusivity, and cultural responsiveness in the school environment (Standard 3),
- making data-driven decisions to improve school and curricular outcomes (Standard 1),
- building professional capacity to implement those decisions (Standard 7),
- demonstrating instructional leadership that improves student outcomes equitably (Standard 4), and
- engaging in community leadership that includes families and supports the well-being of all individuals in a school environment (Standard 5).

Standard areas that did not shift from the current standards to the NELPS include demonstrating collaborative leadership (Standard 7), advocating for families and sustaining community partners (Standard 5), resource management (Standard 6), and developing a shared school vision (Standard 1). Additionally, a specific standard for internships appears in both the ELCC (Standard 7) and NELPS (Standard 8), with NELPs calling for an increase in the minimum hours required from 216 to 240.

Reaction from the Field

When the NELPS were finalized in early 2018, many of Michigan's school administrator preparation programs that pursue recognition from the National Policy Board for Educational Administration were already attuned to the changes. These standards were developed with guidance from the Council for the Accreditation of Educator Preparation to better align recognition expectations with requirements for national accreditation, which is required in Michigan. This national recognition is valued by preparation programs and their institutions and provides a method for assuring administrators prepared in Michigan meet national standards for quality.

For practitioners, Michigan's PK-12 building level and central office administrators are currently evaluated through tools aligned to the Professional Standards for Educational Leaders (PSELs), also created by NPBEA. The NELPS and PSELs were developed to operate together as a continuum of support and expectations for the growth and learning of education administrators. Administrator candidates entering the Michigan education workforce from programs aligned to the NELPS will be explicitly prepared to perform the practices outlined in the PSELs and evaluated by state approved observational instruments.

Participants in Standards Development

De'Angelo Alexander, School Director, Detroit Service-Learning Academy

Dr. Jim Berry, Professor of Educational Leadership, Eastern Michigan University

Dr. Tyrone Bynoe, Assistant Professor, University of Michigan-Flint

Dr. Andrew Claes, Special Education Director, Delta-Schoolcraft Intermediate School District

Dr. LaCreta M. Clark, Professor of Educational Leadership and Services, Saginaw Valley State University

Dr. Brett Geier, Associate Professor of Educational Leadership in PK-12 Leadership, Western Michigan University

Beth Grzelak, PK-12 and HEGA Internship Director and Ph.D. Candidate, Eastern Michigan University

Dr. Michele Harmala, Graduate Program Director, Madonna University

Dr. Carla Harting, Program Coordinator & Lecturer, Wayne State University

Dr. Tammy Hatfield, Manager, MDE-Office of Educational Supports

Tammy Jackson, MASSP's Path to Leadership Program Coordinator, Michigan Association of Secondary School Principals (MASSP)

Dr. Ben Jankens, Assistant Professor and Department Chair, Educational Leadership, Central Michigan University

Dr. Tina Kerr, Deputy Executive Director, Michigan Association of Superintendents and Administrators

Dr. Suzanne Klein, Director, Oakland University

Dr. Janet Ledesma, Associate Dean, Educational Leadership Coordinator, and Professor, Andrews University

Dr. Curtis Lewis, Chief of Teaching and Learning, University Prep Schools

Dr. Paul Liabenow, Executive Director, Michigan Elementary and Middle School Principals Association (MEMSPA)

Heather Lucas, Education Consultant, MDE-Office of Great Start

Dr. Syndee Malek, Associate Executive Director, MEMSPA

SBE PRESENTATION DRAFT: Introduction to Standards for the Preparation of Central Office School Administrators

Janice Marchal, Retired Principal, Pattengill Biotechnical Magnet School

Thomas McKee, Superintendent/K-12 Principal, Whitefish Township Community Schools

Dr. Cathy Meyer-Looze, Department Chair and Associate Professor, Grand Valley State University

Dr. Youssef Mosallam, Superintendent, Crestwood School District

Dr. Julie Powell, Assistant Superintendent, Lakeshore Schools

Kente Rosser, Principal, Franklin Middle School

Nancy Rotarius, State Policy Coordinator, Office of Special Education, Michigan Department of Education

Joshua L. Talison, Superintendent, Ecorse Public Schools

Dr. Robyne Thompson, Executive Director of Pupil Services, Utica Community Schools

Dr. Melissa Usiak, Assistant Professor, Michigan State University

Dr. Gregory Warsen, Assistant Professor, Grand Valley State University

Dr. Brian Wood, Director of Instructional Services, Iosco Regional Education Service Agency

Wendy Zdeb, Executive Director, MASSP

Olivia Zienert, Teacher, Ottawa Area Intermediate School District



Standards for the Preparation of Central Office School Administrators

SBE PRESENTATION DRAFT

Standard 1: Mission, Vision, and Improvement

Candidates who successfully complete a district-level educational leadership preparation program understand and demonstrate the capacity to promote the current and future success and well-being of each student and adult by applying the knowledge, skills, and commitments necessary to *collaboratively lead, design, and implement a district mission, vision, and process for continuous improvement that reflects a core set of values and priorities that include data use, technology, equity, diversity, digital citizenship, and community.*

Component 1.1 Program completers understand and demonstrate the capacity to collaboratively evaluate, develop, and communicate a district mission and vision designed to reflect a core set of values and priorities that include data use, technology, equity, diversity, digital citizenship, and community.

Component 1.2 Program completers understand and demonstrate the capacity to lead district strategic planning and continuous improvement processes that engage diverse stakeholders in data collection, diagnosis, design, implementation, and evaluation.

Standard 2: Ethics and Professional Norms

Candidates who successfully complete a district-level educational leadership preparation program understand and demonstrate the capacity to promote the current and future success and well-being of each student and adult by applying the knowledge, skills, and commitments necessary to *understand and demonstrate the capacity to advocate for ethical decisions and cultivate and enact professional norms.*

Component 2.1 Program completers understand and demonstrate the capacity to reflect on, communicate about, cultivate, and model professional dispositions and norms (i.e., fairness, integrity, transparency, trust, digital citizenship, collaboration, perseverance, reflection, lifelong learning) and professional district and school cultures.

Component 2.2 Program completers understand and demonstrate the capacity to evaluate, communicate about, and advocate for ethical and legal decisions.

Component 2.3 Program completers understand and demonstrate the capacity to model ethical behavior in their personal conduct and relationships and to cultivate ethical behavior in others.

Standard 3: Equity, Inclusiveness, and Cultural Responsiveness

Candidates who successfully complete a district-level educational leadership preparation program understand and demonstrate the capacity to promote the current and future success and well-being of each student and adult by applying the knowledge, skills, and commitments necessary to *develop and maintain a supportive, equitable, culturally responsive, and inclusive district culture.*

Component 3.1 Program completers understand and demonstrate the capacity to use data to evaluate, design, cultivate, and advocate for a supportive and inclusive district culture.

Component 3.2 Program completers understand and demonstrate the capacity to evaluate, cultivate, and advocate for equitable access to safe and nurturing schools and the opportunities and resources, including instructional materials, technologies, classrooms, teachers, interventions, and adult relationships, necessary to support the success and well-being of each student.

Component 3.3 Program completers understand and demonstrate the capacity to evaluate, advocate, and cultivate equitable, inclusive, and culturally responsive instructional and behavior support practices among teachers and staff.

Standard 4: Learning and Instruction

Candidates who successfully complete a district-level educational leadership preparation program understand and demonstrate the capacity to promote the current and future success and well-being of each student and adult by applying the knowledge, skills, and commitments necessary to *evaluate, design, cultivate, and implement coherent systems of curriculum, instruction, data systems, supports, assessment, and instructional leadership.*

Component 4.1 Program completers understand and can demonstrate the capacity to evaluate, design, and implement high-quality curricula, the use of technology, and other services and supports for academic and non-academic student programs.

Component 4.2 Program completers understand and can demonstrate the capacity to collaboratively evaluate, design, and cultivate coherent systems of support, coaching, and professional development for educators, educational professionals, and school and district leaders, including themselves, that promote reflection, digital literacy, distributed leadership, data literacy, equity, improvement, and student success.

Component 4.3 Program completers understand and can demonstrate the capacity to design, implement, and evaluate a developmentally appropriate, accessible, and culturally responsive system of assessments and data collection, management, and analysis that support instructional improvement, equity, student learning and well-being, and instructional leadership.

Component 4.4 Program completers understand and demonstrate the capacity to design, implement, and evaluate district-wide use of coherent systems of curriculum, instruction, assessment, student services, technology, and instructional resources that support the needs of each student in the district.

Standard 5: Community and External Leadership

Candidates who successfully complete a district-level educational leadership preparation program understand and demonstrate the capacity to promote the current and future success and well-being of each student and adult by applying the knowledge, skills, and commitments necessary to *engage families, communities, and other constituents in the work of schools and the district and to advocate for district, student, and community needs.*

Component 5.1 Program completers understand and demonstrate the capacity to represent and support district schools in engaging diverse families in strengthening student learning in and out of school.

Component 5.2 Program completers understand and demonstrate the capacity to understand, engage, and effectively collaborate and communicate with, through oral, written, and digital means, diverse families, community members, partners, and other constituencies to benefit learners, schools, and the district as a whole.

Component 5.3 Program completers understand and demonstrate the capacity to communicate through oral, written, and digital means within the larger organizational, community, and political contexts and cultivate relationships with members of the business, civic, and policy community in support of their advocacy for district, school, student, and community needs.

Standard 6: Operations and Management

Candidates who successfully complete a district-level educational leadership preparation program understand and demonstrate the capacity to promote the current and future success and well-being of each student and adult by applying the knowledge, skills, and commitments necessary to *develop, monitor, evaluate, and manage data-informed and equitable district systems for operations, resources, technology, and human capital management*.

Component 6.1 Program completers understand and demonstrate the capacity to develop, communicate, implement, and evaluate data-informed and equitable management, communication, technology, governance, and operation systems at the district level to support schools in realizing the district's mission and vision.

Component 6.2 Program completers understand and demonstrate the capacity to develop, communicate, implement, and evaluate a data-based district resourcing plan and support schools in developing their school-level resourcing plans.

Component 6.3 Program completers understand and demonstrate the capacity to develop, implement, and evaluate coordinated, data-informed systems for hiring, retaining, supervising, and developing school and district staff in order to support the district's collective instructional and leadership capacity.

Standard 7: Building Professional Capacity

Candidates who successfully complete a district-level educational leadership preparation program understand and demonstrate the capacity to promote the current and future success and well-being of each student and adult by applying the knowledge, skills, and commitments necessary to *cultivate relationships, lead collaborative decision making and governance, and represent and advocate for district needs in broader policy conversations*.

Component 7.1 Program completers understand and demonstrate the capacity to represent the district, advocate for district needs, and cultivate a respectful and responsive relationship with the district's board of education focused on achieving the district's shared mission and vision.

Component 7.2 Program completers understand and demonstrate the capacity to design, implement, cultivate, and evaluate effective and collaborative systems for district governance that engage multiple and diverse stakeholder groups, including school and district personnel, families, community stakeholders, and board members.

Component 7.3 Program completers understand and demonstrate the capacity to evaluate, engage in decision making around, implement, and appropriately communicate about district, state, and national policy, laws, rules, and regulations.

Component 7.4 Program completers understand the implications of larger cultural, social, economic, legal, and political interests, changes, and expectations and demonstrate the capacity to evaluate and represent district needs and priorities within larger policy conversations and advocate for district needs and priorities at the local, state, and national level.

Standard 8: Internship

Candidates successfully complete an internship under the supervision of knowledgeable, expert practitioners that engages candidates in multiple and diverse district settings and provides candidates with coherent, authentic, and sustained opportunities to synthesize and apply the knowledge and skills identified in standards 1–7 in ways that approximate the full range of responsibilities required of district-level leaders and enable them to promote the current and future success and well-being of each student and adult in their district.

Component 8.1 Candidates are provided a variety of coherent, authentic field or clinical internship experiences within multiple district environments that afford opportunities to interact with stakeholders, synthesize and apply the content knowledge, and develop and refine the professional skills articulated in each of the components included in district-level program standards 1–7.

Component 8.2 Candidates are provided a minimum of six months of concentrated (10–15 hours per week) internship or clinical experiences that include authentic leadership activities within a district setting.

Component 8.3 Candidates are provided a mentor who has demonstrated effectiveness as an educational leader within a district setting; understands the specific district context; is present for a significant portion of the internship; is selected collaboratively by the intern, a representative of the school and/or district, and program faculty; and has received training from the supervising institution.