Michigan Part C FFY 2008 SPP/APR Response Table

<table>
<tr><th>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</th><th>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</th><th>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</th></tr>
<tr><td>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.

[Compliance Indicator]</td><td>The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2007 data of 90.88%. The State met its FFY 2008 target of 100%.

The State reported that all 13 of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 for this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.

The State reported that nine findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 for this indicator were corrected.</td><td>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e), and 303.344(f)(1).</td></tr>
<tr><td>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or community-based settings.

[Results Indicator]</td><td>The State revised the indicator and measurement language (consistent with revisions in the Indicator Measurement Table) for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.

The State's FFY 2007 reported data for this indicator are 93.31%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2007 data of 92.41%. The State met its FFY 2008 target of 92%.</td><td>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</td></tr>
<tr><td>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:

A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationship);
B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication); and
C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.

[Results Indicator]</td><td>The State revised the measurement language (consistent with the Indicator Measurement Table) for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.

The State provided FFY 2008 baseline data, targets, and improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.

The State's FFY 2008 reported baseline data for this indicator are:
<table>
<tr><th>08-09 Infant and Toddler Outcome Baseline Data</th><th><u>Summary Statement 1</u>[1]</th><th><u>Summary Statement 2</u>[2]</th></tr>
<tr><td>Outcome A:
Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships) (%)</td><td>76.2</td><td>60.7</td></tr>
</table></td><td>The State must report progress data and actual target data for FFY 2009 with the FFY 2009 APR, due February 1, 2011.</td></tr>
</table>

[1] Summary Statement 1: Of those infants and toddlers who entered or exited early intervention below age expectations in each Outcome, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned three years of age or exited the program.

[2] Summary Statement 2: The percentage of infants and toddlers who were functioning within age expectations in each Outcome by the time they turned three years of age or exited the program.

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	Outcome B: Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication) (%) — 79.4 — 51.1 **Outcome C:** Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs (%) — 79.4 — 60.0	
4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family: A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and C. Help their children develop and learn. [Results Indicator]	The State revised improvement activities for this indicator in its APR and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's reported data for this indicator are:	OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2009 APR. In its description of its FFY 2008 data, the State did not address whether the response group was representative of the population. In the FFY 2009 APR, the State must report whether its FFY 2008 and FFY 2009 data are based on a response group that is representative of its population, and if not, the actions the State is taking to address this issue.

	FFY 2007 Data	FFY 2008 Data	FFY 2008 Target	Progress
A. Know their rights (%)	56	58	65	2.00%
B. Effectively communicate their children's needs (%)	51	53	60	2.00%
C. Help their children develop and learn. (%)	72	75	83	3.00%

These data represent progress for this indicator from the FFY 2007 data. The State did not meet its FFY 2008 targets for this indicator.

5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to national data. [Results Indicator]	The State indicated in its FFY 2008 APR that it revised the indicator and measurement language (consistent with revisions in the Indicator Measurement Table) for this indicator, but the State did not submit a revised SPP or direct OSEP to a revised SPP that reflected those revisions. The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 1.15%. However, the State's FFY 2008 data under IDEA section 618 are 1.10%. These data represent progress from FFY 2007 data of 1.08%. The State did not meet its FFY 2008 target of 1.4%.	The State must provide a revised SPP that reflects the revisions to the indicator and measurement language with its FFY 2009 APR. OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2009 APR.

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to national data. [Results Indicator]	The State indicated in its FFY 2008 APR that it revised the indicator and measurement language (consistent with revisions in the Indicator Measurement Table) for this indicator, but the State did not submit a revised SPP or direct OSEP to a revised SPP that reflected those revisions. The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 2.67%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2007 data of 2.44%. The State met its FFY 2007 target of 2.50%.	The State must provide a revised SPP that reflects the revisions to the indicator and measurement language with its FFY 2009 APR. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.
7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline. [Compliance Indicator]	The State revised the measurement language (consistent with revisions in the Indicator Measurement Table) for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 99.4%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2007 data of 88.1%. The State did not meet its FFY 2008 target of 100%. The State reported that all six of its findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 for this indicator were corrected in a timely manner. The State reported that the six findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 and the two findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 for this indicator were corrected.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2009 APR the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2008, the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator. When reporting the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2009 APR, that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has conducted the initial evaluation, assessment, and IFSP meeting, although late, for any child for whom the 45-day timeline was not met, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		Memorandum 09-02, dated October 17 2008 (OSEP Memo 09-02). In the FFY 2009 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction. If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary.
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: A. IFSPs with transition steps and services; [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 99.2%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2007 data of 95.15%. The State did not meet its FFY 2008 target of 100%. The State reported that eight of nine findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 were corrected in a timely manner. The remaining finding was subsequently corrected in December 2009. The State reported that the one finding of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 for this indicator was corrected.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2009 APR the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2008, the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator. When reporting the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2009 APR that it has verified that each EIS program with noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has developed an IFSP with transition steps and services for each child, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program (i.e., the child has exited the State's Part C program

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		due to age or other reasons), consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2009 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction. If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary.
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2007 data of 100%. The State met its FFY 2008 target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1).
8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 99.7%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2007 data of 89.34%. The State did not meet its FFY 2008 target of 100%. The State reported that all eight findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 were corrected in a timely manner.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2009 APR the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)). Because the State reported less than 100% compliance for FFY 2008, the State must report on the status of correction of noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator. When reporting the correction of noncompliance, the State must report, in its FFY 2009 APR, that it has verified that

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		each EIS program with noncompliance reflected in the data the State reported for this indicator: (1) is correctly implementing 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)(A)(ii)(II)) (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has conducted a transition conference, although late, for any child potentially eligible for Part B whose transition conference was not timely, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2009 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction. If the State does not report 100% compliance in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary.
9. General Supervision system (including monitoring complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 97.2%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2007 data of 83.3%. The State did not meet its FFY 2008 target of 100% The State reported that 35 of 36 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 were corrected in a timely manner and that the one remaining finding subsequently was corrected by December 2009. The State also reported that it corrected the 11 outstanding findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 and the seven findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2006. The State was identified as being in need of assistance for two consecutive years based on the State's FFYs 2006 and 2007 APRs, was advised of available technical assistance, and was required to report, with the FFY 2008	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2009 APR the State's data demonstrating that the State timely corrected noncompliance identified in FFY 2008 in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A), 34 CFR §303.501, and OSEP Memo 09-02. In reporting on correction of noncompliance in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must report that it verified that each EIS program with noncompliance identified in FFY 2008: (1) is correctly

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	APR, on: (1) the technical assistance sources from which the State received assistance; and (2) the actions the State took as a result of that technical assistance. The State reported on the technical assistance sources from which the State received assistance for this indicator and reported on the actions the State took as a result of that technical assistance.	implementing the specific regulatory requirements (i.e., achieved 100% compliance) based on a review of updated data such as data subsequently collected through on-site monitoring or a State data system; and (2) has corrected each individual case of noncompliance, unless the child is no longer within the jurisdiction of the EIS program, consistent with OSEP Memo 09-02. In the FFY 2009 APR, the State must describe the specific actions that were taken to verify the correction. If the State does not report 100% compliance for this indicator in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if necessary. In addition, in reporting on Indicator 9 in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must use the Indicator 9 Worksheet. Further, in responding to Indicators 7, 8A and 8C, in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must report on correction of the noncompliance described in this table under those indicators.
10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint. [Compliance Indicator]	The State reported that it did not receive any signed written complaints during the FFY 2008 reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2009 APR.
11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that	The State reported that it did not receive any due process hearing requests during the FFY 2008 reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2009 APR.

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline. [Compliance Indicator]		
12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted). [Results Indicator]	The State reported that no resolution sessions were held during the reporting period. The State reported fewer than ten resolution sessions held in FFY 2008. The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which ten or more resolution sessions were held.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2009 APR.
13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements. [Results Indicator]	The State reported that no mediations were held during the FFY 2008 reporting period. The State reported fewer than ten mediation sessions held in FFY 2008. The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which ten or more mediations were held.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2009 APR.
14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2008 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2007 data of 100%. The State met its target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely and accurate data reporting requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540. In reporting on Indicator 14 in the FFY 2009 APR, the State must use the Indicator 14 Data Rubric.