



STATE OF MICHIGAN
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
LANSING

RICK SNYDER
GOVERNOR

NICK LYON
DIRECTOR

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: 2016 TANF Caseload Reduction Report

Michigan's 2016 TANF Caseload Reduction Report, ACF-202, is open for public commenting until March 15, 2016. Please email any comments to MDHHS-TANF@michigan.gov.

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Date of Completion: 3-1-2016

State: Michigan

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2016

Overall Report (check one)
 Two-parent Report

Apply the overall credit to the two-parent participation rate? yes
 no

PART 1 –Eligibility Changes Made Since FY 2005 (Complete this section for EACH change)

1. Name of eligibility change:
 - Requirement that work eligible individuals applying for cash assistance be referred immediately to the Work First program as a condition of eligibility was suspended effective 6/1/2006. This policy was reinstated effective 5/2/2007.
2. Implementation date of eligibility change:
 - Individuals immediately referred to Work First program as a condition of eligibility was suspended 6/1/2006 and reinstated 5/2/2007.
3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Mandatory Work First (WF) / Jobs, Education and Training (JET) clients must be referred to the WF/JET program upon application. Previous policy had temporarily eliminated this requirement as a condition of eligibility.
4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change (attach supporting materials to this form):
 - There is no longer a caseload impact which can be attached to this policy.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: N/A

Date of Completion : 3-1-2016

State: Michigan

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2016

1. Name of eligibility change:
 - A solely state-funded group of cases was created.
2. Implementation date of eligibility change:
 - 10/1/2006
3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Effective 10/1/2006, a solely state-funded group of cases was created. This group is comprised of two-parent families and cases in which the adult is incapacitated greater than 90 days.
4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)
 - The solely state-funded program cases are tracked monthly via Michigan's Green Book monthly and annual reports. For this report the Fiscal Year 2015 Annual Report: Table 11 was used to capture the actual number of solely state-funded FIP cases. The actual monthly numbers were inputted into the attached *Solely State-Funded Cases* impact table. The impact table took the actual monthly numbers entered and calculated the monthly average for the fiscal year.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 5,816 cases

Date of Completion: 3-1-2016

State: Michigan_

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2016

1. Name of eligibility change:

- A 19 year old attending high school full-time is no longer considered a dependent child in the eligible FIP group.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

- 10/1/2011

3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

- Previous to the policy change, a 19 year old that attended high school full-time and was expected to graduate before the age of 20, was a mandatory group member in the eligible FIP group. This child was in the FIP group as a dependent child until the child turned 20 years old, or graduated from high school, whichever occurred first.
- State law, MCL 400.57(1)(c) changed the definition of a dependent child, eliminating any 19 year olds' active FIP.
- If a group's FIP eligibility was dependent on the 19 year old, the FIP case closed. The cases reported as closed were due to the only child in the home that was 19 years old. Cases that had other children in the home or a pregnant grantee remained open, but the needs of the 19 year old were removed.

**4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change:
(attach supporting materials to this form)**

- Please see the impact table titled, *19 Year Olds Removed as a Dependent Child*. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and using an estimated 5% decay factor for each month after.
 - The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 43 cases

Date of Completion: 3-1-2016

State: Michigan_

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2016

1. Name of eligibility change:

- A 16 or 17 year old not attending high school full-time is disqualified from receiving FIP.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

- 10/1/2011

3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

- Previous to the policy change, a 16 or 17 year old that was not attending high school full-time was required to participate at Michigan's employment and training program.
- Policy item BEM 245, School Attendance and Student Status, was changed and the 16 or 17 year old will now be disqualified from receiving FIP and will not be referred to Michigan's employment and training program.
- If a group's FIP eligibility was dependent on the 16 or 17 year old who was not attending high school full-time, then the FIP case closed. The cases closed were due to the only child in the home being the disqualified child. Cases that had other children or a pregnant grantee in the home remained open, but the needs of the 16 or 17 year old were removed.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change:
(attach supporting materials to this form)

- Please see the impact table titled, *16/17 Year Olds Disqualified for not Attending School*. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and using an estimated 5% decay factor for each month after.
 - The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 14 cases

Date of Completion: 3-1-2016

State: Michigan_

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2016

1. Name of eligibility change:

- Financial need exists for FIP if there is at least a \$10 deficit after income is budgeted. If the deficit is less than \$10, the FIP case is closed.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

- 10/1/2011

3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

- Previous to the policy change, after budgeting any earned or unearned income, if a group's FIP grant was under \$10, the FIP case would remain open/approved, but the client would not receive the grant amount.
- Policy item BEM 518, FIP Income Budgeting, was updated stating financial need exists if there is at least a \$10 deficit after income is budgeted.
- A deficit of at least \$10 is required to receive a cash benefit. If the deficit is less than \$10, financial need does not exist and the FIP group is not eligible to receive benefits. The FIP case will close.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change:
(attach supporting materials to this form)

- Please see the impact table titled, *Less Than \$10 Deficit*. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and using an estimated 5% decay factor for each month after.
 - The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 248 cases

Date of Completion: 3-1-2016

State: Michigan_

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2016

1. Name of eligibility change:
 - Asset limit of \$500,000 for property assets.
2. Implementation date of eligibility change:
 - 10/1/2011
3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Previous to the policy change, property assets were excluded from determining FIP eligibility.
 - The annual appropriations act of 2011, 2011 P.A. 63 Sec. 686(3), states "The department shall prohibit individuals with property assets assessed at a value higher than \$500,000 from accessing assistance through department-administered programs, unless such a prohibition would violate federal rules and guidelines."
 - If a FIP group has more than \$500,000 total property assets, the FIP case will close.
 - This policy is no longer in effect. The annual appropriations act of 2013 changed this asset limit, voiding this policy.
4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change:
(attach supporting materials to this form)
 - There is no longer a caseload impact which can be attached to this policy.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: N/A

Date of Completion: 3-1-2016

State: Michigan_

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2016

1. Name of eligibility change:

- A person convicted two or more times for a drug related felony is disqualified from FIP.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

- 10/1/2011

3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

- Previous to the policy change, the department only disqualified an individual receiving FIP if that person was in violation of the terms of their probation/parole and the conduct for which the person was convicted occurred after August 22, 1996. If the person was not in violation of the terms of probation or parole, the FIP benefits were paid in the form of restricted payments.
- The annual appropriations act of 2011, 2011 P.A. 63 Sec 619(2), changed this policy to disqualify an individual if the individual was convicted in two or more separate cases of a felony that included the possession, use, or distribution of a controlled substance after August 22, 1996.
- If a group's FIP eligibility was dependent on the individual who was disqualified due to a second drug related felony, then the FIP case closed. The cases that may close would be due to the disqualification of the only person in the group receiving FIP. Cases that had other individuals eligible to receive FIP remained open, but the needs of the disqualified individual were removed.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change:

(attach supporting materials to this form)

- Please see the impact table titled, *Persons Convicted of Two or More Times for a Drug Related Felony*. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and using an estimated 5% decay factor for each month after.
 - The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 0 cases

Date of Completion: 3-1-2016

State: Michigan_

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2016

1. Name of eligibility change:

- The 60 month federal time limit hardship exemption was eliminated from the TANF State Plan which had the effect of *closing* FIP cases that included adult individuals that received over 60 months of federally funded FIP.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

- 10/1/2011

3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

- Previous to the policy change, Michigan utilized the option of extending assistance beyond 60 months to adult individuals, up to 20 percent of the average monthly TANF case load in the TANF State Plan.
- Effective 10/1/2011, Michigan changed the TANF State Plan, no longer utilizing the option for extending assistance beyond 60 months for adult individuals. Once an adult individual in the FIP group reaches 60 federally funded months, the group is ineligible for FIP assistance.
- Effective 1/9/2013 State law, MCL 400.57a(4) was signed with immediate effect stating the department shall not provide FIP to any program group that includes an adult who has received assistance under any state program funded with TANF for more than 60 months.
 - Exception: MCL 400.57a(4) does not apply to a program group that includes an adult who was exempt from the JET program on 1/9/2013 under certain conditions. These cases are solely state-funded. They are not a separate state program. However, they are included with the caseload count under solely state-funded program.
- A group is not eligible for TANF (FIP) if an adult individual in the group has received over 60 federally funded FIP months.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change:
(attach supporting materials to this form)

- Please see the impact table titled, *Federal Time Limit Closures*. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and using an estimated 5% decay factor for each month after.
 - The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 2,832 cases

Date of Completion: 3-1-2016

State: Michigan_

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2016

1. Name of eligibility change:

- The 60 month federal time limit hardship exemption was eliminated from the TANF State Plan which had the effect of *denying FIP applications* that included adult individuals that received over 60 months of federally funded FIP.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

- 10/1/2011

3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

- Previous to the policy change, Michigan utilized the option of extending assistance beyond 60 months to adult individuals, up to 20 percent of the average monthly TANF case load in the TANF State Plan.
- Effective 10/1/2011, Michigan changed the TANF State Plan, no longer utilizing the option for extending assistance beyond 60 months for adult individuals. Once an adult individual in the FIP group reaches 60 federally funded months, the group is ineligible for FIP assistance.
- Effective 1/9/2013 State law, MCL 400.57a(4) was signed with immediate effect stating the department shall not provide FIP to any program group that includes an adult who has received assistance under any state program funded with TANF for more than 60 months.
 - Exception: MCL 400.57a(4) does not apply to a program group that includes an adult who was exempt from the JET program on 1/9/2013 under certain conditions. These cases are solely state-funded. They are not a separate state program. However, they are included with the caseload count under solely state-funded program.
- A group is not eligible for TANF (FIP) if an adult individual in the group has received over 60 federally funded FIP months. If a family applies for FIP with an adult individual in the FIP group that has received over 60 federally funded FIP months, the application is *denied*.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)

- Please see the impact table titled, *Federal Time Limit Application Denials*. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and using an estimated 5% decay factor for each month after.
 - The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 2,166 cases

Date of Completion: 3-1-2016

State: Michigan_

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2016

1. Name of eligibility change:

- An adult individual in a group cannot receive more than 48 months of FIP in a lifetime.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

- 10/1/2007

3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

- Previous to this policy change in 2007, Michigan did not have a more restrictive time limit than the time limit of 60 months in Federal law.
- From the policy start date of 10/1/2007, 10/1/2011 is the first month an adult individual could reach 48 months and have their FIP closed due to the state time limit.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change:
(attach supporting materials to this form)

- Please see the impact table titled, *State Time Limit Closures*. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and using an estimated 5% decay factor for each month after.
 - The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 1,134 cases

Date of Completion: 3-1-2016

State: Michigan

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2016

1. Name of eligibility change:

- An adult individual in the FIP group cannot receive more than 48 months of FIP in a lifetime which had the effect of *denying* FIP *applications* that included adult individuals that received over 48 months of FIP.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

- 10/1/2011

3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

- Previous to this policy change in 2007, Michigan did not have a more restrictive time limit than the time limit of 60 months in Federal law.
- From the policy start date of 1/1/2007, 10/1/2011 is the first month an adult individual could have reached 48 months. If the family applies for FIP after 10/1/2011 with an adult individual in the FIP group that has received over 48 months of FIP, the *application is denied*.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change:

(attach supporting materials to this form)

- Please see the impact table titled, *State Time Limit Denials*. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and using an estimated 5% decay factor for each month after.
 - The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 150 cases

Date of Completion: 3-1-2016

State: Michigan

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2016

1. Name of eligibility change:

- If a dependent child in the FIP group age 6-15 is not attending school full-time, the entire FIP group is not eligible to receive FIP.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

- 10/1/2012

3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

- Previous to this policy change, the FIP case would remain open/approved if a family included a child 6-15 years old that was not attending school full-time.
- Policy item BEM 245, School Attendance and Student Status, was updated stating if a dependent child age 6-15 is not attending school full-time, the entire FIP group is not eligible to receive FIP.
- If it is verified that a dependent child 6-15 years old in the FIP group is not attending school full-time, the FIP case will close for the entire group.

**4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change:
(attach supporting materials to this form)**

- Please see the impact table titled, *6-15 Year Olds Not Attending School Full-Time*. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and using an estimated 5% decay factor for each month after.
 - The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 182 cases

Date of Completion: 3-1-2016

State: Michigan

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2016

1. Name of eligibility change:
 - Asset limit of \$250,000 for property assets.
2. Implementation date of eligibility change:
 - 10/1/2013
3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Previous to the policy change, property asset limit was \$500,000.
 - The annual appropriations act of 2013, 2013 P.A. 59 Sec 686 (3), states "The department shall prohibit individuals with property assets assessed at a value higher than \$250,000 from accessing assistance through department-administered programs, unless such a prohibition would violate federal rules and guidelines."
 - If a FIP group has more than \$250,000 total property assets, the FIP case will close.
 - This policy is no longer in effect. The annual appropriations act of 2014 changed this asset limit, voiding this policy.
4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change:
(attach supporting materials to this form)
 - There is no longer a caseload impact which can be attached to this policy.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: N/A

Date of Completion: 3-1-2016

State: Michigan

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2016

1. Name of eligibility change:

- Asset limit of \$200,000 for property assets.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

- 10/1/2014

3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

- Previous to the policy change, property asset limit was \$250,000.
- The annual appropriations act of 2014, 2014 P.A. 252 Sec 686 (3), states "The department shall prohibit individuals with property assets assessed at a value higher than \$200,000 from accessing assistance through department-administered programs, unless such a prohibition would violate federal rules and guidelines."
- If a FIP group has more than \$200,000 total property assets, the FIP case will close.

**4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change:
(attach supporting materials to this form)**

- Please see the impact table titled, *\$200,000 Property Asset Limit*. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and using an estimated 5% decay factor for each month after.
 - The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 0 cases

Michigan

Fiscal Year to which credit applies:

2016

Date of Completion:

PART 2 – Estimate of Caseload Reduction Credit

Impact of All Changes

| | |
|---|--------|
| 19 yr olds removed as dependent children | -43 |
| 16/17 yr olds disqualified for not attending school | -14 |
| Less than \$10 deficit | -248 |
| 60 month federal time limit CLOSURES | -2832 |
| 48 month state time limit CLOSURES | -1,134 |
| \$200,000 property asset limit | 0 |
| Persons convicted of two drug related felonies | 0 |
| 6-15 year old not attending school full-time | -182 |
| Solely state-funded program | -5,816 |
| 48 month state time limit DENIALS | -150 |
| 60 month federal time limit DENIALS | -2,166 |

Net Impact

-12,585

Caseload Reduction Calculation

| | |
|----------------------------------|---------------|
| FY 2005 TANF Caseload | 80,595 |
| FY 2005 SSP Caseload | |
| Total FY 2005 Caseload | 80,595 |
| FY 2015 TANF Caseload | 21,354 |
| FY 2015 SSP Caseload | |
| Total FY 2015 Caseload | 21,354 |
| Excess MOE Cases in FY 2015 | 3,086 |
| Adjusted FY 2015 Caseload | 18,268 |
| Caseload Decline | 62,327 |
| Decline – Net Impact | 49,742 |

77.3%

Caseload Reduction Credit = 61.7%

Michigan

Fiscal Year to which credit applies:
Date of Completion:

2016

Excess MOE Calculation Worksheet

Caseload Data

| | |
|-------------------------------|---------------|
| FY 2005 TANF Caseload | 80,595 |
| FY 2005 SSP Caseload | 0 |
| Total FY 2005 Caseload | 80,595 |
| FY 2015 TANF Caseload | 27,510 |
| FY 2015 SSP Caseload | 0 |
| Total FY 2015 Caseload | 27,510 |

Expenditure Data

| | |
|---|------------------------|
| Total Expenditures | |
| FY 2015 Total Federal Expenditures | \$677,285,007 |
| FY 2015 Total MOE Expenditures | \$618,101,663 |
| Total Expenditures (Federal + MOE) | \$1,295,386,670 |

2-Parent Caseload Data

| | |
|-------------------------------|----------|
| FY 2005 2-p TANF Caseload | 0 |
| FY 2005 2-p SSP Caseload | 0 |
| Total FY 2005 Caseload | 0 |
| FY 2015 2-p TANF Caseload | 0 |
| FY 2015 2-p SSP Caseload | 0 |
| Total FY 2015 Caseload | 0 |

| | |
|---|----------------------|
| Assistance Expenditures | |
| FY 2015 Federal Expenditures on Assistance | \$123,029,169 |
| FY 2015 MOE Expenditures on Assistance | \$26,676,188 |
| Total Expenditures on Assistance (Federal + MOE) | \$149,705,357 |
| Percentage of Expenditures on Assistance | 11.56% |

Expenditures Per Case

| | |
|---|----------|
| Average Expenditures per Case | \$47,088 |
| Average Expenditures per Case on Assistance | \$5,442 |

MOE and Excess MOE

| | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|
| Required MOE (80% or 75%) | \$468,518,376 |
| Excess MOE Expenditures | \$149,583,287 |
| Excess MOE Expenditures on Assistance | \$17,287,054 |

Adjusted Caseload Data

| | |
|------------------------------------|--------|
| Adjusted FY 2015 Overall Caseload | 24,333 |
| Adjusted FY 2015 2-parent Caseload | 0 |

| | |
|---|--------------|
| Assistance Cases Funded by Excess MOE | 3,177 |
| 2-Parent Assistance Cases Funded by Excess MOE | 0 |

Policy Name

Federal Time Limit Closures

Date of Completion:

02/24/2016

| Time of Closure | Impact on Each Month in FY ? | | | | | | | | | | | | Grand Total |
|-----------------|------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------------|
| | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | |
| Prior y | 3,465 | 3,292 | 3,127 | 2,971 | 2,822 | 2,681 | 2,547 | 2,420 | 2,299 | 2,184 | 2,075 | 1,971 | |
| Oct | 42 | 40 | 38 | 36 | 34 | 32 | 31 | 29 | 28 | 26 | 25 | 24 | |
| Nov | | 35 | 33 | 32 | 30 | 29 | 27 | 26 | 24 | 23 | 22 | 21 | |
| Dec | | | 40 | 38 | 36 | 34 | 33 | 31 | 29 | 28 | 27 | 25 | |
| Jan | | | | 33 | 31 | 30 | 28 | 27 | 26 | 24 | 23 | 22 | |
| Feb | | | | | 26 | 25 | 23 | 22 | 21 | 20 | 19 | 18 | |
| Mar | | | | | | 37 | 35 | 33 | 32 | 30 | 29 | 27 | |
| Apr | | | | | | | 34 | 32 | 31 | 29 | 28 | 26 | |
| May | | | | | | | | 26 | 25 | 23 | 22 | 21 | |
| Jun | | | | | | | | | 27 | 26 | 24 | 23 | |
| Jul | | | | | | | | | | 24 | 23 | 22 | |
| Aug | | | | | | | | | | | 7 | 7 | |
| Sep | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| Total | 3,507 | 3,367 | 3,238 | 3,110 | 2,980 | 2,868 | 2,758 | 2,647 | 2,542 | 2,438 | 2,324 | 2,207 | 33,985 |
| | FY ? monthly average | | | | | | | | | | | | 2,832 |

Net Impact

Policy Name

Federal Time Limit Application Denials

Date of Completion:

02/24/2016

| Time of Closure | Impact on Each Month in FY ? | | | | | | | | | | | | Grand Total |
|----------------------|------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------------|
| | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | |
| Prior y | 2,107 | 2,002 | 1,902 | 1,807 | 1,717 | 1,631 | 1,549 | 1,472 | 1,398 | 1,328 | 1,262 | 1,199 | |
| Oct | 156 | 148 | 141 | 134 | 127 | 121 | 115 | 109 | 103 | 98 | 93 | 89 | |
| Nov | | 86 | 82 | 78 | 74 | 70 | 67 | 63 | 60 | 57 | 54 | 51 | |
| Dec | | | 102 | 97 | 92 | 87 | 83 | 79 | 75 | 71 | 68 | 64 | |
| Jan | | | | 96 | 91 | 87 | 82 | 78 | 74 | 71 | 67 | 64 | |
| Feb | | | | | 78 | 74 | 70 | 67 | 64 | 60 | 57 | 54 | |
| Mar | | | | | | 85 | 81 | 77 | 73 | 69 | 66 | 62 | |
| Apr | | | | | | | 96 | 91 | 87 | 82 | 78 | 74 | |
| May | | | | | | | | 104 | 99 | 94 | 89 | 85 | |
| Jun | | | | | | | | | 117 | 111 | 106 | 100 | |
| Jul | | | | | | | | | | 130 | 124 | 117 | |
| Aug | | | | | | | | | | | 49 | 47 | |
| Sep | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | |
| Total | 2,263 | 2,236 | 2,226 | 2,211 | 2,179 | 2,155 | 2,143 | 2,140 | 2,150 | 2,172 | 2,113 | 2,007 | 25,996 |
| FY ? monthly average | | | | | | | | | | | | 2,166 | |

Policy Name

State Time Limit Closures

Date of Completion:

02/27/2016

| Time of Closure | Impact on Each Month in FY ? | | | | | | | | | | | | Grand Total |
|----------------------|------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----|-----|-----|-------------|
| | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | |
| Prior y | 1,408 | 1,338 | 1,271 | 1,207 | 1,145 | 1,088 | 1,034 | 982 | 933 | 886 | 842 | 800 | |
| Oct | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Nov | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Dec | | | 14 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 9 | |
| Jan | | | | 27 | 26 | 24 | 23 | 22 | 21 | 20 | 19 | 18 | |
| Feb | | | | | 7 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | |
| Mar | | | | | | 16 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 12 | |
| Apr | | | | | | | 14 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 11 | |
| May | | | | | | | | 9 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 7 | |
| Jun | | | | | | | | | 12 | 11 | 11 | 10 | |
| Jul | | | | | | | | | | 7 | 7 | 6 | |
| Aug | | | | | | | | | | | 11 | 10 | |
| Sep | | | | | | | | | | | | 10 | |
| Total | 1,408 | 1,339 | 1,286 | 1,248 | 1,191 | 1,148 | 1,105 | 1,058 | 1,017 | 973 | 936 | 899 | Grand Total |
| FY ? monthly average | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1,134 |

0

Policy Name

State Time Limit Denials

Date of Completion:

02/27/2016

| Time of Closure | Impact on Each Month in FY ? | | | | | | | | | | | | Grand Total |
|----------------------|------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|----------------------|
| | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | |
| Prior Y | 150 | 143 | 136 | 129 | 123 | 117 | 111 | 105 | 100 | 95 | 90 | 86 | Grand Total 1,798 |
| Oct | 8 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | |
| Nov | | 12 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 7 | |
| Dec | | | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | |
| Jan | | | | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | |
| Feb | | | | | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | |
| Mar | | | | | | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | |
| Apr | | | | | | | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | |
| May | | | | | | | | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | |
| Jun | | | | | | | | | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | |
| Jul | | | | | | | | | | 8 | 8 | 7 | |
| Aug | | | | | | | | | | | 8 | 8 | |
| Sep | | | | | | | | | | | | 8 | |
| Total | 158 | 163 | 160 | 155 | 152 | 149 | 147 | 144 | 143 | 144 | 145 | 138 | Grand Total 1,798 |
| FY ? monthly average | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Policy Name

19 Year Olds Removed as a Dependent Child

Date of Completion:

02/27/2016

| Time of Closure | Impact on Each Month in FY ? | | | | | | | | | | | | Grand Total |
|----------------------|------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------------|
| | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | |
| Prior y | 47 | 45 | 43 | 41 | 39 | 37 | 35 | 33 | 31 | 29 | 28 | 27 | 519 |
| Oct | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Nov | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Dec | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Jan | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Feb | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Mar | | | | | | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | |
| Apr | | | | | | | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | |
| May | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Jun | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Jul | | | | | | | | | | 2 | 2 | 2 | |
| Aug | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | |
| Sep | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | |
| Total | 48 | 46 | 45 | 44 | 43 | 43 | 45 | 44 | 41 | 41 | 40 | 39 | 43 |
| FY ? monthly average | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Policy Name

16/17 Year Olds Disqualified for not Attending School

Date of Completion:

02/27/2016

Impact on Each Month in FY ?

2016

| | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | |
|------------------------|----------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------------|
| Time of Closure | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Prior y | 18 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Oct | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nov | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Dec | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jan | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Feb | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mar | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Apr | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| May | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jun | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jul | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Aug | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sep | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 18 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 |
| | FY ? monthly average | | | | | | | | | | | | 14 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Grand Total |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | 171 |

Policy Name

Persons Convicted of Two or More Times for a Drug I

Date of Completion: 02/27/2016

| Time of Closure | Impact on Each Month in FY ? | | | | | | | | | | | | Grand Total | |
|----------------------|------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------------|---|
| | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | | |
| Prior y | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Oct | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nov | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dec | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jan | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Feb | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mar | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Apr | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| May | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jun | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jul | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Aug | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sep | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FY ? monthly average | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Policy Name

6-15 Year Olds Not Attending School Full-Time

Date of Completion:

02/27/2016

| Time of Closure | Impact on Each Month in FY ? | | | | | | | | | | | | Grand Total |
|----------------------|------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|----------------------|
| | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | |
| Prior y | 183 | 174 | 165 | 157 | 149 | 142 | 135 | 128 | 122 | 116 | 110 | 105 | Grand Total 2,183 |
| Oct | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | |
| Nov | | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | |
| Dec | | | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | |
| Jan | | | | 14 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 9 | |
| Feb | | | | | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | |
| Mar | | | | | | 7 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | |
| Apr | | | | | | | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | |
| May | | | | | | | | 12 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 10 | |
| Jun | | | | | | | | | 17 | 16 | 15 | 15 | |
| Jul | | | | | | | | | | 19 | 18 | 17 | |
| Aug | | | | | | | | | | | 16 | 15 | |
| Sep | | | | | | | | | | | | 8 | |
| Total | 186 | 182 | 175 | 181 | 174 | 173 | 170 | 173 | 182 | 192 | 198 | 197 | Grand Total 2,183 |
| FY ? monthly average | | | | | | | | | | | | 182 | |

Policy Name

\$200,000 Property Asset Limit

Date of Completion:

02/27/2016

| Time of Closure | Impact on Each Month in FY ? | | | | | | | | | | | | Grand Total | | | |
|----------------------|------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------------|---|---|---|
| | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | | | | |
| Prior y | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Oct | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Nov | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dec | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jan | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Feb | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mar | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Apr | | | | | | | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| May | | | | | | | | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jun | | | | | | | | | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jul | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Aug | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sep | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| FY ? monthly average | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |