

**As Needed Inspection & Testing, Marshall TSC QUESTION & ANSWERS**

**Proposal Due Date: 2-25-2010.**

**REVISED RFP POSTED 2-22-2010.**

1. **Q:** Is it possible or desirable for two or more firms to propose on this RFP as long as the prime meets all of the prequalification classifications?

**A:** Yes, this is acceptable, however it will need to be noted which is the Prime Vendor and which is the sub-Vendor.
2. **Q:** In reviewing the Primary Prequalification Classification section of the RFP, the Bridge Construction Engineering classification is required with a note that "Engineering services are not required and that only a senior level inspector is required". By listing this classification as "Primary" this will require that the Prime firm be approved in this service classification. Since engineering services are not required in this area, can the RFP be modified to require "Technical Assistance" as Bridge Construction Engineering requires that all full CE related services on bridge projects would be provided. This does not appear to be the intent. Another option would be that if Bridge Construction Engineering is indeed required to be included in the project, can it be listed as a secondary classification?

**A:** See revised RFP, however, the RFP will not be modified to require "Technical Assistance" as this is not the intent. The intent of not requiring the full Bridge CE is to fill the need for a Bridge Inspector. MDOT will provide for the other areas of Construction Administration.
3. **Q:** Will this project/contract be funded with American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (ARRA) funds?

**A:** This contract is for as needed services so there is a potential for use some service on ARRA funded projects, however none are anticipated.
4. **Q:** Page 2 of the RFP asks for 5 qualified inspectors. Revisions made to the RFP on 02/18/10 show on page 3 "one road" Senior Construction Inspector has been struck-through. Does MDOT still want 5 qualified inspectors or has this number been reduced to 4? Or has "one road" been struck-through to show MDOT is requiring 2 Senior Construction Inspectors for bridges?

**A:** The RFP is being revised to reflect the following change. MDOT will require 2 Senior Inspectors (one (1) Bridge and one (1) Road) and 2 other inspectors (non-senior).
5. **Q:** Can our team be comprised of more than the minimum number of inspectors requested by the RFP?

**A:** Yes, but all must be identified and qualified for there respective areas.
6. **Q:** Page 6 of the RFP calls for a "street inspector" to be certified as a Soil Erosion & Sedimentation Control and Storm Water Operator. Revisions made to the RFP on 02/18/10 show on page 3 "one road" Senior Construction Inspector has been struck-through. Is this "street inspector" still a requirement of the submittal?

**A:** Yes, this is still a requirement.