

**Michigan Department of Transportation  
Dispute Review Board (DRB) Procedures  
Revised – March 27, 2015**

This document describes the Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) procedures for submittal and review of Contractor claims using a Dispute Review Board (DRB).

Contractor Notice of Intent to File a Claim

Only claims that have been submitted per Section 104.10 of the Standard Specifications for Construction will be eligible to be reviewed by the DRB. Requests for equitable adjustment must be certified as required by subsection 104.10.E of the Standard Specifications for Construction. The request to conduct a DRB hearing must be accompanied by a summary of the issues on which the claim is based, in sufficient detail for the DRB to gain an understanding of the claim and for the other party to prepare a response.

DRB Hearing Process

Upon the Contractor filing a Notice of Intent to File Claim, the Contractor and Engineer will work to resolve the claim issue before the respective work begins. The Contractor and Engineer are encouraged to resolve potential claim issues without resorting to the use of the DRB process. In order for a claim to be considered by the DRB, the following procedure must be adhered to:

1. Upon receipt of the Contractor's claim in accordance with subsection 104.10.E of the Standard Specifications for Construction, the Engineer will consider the claim and render a decision on the basis of the applicable contract documents along with the facts and circumstance involved in the claim.
2. The Engineer's decision will be furnished in writing to the Contractor within five (5) business days after receipt of the Contractor's claim.
3. The Engineer's decision will be final and conclusive on the subject unless the Contractor files a documented appeal to the Engineer within five (5) business days upon receipt of the Engineer's claim decision. The documented appeal must include a request for a DRB hearing along with the claim package that meets the requirements of subsection 104.10.E of the Standard Specifications for Construction.
4. The Engineer will record the date the claim package was received from the Contractor in the claims tracking database (CTD) and notify the project DRB chairperson.
5. The Engineer will promptly assemble the claim package to support the Department's position on the claim within five (5) business days of receiving the documented request from the Contractor for a DRB.
6. The Engineer will create a "claim file" that will include all documents submitted by the Contractor and all documents supporting the position of the Engineer.
7. If upon review of the claim packages the Engineer deems additional information is necessary to better understand the Contractor's claim or the Engineer's position, the Engineer will promptly make a request for additional information in writing to the Contractor.
8. The Engineer will submit the "claim file" to the DRB chairperson no later than three (3) business days after creating the final claim package.
9. The Engineer must receive proof of full payment from the Contractor to the DRB panel for all hearing/progress meeting costs prior to the hearing/progress meeting date or the hearing/progress meeting is to be rescheduled. MDOT will then process the

**Michigan Department of Transportation  
Dispute Review Board (DRB) Procedures  
Revised – March 27, 2015**

reimbursement of the cost share amount to the Contractor through the appropriate project pay items after the hearing/progress meeting is conducted.

10. If upon review of the claim package submittal the DRB chairperson deems additional information is necessary to better understand the Contractor's claim or the Engineer's position; the DRB chairperson will promptly make a request for additional information in writing to the appropriate party and copy the other party.
11. The DRB chairperson will schedule a hearing to be held within 10 business days after receiving the claim file from the Engineer. The 10 day period may be extended if agreed to in writing by the Contractor and the Engineer. The Engineer will record the scheduled date of the DRB hearing in the CTD. The Region Construction Engineer (RCE) is to be invited to all DRB hearings.
12. Within five (5) business days after the hearing date, the DRB will issue a documented recommendation for entitlement of the claim, including the underlying logic, to the Engineer, and the Contractor using the format in *Attachment A – DRB Recommendation Form*. The Engineer will provide the recommendation to the RCE. In cases of extreme complexity, both parties may agree in writing to allow additional time for the DRB to formulate its recommendations. The DRB will focus its attention in their recommendation to the matters of entitlement and allow the parties to determine the related compensation. If both parties request and sufficient documentation is available, the DRB may make a recommendation on the compensation.

Upon receipt of the DRB recommendation, the Contractor and Engineer have five (5) business days to initiate one of the following options:

1. Accept the DRB recommendation in whole.
2. Accept the DRB recommendation on the independent, unrelated claim issues.
3. Accept the DRB recommendation on the related claim issues.
4. Appeal the DRB recommendation in whole.
5. Appeal the DRB recommendation on the independent, unrelated claim issues.
6. Appeal the DRB recommendation on the related claim issues.

If the Contractor or Engineer accepts the DRB recommendation in whole or in part:

1. The Contractor's and Engineer's acceptance must be documented to the other party within five (5) business days upon receiving the DRB recommendation.
2. The Engineer will record the date of receipt of the Contractor's and/or Engineer's acceptance and the terms accepted in the CTD.
3. If the DRB recommendation requires a contract modification and the Engineer accepts the DRB recommendation, the contract modification will be submitted to the Contractor for signature within five (5) business days of the receipt of the contractor's letter accepting the DRB recommendation.
4. The Engineer will record the date the contract modification is submitted to the Contractor for signature in the CTD.

**Michigan Department of Transportation  
Dispute Review Board (DRB) Procedures  
Revised – March 27, 2015**

If the Contractor or Engineer fails to accept or appeal the DRB Recommendation, in whole or in part, in writing within five (5) business days of receipt of the DRB recommendation, that failure to respond in writing will constitute acceptance of the DRB recommendation by the Contractor or Engineer.

Either party may appeal the recommendation of the DRB when there is new evidence to present or a counter-argument to the DRB recommendation has not been provided in the previous claim submittal. If the Contractor or Engineer does not accept the DRB recommendation, in whole or in part:

1. The Contractor and/or Engineer must submit a documented appeal to the other party and the DRB panel chairperson within five (5) business days of receipt of the DRB recommendation. The documented appeal must meet the requirements of subsection 104.10.E of the Standard Specifications for Construction and include the Contractor's or Engineer's new evidence or counter-argument for disputing the DRB's recommendation.
2. The Engineer will record the date of receipt of the Contractor's or Engineer's documented appeal in the CTD.

Within five (5) days of the DRB chairperson's receipt of the documented appeal, the DRB chairperson will review the information to ensure that all of the required documentation needed to respond to the issues raised in the claim is available for review at the DRB appeal hearing. If it is determined that additional information is needed, the DRB chairperson will immediately request additional information from the Contractor or Engineer.

The requested information must be submitted to the DRB chairperson within five (5) business days of the DRB chairperson's request. If additional information is submitted by either party, it must be provided to the other party.

The cost participation and cost reimbursement processes for an appeal hearing will be the same as the cost processes for a hearing. Time frames for appeal hearings will be treated in the same manner as regular hearings unless noted otherwise in this document. The Engineer will process the reimbursement for appeal hearings to the Contractor through the appropriate project pay items after the hearing is conducted.

The DRB appeal hearing will be scheduled and conducted no later than 10 business days following the receipt of the Contractor's or Engineer's appeal, unless an extension is approved by all parties. The appeal hearing will not be scheduled until the Engineer receives proof of full payment from the Contractor to the DRB panel for the appeal hearing. The RCE is to be invited to all appeal hearings. Once the DRB appeal hearing is scheduled:

1. The Engineer will record the scheduled date of the DRB appeal hearing in the CTD.
2. Within five (5) business days after the appeal hearing date, the DRB will issue a documented recommendation for entitlement of the claim, including the underlying logic, to the Engineer and the Contractor using the format in *Attachment A – DRB Recommendation Form*. The Engineer will forward the recommendation to the RCE. In

**Michigan Department of Transportation  
Dispute Review Board (DRB) Procedures  
Revised – March 27, 2015**

cases of extreme complexity, both parties may agree to allow additional time for the DRB to formulate its recommendations. The DRB will focus its attention in their recommendation to the matters of entitlement and allow the parties to determine the related compensation. If both parties request and sufficient documentation is available, the DRB may make a recommendation on the compensation.

3. The Engineer will record the date the DRB appeal hearing recommendation is issued in the CTD.

Upon receipt of the DRB appeal hearing recommendation, the Contractor and Engineer have five (5) business days to initiate one of the following options:

1. Accept the DRB recommendation in whole.
2. Accept the DRB recommendation on the independent, unrelated claim issues.
3. Accept the DRB recommendation on the related claim issues.

If the Contractor or Engineer accepts the DRB appeal hearing recommendation in whole or in part:

1. The Contractor's and Engineer's acceptance must be documented to the other party within five (5) business days upon receiving the DRB hearing appeal recommendation.
2. The Engineer will record the date of receipt of the Contractor's and/or Engineer's letter of acceptance and the terms accepted in the CTD.
3. If the DRB hearing appeal recommendation requires a contract modification and the Engineer accepts the DRB hearing appeal recommendation, the contract modification will be submitted to the Contractor for signature within five (5) business days of the Engineer's receipt of the Contractor's documented acceptance of the DRB hearing appeal recommendation.
4. The Engineer will record the date the contract modification is submitted to the Contractor for signature in the CTD.

If the Contractor or Engineer fails to document acceptance or rejection of the DRB appeal recommendation, in whole or in part, within five (5) business days of receipt of the DRB appeal recommendation, that failure to respond will constitute acceptance of the DRB appeal recommendation by the Contractor or Engineer.

The DRB appeal recommendation can only be appealed if new information is provided or becomes available after a DRB recommendation has been issued.

The DRB appeal recommendation will be considered the final administrative action regarding a properly documented and submitted claim. If either party rejects the entire recommendation or a portion thereof, further legal action may be pursued as project administrative options will be considered to have been exhausted.

Any claims documented per Section 104.10 of the Standard Specifications for Construction that were not resolved prior to final acceptance of the project per subsection 109.06.C of the Standard Specifications for Construction must be referred to the DRB within:

**Michigan Department of Transportation  
Dispute Review Board (DRB) Procedures  
Revised – March 27, 2015**

1. 45 calendar days after final acceptance for projects with an original Contract amount of \$5,000,000 or less or,
2. 90 calendar days after final acceptance on projects with an original Contract amount greater than \$5,000,000.

Failure to submit all claims per Section 104.10 of the Standard Specifications for Construction to the DRB within the aforementioned timeframe after final acceptance constitutes an irrevocable waiver of the Contractor's claim.

DRB Hearings and Appeal Hearings

DRB hearings and appeal hearings will be conducted in an informal manner. The following is the suggested format for a DRB hearing or appeal hearing:

1. Contractor presentation.
2. Engineer presentation/rebuttal.
3. Contractor rebuttal/final statement.
4. Engineer final statement.
5. DRB panel questions (questions may also be asked at anytime by the DRB panel members).

In the interest of keeping hearings informal, no formal record will be kept of a DRB hearing or appeal hearing. Any departure from the informal setting will depend on documented approval of both parties and the magnitude of the dispute. Audio and/or video recordings are not permitted under any circumstances.

The DRB hearing must be limited to a review of the material in the claim file, the oral representation at the DRB hearing or appeal hearing and/or material submitted as part of the appeal. New material may not be presented at the DRB hearing or appeal hearing that was not previously distributed to all parties.

If the DRB chairperson determines the claim package has changed or new claim issues have been introduced, the claim package will be remanded back to the Contractor and Engineer. The Contractor and Engineer are encouraged to resolve the changed claim issue or new claim issue without resorting to the DRB. In order for the claim issue to be considered by the DRB, the Contractor and Engineer must adhere to the aforementioned procedures.

The DRB will meet confidentially following the hearing or appeal hearing to formulate their recommendation(s). The recommendation(s) will be based on the pertinent Contract provisions and the facts and circumstances involved in the claim. All individual views of the DRB panel will be kept strictly confidential. Should there be additional questions, the DRB chairperson may schedule a follow up meeting or submit a documented inquiry to both parties.

Lawyers, attorneys, and/or legal counsel are not permitted to be DRB candidates nor are they permitted to serve on a DRB panel in any capacity. Lawyers, attorneys and/or legal counsel are not permitted to attend DRB hearings, appeal hearings or progress meetings.

**Michigan Department of Transportation  
Dispute Review Board (DRB) Procedures  
Revised – March 27, 2015**

Hearings and appeal hearings are to be conducted at the job site trailer, project office or nearest MDOT facility with representatives of both the Department and the Contractor present. Any cost for a non-MDOT facility will be equally borne by the Department and the Contractor as agreed to by both parties.

The Contractor must notify any subcontractors, suppliers, etc., with an interest in the claim of the scheduled DRB hearing or appeal hearing time, date, and location.

DRB Progress Meetings

In order for the DRB to become familiar with the project work, construction operations, time frames, etc., it will meet together with representatives from both the Contractor and the Engineer once per month from the start of construction until final project acceptance. If the project has been awarded, the DRB panel members must attend the preconstruction meeting as their first progress meeting. The second progress meeting will occur prior to the commencement of work operations if needed based on project timing and approval of the Contractor and the Engineer. The third and/or regular monthly progress meetings will occur after work operations start. Monthly progress meetings will occur until the project is accepted by the Department. If conditions warrant during seasonal shutdowns/suspensions, the Contractor and Engineer may mutually agree to reduce or increase the time between meetings to better serve their needs as well as eliminate the meetings entirely. The RCE is to be invited to all progress meetings or informed of their scheduled dates and times.

The Contractor is to provide full payment to the DRB panel members for any meetings or hearings conducted and agreed to by both parties. Payment must be provided to the DRB panel members per the Special Provision for Dispute Review Board. Proof of full payment from the Contractor to the DRB panel for progress meetings and hearings must be received prior to conducting the meeting or hearing and prior to reimbursement to the Contractor. The Engineer will then process the cost share amount reimbursement to the Contractor through the appropriate project pay items after the meeting is conducted.

The DRB chairperson will develop an agenda for each regular meeting in accordance with *Attachment B - Agenda for DRB Progress Meetings*. The parties are encouraged to openly share all issues encountered with the DRB panel at the progress meetings.

The DRB panel may issue advisory opinions at progress meetings as the panel deems appropriate or as requested by the Engineer or Contractor. There will not be any supplemental payment for the issuance of DRB advisory opinions at progress meetings. These opinions may enhance the resolution of claims before they escalate.

At the conclusion of each meeting, if deemed appropriate, the DRB panel will conduct a field inspection of active work accompanied by representatives of the Contractor and Engineer. Any segments or areas of the project that are being impacted by potential issues or claims will be pointed out by the parties.

**Michigan Department of Transportation  
Dispute Review Board (DRB) Procedures  
Revised – March 27, 2015**

The DRB chairperson will provide minutes of the DRB progress meetings which will be distributed to all parties for comment, addition, and correction. Minutes as amended will be adopted at the next meeting.

General Provisions

1. The Department nominated DRB panel candidate will be selected by the TSC Construction Engineer.
2. A MDOT DRB conflict of interest panel will preside over any conflict of interest or disclosure issues that cannot be resolved between the Engineer and the Contractor. The conflict of interest panel is comprised of the following individuals: Chief Operations Officer, Bureau Director of Development, and the Bureau Director of Field Services.
3. The DRB operating procedures for the project specific DRB panel including organization, communications, and meeting protocol are to be discussed at the preconstruction meeting.
4. A DRB recommendation or appeal recommendation is not legally binding on either party and does not constitute final Department action until all MDOT approvals required by the contract are properly obtained. Either party may pursue further legal action concerning a specific claim issue after the DRB process has been completed but only claim issues and their respective amounts that have been vetted through the DRB process will be considered following administrative actions. Once final DRB processing has been completed these claim issues and their respective amounts can then be pursued through other legal processes.
5. Decisions made by the Engineer or recommendations made by the DRB panel at any stage in the DRB process will not constitute an admission of liability or set future precedent.
6. An independent, unrelated claim issue is an issue that has no interrelationship to any other claim issue(s). Independent, unrelated claim issues may be advanced through the DRB process at the documented request of the Contractor. The DRB panel chairperson person will have final determination as to which claim issues are independent.
7. Related claim issues cannot be separated as they move through the DRB process. The Contractor cannot accept a DRB recommendation or appeal recommendation on one of the related issues and appeal the decision on the other related issue. If the Contractor appeals either of the DRB recommendations, both issues must advance to the DRB appeal hearing.
8. The Contractor's documented acceptance of a DRB recommendation or appeal recommendation on a claim issue or item constitutes a settlement of the claim issue or item and constitutes a waiver by the Contractor from pursuing further legal actions against the Department on the claim issue or item.

**Michigan Department of Transportation  
Dispute Review Board (DRB) Procedures  
Revised – March 27, 2015**

9. The term “day”, as used in these procedures, means a calendar day unless specified otherwise. Should any specified time begin or end on a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday, the specified time will begin or end, as applicable, on the next business day following the Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday.
10. It is the responsibility of the Contractor to provide a copy of the claim file or appeal file to any subcontractor, supplier, etc., involved in the claim.
11. The RCE will provide the Construction Field Services Division’s Construction Contracts Engineer with an electronic copy or file location for all DRB recommendations. The Construction Field Services Division will review data related to all DRB recommendations and monitor the data for trends that require action. The Construction Contracts Engineer will present a summary of DRB issues and recommendations to the members of the Statewide Alignment Construction Team (SACT) for discussion with construction staff.
12. The Engineer will furnish to each of the DRB panel members all requested documents necessary for the DRB panel to perform its function including copies of any contract documents, periodic reports such as minutes of the weekly progress meetings, work orders, contract modifications, etc., unless these documents are available for viewing online.
13. It is to be fully understood that individual DRB panel members are not the "representative of" or "advocate for" the party which selected them. The entire DRB will function as an objective, impartial and independent body at all times. In order to avoid any suggestion of partiality, there should be no individual communication in regard to the project between DRB members and employees of the Contractor or Department during the life of the DRB. The parties will direct any matters needing attention between meetings of the DRB to the chairperson person of the DRB.
14. The Engineer, Contractor, and DRB panel members will evaluate the performance of the DRB panel by providing feedback to Construction Field Services directly or through a survey as provided to all parties.
15. The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Area Engineer is to be informed of all DRB submittals, meetings, and hearings related to DRB issues on Projects of Division Interest (PoDI). When a hearing is requested, the RCE will send a claim package to FHWA. Also, refer to the most current Bureau of Highway Instructional Memorandum for information regarding federal participation in contractor claims and court awards. DRB issues are to be discussed with the FHWA in the same manner as contractor claims.

**Michigan Department of Transportation  
Dispute Review Board (DRB) Procedures  
Revised – March 27, 2015**

**Attachment A - DRB Recommendation Form**

Recommendation of Dispute Review Board

Contract ID:            XXXXXX-XXXXXXXA  
Region:                XXXX  
Dispute No.:           XXXX  
Hearing Date:         XXXX  
Prime Contractor:     XXXX

Dispute (summary of disputed issue):

Contractor's Position:

Engineer's Position:

DRB Recommendation:

**Michigan Department of Transportation  
Dispute Review Board (DRB) Procedures  
Revised – March 27, 2015**

**Attachment B - Agenda for DRB Progress Meetings**

DRB Progress Meeting Agenda

Contract ID:  
Project Description:  
Prime Contractor:  
CE Consultant:

Date:	Contract Day: Actual Day/Total Days:
Meeting Number:	Percent Complete (Days):
Notice to Proceed:	Original Contract Amount:
First Chargeable Work Day:	Current Contract Amount:
Orig. Contract Time:	Revised Contract Time:
Amount Earned to Date:	Percent Complete (\$):
Orig. Completion Date:	Revised Completion Date:
Scheduled Percent Complete (\$) ES/LS:	
Job Incentive(s):	

Agenda Items

1. Sign-in of all participants
2. Opening remarks of Chairperson
3. Revise/Adopt Previous Meeting Minutes
4. Description by Contractor of:
  - a. Work accomplished since last meeting
  - b. Current status of the work schedule
  - c. Days gained/lost since last meeting and reasons
  - d. Schedule for future
  - e. Potential problems/challenges
  - f. Proposed solutions to these problems
5. Discussion by Engineer of:
  - a. Work schedule as he/she views it
  - b. Potential new disputes or claims
  - c. Status of past disputes and claims
  - d. Other controversies
6. Next Meeting Date
7. Tour of Project (if applicable)