

**SCOPE OF SERVICES
DESIGN SERVICES - QUESTIONS & ANSWERS No. 4
July 17, 2009**

Scope 2 - Aesthetic Design Guide

Detroit River International Crossing (DRIC)
CS: 82194 JN: 802330

Question 1: I am writing in regards to the DRIC Project Mandatory Pre-proposal Meeting attendance. We are on the email distribution list having received prior emails, we did not receive a notification for this meeting. Therefore we were not aware of this meeting and missed it. We intend to prime a team that will include a consultant(s) that have attended this meeting. We will review the meeting materials and confer with our subconsultant(s) who attended to stay up to date. We would appreciate a prompt response confirming whether we can submit so we can finalize our teaming arrangement.

Answer 1: All qualified Consultants and Sub-Consultants are allowed to submit proposals despite their absences from the mandatory Pre-Proposal Meeting.

Question 2: Will security for the plaza area be addressed in the other contracts outside of contract #2?

Answer 2: Some elements of security are part of Contracts 1-7

Question 3: Will the GEC conduct monthly team meetings that would include representatives from all contracts?

Answer 3: Yes, the Consultant Coordinator will be conducting monthly meetings and a representative must be present from all Contracts.

Question 4: Will coordination between the contract #2 prime and the other prime contractors have to be coordinated through MDOT / GEC or can the communication be direct, while copying MDOT / GEC?

Answer 4: No, will have to be coordinated through the Consultant Coordinator.

Question 6: We were unable to attend the pre-bid meeting for MDOT RFP DRIC01_802330. Will you be posting the list of attendees on the MDOT website?

Answer 6: Attached

Question 7: Does the Primary Consultant (**Contract 2**) have to be pre-qualified under both prequalification classifications, if we provide a sub contractor that provides the prequalification classification that we are missing?

Answer 7: Yes

Question 8: Will freeway or community lighting be a component in the Aesthetic Design Guide?

Answer 8: Yes, as determined by workshops.

Question 9: Will the Aesthetic Design Guide address architectural components / theming for the buildings within the plaza and new community / residential developments?

Answer 9: Yes

Question 10: Will the schedule for contract #2 follow the schedules identified in contracts 1, 3 - 7?

Answer 10: No, Contract #2 does not have Part I and Part II. The Aesthetic Design Guide must be completed in the time frame as specified in the Scope.

Question 11: Is the Community Advisory Group (CAG) and stakeholder group of individuals all ready established? Will the prime contractor for #2 be involved with the selection of these individuals?

Answer 12: Yes, it will be similar and it should parallel the existing Local Advisory Council (LAC). The stakeholder group of individuals has been established. The Prime Consultant for contract #2 will be involved with the review of the existing stakeholder group of individuals to make sure it is comprehensive and complete.

Question 13: Will the structure of the CAG be parallel to the existing LAC?

Answer 13: Yes

Question 14: Under Consultant Responsibilities in the RFP, #10. Final Aesthetic Design Guide Preparation (page 6 of 9), it identifies that the document will be adjusted based on comments from MDOT and the Stakeholder group. In the previous task, Preliminary Aesthetic Design Guide Preparation it says that the consultant will be preparing materials for MDOT to review. Are we to include the stakeholders within this review session or just MDOT?

Answer 15: Yes

Question 16: Will there be a final presentation of the final product to the CAG?

Answer 16: Yes

Question 17: Will there be any direct interface / coordination with the City of Detroit Departments (i.e. CPC, P&DD, historic commission, etc.) or non-profit agencies within the community (outside of the CAG / Stakeholder group)?

Answer 17: Yes