

SPECIALTY SERVICES
Develop Transit Peer for Michigan's
Local Bus Transit System

REQ #683, Q&A 1
7/13/2011

Question 1: Please advise as to what Tier the proposal will be. Tier I, Tier II, or Tier III.

Answer 1: This is a Tier I proposal.

Question 2: The cover letter indicates that four (4) copies are to be submitted to the PM, while form 5100H indicates that six (6) copies shall be submitted to the PM, which one is correct?

Answer 2: Please submit six (6) copies.

Question 3: In developing our response to the scope of services, we were wondering if there a set budget amount for this effort.

Answer 3: This is a Tier I proposal.

Question 4: Will MDOT provide shape files for use in ArcGIS, or is this the contractor's responsibility?

Answer 4: ArcGIS is not a requirement of this RFP.

Question 5: Requirement 5 on page 6 of the RFP requires certification of willingness to comply with FTA Contract Clauses, but the said forms are not attached.

Answer 5: There is no requirement to comply with FTA Contract Clauses.

Question 6: Does the consultant need to comply with "Best Value Selections" requirements in Consultant/Vendor Selection Guidelines? In particular, are forms 5100G and 5100I required?

Answer 6: Yes, the consultant needs to comply with the "Best Value Selections" requirements listed in the Consultant/Vendor Selection Guidelines. However, the 5100I has been incorporated in with the 5100D, which now has the Conflict Of Interest Statement and the Certification Of

Availability Of Key Personnel. So when responding to a RFP the consultant is responsible for providing a completed 5100D form and if applicable, the 5100J form.

Question 7: Please specify the relationship between this RFP and the prior study on Transit Performance Management (http://www.michigan.gov/documents/mdot/SystemsConditionMeasurementsFinalReport_321891_7.pdf) as well as the follow-up proposal to develop an annual data collection reporting process (http://www.michigan.gov/documents/mdot/MDOT_RFP_SS_REQ652_posted_353749_7.pdf). Specifically, is the proposed Peer Comparison intended to assist in implementing the findings of the previous study? What other purpose(s) is it intended to serve?

Answer 7: Although the proposed Peer Comparison is not intended to assist in any previous or currently proposed studies, a proposal may suggest a beneficial link between the studies. The study is intended to assist the state and local transit agencies in identifying meaningful peer groups for comparison purposes.