

**RFQ for Delivery of Freeway Lighting as a Public-Private Partnership Project  
Metro Region  
(Wayne, Oakland, Macomb and St. Clair Counties, and the City of Detroit)**

**Q&A #1  
03/19/2014**

- Question 1: Does MDOT have a list of respondents to the Request for Letters of Interest dated July 25, 2013? Are the respondents' responses public record for review?
- Answer 1: A list of the respondents was posted in a press release last August. The release can be found at:**  
[http://www.michigan.gov/mdot/0,4616,7-151-9620\\_11057-311464--,00.html](http://www.michigan.gov/mdot/0,4616,7-151-9620_11057-311464--,00.html)  
**At this time, MDOT has not publically released the submitters' responses to the July 2013 Request for Letters of Interest.**
- Question 2: Can MDOT provide GIS data, tabular data with XY values, or static maps identifying the street lights in the project?
- Answer 2: MDOT does not plan to provide additional inventory data during the RFQ phase. However, MDOT will make additional data (including static maps, tabular data and all other data collected during the October inventory) available to short-listed Teams during the RFP phase.**
- Question 3: Can you provide access to the October 2013 inventory data (not the summary table) referenced in Attachment table A-4 and A-5?
- Answer 3: MDOT does not plan to provide additional inventory data during the RFQ phase. However, MDOT will make additional data (including static maps, tabular data and all other data collected during the October inventory) available to short-listed Teams during the RFP phase.**
- Question 4: Will MDOT require an energy savings guarantee on this project?
- Answer 4: MDOT does not currently anticipate that energy savings guarantees will be required at the RFP stage. However, proposing teams would not be prohibited from offering them as part of their overall proposals if an energy guarantee is not required. During the RFQ phase, Teams are instead asked to describe their experience and understanding in minimizing MDOT's costs in several areas (e.g., initial product replacement, life cycle maintenance, energy consumption, etc.) to provide *overall* optimal value.**
- Question 5: Section 2.4 of the RFQ states the lead engineering design firm's prequalification requirements include the service classification "Utility Coordination". When checking MDOT's service vendor prequalification web site under this category, the message "No Prequalified Vendor for this Service Classification at this time" comes up. Will this requirement be changed or are there vendors who are currently getting this classification and can you share who these might be?
- Answer 5: An addendum (#1) has been issued to remove the utility coordination prequalification from the RFQ.**