

**CM/GC for the Zilwaukee Bridge bearing replacement project
Bay Region, Saginaw County**

Q&A #4, 1/24/2012

JN 105176

Question 1: In the checklist itself there is a line item for **Submitter History**, yet we cannot find a section labeled “Submitter History” in the RFQ so we don’t know what we would be checking off here.

Answer 1: Please disregard the Submitter History line item in the checklist. No information is required for this item in the SOQ.

Question 2: The RFQ is requiring form 5100I to disclose the Conflict of Interest. The normal form 5100I form is not on the MDOT website anymore, please advise.

Answer 2: The Conflict of Interest 5100I form was combined into the 5100D, request for Proposal Cover sheet. Please fill out the required conflict of interest disclosure on form 5100D, see link below:

<http://mdotwas1.mdot.state.mi.us/public/webforms/public/5100D.pdf>

Question 3: In Q & A clarification was given regarding listing each project, and the associated dollar amount. This list could be several pages long. Can the referenced list of projects be included as an appendix item that does not count toward the limited 19 pages?

Answer 3: We understand the project lists may be lengthy, however, per the Request for Qualifications, it is important to abide by the 19 page limit.

Question 4: Is it acceptable to include photos on the tabs, which are not part of the page count? And is it acceptable to include text labels for the photos?

Answer 4: Yes, photos of projects, with a brief description under each photo can be part of an appendix in the back of the Statement of Qualification that does not count towards the 19 page limit.

Question 5: With the required font size being 12 point for the Statement of Qualifications, would you accept a smaller font size for tables throughout the SOQ?

Answer 5: Yes, smaller font may be used on tables, figures, captions, etc.

Question 6: How will the Statements of Qualifications be scored?

Answer 6: The SOQ's will be scored individually by the proposal scoring team of approximately six MDOT staff from the technical, executive, and field services areas. The proposal scoring team will then meet and select the winning proposal based on group consensus.

Question 7: After a field visit to the bridge, the complexity of removing the existing expansion hinge bearings is apparent, especially removing the sole plates that are cast into the hinge segments. Has MDOT considered retaining the top and bottom bearing sole plates, and replacing all other components?

Answer 7: Yes, this is a consideration, and discussions have been ongoing with the designer in this regard. The proposed bearings must still meet all applicable AASHTO criteria.