TABLE OF CONTENTS

FORMAT OF THIS FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY E-1

CHAPTER 1 WHY ARE IMPROVEMENTS NEEDED? 1-1

1.1 Study Location 1-2

1.2 Validation of Project Limits 1-2

1.3 What Business is Conducted on the United States Plaza? 1-5

1.4 What is the History of the Blue Water Bridge and Plaza Improvements? 1-6

1.5 Purpose for Project 1-7
1.5.1 New Inspection Technologies and Procedures Require More Space 1-8
1.5.2 Improving Security 1-12
1.5.3 Limited Existing Space on the Plaza 1-13
1.5.4 How Much is Traffic Going to Grow on the Blue Water Bridge? 1-13
1.5.5 Backups Need to be Reduced 1-18
1.5.6 Reducing the Potential for Future Traffic Conflicts and Crashes 1-20
1.5.7 Improvements to I-94/I-69 Infrastructure 1-22
1.5.8 Improving Local Roads and Access to Port Huron 1-23
1.5.9 Maintenance 1-24
1.5.10 New Michigan Welcome Center 1-24
1.5.11 Improvements must be Flexible for the Future 1-25
1.5.12 State and Local Planning Support for Plaza Improvements 1-25
1.5.13 On-going Canadian Plaza Improvements 1-25

1.6 Additional Justification Supporting the Project Need 1-26
1.6.1 CBP's Program of Requirements (POR) 1-26
1.6.2 CBP Border Operational Policies and Assumptions 1-32
1.6.3 Traffic Projection Analysis and Assumptions 1-33

CHAPTER 2 ALTERNATIVES 2-1

2.1 No-Build Alternative Summary 2-1

2.2 Process Followed to Develop Modifications to the DEIS Preferred Alternative .2-4

2.3 Description of the Recommended Alternative 2-8
2.3.1 Key Reasons Why the Refined Preferred Alternative is the Recommended Alternative 2-9
2.3.2 Describe the Recommended Alternative 2-11
2.3.3 Design Criteria (Corridor and Plaza) 2-26
2.3.4 Projected Travel Time Delays.....2-34
2.3.5 Comparison of Recommended Port Huron Port of Entry (POE) to other POE's.....2-41
2.3.6 Project Staging/Maintenance of Traffic Goals 2-42
2.3.7 Cost Estimate of the Recommended Alternative 2-45
2.3.8 Funding/Implementation of Recommended Alternative.....2-48

CHAPTER 3 THE ENVIRONMENT: WHAT'S THERE NOW AND PROJECT EFFECTS 3-1

3.1 Land Use and Zoning.....3-1
3.1.1 What Are the Land Uses in the Study Area? 3-1
3.1.2 How Will the Alternatives Affect Land Use? 3-2

3.2 Economics 3-3
3.2.1 How Would the No-Build Alternative Affect Businesses, Taxes, Jobs and Trade? 3-3
3.2.2 How would the Recommended Alternative Affect Businesses, Taxes, Trade and Jobs? 3-6
3.2.3 Economic Development Assistance.....3-9

3.3 Air Quality 3-10
3.3.1 What is the Current Status of Air Quality? 3-10
3.3.2 What Impacts from Carbon Monoxide are Anticipated with the Recommended Alternative? 3-12
3.3.3 What Impacts from Particulates are Anticipated with the Recommended Alternative?.....3-12
3.3.4 Is Any Mitigation of Air Quality Impacts Needed?.....3-16

3.4 Noise Impacts 3-16
3.4.1 What are the Existing Noise Levels in the Study Area? 3-17
3.4.2 How is the Recommended Alternative different from the Noise Analysis completed for the DEIS? 3-18
3.4.3 How Will the Noise Levels that Exceed the NAC be Mitigated?.....3-19
3.4.4 Where were Noise Barriers Considered?.....3-20

3.4.5 Are There Considerations for Preventing Future Development from Being Adversely Affected by Noise? 3-20
3.4.6 What will the Effects from Construction Noise be and How would they be Mitigated? 3-21
3.4.7 What are the Next Steps in Addressing Noise Impacts? 3-21

3.5 Community and Neighborhood Impacts 3-23
3.5.1 How Will the Alternatives Affect Neighborhoods? 3-23
3.5.2 How Will the Alternatives Affect Community Services and Facilities? 3-25
3.5.3 How Will the Alternatives Affect Public Parkland and Potential Section 4(f) or 6(f) Properties? 3-26
3.5.4 How Will the Alternatives Affect Emergency Services? 3-26
3.5.5 How Will the Alternatives Affect Pedestrians and Cyclists? 3-26
3.5.6 How Will MDOT and FHWA Reduce and Compensate for the Neighborhood and Community Impacts? 3-27

3.6 Environmental Justice 3-28
3.6.1 What is Environmental Justice? 3-28
3.6.2 Groups Included in Environmental Justice/ Title VI Analysis 3-29
3.6.3 What are the Effects of Each Alternative on Environmental Justice Populations? 3-29

3.7 Relocations 3-32

3.8 Public Safety and Security 3-36
3.8.1 General Design Considerations and Criteria 3-36
3.8.2 Effects of the Alternatives on Public Safety and Security 3-37

3.9 Aesthetic and Visual Impacts 3-39
3.9.1 What does the Existing Study Area Look Like? 3-40
3.9.2 What are the Visual and Aesthetic Effects of a New Plaza on the Surrounding Area? 3-40
3.9.3 What are Potential Light Pollution Effects? 3-40

3.10 Groundwater, Drainage, and Water Quality. 3-42

3.11 Floodplains... 3-42
3.11.1 Floodplains in the Study Area 3-42
3.11.2 Impacted Floodplains 3-43

3.12 Wetlands 3-44
3.12.1 How Many Acres of Wetlands will the Recommended Alternative Impact? 3-45

3.13 Plants, Wildlife, and Threatened and Endangered Species 3-45
3.13.1 What Methods were Used? 3-46
3.13.2 What does the Existing Environment Look Like? 3-46
3.13.3 Will the Project Impact any Plants, Wildlife, or Threatened and Endangered Species? 3-46

3.14 Potential Contaminated Sites 3-47

3.15 Cultural Resources 3-48

3.16 Traditional Cultural Properties 3-51

3.17 Construction Impacts 3-51
3.17.1 What are the Construction Impacts of the Alternatives? 3-51

3.18 Indirect and Cumulative Impacts 3-56
3.18.1 What are the Indirect Impacts? 3-57
3.18.2 Does the Project have any Transboundary Effects? 3-59
3.18.3 How did the Study Team Determine if the Recommended Alternative would have Cumulative Effects? 3-59
3.18.4 Are there any Cumulative Effects? 3-60

3.19 Permanent and Lasting Commitments of Resources 3-60

3.20 The Relationship Between Local Short-Term Uses of the Human Environment and the Maintenance and Enhancement of Long Term Productivity 3-61

CHAPTER 4 SECTION 4(f) AND 6(f) EVALUATION 4-1

4.1 Are there any 4(f) Properties located within the Study Area? 4-1

4.2 Are there any Impacts to these Properties? 4-2

4.3 Port Huron Township Park No. 1 4-2

4.4 E.C. Williams House 4-4
4.4.1 What are the Project Impacts on the E.C. Williams House? 4-4
4.4.2 How will MDOT and FHWA Minimize Harm on the E.C. Williams House? 4-4

CHAPTER 5 MITIGATION AND PROJECT ENHANCEMENTS 5-1

5.1 Mitigation and Project Enhancements 5-1

5.2 What is Mitigation?.....5-1
5.2.1 What are Project Enhancements?.....5-2

5.3 How are Right-Of-Way Acquisitions and Relocation Impacts Addressed?.....5-3

5.4 How are the Aesthetic and Visual Conditions Mitigated?.....5-6

5.5 How will Traffic Noise be Mitigated?.....5-8

5.6 How will Public Utility Services be Maintained?.....5-8

5.7 What Measures will be taken to Protect Water Quality? 5-9

5.8 What Measures will be taken to Protect Groundwater? 5-10

5.9 What Measures will be taken to Protect Floodplains, Streams, and Drain Crossings? 5-11

5.10 How are Wetlands Mitigated? 5-13

5.11 What Measures are taken to Protect Existing Vegetation? 5-15

5.12 What will be done to Maintain Wildlife Habitat? 5-16

5.13 How are Threatened and Endangered Species Mitigated?.....5-16

5.14 What will be done to ensure no Migratory Birds will be Impacted?.....5-17

5.15 How are Hazardous/Contaminated Materials Mitigated? 5-18

5.16 How are Cultural Resources Mitigated? 5-19

5.17 What Permits will be Required for Construction? 5-20

5.18 How will Traffic be Maintained During Construction.....5-22

5.19 How will Construction Impacts to Surface Street be Mitigated.....5-23

5.20 How are Surplus or Unsuitable Materials Disposed?.....5-24

5.21 How will Soil Erosion and Sedimentation be Prevented? 5-24

5.22 How will Noise and Vibration be Controlled During Construction? 5-26

5.23 How will Air Pollution be Controlled During Construction? 5-27

5.24 What Commitments are being made to Improve Local Access and Circulation? 5-29

5.25 What Commitments are being made to Improve Non-Motorized Facilities and Circulation within the Community? 5-33

5.26 What Commitments are being made to Improve Economic and Community Redevelopment Opportunities within the Greater Port Huron Area?.....5-35

5.27 What Commitments are being made to Improve Land Use and Zoning Decisions around the Expanded Plaza Area? 5-37

5.28 What Commitments are being made to Address the Increased Demand on Local Emergency Services Associated with a Larger Plaza?.....5-38

5.29 Act 51 5-39

5.30 Outstanding Project Enhancement Issues 5-41

CHAPTER 6 PUBLIC AND AGENCY COORDINATION.....6-1

6.1 Overview of Public and Agency Coordination Prior to the Release of the DEIS 6-1

6.2 Overview of the Official Public Hearing 6-5

6.3 Public and Agency Coordination following the Public Hearing 6-6

6.4 Agency Comments on the DEIS and Practical Alternatives to be Carried Forward 6-9

CHAPTER 7 COMMENTS AND RESPONSES 7-1

7.1 Response to Comments from Federal/State Agencies and Officials 7-3
7.1.1 United States Environmental Protection Agency.....7-3
7.1.2 United States General Services Administration 7-3

7.1.3 United States Dept. of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration 7-4
7.1.4 United States Department of the Interior 7-4
7.1.5 United States Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration 7-6
7.1.6 Ontario Ministry of Transportation....7-7
7.1.7 Blue Water Bridge Canada 7-7
7.1.8 State of Michigan, Department of Agriculture 7-7
7.1.9 State of Michigan, Department of Community Health 7-8
7.1.10 State of Michigan, Department of Environmental Quality 7-8
7.1.11 Southeast Michigan Council of Governments 7-10
7.1.12 United States Senators Carl Levin and Debbie Stabenow....7-16
7.1.13 United States House of Representations Candace Miller....7-16
7.1.14 State of Michigan, House of Representatives, Phil Pavlov 7-17

7.2 Regional and Local Government Comments 7-17
7.2.1 Aesthetics and Community Character 7-18
7.2.2 Air Quality 7-19
7.2.3 Alternatives Considered/Additional Alternatives 7-22
7.2.4 Black River Bridge 7-22
7.2.5 Community Impacts....7-24
7.2.6 Drainage and Floodplain Concerns....7-27
7.2.7 Economic 7-31
7.2.8 Energy Issues 7-36
7.2.9 Farmland 7-37
7.2.10 Format of the DEIS....7-37
7.2.11 General 7-37
7.2.12 Hazardous Materials 7-39
7.2.13 Health and Safety....7-39
7.2.14 Historical Properties....7-41
7.2.15 Improvements to Local Roads 7-41
7.2.16 Justification for Plaza....7-42
7.2.17 Land Use Issues....7-45
7.2.18 Mitigation Efforts 7-46
7.2.19 Noise 7-46
7.2.20 Other Environmental Concerns 7-48
7.2.21 Pedestrian and Non-Motorized Access 7-50
7.2.22 Project Designs 7-51
7.2.23 Project Funding 7-52
7.2.24 Public Involvement and Coordination 7-52
7.2.25 Public Transportation....7-53
7.2.26 Right of Way Acquisition and Relocations 7-54
7.2.27 Security 7-56

7.2.28 Signals and Signing 7-57
7.2.29 Support and Economic Assistance to Community 7-57
7.2.30 Threatened and Endangered Species 7-59
7.2.31 Traffic 7-59
7.2.32 Michigan Welcome Center 7-66
7.2.33 Wetlands 7-67

7.3 Community Comments 7-67
7.3.1 Aesthetics and Community Character 7-68
7.3.2 Air 7-68
7.3.3 Alternatives Considered/Additional Alternatives 7-68
7.3.4 Black River Bridge 7-70
7.3.5 Community Impacts 7-70
7.3.6 Economic 7-71
7.3.7 General 7-71
7.3.8 Hazardous Materials 7-74
7.3.9 Health and Safety 7-75
7.3.10 Historical Properties 7-76
7.3.11 Noise 7-76
7.3.12 Pedestrian and Non-Motorized Access 7-77
7.3.13 Project Design 7-77
7.3.14 Project Funding 7-77
7.3.15 Public Involvement and Coordination 7-78
7.3.16 Right of Way Acquisition and Relocations 7-79
7.3.17 Security 7-81
7.3.18 Signals and Signing 7-83
7.3.19 Traffic 7-83
7.3.20 Michigan Welcome Center 7-86

CHAPTER 8 LIST OF PREPARERS 8-1

CHAPTER 9 DISTRIBUTION LIST 9-1

CHAPTER 10 GLOSSARY OF TERMS 10-1

CHAPTER 11 LIST OF ACRONYMS 11-1

CHAPTER 12 CORRECTIONS TO THE DEIS 12-1

CHAPTER 13 REFERENCES 13-1

CHAPTER 14 INDEX 14-1

APPENDICES

Conceptual Relocation Plan ..... Appendix A

Memorandum of Agreement ..... Appendix B

Project Enhancement and Mitigation Meeting Summaries ..... Appendix C

Public and Agency Coordination Letters ..... Appendix D

Delay Analysis ..... Appendix E

TABLES

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Table E.1 Delay Analysis Summary E-19
Table E.2 Construction Cost Estimates E-21
Table E.3 Year of Expenditure Costs E-22
Table E.4 Summary of Impacts E-33

CHAPTER 1 – WHY ARE IMPROVEMENTS NEEDED?

Table 1.1 Truck Traffic Using the Blue Water Bridge 1-14
Table 1.2 Passenger Vehicles (Cars, Vans and Light Trucks) Using the Blue Water Bridge 1-15
Table 1.3 Blue Water Bridge High Growth Truck Forecast 1-16
Table 1.4 Blue Water Bridge Low Growth Truck Forecast 1-17
Table 1.5 Blue Water Bridge Passenger Vehicle (Cars, Vans and Light Trucks) Forecast 1-17
Table 1.6 Border Crossing Traffic Backup Frequency 1-19
Table 1.7 DEIS to FEIS POR Comparison 1-28

CHAPTER 2 – ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

Table 2.3.0 Design Criteria 2-29

Table 2.3.1 I-94/I-69 Westbound Off Ramp and Water Street Intersection – 2030 AM Peak Hr. 2-30
Table 2.3.2 I-94/I-69 Westbound Off Ramp and Water Street Intersection – 2030 PM Peak Hr. 2-31
Table 2.3.3 I-94/I-69 Eastbound Off Ramp and Water Street Intersection – 2030 AM Peak Hr. 2-31
Table 2.3.4 I-94/I-69 Eastbound Off Ramp and Water Street Intersection – 2030 PM Peak Hr. 2-32
Table 2.3.5 Basic CBP Plaza Design Criteria 2-33
Table 2.3.6 Recorded Sample Traffic Data 2-36
Table 2.3.7 Model Outputs versus Field Observations 2-37
Table 2.3.8 No-Build Passenger and Commercial Results 2-38
Table 2.3.9 Passenger and Commercial Results 2-39
Table 2.3.10 Delay Analysis Summary 2-40
Table 2.3.11 Sensitivity of Passenger Results 2-41
Table 2.3.12 Sensitivity of Commercial Results 2-41
Table 2.3.13 Existing and Proposed U.S. Plaza Acreages vs. Existing Traffic Volumes 2-42
Table 2.3.14 2008 Construction Costs 2-47
Table 2.3.15 Year of Expenditure Costs 2-48

CHAPTER 3 – THE ENVIRONMENT: WHAT'S THERE NOW AND PROJECT EFFECTS

Table 3.2.1 Local Property Tax Base Impacts – 2008 Dollars 3-6
Table 3.2.2 Estimated Local Job Relocations 3-8
Table 3.2.3 Delay Analysis Summary 3-9
Table 3.4.1 Noise Abatement Criteria, Hourly A-Weighted Sound Level in dBA 3-18
Table 3.4.2 Acoustical Mitigation Noise Barrier Locations Analyzed 3-22
Table 3.7.1 Relocation Impacts by Community 3-33
Table 3.7.2 Estimated Job Relocations 3-35

CHAPTER 5 - MITIGATION

Table 5.10.1 Summary of Wetland Mitigation 5-15
Table 5.23.1 Construction Equipment Emission Control Standards (by Model Year) 5-28
Table 5.29.1 City Streets to be vacated due to plaza project 5-39
Table 5.29.2 Cities Required to Participate in Accordance with Act 51 PA 1951 5-40

CHAPTER 6 - PUBLIC AND AGENCY COORDINATION

Table 6.1 Federal Agency Meetings 6-2

Table 6.2 Public Meetings Held 6-4
Table 6.3 Project Enhancement and Mitigation Meetings Held 6-8

FIGURES

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Figure E.1 Recommended Alternative in the city of Port Huron E-11
Figure E.2 Close-Up of Recommended Alternative Plaza E-12
Figure E.3 Primary Inspection Exit E-14
Figure E.4 Outbound Inspection Area E-14
Figure E.5 Recommended Alternative and I-94/I-69 corridor E-17
Figure E.6 Lapeer connector interchange E-18

CHAPTER 1 – WHY ARE IMPROVEMENTS NEEDED?

Figure 1.1 Location Map 1-3
Figure 1.2 Study Area 1-4
Figure 1.3 Truck Traffic Backups on the Blue Water Bridge 1-19
Figure 1.4 Truck Traffic Backup on Highway 402 1-20
Figure 1.5 What is a traffic weave? 1-21

CHAPTER 2 – ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

Figure 2.2.1 The City West Alternative Features 2-5
Figure 2.2.2 The Draft Recommended Alternative as released in June 2008 2-6
Figure 2.2.3 Pine Grove Relocations and Scott Avenue Properties 2-8
Figure 2.3.1 Recommended Alternative 2-13
Figure 2.3.2 Close-Up of Primary Inspection 2-15
Figure 2.3.3 Primary Inspection Exit 2-15
Figure 2.3.4 Close-Up of Secondary Inspection 2-16
Figure 2.3.5 Head House Building of Secondary Inspection 2-17
Figure 2.3.6 Entrances to the Plaza for vehicles heading to Canada 2-18
Figure 2.3.7 Recommended Alternative Lanes on the Black River Bridge 2-19
Figure 2.3.8 I-94/I-69 Corridor Improvements 2-21
Figure 2.3.9 Water Street Interchange 2-23
Figure 2.3.10 Lapeer Connector Interchange 2-23
Figure 2.3.11 Recommended Alternative and Pine Grove Avenue Relocation 2-28
Figure 2.3.12 Basic Roundabout Criteria 2-29

CHAPTER 3 – THE ENVIRONMENT: WHAT'S THERE NOW AND PROJECT EFFECTS

Figure 3.4.1 Illustrated Comparison of Noise Sources 3-17
Figure 3.15.1 Recommended Alternative near 10th and Hancock Street 3-50