

STATE 9-1-1 COMMITTEE
Legislative Action Subcommittee
Michigan State Police Headquarters
1920 Conference Room
June 1, 2011

Meeting Minutes

A. ROLL CALL

MEMBERS

Mr. Shawn Sible (Chair)
Ms. Pat Anderson
Ms. Marsha Bianconi
Mr. Jon Campbell (conference call)
Ms. Patricia Coates
Mr. Lloyd Fayling (conference call)
Mr. James Fyvie
Mr. Andy Goldberger (conference call)
Ms. Jennifer Greenburg
Mr. John Hunt (conference call)
Mr. Steve Leese
Mr. James Loeper (conference call)
Ms. Pam Matelski
Mr. David Piasecki
Mr. David Vehslage

REPRESENTING

Department of Michigan State Police
AT&T
Conference of Western Wayne
Michigan Association of Counties
CLEMIS
Genesee County 9-1-1 Authority
Clinton County Central Dispatch
St. Joseph County Central Dispatch
Telecommunications Association of Michigan
Telecommunications Systems
Eaton County Central Dispatch
Gogebic County 9-1-1
Department of Michigan State Police
AT&T
Verizon

GUESTS

Ms. Deborah Roberts
Michigan Department of Technology, Management, and
Budget, State Building Authority
Ms. Lisa Shoemaker
Michigan Department of Technology, Management, and
Budget, State Budget Office

NON-VOTING MEMBERS

Ms. Harriet Miller-Brown
Department of Michigan State Police
Ms. Mary Jo Hovey
Department of Michigan State Police
Sgt. Dwayne L. Gill
Department of Michigan State Police

ABSENT

Mr. Dale Berry
Huron Valley Ambulance
Ms. Yvette Collins
AT&T
Mr. Bob Currier
Intrado
Sheriff Dale Gribler
Van Buren County Sheriff Department
Mr. Dan Kuhn
Police Officers Association of Michigan

A. ROLL CALL

Roll call was taken and a quorum was present for the meeting.

B. CAPITAL OUTLAY DISCUSSION

Mr. Sible introduced Ms. Deborah Roberts from the Michigan Department of Technology, Management, and Budget (DTMB), State Building Authority, and Ms. Lisa Shoemaker from DTMB, State Budget Office, both of whom discussed funding strategies regarding the upcoming IP network project.

Ms. Harriet Miller-Brown gave an overview of an IP based network for 9-1-1 in the State of Michigan. Currently 9-1-1 operates on a hard wire system which is primarily paid through IP-based 9-1-1 technical surcharges on telephone bills. The "Next Generation 9-1-1" project will move from a hard wire operating

system to a digital 9-1-1 operating system. This type of system has been recognized by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) and by industries in a few states. Ms. Roberts and Ms. Shoemaker's expertise in capital outlay and facilities would be a valuable asset to this project regarding funding. It is estimated the cost will be \$80,000 million to \$100 million.

Ms. Shoemaker inquired what is the relationship to the MPSCS. Ms. Miller-Brown indicated there is not a relationship with the MPSCS. 9-1-1 is a local based system throughout the counties. Ms. Roberts inquired about the properties available for the benefit of the State Building Authority (SBA). Mr. Sible indicated standard IT equipment for any network was available. Ms. Roberts indicated how the SBA Act is written, the project does not fit. It is for buildings and equipment for the State of Michigan's use. Ms. Roberts indicated the project is broader than the State of Michigan's use. Ms. Roberts does believe it cannot be done because of how the Act is written. Mr. Sible asked how funding for IT projects has worked in the past. Ms. Roberts indicated the State of Michigan funded over \$260 million for 800 MHz through the SBA. The State has title to the property, which holds the towers and surrounding land. Ms. Roberts indicated the SBA could not do ongoing maintenance of software because it was not bondable. Mr. Sible asked if the only way to move into a radio system is to do a percentage based on state employee radio verses local radio usage. Ms. Roberts confirmed. Ms. Roberts suggested the bureau stay away from first responders "for profit."

Ms. Shoemaker indicated bond financing equipment is not in the best interest of 9-1-1 because the equipment is useful at the maximum for 10 years. The normal lifespan is based on what is being bonded. Typically, the SBA bond for buildings is 25 years; equipment is shorter at 15 years or less.

Ms. Bianconi asked if the NG9-1-1 project would be part of the infrastructure included in federal bonds. Ms. Shoemaker indicated she was not aware if the project qualified for federal bonds. Ms. Roberts indicated the shorter timeframe of the bond, the higher the interest rate.

It was suggested other bonding might be an option for funding. A taxable bond will give more flexibility as to use and is more practical for the NG9-1-1 project. Mr. Sible indicated the taxable bond option may be a more viable option rather than going through the State's Capital outlay process.

Mr. Sible thanked Ms. Roberts and Ms. Shoemaker for their presence and input.

C. APPROVAL OF MARCH 11, 2011, AND MARCH 18, 2011, MEETING MINUTES

A **MOTION** was made by Ms. Pam Matelski to approve the minutes of the March 11, 2011, Legislative Action Subcommittee meeting, with one change. Supported by Mr. Steve Leese, the **MOTION** carries.

A **MOTION** was made by Mr. Jon Campbell to approve the minutes of the March 18, 2011, Legislative Action Subcommittee meeting. Supported by Mr. James Loeper, the **MOTION** carries.

D. LEGISLATIVE UPDATE

Sgt. Dwayne Gill was introduced. Sergeant Gill is the legislative liaison for the Support Services Bureau and looks forward to being a part of the Legislative Action Subcommittee. Sergeant Gill gave an update on House Bill 4314 to amend the Michigan Telecommunications Act, indicating it was moved and is in the process of being signed. Sergeant Gill indicated two revisions were requested. The first, Page 7, Sec. 313 (1) regarded telecommunication providers wanting to pull out of an area for service. The second, Page 7, Sec. 313 (2), regarded customers being notified within their bills along with US mail and newspapers. The most important issue is to ensure everyone has 9-1-1 service.

Mr. Leese inquired about the status regarding Representative LeBlanc's letter. Ms. Miller-Brown indicated a letter was issued by SNC to Speaker Bolger before the second letter was sent. The SNC requested a modified letter be sent when a new speaker was appointed.

Sergeant Gill will research Bill 4683 and will give a report at the next meeting. Sergeant Gill will contact Representative LeBlanc's office regarding response or movement on legislature and 9-1-1 efficiencies.

E. OLD BUSINESS

1. NG 9-1-1 Project Plan
 - a. Update from Ms. Evelyn Bailey on Texas 9-1-1 Alliance

Ms. Bailey gave an overview regarding the Texas 9-1-1 Alliance. The regional ESInets are coming into existence in Texas and steps are occurring at the various local and regional 9-1-1 authority levels. Those activities will link together in larger regional NG9-1-1 systems and will lead to a logical role for Texas to deploy a "Texas NG9-1-1 Backbone system." Texas has decided to continue with existing relationships. They are also looking at response time and building from what is currently in place. It was suggested not to go out and buy networks until all the plans have been sorted out; i.e. cost, funding, staffing, and managers.

- b. Expectations to get through Checklist

Ms. Bailey gave input regarding the expectations for the NG9-1-1 project checklist. The checklist is an early draft of a larger document. There are some policy type decisions that fall within the early draft. This process includes the decisions on standards, the design of the network, what needs to be procured, and procedures that need to be developed. The standards for NG9-1-1 should be addressed initially. It was inquired if the SNC was currently authorized under law to adopt standards that have to be followed? If not, how is the SNC planning to set up so as to have the level of authority to complete the steps for statewide connectivity? It was suggested to look at the checklist based on what kind of standards NG9-1-1 needs.

- c. Possible State 9-1-1 Office Staffing Levels: Nothing was reported.
 - d. List of Possible Funding Sources was addressed in the Legislative update.
2. HB 4314 (Michigan Telecommunications Act) was addressed in the Legislative update.
3. Surcharge Enforcement

Ms. Miller-Brown gave a surcharge enforcement handout for discussion purposes only. The LAS discussed the potential amendment to the surcharge enforcement statute. Ms. Miller-Brown asked for input to the document and it was a starting point. The LAS agreed that non-contributing companies need to be held accountable for not collecting surcharges as required under the Act.

F. NEW BUSINESS

Ms. Bianconi requested copies of the National NENA discussions. Mr. Sible indicated it will be added to the agenda for the next meeting.

G. CALL TO THE PUBLIC: None

H. NEXT MEETING

The next meeting date will be announced prior to the SNC meeting on September 20, 2011.

I. ADJOURN

A **MOTION** was made by Mr. Lloyd Fayling to adjourn today's meeting. Supported by Mr. Steve Leese, the **MOTION** carried.