

## Program Performance Measures for Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Programs

In the following pages you will find the program performance measures for the Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA) Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Programs. The performance measures are shown by activity type and are applicable for most purpose areas.

When you enter your data in the Performance Measurement Tool (PMT), begin by selecting the purpose area that best describes the purpose or program area of your organization. Then select all activity types for that purpose area for which grant funds will be used. For example, if your purpose is Law Enforcement and in your BJA-approved grant application you proposed to create new positions, maintain a few positions, and purchase equipment, you will first select the purpose area Law Enforcement Program, and then the activity types Personnel and Equipment/Supplies.

You are required to select and report on all performance measures that pertain to funded activities. There is an N/A option that you should use ONLY if that measure is not applicable to your activities. If you do carry out this activity but do not have data to report for the reporting period, zero (0) is an acceptable value to report.

The reporting for the JAG performance measures are presented in two formats—numerical data and narrative information. For the JAG, the numerical data are reported on a quarterly schedule in the PMT. JAG programs are required to report the narrative information once a year for the previous 12 months of activity (usually October 1 through September 30). The narrative information is reported during the July-September reporting period and **only at the Grantee level, subrecipients will not report narrative information in the PMT.**

**For BJA GRANTEES Only:** In addition to reporting data in the PMT, you must create a report from the data entered—the *GMS (Grants Management System) report*. The PMT will guide you in creating this report, which must be submitted annually in the GMS by November 29 for JAG grantees. You are encouraged to create a report for your records after each quarterly reporting period.

For questions about the PMT or performance measures, please call the BJA Performance Tools help desk at 1-888/252-6867 or send an e-mail to [BJAPMT@csrincorporated.com](mailto:BJAPMT@csrincorporated.com).

**For JAG program questions, contact your State Policy Advisor (SPA).**

### NOTE:

**Direct service** is defined as actual service with an individual or community and could take the form of mentoring, counseling, tutoring, or investigations. The resulting outcomes of this service delivery may be preventative, interventional, or rehabilitative in nature.

**System improvement** activities are efforts to make desired changes in overall practice, policy, or procedures through activities like hiring personnel, providing training or technical assistance, purchasing equipment/supplies or new information systems, or conducting research and/or evaluations.

**NOTE: Items in blue are updates to the performance measures. The date of the change is listed in the Changes Made column.**

## JAG PERFORMANCE MEASURES

### Activity Type: State/Local Initiatives

State and local initiatives include activities that are planned for implementation of a new program to provide a direct service or to improve a criminal justice system by implementing a new process, procedure, or policy. Initiatives may improve a program, service, or system. They also may include the implementation of a new program to meet an identified need of a population. Planned activities also may include a campaign to counteract criminal activity in a variety of settings.

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Changes Made
1	Total number of new state initiatives planned (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of this output indicator is to measure the number of new state-initiated programs, services or interagency task forces that are funded wholly or partially by JAG funds. Appropriate for grantees under any purpose area that use JAG funds to implement programs that have a direct impact on a targeted population or use funding for system improvement activities. Report the total number of new state-initiated programs, services or interagency task forces that are planned for the project period. SOURCE: Agency records are preferred data source...</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	A. Total number of new state initiatives planned for the project period (life of the award).	
2	Total number of new local initiatives planned (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of this output indicator is to measure the number of new locally-initiated programs, services or interagency task forces that are funded wholly or partially by JAG funds. Appropriate for grantees under any purpose area that use JAG funds to implement programs that have a direct impact on a targeted population or use funding for system improvement type activities. Report the total number of new locally-initiated programs, services or interagency task forces that are planned for the project period. SOURCE: Agency records are preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	A. Total number of new local initiatives planned for the project period (life of the award)	

### Activity Type: State/Local Initiatives

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Changes Made
3	Total number of state initiatives maintained using JAG funds (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of this output indicator is to measure the number of state initiated programs, services or interagency task forces that are maintained wholly or partially by JAG funds. Appropriate for grantees under any purpose area that use JAG funds to maintain programs that have a direct impact on a targeted population or use funding for system improvement activities. Report the total number of state-initiated programs, services, or interagency task forces that are maintained for the project period. SOURCE: Agency records are preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	A. Total number of state initiatives maintained for the project period (life of the award)	
4	Total number of local initiatives maintained using JAG funds (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of this output indicator is to measure the number of locally-initiated programs, services or interagency task forces that are funded wholly or partially by JAG funds. Appropriate for grantees under any purpose area that use JAG funds to maintain programs that have a direct impact on a targeted population or use funding for system improvement activities. Report the total number of state-initiated programs, services, or interagency task forces that are maintained for the project period. SOURCE: Agency records are preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	A. Total number of local initiatives maintained for the project period (life of the award)	
5	Number of program participants receiving services (Direct Service)	<p>The purpose of this output indicator is to measure service provision levels. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas in which JAG funds are used for direct services to individuals and/or groups of program participants. (e.g., crime prevention/intervention programs, one-to-one contact, support groups). Program participants may be defined by the population served by the program. Report the number of program participants who received services during the reporting period. SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	<p>A. Number of program participants receiving services carried over from the previous reporting period</p> <p>B. Number of NEW program participants receiving services that were added during the reporting period</p> <p>C. Sum (a+b) Auto-calculated by PMT</p>	

### Activity Type: State/Local Initiatives

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Changes Made
6	Number of defined groups receiving services (Direct Service)	<p><b>SHOULD ONLY USE IF DATA for ITEM #3 IS NOT REPORTED.</b> The purpose of this output indicator is to measure service provision levels. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas in which JAG funds are used for direct services to groups of individuals (e.g., crime prevention awareness campaigns to schools, communities or organizations). A defined group includes schools, communities, organizations, agencies or departments. Report the number of communities or organizations that received services during the reporting period. Community includes groups of people by organization, neighborhood or affiliation. Use measures with "defined groups" when the number of individuals can not be determined. SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	<p>A. Number of defined groups receiving services carried over from the previous reporting period</p> <p>B. Number of NEW defined groups added during the reporting period</p> <p>C. Sum (a+b) Auto-calculated by PMT</p>	
7	Number of program slots offered through initiatives (Direct Service)	<p>The purpose of this output indicator is to measure program capacity. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas in which JAG funds are used for direct services to individuals or programs offered to groups of individuals. Program slots are, for example, service delivery slots, programs, and campaigns. Report the number of program slots offered through initiatives as a result of JAG funds during the reporting period. The number of slots available at the start of the reporting period reflects the number of slots that are available for use with funds from your current JAG award. SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	<p>A. Number of <b>open and filled</b> program slots at the start of the reporting period</p> <p>B. Number of NEW program slots created during the reporting period</p> <p>C. Sum (a+b) Auto-calculated by PMT</p>	2/3/2010
8	<b>Percent</b> of local initiatives implemented (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of the outcome indicator is to measure accountability. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use JAG funds for system improvement. Report the number of locally-initiated initiatives implemented. (e.g., programs, task force operations) SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	<p>A. Number of local initiatives implemented during the reporting period</p> <p>B. Total number of local initiatives planned for the project period (Auto-filled by PMT from #2a)</p> <p>C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT</p>	
9	<b>Percent</b> of state initiatives implemented (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of the outcome indicator is to measure accountability. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use JAG funds for system improvement. Report the number of state-initiated initiatives implemented. (e.g., programs, task force operations) SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	<p>A. Number of state initiatives implemented during the reporting period</p> <p>B. Total number of state initiatives planned (AUTO-fill from #1a)</p> <p>C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT</p>	

### Activity Type: State/Local Initiatives

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Changes Made
10	Percent of program participants who completed services (Direct Service, System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of this outcome indicator is to measure accountability. Appropriate for purpose areas in which direct services are provided to individuals. Report the number of participants who completed services provided during the reporting period. SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	<p>A. Number of program participants who completed services during the reporting period</p> <p>B. Total number of program participants that exited services during the reporting period (complete or not complete)</p> <p>C. Percent complete (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT</p>	
11	Percent of defined groups that completed services (Direct Service, System Improvement)	<p><b>SHOULD ONLY USE IF DATA for ITEM #18 IS NOT REPORTED.</b> The purpose of this outcome indicator is to measure accountability. Appropriate for purpose areas in which direct services are provided to communities or defined groups (e.g., the Maple Street business association, the Main High School Parent/Teachers Organization, Orange County.) Public awareness campaigns would fit under this category. Report the number of defined groups that completed programming provided during the reporting period. SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	<p>A. Number of defined groups that completed services during the reporting period</p> <p>B. Number of defined groups that exited services during the reporting period (complete or not complete)</p> <p>C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT</p>	
12	Cost savings (in man hours) as a result of new systems implemented (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of this outcome indicator is to measure program cost savings in man hours. Appropriate for all purpose areas that provide direct service and are able to realize a cost savings as a result of implementing new systems. The period "prior to the initiative" represents the activities that occurred prior to the start of grant-funded activities. The cost of standard procedures before system changes were made provided in man hours. The period "after initiative" refers to the activities that occur with grant funds. SOURCE: Agency records are preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	<p>A. Number of man hours required prior to initiative</p> <p>B. Number of man hours required after initiative during the reporting period</p> <p>C. Savings (a-b) Auto-calculated by PMT</p>	2/3/2010

### Activity Type: State/Local Initiatives

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Changes Made
13	Cost savings (in dollars) as a result of new systems implemented (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of this outcome indicator is to measure program cost savings in dollars. Appropriate for all purpose areas that provide direct service and are able to realize a cost savings as a result of implementing new systems. The period "prior to the initiative" represents the activities that occurred prior to the start of grant-funded activities. The cost of standard procedures before system changes were made provided in dollars. The period "after initiative" refers to the activities that occur with grant funds. SOURCE: Agency records are preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	<p>A. Dollars expended prior to initiative            B. Dollars expended after initiative <b>during the reporting period</b>            C. Savings (a-b) Auto-calculated by PMT</p>	2/3/2010

## JAG PERFORMANCE MEASURES

### Activity Type: Training

Training activities would include the provision of different types of training, the purchase of training services for or to staff or departments.

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Changes Made
14	Amount of JAG funds used to provide training (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of the output indicator is to measure system accountability. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use JAG funds for system improvement. Report the amount of JAG funds used to provide training. Training may be delivered by the grantee or funds used to acquire training for staff. All training is paid with JAG funds. SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	A. Amount of funds <b>used</b> to provide training during the reporting period	
15	Number of training requests received (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of the output indicator is to measure service levels. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use JAG funds for system improvement. Report the number of requests received. SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	A. Number of training requests received during the reporting period <b>for consideration for funding with JAG funds</b>	2/3/2010
16	Number of <b>individuals</b> trained (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of this output indicator is to measure system/program capacity. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use JAG funds for system improvement. Report the number of <b>individuals</b> trained during the reporting period. (Training is paid for with JAG funds.) SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	<p>A. Number of <b>individuals</b> trained during the reporting period</p> <p>B. Total number of staff needing training during the reporting period</p> <p>C. Total number of individuals on staff</p> <p>D. Percent trained (a/c) Auto-calculated by PMT</p> <p>E. Percent of those needing training and were trained (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT</p> <p>F. Percent of staff that needed training (b/c) Auto-calculated by PMT</p>	2/3/2010

## Activity Type: Training

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Changes Made
17	Number of defined groups trained ( <b>SHOULD ONLY USE IF DATA FOR ITEM #16 IS NOT REPORTED</b> ) (System Improvement)	<b>SHOULD ONLY USE IF DATA for ITEM #16 IS NOT REPORTED.</b> The purpose of this output indicator is to measure system/program capacity. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use JAG funds for system improvement. To train defined groups who are within a defined geographic region or discipline. Report the number of defined groups (i.e., communities/organizations/agencies) trained during the reporting period. (Training is paid with JAG funds.) Use measures with "defined groups" when the number is too large to determine the number of individuals. SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source.  Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness	A. Number of defined groups trained during the reporting period B. Total number of defined groups needing training during the reporting period C. Percent of those needing training and were trained (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT	2/3/2010
18	Number of training events held (System Improvement)	The purpose of this output indicator is to measure accountability. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use JAG funds for system improvement. Report the number of training events by type held during the reporting period. Source: Program records are the preferred data source.  Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness	A. Number of training calls held during the reporting period B. Number of training conferences held during the reporting period C. Number of training manuals produced during the reporting period D. Other forms of training conducted during the reporting period E. Sum (a+b+c+d) Auto-calculated by PMT	
19	Percent of <b>individuals</b> who completed training (System Improvement)	The purpose of this outcome indicator is to measure system/program capacity. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use JAG funds for system improvement. Report the number of <b>individuals</b> who completed training during the reporting period (Training is paid for with JAG funds.) Source: Event records are the preferred data source.  Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness	A. Number of <b>individuals</b> who completed training during the reporting period B. Total number of <b>individuals</b> who participated in training during the reporting period C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT	2/3/2010
20	Percent of defined groups that completed training ( <b>SHOULD ONLY USE IF DATA FOR ITEM #17 IS REPORTED</b> ) (System Improvement)	<b>SHOULD ONLY USE IF DATA for ITEM #17 IS REPORTED.</b> The purpose of this outcome indicator is to measure system/program capacity. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use JAG funds for system improvement. Report the number of defined groups (communities/organizations/agencies) that completed training during the reporting period (Training is paid with JAG funds.) Source: Event records are the preferred data source.  Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness	A. Number of defined groups who completed the training during the reporting period B. Total number of defined groups that participated in the training during the reporting period C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT	2/3/2010

## Activity Type: Training

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Changes Made
21	Percent of <b>individuals</b> who reported the training as useful (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of this outcome indicator is to measure effectiveness. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use JAG funds for system improvement. Report the number of <b>individuals</b> who reported training as useful during the reporting period (Training is paid with JAG funds.) Source: Event evaluation is the preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	<p>A. Number of <b>individuals</b> trained who report training as useful during the reporting period</p> <p>B. Number of <b>individuals</b> who completed training during the reporting period (Auto-filled by PMT from #19a)</p> <p>C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT</p>	2/3/2010
22	Percent of defined groups who reported the training as useful ( <b>SHOULD ONLY USE IF DATA FOR ITEM #20 IS NOT REPORTED</b> ) (System Improvement)	<p><b>SHOULD ONLY USE IF DATA for ITEM #20 IS NOT REPORTED.</b> The purpose of this outcome indicator is to measure effectiveness. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use JAG funds for system improvement. Report the number of defined groups (communities/organizations/agencies) who reported the training as useful during the reporting period (Training is paid with JAG funds.) Source: Event evaluation is the preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	<p>A. Number of defined groups who reported the training as useful during the reporting period</p> <p>B. Number of defined groups trained during the reporting period (Auto-filled by PMT from #20a)</p> <p>C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT</p>	2/3/2010
23	Percent of <b>individuals</b> who reported an increase in skills (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of this outcome indicator is to determine effectiveness in criminal justice system operations. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use JAG funds for system improvement. Report the number of <b>individuals</b> who indicate an increase in skills during the reporting period (attributable to JAG funds.) Source: Pre/Post tests are the preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	<p>A. Number of <b>individuals</b> who reported an increase in skills during the reporting period</p> <p>B. Number of <b>individuals</b> trained during the reporting period (Auto-filled by PMT from #19a)</p> <p>C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT</p>	2/3/2010
24	Percent of <b>individuals</b> who reported an increase in knowledge (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of this outcome indicator is to determine effectiveness in criminal justice system operations. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use JAG funds for system improvement. Report the number of <b>individuals</b> who reported an increase in knowledge during the reporting period (attributable to JAG funds.) Source: Pre/Post tests are the preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	<p>A. Number of <b>individuals</b> who reported an increase in knowledge during the reporting period</p> <p>B. Number of <b>individuals</b> trained during the reporting period (Auto-filled from #19a)</p> <p>C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT</p>	2/3/2010

## JAG PERFORMANCE MEASURES

### Activity Type: Technical Assistance

This activity area includes the provision of technical assistance for staff.

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Changes Made
25	Amount of JAG funds used to provide technical assistance (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of the output indicator is to measure system accountability. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use JAG funds for system improvement. Report the amount of JAG funds used to provide technical assistance. SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	A. Amount of JAG funds used to provide technical assistance during the reporting period	
26	Number of <b>individuals</b> who received technical assistance (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of this output indicator is to measure system/program capacity. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use JAG funds for system improvement. Report the number of <b>individuals</b> who received technical assistance during the reporting period. SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	<p>A. Number of <b>individuals</b> who received technical assistance during the reporting period</p> <p><del>B. Total number of staff needing technical assistance</del></p> <p><del>C. Total number of individuals on staff</del></p> <p><del>D. Percent receiving technical assistance (a/c) Auto-calculated by PMT</del></p> <p><del>E. Percent of those needing technical assistance and received (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT</del></p> <p><del>F. Percent of staff that needed technical assistance (b/c) Auto-calculated by PMT</del></p>	2/3/2010
27	Number of technical assistance requests received (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of the output indicator is to measure service levels. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use JAG funds for system improvement. Report the number of requests received. SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	A. Number technical assistance requests received during the reporting period	

## Activity Type: Technical Assistance

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Changes Made
28	Number of technical assistance events held (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of this outcome indicator is to measure accountability. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use JAG funds for system improvement. Report the number of technical assistance events by type held during the reporting period. Source: Program records are the preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	<p>A. Number of technical assistance events held by phone during the reporting period</p> <p>B. Number of technical assistance meetings held during the reporting period</p> <p>C. Number of technical assistance manuals produced during the reporting period</p> <p>D. Other forms of technical assistance delivery held during the reporting period</p> <p>E. Total (a+b+c+d) Auto-calculated by PMT</p>	
29	Percent of staff who reported the technical assistance as useful (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of this outcome indicator is to measure effectiveness. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use JAG funds for system improvement. Report the number of staff who reported technical assistance as useful (paid with JAG funds). Source: Program records are the preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	<p>A. Number of staff who reported technical assistance as useful during the reporting period</p> <p>B. Number of staff to request technical assistance during the reporting period</p> <p>C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT</p>	
30	Percent of staff who reported an increase in skills (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of this outcome indicator is to determine effectiveness in criminal justice system operations. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use JAG funds for system improvement. Report the number of staff who reported an increase in skills during the reporting period (attributable to JAG funds.) Source: Pre/post tests are the preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	<p>A. Number of staff who reported an increase in skills as a result of technical assistance received during the reporting period</p> <p>B. Number of staff to request technical assistance during the reporting period (Auto-filled by PMT from #29b)</p> <p>C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT</p>	
31	Percent of staff who reported an increase in knowledge (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of this outcome indicator is to determine effectiveness in criminal justice system operations. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use JAG funds for system improvement. Report the number of staff who reported an increase in knowledge during the reporting period (attributable to JAG funds.)</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	<p>A. Number of staff who reported an increase in knowledge as a result of technical assistance received during the reporting period</p> <p>B. Number of staff to request technical assistance during the reporting period (Auto-filled from #29b)</p> <p>C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT</p>	

## JAG PERFORMANCE MEASURES

### Activity Type: Personnel

Personnel activities may include the employment of new staff either through new recruitment activities or payment to existing staff for work over and beyond (overtime) the normal work period. The JAG grant program allows use of funds for retention of positions otherwise lost due to budget cutbacks.

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Changes Made
32	Number of new personnel hired with JAG funds (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of this output indicator is to measure the extent of personnel hours hired with JAG funds (system capacity). Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use JAG funds for system improvement. Report the number of new personnel hired with JAG funds during the reporting period. Personnel hired from the represented agency are defined by the grantee or subrecipients as hired for a department, division, agency, or organization. "Other funding" refers to all other funding sources that are not JAG funds. SOURCE: Agency records are preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	<p>A. Number of NEW personnel hired with JAG funds during the reporting period. ONLY REPORT NEW PERSONNEL HIRED DURING THE QUARTER. THIS NUMBER WILL BE AGGREGATED ACROSS ALL REPORTING PERIODS.</p> <p>B. Total number of new personnel hired with all OTHER (as applicable to non-JAG or JAG) sources during the reporting period</p> <p>C. Total (a+b) Auto-calculated by PMT</p> <p>D. Percent (a/c) Auto-calculated by PMT</p>	2/3/2010
33	Indicate the type of NEW personnel paid with JAG funds (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of this output indicator is to measure accountability. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use JAG funds for system improvement. Check all boxes applicable to the type of NEW personnel paid with JAG funds during the reporting period. SOURCE: Agency records are preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	<p>A. Law Enforcement Personnel</p> <p>B. Prosecution and Court Personnel</p> <p>C. Prevention and Education Personnel</p> <p>D. Corrections and Community Corrections Personnel</p> <p>E. Drug Treatment and Enforcement Personnel</p> <p>F. Planning, Evaluation, and Technology Improvement Personnel</p> <p>G. Crime Victim and Witness Personnel</p>	
34	Number of personnel retained with JAG funds (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of this output indicator is to measure the extent of personnel retained as a result of JAG funds. This measure is only appropriate for the JAG because the JAG allows use of funds for retention of positions otherwise lost due to budget cutbacks. The intent of this measure is to capture the number of personnel retained each quarter for the life of the award. Report the number of personnel maintained with JAG funds during the reporting period. SOURCE: Agency records are the preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	<p>A. Number of personnel retained with JAG funds during the reporting period. DOES NOT INCLUDE NEW PERSONNEL HIRED DURING THE CURRENT AND PREVIOUS REPORTING PERIODS.</p> <p>B. Total number of existing personnel paid by all OTHER (as applicable to non-JAG) sources during the reporting period</p> <p>C. Total (a+b) Auto-calculated by PMT</p> <p>D. Percent (a/c) Auto-calculated by PMT</p>	2/3/2010

## Activity Type: Personnel

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Changes Made
35	Indicate the type of RETAINED personnel paid with JAG funds (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of this output indicator is to measure accountability. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use JAG funds for system improvement. This measure is only appropriate for the JAG because the JAG allows use of funds for retention of positions otherwise lost due to budget cutbacks. Check all boxes applicable to the type of RETAINED personnel paid with JAG funds during the reporting period. SOURCE: Agency records are the preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A. Law Enforcement Personnel</li> <li>B. Prosecution and Court Personnel</li> <li>C. Prevention and Education Personnel</li> <li>D. Corrections and Community Corrections Personnel</li> <li>E. Drug Treatment and Enforcement Personnel</li> <li>F. Planning, Evaluation, and Technology Improvement Personnel</li> <li>G. Crime Victim and Witness Personnel</li> </ul>	
36	Number of overtime hours paid with JAG funds (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of this output indicator is to measure system/program capacity. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use JAG funds for system improvement. Report the number of overtime hours paid with JAG funds during the reporting period. Report total hours of overtime paid by all OTHER (as applicable to non-JAG) sources. This is a total of hours from the agency represented in the grant application. Source: Agency records are the preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A. Number of overtime hours paid with JAG funds during the reporting period REPORT HOURS OF OVERTIME NOT DOLLARS</li> <li>B. Total number of hours of overtime paid by all OTHER (as applicable to non-JAG) sources during the reporting period</li> <li>C. Total (a+b) Auto-calculated by the PMT</li> <li>D. Percent (a/c) Auto-calculated by the PMT</li> </ul>	2/3/2010
37	Percent of departments that report desired efficiency (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of this outcome indicator is to measure desired efficiency. Appropriate for grantees under any purpose area that use JAG funds for system improvement activities. Report the number of departments that report desired efficiency as a result of new personnel or overtime paid with JAG funds. SOURCE: Agency records are the preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A. Number of departments that report desired efficiency during the reporting period</li> <li>B. Total number of departments that used JAG funds to hire new personnel, maintain personnel or pay for overtime hours</li> <li>C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT</li> </ul>	
38	Percent of departments that report desired program quality (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of this outcome indicator is to measure increased program quality. Appropriate for grantees under any purpose area that use JAG funds for system improvement activities. Report the number of departments that report desired program quality as a result of new personnel and overtime paid with JAG funds. SOURCE: Agency records are preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A. Number of departments that report desired program quality during the reporting period</li> <li>B. Total number of departments that used JAG funds to hire new personnel, maintain personnel or pay for overtime hours (Auto-filled from #37b)</li> <li>C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT</li> </ul>	2/3/2010

## JAG PERFORMANCE MEASURES

### Activity Area: Equipment/Supplies

Equipment and supplies includes the purchase of new or replacement equipment or supplies to improve or replace what currently exists.

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Changes Made
39	Amount of JAG funds used to purchase equipment and/or supplies (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of this output measure is to document the extent of equipment and/or supplies purchased with JAG funds. Appropriate for grantees in all purpose areas that use JAG funds for system improvement. Report the amount of JAG funds used to purchase equipment and/or supplies. SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	A. Amount of JAG funds used to purchase equipment and/or supplies during the reporting period	
40	Indicate the quantity for each type of equipment and/or supplies purchased with JAG funds (REPORT QUANTITY NOT DOLLARS) (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of this output indicator is to measure accountability. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use JAG funds for system improvement. Report the quantity for each type of equipment or supplies purchased with JAG funds during the reporting period. SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	<p>A. Weapons</p> <p>B. Equipment for police cruisers</p> <p>C. Uniforms</p> <p>D. CAD (computer aided dispatch)</p> <p>E. RMS (records management system)</p> <p>F. Computers</p> <p>G. Mobile access equipment (ex. Aircards for Verizon, Sprint, AT&amp;T, etc.)</p> <p>H. Security systems (station or evidence room)</p> <p>I. Biometric equipment (Live scans, fingerprint readers, etc.)</p> <p>J. In-car camera systems</p> <p>K. Video observation (station, community, pole cams)</p> <p>L. Undercover surveillance equipment (microphones, video)</p> <p>M. License plate readers</p> <p>N. Kiosk units for community access or registration</p> <p>O. Vehicles</p> <p>P. Radios</p> <p>Q. Other (please specify type and quantity)</p>	2/3/2010

### Activity Type: Equipment/Supplies

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Changes Made
41	SHOULD ONLY ANSWER IF YOUR AGENCY RECEIVED REQUESTS CONSIDERED FOR FUNDING WITH JAF FUNDS. Number of equipment and/or supply requests funded with JAG funds (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of this output measure is to document the extent of equipment and/or supplies funded with JAG funds. Appropriate for grantees in all purpose areas that use JAG funds for system improvement. Report the number of equipment and/or supply requests received and of that, the number funded with JAG funds. SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	<p>A. Number of equipment and/or supply requests funded with JAG funds during the reporting period</p> <p>B. Number of equipment and/or supply requests received for consideration with JAG funding</p> <p>C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT</p>	2/3/2010
42	Percent of staff that directly benefit from equipment or supplies purchased by JAG funds, who report a desired change in their job performance (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of this outcome indicator is to measure efficiency. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use JAG funds for system improvement. Report the number of staff that directly benefit from equipment or supplies purchased with JAG funds, who report a desired change in their job performance during this reporting period. SOURCE: This is a count of direct staff that benefit from the equipment and/or supplies purchased. Program records are preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	<p>A. Number of staff that directly benefit from equipment or supplies purchased by JAG funds, who report a desired change in their job performance</p> <p>B. Number of staff to receive equipment or supplies purchased with JAG funds during the reporting period</p> <p>C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT</p> <p>D. Explain the impact on job performance for the reporting period</p>	

## JAG PERFORMANCE MEASURES

### Activity Type: Contractual Support

Contractual support includes activities that address issues that help to improve the effectiveness and/or efficiency in various points of the criminal justice system. This may include consultants or evaluators, not otherwise captured in another activity area.

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Changes Made
43	Number of hours paid with JAG funds for contractual support (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of this output indicator is to measure program/system capacity. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use JAG funds for system improvement. Report the number of hours paid with JAG funds for contractual support during the reporting period. SOURCE: Program records are a preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	A. Number of hours paid with JAG funds for contractual support during the reporting period	
44	Types of contractual support paid with JAG funds (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of this output indicator is to measure accountability. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use JAG funds for system improvement. Report the types of contract support paid with JAG funds during the reporting period. SOURCE: Program records are a preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	A. Indicate the types of contractual support paid with JAG funds during the reporting period	
45	Percent of departments that report a desired change in efficiency (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of this outcome measure is to document improved efficiency. Appropriate for grantees under any purpose area that use JAG funds for system improvement activities. Report the number of departments that report a desired change in efficiency (e.g., reduced case backlogs, increased contacts with offenders or victims) as a result of JAG funds during the reporting period. SOURCE: Program records are a preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	<p>A. Number of departments that report a desired change in efficiency during the reporting period</p> <p>B. Number of departments that received contractual support with JAG funds during the reporting period</p> <p>C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT</p> <p>D. Explain the impact on efficiency during the reporting period</p>	

### Activity Type: Contractual Support

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Changes Made
46	<p>Percent of departments that report a desired change in program quality (System Improvement)</p>	<p>The purpose of this outcome measure is to document improved program quality. Appropriate for grantees under any purpose area that use JAG funds for system improvement activities. Report the number of departments that report a desired change in program quality (e.g., per staff caseloads meet professional standards, increased availability of specialized services) as a result of JAG funds. SOURCE: Program records are a preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A. Number of departments that report a desired change in program quality during the reporting period</li> <li>B. Number of departments that received contractual support with JAG funds</li> <li>C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT</li> <li>D. Explain the impact on program quality during the reporting period</li> </ul>	

## JAG PERFORMANCE MEASURES

### Activity Type: Information Systems for Criminal Justice System

This activity area includes the development, implementation or improvements made to benefit staff or departments.

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Changes Made
47	Amount of JAG funds used for improvements to information systems for criminal justice systems (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of this output indicator is to improve system effectiveness and/or capacity. Appropriate for grantees under any purpose area that use JAG funds for system improvement. Report the amount of JAG funds used to improve information systems for criminal justice systems during the reporting period. SOURCE: Agency records are a preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	A. Amount of JAG funds used for improvements to information systems for criminal justice systems during the reporting period	
48	Number of departments that used JAG funds to make improvements to information systems for criminal justice (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of this output measure is for system/program capacity based on the idea that new, enhanced or improved information systems can provide staff with better efficiency to do their jobs. Appropriate for grantees under any purpose area that use JAG funds for system improvement activities. Report the number of departments that use JAG funds to make improvements to information systems for criminal justice during the reporting period. SOURCE: Agency records are a preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	<p>A. Number of departments that used JAG funds to make improvements to criminal justice information systems started in the previous period</p> <p>B. Number of NEW departments that use JAG funds to make improvements to criminal justice information systems that were added during the reporting period</p> <p>C. Total (a+b) Auto-calculated by PMT</p>	
49	Percent of departments that completed improvements to information systems for criminal justice (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of this <b>outcome</b> measure is for system accountability. Appropriate for grantees under any purpose area that use JAG funds for system improvement activities. Report the number of departments that completed improvements to criminal justice information systems during the reporting period. SOURCE: Agency records are a preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	<p>A. Number of departments that completed improvements to information systems for criminal justice during the reporting period as a result of JAG funds</p> <p>B. Number of departments to use JAG funds to make improvements to information systems for criminal justice (Auto-filled by PMT from #48c)</p> <p>C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT</p>	
50	Percent of departments that report a desired change in efficiency (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of this outcome measure is to document improved efficiency. Appropriate for grantees under any purpose area that use JAG funds for system improvement activities. Report the number of departments that report a desired change in efficiency as a result of improved information systems for criminal justice</p>	<p>A. Number of departments that report a desired change in efficiency during the reporting period</p> <p>B. Number of departments that completed</p>	

## Activity Type: Information Systems for Criminal Justice System

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Changes Made
		<p>systems as a result of JAG funds during the reporting period. SOURCE: Agency records are a preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	<p>improvements to information systems for criminal justice systems as a result of JAG funds (Auto-filled by PMT from #49a)</p> <p>C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT</p> <p>D. Explain the impact on efficiency for the reporting period</p>	
51	<p>Percent of departments that report a desired change in program quality (System Improvement)</p>	<p>The purpose of this outcome measure is to document improved program quality. Appropriate for grantees under any purpose area that use JAG funds for system improvement activities. Report the number of departments that report a desired change in program quality (e.g. per staff caseloads meet professional standards, increased availability of specialized services) as a result of JAG funds. SOURCE: Agency records are preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	<p>A. Number of departments that report a desired change in program quality during the reporting period</p> <p>B. Number of departments that completed improvements to information systems for criminal justice systems during the reporting period (Auto-filled from #49a)</p> <p>C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT</p> <p>D. Explain the impact on program quality during the reporting period</p>	

## JAG PERFORMANCE MEASURES

### Activity Type: Research, Evaluation, and Product Development

This activity area includes research and evaluation activities that have a goal of informing decisions and providing information as to what works. Product development activities are those that have a goal to inform.

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Changes Made
52	Amount of JAG funds used for criminal justice-related research studies (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of this output indicator is to increase knowledge about what works in criminal justice. Appropriate for grantees under any purpose area that use JAG funds to implement criminal justice-related research studies. Report the total amount of JAG funds used for criminal justice-related research studies for the reporting period. SOURCE: Agency records are preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	A. Total amount of JAG funds used during the reporting period for criminal justice-related research studies	
53	Provide the type of criminal justice-related research studies paid with JAG funds (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of this output indicator is to increase knowledge. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use JAG funds for system improvement. Report the type of criminal justice-related research studies paid with JAG funds during the reporting period. SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	A. Provide the type of criminal justice-related research studies paid with JAG funds	
54	Percent of JAG funded criminal justice-related research studies completed (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of this <b>outcome</b> indicator is to improve program effectiveness. Appropriate for grantees under any purpose area that use JAG funds to implement criminal justice-related research studies. Report the number of criminal justice-related research studies completed during the reporting period. SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	<p>A. Number of JAG funded criminal justice-related research studies completed during the reporting period</p> <p>B. Number of criminal justice-related research studies planned</p> <p>C. Number of studies initiated during the reporting period</p> <p>D. Number of studies continued from the previous reporting period</p> <p>E. Percent complete (a/c+d) Auto-calculated by PMT</p>	

## Activity Type: Research, Evaluation, and Product Development

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Changes Made
55	Amount of JAG funds used for criminal justice-related <b>evaluations</b> (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of this output indicator is to increase knowledge about what works in criminal justice. Appropriate for grantees under any purpose area that use JAG funds to implement evaluation activities. Report the total amount of JAG funds used for criminal justice-related evaluations for the reporting period. SOURCE: Agency records are preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	A. Total amount of JAG funds used for criminal justice-related <b>evaluations</b> during the reporting period	
56	Provide the type of criminal justice-related <b>evaluations</b> paid with JAG funds (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of this output indicator is to increase knowledge. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use JAG funds for system improvement. Report the type of criminal justice-related evaluations paid with JAG funds during the reporting period. SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	A. Provide the type of criminal justice-related <b>evaluations</b> paid with JAG funds during the reporting period	
57	Percent of JAG funded criminal justice-related <b>evaluations</b> completed (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of this <b>outcome</b> indicator is to improve program effectiveness. Appropriate for grantees under any purpose area that use JAG funds to implement criminal justice-related evaluations. Report the number of criminal justice-related evaluations completed during the reporting period. SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	<p>A. Number of criminal justice-related <b>evaluations</b> completed during the reporting period</p> <p>B. Number of criminal justice-related <b>evaluations</b> planned</p> <p>C. Number of <b>evaluations</b> initiated during the reporting period</p> <p>D. Number of <b>evaluations</b> continued from the previous reporting period</p> <p>E. Percent complete (a/c+d) Auto-calculated by PMT</p>	
58	Amount of JAG funds used for criminal justice-related product development projects (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of this output indicator is to increase knowledge about what works in criminal justice. Appropriate for grantees under any purpose area that use JAG funds to implement criminal justice-related product development projects. For example, brochures, curricula, manuals or workbooks. Report the total amount of JAG funds used for criminal justice-related product development projects for the reporting period. SOURCE: Agency records are preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	A. Total amount of JAG funds used for criminal justice-related product development projects during the reporting period	

## Activity Type: Research, Evaluation, and Product Development

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Changes Made
59	Provide the type of criminal justice-related product development projects <b>paid with JAG funds</b> (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of this output indicator is to measure accountability. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that use JAG funds for system improvement. For example, brochures, curricula, manuals or workbooks. Provide the type of criminal justice-related product development projects paid with JAG funds during the reporting period. SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	<p>A. Indicate the type of criminal justice-related product development projects paid with JAG funds during the reporting period</p>	
60	Percent of JAG funded product development projects completed (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of this <b>outcome</b> indicator is to measure the extent of criminal justice-related product development that is funded wholly or partially by JAG funds. Appropriate for grantees under any purpose area that use JAG funds to implement criminal justice-related product development activities. For example, brochures, curricula, manuals or workbooks. Report the number of criminal justice-related product development projects completed during the reporting period. SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	<p>A. Number of JAG funded criminal justice-related product development projects completed during the reporting period</p> <p>B. Number of criminal justice-related product development projects planned</p> <p>C. Number of product development projects initiated during the reporting period</p> <p>D. Number of product development projects continued from the previous reporting period</p> <p>E. Percent complete (a/c+d) Auto-calculated by PMT</p>	
61	Percent of JAG funded criminal justice-related research studies or <b>evaluations</b> resulting in presentations or poster sessions at professional meetings or conferences (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of this outcome indicator is to improve knowledge dissemination. Appropriate for grantees under any purpose area that use JAG funds to implement criminal justice-related research or <b>evaluations</b>. Report the number of JAG funded criminal justice-related research or evaluations resulting in a presentation or poster session at professional meetings or conferences during the reporting period SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	<p>A. Number of JAG funded research studies or evaluations that resulted in one or more presentations or poster sessions at professional meetings or conferences during the reporting period</p> <p>B. Number of JAG funded criminal justice-related research studies completed during the reporting period (Auto-filled by PMT from #54a)</p> <p>C. Number of evaluations completed (Auto-filled by PMT from #57a)</p> <p>D. Percent a/(b+c) Auto-calculated by PMT</p>	2/3/2010

## Activity Type: Research, Evaluation, and Product Development

#	Measure	Definition	Data Grantee Reports	Changes Made
62	Percent of criminal justice-related programs that were evaluated as effective and the evaluations were JAG funded. (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of this outcome indicator is to improve tools for knowledge dissemination. Appropriate for grantees under any purpose area that use JAG funds for system improvement. Report the number of criminal justice-related programs that were evaluated as effective. The evaluation was paid with JAG funds. Report the number of criminal justice-related programs that were evaluated as effective during the reporting period. SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	<p>A. Number of criminal justice-related programs that were evaluated as effective during the reporting period</p> <p>B. Total number of criminal justice-related programs that were evaluated with JAG funds</p> <p>C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT</p>	
63	Percent of JAG funded criminal justice-related research studies or evaluations resulting in peer-reviewed articles (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of this outcome indicator is to improve knowledge dissemination. Appropriate for grantees under any purpose area that use JAG funds to implement criminal justice-related research studies or evaluations. Report the number of JAG funded criminal justice-related research studies or evaluation projects resulting in peer-reviewed articles during the reporting period. SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	<p>A. Number of JAG funded criminal justice-related research studies or evaluation projects resulting in peer-reviewed articles during the reporting period</p> <p>B. Number of JAG funded criminal justice-related research studies completed during the reporting period (Auto-filled from #54a)</p> <p>C. Number of evaluations completed (Auto-filled from #57a)</p> <p>D. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT</p> <p>E. Percent (a/c) Auto-calculated by PMT</p>	2/3/2010
64	Percent of JAG funded programs that have implemented recommendations based on a program evaluation (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of this outcome indicator is to improve program effectiveness. Appropriate for grantees under any program area that use JAG funds for system improvement. Report the number of criminal justice programs that have implemented one or more recommendations from a program evaluation. The program evaluation was funded by JAG funds. SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	<p>A. Number of JAG funded criminal justice programs that have implemented one or more recommendations based on a program evaluation during the reporting period</p> <p>B. Total number of criminal justice-related programs that were evaluated with JAG funds (Auto-filled from #62b)</p> <p>C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT</p>	2/3/2010
65	Percent of curricula that was evaluated as effective and evaluation was paid with JAG funds. (System Improvement)	<p>The purpose of this outcome indicator is to improve knowledge dissemination. Appropriate for grantees under any program area that use JAG funds for system improvement. Report the number of curricula that was evaluated as effective during the reporting period. The evaluation was paid with JAG funds. SOURCE: Program records are preferred data source.</p> <p>Applies to purpose areas: Law Enforcement, Prosecution and Court, Prevention and Education, Corrections and Community Corrections, Drug Treatment and Enforcement, Planning, Evaluation and Technology Improvement, Crime Victim and Witness</p>	<p>A. Number of curricula that was evaluated as effective during the reporting period and evaluations were paid with JAG funds</p> <p>B. Total number of curricula evaluated during the reporting period</p> <p>C. Percent (a/b) Auto-calculated by PMT</p>	

## JAG PERFORMANCE MEASURES

### Task Force Activities

This activity area applies to grantees that will utilize JAG funds to cover task force activities not otherwise captured in other activity areas. Activities may include those that help to decrease violent crime.

#	Measure	Definition	Reporting Format	Changes Made
66	Number of new investigations initiated		A. Total number of new investigations initiated	
67	Number of judicial search warrants served		A. Federal B. State C. Total (a+b) (Auto calculated by PMT)	
68	Total number of individuals (including gang members) arrested based on task force activity during the reporting period.	For all arrests (including gang members) with multiple charges count the single most serious charge here.	A. Number arrested for a felony B. Number arrested for a misdemeanor	
69	Total number of GANG MEMBERS ONLY arrested based on task force activity during the reporting period	For arrests of GANG MEMBERS with multiple charges count the single most serious charge here.	A. Number of GANG MEMBERS arrested for a felony. B. Number of GANG MEMBERS arrested for a misdemeanor.	
70	Total drug amounts seized in kilograms (KG) during the reporting period. Remember that 1000g = 1 kg. Answer should be provided in kg.	Drug seizure values will be calculated by the tool based on values provided by BJA. You may verify your response through a number of sites available through the internet. You may search using the "conversion of grams to kilograms."	A. Heroin B. Cocaine (powder) C. Crack cocaine D. Marijuana commercial grade E. Marijuana hydroponic F. Methamphetamine G. Methamphetamine ice H. Ecstasy (MDMA) I. Other (text box)	2/3/2010

## Task Force Activity

#	Measure	Definition	Reporting Format	Changes Made
71	Drug amounts, in kilograms (kg), seized in clandestine drug labs during the reporting period. Remember that 1000g = 1kg. Answer should be reported in kg.	Drug seizure values will be calculated by the tool based on values provided by BJA. You may verify your response through a number of sites available through the internet. You may search using the "conversion of grams to kilograms."	A. Heroin B. Cocaine (powder) C. Crack cocaine D. Marijuana commercial grade E. Marijuana hydroponic F. Methamphetamine G. Methamphetamine ice H. Ecstasy (MDMA) I. <a href="#">Other (text box)</a>	2/3/2010
72	Other Seizures		A. Number of indoor cannabis-growing operations seized B. Number of outdoor cannabis-growing operations seized C. Number of cultivated marijuana plants seized	
73	Number of firearms seized during the reporting period		A. Number of firearms seized B. Number of firearms seized that were reported to NIBIN C. Number of hits in NIBIN	
74	Number of Federal forfeiture cases filed during the reporting period		A. Number of forfeiture cases filed	
75	Value of assets forfeited under Federal cases during the reporting period		A. Real property forfeited B. Cash forfeited C. Other (vehicles, weapons, jewelry) forfeited	
76	Number of State forfeiture cases filed during the reporting period		A. Number of forfeiture cases filed	
77	Value of assets forfeited under State cases during the reporting period		A. Real property forfeited B. Cash forfeited C. Other (vehicles, weapons, jewelry) forfeited	

## Task Force Activity

#	Measure	Definition	Reporting Format	Changes Made
78	Number of defendants accepted for Federal prosecution during the reporting period	Provide the number of defendants that were accepted for federal prosecution for federal felony charges and misdemeanor charges.	A. Number accepted for Federal felony charges. B. Number accepted for Federal misdemeanor charges.	
79	Number of defendants accepted for State prosecution during the reporting period	Provide the number of defendants that were documented criminal gang members that were accepted for state prosecution for felony charges and misdemeanor charges.	A. Number accepted for State felony charges. B. Number accepted for State misdemeanor charges.	
80	Number of gangs disrupted during the reporting period	Disrupted is defined as impeding the normal and effective operation of the targeted organization, as indicated by changes in organizational leadership and/or changes in methods of operation, including, for example, financing, trafficking patterns, communications, or drug production.	A. Number of disrupted drug trafficking or other street gang organizations B. Number of disrupted trafficking or other entrepreneurial gangs C. Total number of gangs disrupted (a+b) (auto calculated by tool)	
81	Number of gangs dismantled during the reporting period	Dismantled: Destruction of the organization's leadership, financial base, and supply network such that the organization is incapable of operating and/or reconstituting itself.	A. Number of dismantled drug trafficking or other street gang organizations B. Number of dismantled trafficking or other entrepreneurial gangs C. Total number of gangs dismantled (a+b) (auto calculated by tool)	
82	Number of drug trafficking organizations and money laundering organizations disrupted	Measure is intended for projects that utilize JAG funds to support activities that will disrupt the market place for illegal drugs by dismantling and disrupting the most significant drug trafficking organizations and money laundering organizations that support them. Disrupted: Impeding the normal and effective operation of the targeted organization, as indicated by changes in organizational leadership and/or changes in methods of operation, for example, financing, trafficking patterns, communications or drug production. SOURCE: Program records	A. Number of drug trafficking organizations disrupted during the reporting period B. Number of money laundering organizations disrupted during the reporting period C. Sum (a+b) Auto-calculated by PMT	
83	Number of drug trafficking organizations and money laundering organizations dismantled	Measure is intended for projects that utilize JAG funds to support activities that will disrupt the market place for illegal drugs by dismantling and disrupting the most significant drug trafficking organizations and money laundering organizations that support them. Dismantled: Destruction of the organizations leadership, financial base and supply network such that the organization is incapable of operating and/or reconstituting itself. SOURCE: Program records	A. Number of drug trafficking organizations dismantled during the reporting period B. Number of money laundering organizations dismantled during the reporting period C. Sum (a+b) Auto-calculated by PMT	

**JAG PERFORMANCE MEASURES**  
**Outcomes for All Activity Types**  
(As they apply to grant-funded activities)

#	Measure	Definition	Reporting Format
84	Change in number of individuals arrested in a targeted group by crime type	The purpose of this outcome indicator is to measure rates of individuals arrested in a targeted group by crime type. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that provide direct service to individuals with JAG funds. Report the number of individuals arrested for a targeted group by crime type. For the first reporting period, the "a" value reflects available data for the quarter prior to the start of grant-funded activities. For subsequent reporting periods, the "a" value will reflect the number of individuals arrested during the quarter before the start of the award. Population numbers will vary based on target population/sub-population of the program/initiative Crime types are identified by the target of grant-funded activity. SOURCE: Program records	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A. The number of individuals (by related crime) arrested during the quarter before the start of the award</li> <li>B. Total number of individuals arrested (by related crime) during the reporting period</li> <li>C. We expected number of individuals arrested to increase as a result of our efforts</li> <li>D. We expected number of individuals arrested to decrease as a result of our efforts.</li> <li>E. We expected number of individuals arrested to remain stable (no change) as a result of our efforts.</li> <li>F. We had no expectations about changes in number of individuals arrested of crime as a result of our efforts. Not applicable for this reporting period</li> </ul>
85	Change in reported crime rates in a community by crime type	The purpose of this outcome indicator is to measure rates of related crimes in a targeted community. Appropriate for grantees in purpose areas that provide direct service to communities or organizations with JAG funds. Report the number of related crimes reported during the reporting period. Population numbers will vary based on target populations/sub-population of the program/initiative. The "a" value reflects the quarter prior to the start of the award. This measure is intended to collect rates of crime targeted by JAG award. Crime types are identified by the target of grant-funded activity. SOURCE: Program records	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A. Number of reported crimes (targeted by JAG funds) during the quarter before the start of the award</li> <li>B. Total number of reported crimes (targeted by JAG funds) during the period</li> <li>C. We expected the crime rate to increase as a result of our efforts.</li> <li>D. We expected the crime rate to decrease as a result of our efforts.</li> <li>E. We expected the crime rate to remain stable (no change) as a result of our efforts.</li> <li>F. We had no expectations about the crime rate as a result of our efforts Not applicable for this reporting period</li> </ul>
86	Type of crime	Provide the type of crime targeted	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A. Homicides</li> <li>B. Forcible Rapes</li> <li>C. Robberies</li> <li>D. Aggravated Assaults</li> <li>E. Other, please define.</li> </ul>

## JAG PERFORMANCE MEASURES

### Narrative Questions

#	Measure	Definition	Info Grantee Provides
1	What were your accomplishments within this reporting period?		A. Open ended text response (5000 characters)
2	What goals were accomplished, as they relate to your grant application?		A. Open ended text response (5000 characters)
3	What problems/barriers did you encounter, if any, within the reporting period that prevented you from reaching your goals or milestones?		A. Open ended text response (5000 characters)
4	Is there any assistance that BJA can provide to address any problems/barriers identified in question #3 above?		A. Yes/ No B. If yes, please explain.
5	Are you on track to fiscally and programmatically complete your program as outlined in your grant application? (Please answer YES or NO and if no, please explain.)		A. Open ended text response (5000 characters)
6	What major activities are planned for the next 6 months?		A. Open ended text response (5000 characters)
7	Based on your knowledge of the criminal justice field, are there any innovative programs/accomplishments that you would like to share with BJA?		A. Open ended text response (5000 characters)