

City of Hamtramck

Receivership Transition Advisory Board Meeting Minutes

Tuesday, March 28th, 2017

Hamtramck City Hall

Council Chambers - 2nd floor

3401 Evaline

Hamtramck, Michigan 48212

RTAB MEMBERS PRESENT:

DEBORAH ROBERTS, CHAIR

KAREN YOUNG

MARK STEMA

PETER McINERNEY

ALSO PRESENT:

TRAVIS MIHELICK

City Attorney

MEMBER ABSENT:

AL BOGDAN

PATRICK DOSTINE

Michigan Department of Treasury

Reported by:

Nina Lunsford (CER 4539)

Modern Court Reporting & Video, LLC

SCAO FIRM NO. 08228

101-A North Lewis Street

Saline, Michigan 48176

(734) 429-9143/ajsn

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Called to order at 1:00 p.m.

Tuesday, March 28, 2017

*** * * * ***

MS. ROBERTS: It is 1:00 on Tuesday, March 28th, and I am going to call the City of Hamtramck Receivership Transition Advisory Board meeting to order.

Mr. Dostine, could you take roll, please?

MR. DOSTINE: Sure. Peter McInerney.

MR. McINERNEY: Present.

MR. DOSTINE: Al Bogdan has requested to be excused.

Karen Young?

MS. YOUNG: Here.

MR. DOSTINE: Mark Stema?

MR. STEMA: Here.

MR. DOSTINE: Deb Roberts?

MS. ROBERTS: Here.

MR. DOSTINE: You have quorum, Madam Chair.

MS. ROBERTS: Thank you.

As a reminder, if there is anyone from the public would like to speak during public comment, please sign up at the podium.

First on the agenda is, I would entertain a motion to approve the agenda as presented.

MS. YOUNG: Motion to approve.

1 MR. STEMA: Seconded.

2 MS. ROBERTS: Any discussion?

3 (No response)

4 MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, all those in favor say
5 aye. Aye.

6 MR. STEMA: Aye.

7 MS. YOUNG: Aye.

8 MR. McINERNEY: Aye.

9 MS. ROBERTS: Opposed, the same. Motion carries.

10 Next on the agenda is the approval of the RTAB
11 minutes from February 28th, 2017. I would entertain a
12 motion to approve the February 28th, 2017 RTAB minutes --
13 meeting minutes as presented.

14 MS. YOUNG: Motion to approve.

15 MR. McINERNEY: Second it.

16 MS. ROBERTS: Any discussion?

17 (No response)

18 MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, all those in favor
19 say aye. Aye.

20 MR. STEMA: Aye.

21 MS. YOUNG: Aye.

22 MR. McINERNEY: Aye.

23 MS. ROBERTS: Opposed, the same. Motion carries.

24 Next on the agenda is public comment. Mr.
25 Dostine, do we have anyone signed up for public comment?

1 MR. DOSTINE: Madam Chair, we do. Mr. Zwolek.

2 MS. ROBERTS: Okay.

3 MR. ZWOLEK: Good afternoon.

4 THE BOARD: Good afternoon.

5 MR. ZWOLEK: I'd like to repeat my request, or
6 suggestion, signed back in December, when I had
7 recommended that we take some actions on our city manager.
8 And I did recommend, December, January, February, and now
9 in March, that we consider the -- if the city manager so
10 desires, to extend her contract another year.

11 I'm very concerned, and I believe, in some of
12 your discussions before the meeting, about the need to
13 keep this continuity and consistency here in the City of
14 Hamtramck. Probably the two great assets the City of
15 Hamtramck has, today, and I want to emphasize that, is
16 that the inference that both the city manager, Ms. Powell,
17 and our mayor, Mayor Majewski, have done to represent the
18 City of Hamtramck.

19 We're fortunate to have the two individuals who
20 do great -- do a great job in representing the City of
21 Hamtramck. Not only here, in Hamtramck, but outside of
22 Hamtramck. I must give Ms. Powell credit. The past two
23 years that she's been here, she's seemed to open up an
24 awful lot of dialogue, and bridges with various agencies
25 that we've been dealing with. Whether it be Detroit,

1 Wayne County, the state or federal government.

2 It's taken two years for her to build those
3 bridges; many of those contacts have resulted in more
4 cooperation from these agencies, as well as grants and
5 other activities that have benefitted the community. I'd
6 hate to see that disrupted. The old saying, no changing
7 the horse in midstream, well, you got to let the horse get
8 to the other side of the stream.

9 But at least if we have this continuity, and I
10 have to be -- I don't know if you were aware of it, but we
11 do have a crisis in our public safety. Especially with
12 the number officers that we have, that we need. And we're
13 in the midst of contract negotiations, with police and
14 fire.

15 But -- and also probably maybe making some
16 significant changes. But in any event, Ms. Powell has
17 been here for two years, she's got things pretty well up
18 to snuff. And put many things in place. And to disrupt
19 it right now, with finding somebody new, and we don't even
20 know what's, what we can expect down the line.

21 We know we have a known entity; we don't know
22 what we would have, with a new city manager. I would
23 encourage this particular board, here, inasmuch as you do,
24 are responsible for hiring and firing. To see how you can
25 go about in extending her contract.

1 We don't need any disruptions at this particular
2 point in time. And I do want to emphasize, we do have a
3 serious crisis in our public safety right now, which needs
4 to be addressed. Thank you.

5 THE BOARD: Thank you.

6 MS. ROBERTS: Any others?

7 MR. DOSTINE: That concludes public comments,
8 Madam Chair.

9 MS. ROBERTS: Okay.

10 First on the agenda is old business, and we have
11 none. So we'll move on to new business.

12 First item is resolutions from the regular city
13 council meeting of February 14th of 2017. I'd like you to
14 please note that 2017-9, 2017-10, and 2017-11 were all
15 approved at our last board meeting, they were put forward.

16 So I would entertain a motion to approve the
17 remaining ordinances and resolutions from the February
18 14th, 2017 regular city council meeting.

19 MR. STEMA: Motion to approve.

20 MR. McINERNEY: Support.

21 MS. ROBERTS: Any discussion?

22 MR. McINERNEY: Just one question. In that
23 packet there is a resolution 2017-12 which was actually a
24 failure, a motion failed three to four to authorize the
25 city attorney to negotiate with the city manager on a

1 contract extension. So I guess I'm not sure how we can --
2 we're not really approving a failed motion.

3 MS. ROBERTS: That is correct.

4 MR. McINERNEY: We're just kind of receiving it.

5 MR. STEMA: Yes.

6 MS. ROBERTS: Yes.

7 MR. McINERNEY: Yes.

8 MR. STEMA: You know, I'd just like to make a
9 comment because I was at that meeting when it was
10 unfortunately voted down. I just have a concern and I
11 know there's not a whole lot we can do currently on the
12 situation, I think you noted that. You know, a couple of
13 the council people that did vote it down were very
14 supportive of Ms. Powell. In fact one of them basically
15 said he voted no just to see what the RTAB was going to
16 do.

17 MS. ROBERTS: Which is unfortunate.

18 MR. STEMA: It's unfortunate that there's not a
19 whole lot we can do but he basically stated that and I
20 think it's unfortunate because I don't think that they're
21 looking at the long-term consequences of seeing if there's
22 enough time to find somebody that -- if she decides not to
23 take it, even not start the negotiations and go through an
24 MML process or a search because they need to have somebody
25 in place as soon as their contract's done because it's

1 required by the charter and by our loan documentation.
2 You know the city can call -- the state can call it in so
3 I think we need to look at anything we can possibly do to
4 help move that along.

5 MS. ROBERTS: I think council's kind of backed
6 themselves in a corner by turning it down but we shall
7 going forward what ends up happening because they only
8 have three months.

9 MR. STEMA: I didn't -- last comment from me, I
10 didn't -- by the way that it was worded in terms of
11 authorizing the city attorney --

12 MR. McINERNEY: Yeah.

13 MR. ~~McInerney~~ STEMA: I'm not reading this as any
14 failure of confidence in the city attorney --

15 MR. ~~Stema~~ McINERNEY: No.

16 MR. ~~McInerney~~ STEMA: to do a job if
17 authorized ~~he~~ were

18 MR. McINERNEY: Yes. I agree.

19 MS. ROBERTS: Any further discussion?

20 (No response.)

21 MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, all those in favor of
22 approving the resolutions from the -- the remaining
23 resolutions and ordinances from the February 14th, 2017
24 meeting say aye.

25 MR. McINERNEY: Aye.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

MR. STEMA: Aye.

MS. YOUNG: Aye.

MS. ROBERTS: Aye.

Opposed the same?

(No response.)

MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries.

Next on the agenda is resolutions from the regular city council meeting of February 27, 2017. I would entertain a motion to approve all ordinances and resolutions from the February 27, 2017 regular city council meeting.

MR. McINERNEY: So moved to approve.

MS. YOUNG: Second.

MS. ROBERTS: Any discussion?

(No response.)

MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, all those in favor say aye.

MR. McINERNEY: Aye.

MR. STEMA: Aye.

MS. YOUNG: Aye.

MS. ROBERTS: Aye.

Opposed the same?

(No response.)

MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries.

Next on the agenda are the claims and accounts

1 from the regular city council meeting draft minutes of
2 March 14, 2017. I would entertain a motion to approve,
3 deny or postpone claims and accounts from the March 14,
4 2017 regular city council meeting.

5 MR. McINERNEY: I'll move to approve.

6 MS. YOUNG: Second.

7 MS. ROBERTS: Any discussion?

8 (No response.)

9 MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, all those in favor
10 say aye.

11 MR. McINERNEY: Aye.

12 MR. STEMA: Aye.

13 MS. YOUNG: Aye.

14 MS. ROBERTS: Aye.

15 Opposed the same?

16 (No response.)

17 MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries.

18 Moving on to the city administrator items. We
19 have taken care of the city council meetings.

20 Next would be the budget to actual and cash flow
21 reports. I don't see Bama to -- just take them as they
22 are. Okay. I would entertain a motion to approve, deny
23 or postpone the budget to actual cash flow reports.

24 MS. YOUNG: Motion to approve.

25 MR. STEMA: Second it.

1 MS. ROBERTS: Any discussion?

2 MR. STEMA: I'd actually just -- one item, not
3 really a direct question but I know that there are some
4 items with the flooding downstairs and not getting a SAFER
5 grant in time, that you're probably going to eat into the
6 general fund. I was wondering if we could just get a
7 maybe a report for the next meeting just a good estimate
8 of how much we were probably going to be over and which
9 areas it is specifically that is going to cause the budget
10 to be -- you know, eating into the general fund.

11 MS. POWELL: The financial to fund balance, is
12 that what you're talking about?

13 MR. STEMA: Yeah, even to the fund balance. And
14 that. I'd just like to know which, you know, how much is
15 it to flooding, how much is it not having the SAFER grant
16 in time and all that.

17 MS. ROBERTS: But you have now received the
18 SAFER grant?

19 MR. STEMA: Yes. But there was a slight delay
20 so I believe there should be left there for the beginning
21 of the year.

22 MS. ROBERTS: Yes. Slightly -- yes, a smaller
23 amount. Okay.

24 Any further discussion?

25 MS. ROBERTS: Any discussion?

1 (No response.)

2 MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, all those in favor of
3 approving the budget to actual cash flow say aye.

4 MR. McINERNEY: Aye.

5 MR. STEMA: Aye.

6 MS. YOUNG: Aye.

7 MS. ROBERTS: Aye.

8 Opposed the same?

9 (No response.)

10 MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries.

11 Next on the agenda is the approval of the
12 invoice register and pre-approved expenditures.

13 I would entertain a motion to approve, deny or
14 postpone the invoice register and pre-approved
15 expenditures.

16 MR. STEMA: Motion to approve.

17 MR. McINERNEY: Support.

18 MS. ROBERTS: Any discussion?

19 I just have one question. On the February 7 --
20 no, February 14 check run.

21 MS. POWELL: Uh-huh.

22 MS. ROBERTS: There is a check to the city of
23 Hamtramck for police services for \$6,860 (sic). You write
24 a check back and forth?

25 MS. POWELL: We did. This was --

1 MS. ROBERTS: You don't have --

2 MS. POWELL: This is a situation on where we --

3 where the DDA had deleted a portion of money to the

4 Hamtramck Labor Day Festival to pay for police services.

5 MS. ROBERTS: Okay.

6 MS. POWELL: And so this is really just a

7 transfer from DDA to the general fund for police services.

8 MS. ROBERTS: Okay.

9 MS. POWELL: But that's how Bama wanted to do

10 it, through a check, instead of doing an internal

11 transfer.

12 MS. ROBERTS: Okay.

13 MS. POWELL: Just for auditing purposes.

14 MS. ROBERTS: Okay.

15 MS. POWELL: Not a gift.

16 MS. ROBERTS: The motion before us is to approve

17 the invoice and pre-approved expenditures. All those in

18 favor say aye.

19 MR. McINERNEY: Aye.

20 MR. STEMA: Aye.

21 MS. YOUNG: Aye.

22 MS. ROBERTS: Aye.

23 Opposed the same?

24 (No response.)

25 MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries.

1 Next on the agenda is approval of Resolution
2 2017-23, award a contract to American Dream Landscaping.
3 While action on this item occurred during a council
4 meeting outside our normal review period for today's board
5 meeting the city manager has requested we bring this item
6 forward for early review. The city council did approve
7 this resolution at their March 14, 2017 meeting.

8 Ms. Powell, do you want to provide a summary of
9 this item for the board?

10 MS. POWELL: Yes, ma'am.

11 So we have had some issues with our landscaping
12 services over the years. We've been -- you know, we've
13 had hit or miss, you know, with our landscaping services,
14 like sometimes they mow the grass, sometimes they weed,
15 sometimes they don't. We really wanted to put out the bid
16 so we can have some consistency and we can have some
17 control over who is doing what and we wanted to make sure
18 that we get it in a contract. So we were able to have a
19 number of companies bid on our proposal and American Dream
20 Landscaping came in the lowest, but not only that, they
21 received some really great references, approvals from
22 communities that they do work for. They work for a lot of
23 other cities around the area and our purchasing manager
24 called those communities to get references and it came
25 back positive so we were really happy about that and they

1 said that, you know, if they'd ever had any problems with
2 this company that they would just give them a call and it
3 would be corrected immediately. And that's what we want.
4 So I'm really excited to get started on landscaping and
5 taking care of all of our properties. Because we had a
6 lot of different companies doing a lot of different
7 things. We had someone doing our parks, someone doing
8 the front of city hall, some of them doing our lot, some
9 of them doing the right of ways. Now we're going to have
10 one company that does all of it so it's easier to maintain
11 the contract and keep control over it too.

12 MS. ROBERT: Okay. Thank you.

13 MR. STEMA: I can imagine based on the price
14 it's probably lower in total what you were paying in the
15 past.

16 MS. POWELL: Oh, absolutely.

17 MR. STEMA: Because I was a little surprised on
18 how much lower their bid was.

19 MS. POWELL: Yeah.

20 And because they do other communities they know
21 the routine. They know how to get it done. And that's
22 important.

23 MR. McINERNEY: Move to approve the low bidder.

24 MS. ROBERTS: Okay. Second?

25 MR. STEMA: Second it.

1 MS. ROBERTS: Any further discussion?
2 (No response.)
3 MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, all those in favor
4 say aye.
5 MR. McINERNEY: Aye.
6 MR. STEMA: Aye.
7 MS. YOUNG: Aye.
8 MS. ROBERTS: Aye.
9 Opposed the same?
10 (No response.)
11 MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries.
12 Next on the agenda is approval of a housing
13 agreement between the City of Hamtramck, Wayne County, and
14 Shiawassee County Sheriff's office. Ms. Powell, would you
15 please provide a summary of this item for the board?
16 MS. POWELL: Yes, ma'am. And actually I may
17 defer to the court administrator, Ben Ruby if there's
18 something that I've -- that I am not clear on.
19 MS. ROBERTS: Okay.
20 MS. POWELL: But this is an agreement between
21 the City of Hamtramck, more importantly the 31st District
22 Court so really I'm bringing this as a courtesy to the
23 board because we really have no say-so over their
24 operations. But they are wanting to contract with
25 Shiawassee County to do the housing of their inmates that

1 are staying for longer lengths of time. Typically what's
2 happening is some people are getting sentenced to 90 days
3 and they are being let go way before that. So the judge
4 wants to ensure that when she sentences someone to a
5 certain amount of days they are staying there for that
6 amount of time. And this was -- we also had a meeting
7 with the Wayne County Sheriff's Office and they understand
8 exactly what we're doing as well and they have no issues
9 with it. So this is a good deal for the courts and we
10 will be paying for this out of the court's budget moving
11 forward.

12 MS. ROBERTS: Thank you. Okay.

13 MS. POWELL: You won't be paying for that out of
14 yours? What are we going to be paying for that out of?

15 MR. RUBY: The same budget that handles housing
16 right now.

17 MS. POWELL: Oh, so that would be coming out of
18 the police department's budget. Okay. Yeah. I'll
19 entertain a motion to approve, deny or postpone the
20 agreement between the City of Hamtramck, Wayne County and
21 Shiawassee County Sheriff's office.

22 MR. STEMA: Motion to approve.

23 MS. YOUNG: Second.

24 MS. ROBERTS: Any discussion?

25 (No response.)

1 MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, all those in favor
2 say aye.

3 MR. McINERNEY: Aye.

4 MR. STEMA: Aye.

5 MS. YOUNG: Aye.

6 MS. ROBERTS: Aye.

7 Opposed the same?

8 (No response.)

9 MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries.

10 The approval of Resolution 2017-16 was already
11 covered in new business.

12 Next on the agenda is approval of hiring one
13 full-time police officer. Do you have anything to add to
14 that? No? Then I'll entertain a motion to approve, deny
15 or postpone hiring of one full-time police officer.

16 MR. STEMA: Motion to approve.

17 MR. McINERNEY: Support.

18 MS. ROBERTS: Any discussion?

19 MR. McINERNEY: This is a vacant position right?

20 MS. POWELL: Yes, sir.

21 MS. ROBERTS: Any further discussion?

22 (No response.)

23 MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, all those in favor
24 say aye.

25 MR. McINERNEY: Aye.

1 MR. STEMA: Aye.

2 MS. YOUNG: Aye.

3 MS. ROBERTS: Aye.

4 Opposed the same?

5 (No response.)

6 MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries.

7 Next on the agenda is the approval of lawsuits.

8 Ms. Powell would you like to provide a summary or would
9 you like the city attorney to provide a summary?

10 MS. POWELL: We would like the city attorney to
11 provide a summary.

12 MR. MIHELICK: There's two lawsuits on here
13 today. We brought some to you last time. We're bringing a
14 couple more to you today. We're trying to get some of
15 these things resolved. The first one is the federal court
16 lawsuit in Shaya v Hamtramck. You have my summary. Here
17 the magistrate judge issued a report and recommendation
18 that found that all of his claims should be dismissed.
19 The court really found no merit in any of his claims.
20 When it went up to the district court judge, the district
21 court judge said before I issue my final ruling I'm going
22 to order the parties to go facilitate this. So that's
23 what we did. And at that facilitation, the city's
24 insurance carrier agreed to settle this claim for \$75,000.
25 The entire amount of the settlement will be paid by the

1 insurance carrier.

2 MS. ROBERTS: Okay.

3 MR. MIHELICK: We'd ask then -- and procedurally
4 this court has to approve it because Ms. Powell has to
5 sign the settlement agreement. Or this court -- this
6 board. So we --

7 MR. McINERNEY: Do you want separate motions on
8 this?

9 MR. MIHELICK: Separate, pleas.

10 MR. McINERNEY: I'll move that we approve the
11 Shaya settlement.

12 MR. STEMA: I'll second it.

13 MS. ROBERTS: Any discussion?

14 (No response.)

15 MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, all those in favor
16 say aye.

17 MR. McINERNEY: Aye.

18 MR. STEMA: Aye.

19 MS. YOUNG: Aye.

20 MS. ROBERTS: Aye.

21 Opposed the same?

22 (No response.)

23 MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries.

24 MR. MIHELICK: The next case is CPI v Hamtramck.
25 I don't know if you recall but about a year and a half ago

1 we brought the CPI contract termination to this board. We
2 terminated the contract, they subsequently brought suit.
3 They asked for about \$800,000 in damages for lost profits
4 and various other breaches under the contract. That went
5 to a process called case evaluation. Case evaluation, the
6 primary goal is to settle the case. Their secondary goal
7 is to address the merits. In this matter, Plaintiff again
8 asked for \$800,000. They put a number on it at \$75,000.
9 I think it was a pretty strong message that they -- what
10 they believe the merits to be but they -- it was a message
11 that look, you guys should probably settle this because if
12 a judge makes a determination here it's going to go really
13 bad for one of the parties.

14 I still think that we have a very, very good
15 argument here but again, this is a business decision. The
16 money that we've saved by terminating their contract is
17 far in excess of what we're asking to settle this for
18 right now. And our -- the city's settlement budget and
19 it's legal fee budget is so far under budget this year,
20 the city manager's done a wonderful job of keeping that
21 down, that this would fall easily within our settlement
22 authority and we would not require any budget amendments.

23 We've asked for \$75,000. We've asked for up to
24 \$75,000. I'd ask for up to \$80,000 just in case we need
25 to go to \$77,500 or something but \$80,000 will 100 percent

1 get this done.

2 MS. ROBERTS: Okay. So you're asking for up to
3 \$80,000?

4 MR. MIHELICK: And authority to settle this
5 lawsuit.

6 MR. STEMA: We know you can do it for less but
7 I'll move we approve up to \$80,000.

8 MR. MICHELICK: It's -- \$75,000 is really a
9 great -- I mean, when they're asking for \$800,000. And
10 this is a rare case where, you know, when you go in front
11 of a judge a lot of times it's, well, you have these
12 claims or these claims and these damages and these
13 damages. This case will be either thumbs up or thumbs
14 down. So that's a difficult dice to roll. And so if we
15 can get out for 10 percent of what they're asking, it's a
16 business decision that I think the city makes.

17 MS. ROBERTS: Okay.

18 MR. STEMA: And all outstanding invoices go
19 away, right?

20 MR. MIHELICK: Everything. This severs and
21 cauterizes all ties.

22 MS. ROBERTS: Okay. Do I have a second?

23 MR. STEMA: Second it.

24 MS. ROBERTS: Okay. The motion before us is to
25 approve up to \$80,000 to settle the CPI vs HAMTRAMCK

1 lawsuit.

2 MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, all those in favor
3 say aye.

4 MR. McINERNEY: Aye.

5 MR. STEMA: Aye.

6 MS. YOUNG: Aye.

7 MS. ROBERTS: Aye.

8 Opposed the same?

9 (No response.)

10 MS. ROBERTS: The motion carries.

11 MR. MIHELICK: Thank you.

12 MS. ROBERTS: Thank you.

13 Next on the agenda is the approval of the city-
14 wide overtime report. Ms. Powell, do you want to
15 summarize the overtime report?

16 MS. POWELL: Yes, ma'am. Surprisingly it's down
17 this month by \$11,466 overall. I'm not sure how that
18 happened. The hours are down by 373 hours so whatever
19 we're doing let's just keep it going.

20 MS. ROBERTS: Okay.

21 I would entertain a motion to approve, deny or
22 postpone the city overtime report.

23 MR. McINERNEY: Motion to approve.

24 MR. STEMA: Second it.

25 MS. ROBERTS: Any discussion?

1 (No response.)

2 MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, all those in favor
3 say aye.

4 MR. McINERNEY: Aye.

5 MR. STEMA: Aye.

6 MS. YOUNG: Aye.

7 MS. ROBERTS: Aye.

8 Opposed the same?

9 (No response.)

10 MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries.

11 Next on the agenda is the district court
12 revenues. That just for your information. Does anybody
13 have any questions?

14 MS. POWELL: It's up also.

15 MS. ROBERTS: Next on the agenda is approval of
16 hiring two full time firefighters. Ms. Powell do you just
17 want to give us a summary of this?

18 MS. POWELL: Yes, ma'am. It's the same
19 situation. We've got vacancies and we need to fill those
20 and these two have successfully completed all their
21 background, psychological and physical exams and they're
22 ready to be hired immediately.

23 MS. ROBERTS: Okay.

24 I would entertain a motion to approve, deny or
25 postpone hiring of the two full time firefighters?

1 MR. McINERNEY: Motion to approve.
2 MR. STEMA: Support.
3 MS. ROBERTS: Any discussion?
4 (No response.)
5 MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, all those in favor
6 say aye.
7 MR. McINERNEY: Aye.
8 MR. STEMA: Aye.
9 MS. YOUNG: Aye.
10 MS. ROBERTS: Aye.
11 Opposed the same?
12 (No response.)
13 MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries.
14 Next on the agenda is board comment. Do any of
15 my fellow board members have any comment?
16 I have been asked by the Department of Treasury
17 to read a statement and then Ms. Powell I'm going to give
18 you this statement so that you can take it back to the
19 council.
20 "The Department of Treasury would like to remind
21 the City of Hamtramck that it received an emergency
22 loan of \$2,070,027.29. On September 23, 2014. With
23 the final debt service payment scheduled for June 1,
24 2024. In accordance with Section 7(1)(a) of the
25 Emergency Municipal Loan Act Public Act 243 of 1980

1 and local emergency financial assistance loan board
2 order 2014-8 page 3 conditions upon city: 1)(a) the
3 city shall employ a full time professional
4 administrator during the period that an emergency
5 loan remains outstanding. In accordance with section
6 7(2) of the Act should the state treasurer determine
7 that the city is no longer employing a full time
8 professional administrator then the state treasurer
9 may modify the terms of the emergency loan to require
10 a higher interest rate or to accelerate the repayment
11 of the emergency loan."

12 That is the only comment I have.

13 I would entertain a motion to adjourn?

14 MS. YOUNG: Motion to adjourn.

15 MS. ROBERTS: Second?

16 MR. STEMA: Support.

17 All those in favor say aye.

18 MR. McINERNEY: Aye.

19 MR. STEMA: Aye.

20 MS. YOUNG: Aye.

21 MS. ROBERTS: Aye.

22 Meeting adjourned.

23 (At 1:24 p.m. meeting adjourned.)
24
25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

STATE OF MICHIGAN
COUNTY OF WASHTENAW) .ss

I certify that this transcript is a complete, true, and correct transcript to the best of my ability of the RTAB meeting held on March 28th, 2017, City of Hamtramck. I also certify that I am not a relative or employee of the parties involved and have no financial interest in this case.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED: April 6, 2017

s/ Amy Shankleton-Novess

Amy Shankleton-Novess (CER 0838)
Certified Electronic Reporter