

STATE OF MICHIGAN
DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS
BUREAU OF PROFESSIONAL LICENSING
BOARD OF PHARMACY
DISCIPLINARY SUBCOMMITTEE

In the Matter of

BELIEF AGHOGHOME EMADAMERHO, R.P.H.
License No. 53-02-034384,

File No. 53-18-151669

Respondent.

ORDER OF SUMMARY SUSPENSION

The Department filed an *Administrative Complaint* against Respondent as provided by the Public Health Code, MCL 333.1101 *et seq*, the rules promulgated under the Code, and the Administrative Procedures Act, MCL 24.201 *et seq*.

After careful consideration and after consultation with the Chairperson of the Board of Pharmacy pursuant to MCL 333.16233(5), the Department finds that the public health, safety, and welfare requires emergency action.

Therefore, IT IS ORDERED that Respondent's license to practice as a pharmacist is SUMMARILY SUSPENDED, commencing the date this *Order* is served.

MCL 333.7311(6) provides that a controlled substance license is automatically void if a licensee's license to practice is suspended or revoked under Article 15.

Under Mich Admin Code, R 792.10702, Respondent may petition for the dissolution of this *Order* by filing a document clearly titled **Petition for Dissolution of Summary Suspension** with the Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs, Bureau of Professional Licensing, P.O. Box 30670, Lansing, MI 48909.

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF
LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS

Dated: 5/16/18


By: Cheryl Wykoff Pezon, Director
Bureau of Professional Licensing

STATE OF MICHIGAN
DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS
BUREAU OF PROFESSIONAL LICENSING
BOARD OF PHARMACY
DISCIPLINARY SUBCOMMITTEE

In the Matter of

BELIEF AGHOHOME EMADAMERHO, R.PH.
License No. 53-02-034384,

File No. 53-18-151669

Respondent.

ADMINISTRATIVE COMPLAINT

The Michigan Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs by Cheryl Wykoff Pezon, Director, Bureau of Professional Licensing, complains against Respondent Belief Aghoghome Emadamerho, R.Ph. as follows:

1. The Michigan Board of Pharmacy is an administrative agency established by the Public Health Code, MCL 333.1101 *et seq.* The Board's Disciplinary Subcommittee is empowered to discipline licensees for Code violations.

2. The Board administers the controlled substance provisions in Article 7 of the Code, MCL 333.7101 - .7545, and is empowered to discipline licensees for Article 7 violations under MCL 333.7311.

3. MCL 333.7333(1) provides, in pertinent part:

"[G]ood faith" means the prescribing or dispensing of a controlled substance by a practitioner . . . to or for an individual Application of good faith to a pharmacist means the dispensing of a controlled substance pursuant to a prescriber's order which, in the professional judgment of the pharmacist, is lawful. The pharmacist shall be guided by nationally accepted professional standards including, but not limited to, all of the following, in making the judgment:

(a) Lack of consistency in the doctor-patient relationship.

- (b) Frequency of prescriptions for the same drug by 1 prescriber for larger numbers of patients.
- (c) Quantities beyond those normally prescribed for the same drug.
- (d) Unusual dosages.
- (e) Unusual geographic distances between patient, pharmacist, and prescriber.

4. Mich Admin Code, R 338.490(2) provides:

A pharmacist shall not fill a prescription order if, in the pharmacist's professional judgment, any of the following provisions apply:

- (a) The prescription appears to be improperly written.
- (b) The prescription is susceptible to more than 1 interpretation.
- (c) The pharmacist has reason to believe that the prescription could cause harm to the patient.
- (d) The pharmacist has reason to believe that the prescription will be used for other than legitimate medical purposes.

5. MCL 333.7311(6) provides that a controlled substance license is automatically void if a licensee's license to practice is suspended or revoked under Article 15.

6. Respondent is a Michigan-licensed pharmacist and holds a current controlled substance license. He is the owner of and pharmacist-in-charge (PIC) of Pharmacy 4 Less II located in Detroit, Michigan.¹

7. As Pharmacy 4 Less II's PIC, Respondent was responsible to supervise its practice per MCL 333.17748.

8. After consultation with the Board Chairperson, the Department found that the public health, safety, and welfare requires emergency action. Therefore, pursuant to MCL 333.16233(5), the Department summarily suspended Respondent's pharmacist

¹The Department has also filed an Administrative Complaint against Pharmacy 4 Less II for the conduct alleged here. *Pharmacy 4 Less II*, No. 53-18-151059.

license, effective on the date the accompanying Order of Summary Suspension was served.

9. Alprazolam is a benzodiazepine schedule 4 controlled substance. Alprazolam is a commonly abused and diverted drug, particularly in its 1 mg and 2 mg dosages.

10. Carisoprodol is a muscle relaxant and a schedule 4 controlled substance. Carisoprodol has significant potential for abuse, dependence, overdose, and withdrawal, particularly when used in conjunction with opioids and benzodiazepines.

11. Promethazine with codeine syrup is a schedule 5 controlled substance prescribed for treating cough and related upper respiratory symptoms. Promethazine with codeine syrup is rarely indicated for any other health condition, and is particularly ill-suited for long-term treatment of chronic pain. Promethazine with codeine syrup is a highly sought-after drug of abuse, and is known by the street names "lean," "purple drank," and "sizzurp."

12. Hydrocodone, and combination products including hydrocodone are commonly abused and diverted opioid schedule 2 controlled substances.

13. Oxycodone and oxycodone combination products are opioid schedule 2 controlled substances. These medications are used to treat pain and are commonly abused and diverted.

14. Oxymorphone, a schedule 2 controlled substance, is an opioid used to treat pain, and is a commonly abused and diverted drug. Oxymorphone 40 mg is the most commonly abused and diverted strength of oxymorphone.

15. When used in combination, opioids, muscle relaxants, and benzodiazepines can produce a feeling of euphoria. These combinations are highly desired for diversion and abuse and have the street name “Holy Trinity.”

16. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) guidelines for opioid prescribing direct providers to avoid prescribing opioid pain medication and benzodiazepines concurrently whenever possible.

17. The CDC’s guidelines for opioid prescribing direct providers to use “extra precautions” when prescribing opioids with a daily morphine milligram equivalent (MME) of 50 or more. Those guidelines also direct providers to “avoid or carefully justify” increasing dosage to a daily MME of 90 or more.

18. The Department reviewed data from the Michigan Automated Prescription System (MAPS), the State of Michigan’s prescription monitoring program, which gathers data regarding controlled substances dispensed in Michigan. The Department discovered that Pharmacy 4 Less II was among the highest-ranked dispensers of the following commonly abused and diverted controlled substances among all Michigan dispensers in the following quarters of 2017 and 2018:

<i>Drug</i>	<i>2017 Q2 Rank</i>	<i>2017 Q3 Rank</i>	<i>2017 Q4 Rank</i>	<i>2018 Q1 Rank</i>
Oxycodone 30 mg	32	19	3	1
Oxycodone (all strengths)	-	92	-	64
Oxymorphone 40 mg	-	-	43	46
Oxymorphone (all strengths)	-	-	53	58
Promethazine with Codeine	31	30	-	-
Carisoprodol 350 mg	-	-	-	55

19. During the following periods, Pharmacy 4 Less II filled prescriptions for the following commonly abused and diverted controlled substances in the following quantities:

<i>Drug</i>	<i>1/1/16 thru 12/31/17</i>	<i>1/1/18 through 3/31/18</i>
(a) Hydrocodone/apap 10-325 mg	1,825 (18.64%)	193 (14.81%)
(b) Oxycodone 30 mg	1,512 (15.44%)	338 (25.94%)
(c) Hydrocodone/apap 7.5-325 mg	966 (9.87%)	110 (8.44%)
(d) Alprazolam 1 mg	743 (7.59%)	81 (6.22%)
(e) Total, (a) - (d)	5,046 (51.54%)	722 (55.41%)
(f) Total CS prescriptions	9,790	1,303

20. On June 5, 2018, the Department conducted an unannounced inspection of Pharmacy 4 Less II and interviewed Respondent. The investigation produced the following information:

Pharmacy Location and Condition

- a. Respondent stated that Pharmacy 4 Less II was previously located in a grocery store until the store went out of business around April 20, 2018 and Pharmacy 4 Less II had to relocate.
- b. Respondent indicated that on or about April 26, 2018, Pharmacy 4 Less II's relocation license was approved, and the pharmacy moved to its current location.
- c. Respondent stated that Pharmacy 4 Less II was not "technically" open for business and was currently just delivering prescriptions to patients' homes. Respondent indicated that since the move to the new location, about 90% of the pharmacy's prescription business was delivery.
- d. Pharmacy 4 Less II did not have an occupancy permit from the City of Detroit due to one or more failed inspections.
- e. During the inspection, investigators found no exterior signage or indication that the building housed a pharmacy. The building had no parking lot, only a small dirt-and-gravel driveway.
- f. After entering the building, the investigators found the pharmacy and the rest of the interior in various stages of construction.

- g. Investigators noted the pharmacy area was extremely cluttered, with paperwork and debris strewn across the pharmacy counter to the point of having no free counter space on which to work.
- h. Despite the pharmacy's physical condition, MAPS data indicates that prescriptions had been dispensed from late April until the inspection date. Respondent acknowledged the pharmacy was dirty and disorganized.

Storage of Medications

- i. Bags of filled prescriptions were stored in various areas within Pharmacy 4 Less II, even though an empty area designated for "will call" existed within the main pharmacy area.
- j. Respondent indicated that Pharmacy 4 Less II's controlled substance stock was stored in a safe.
- k. Investigators found that non-controlled medications were not being stored on shelving in the main pharmacy area. Investigators were directed to an adjoining room, where the majority of medications were being stored out of sight in drawers and cabinets.
- l. Respondent admitted these drugs were being hidden from the view of City of Detroit inspectors.

Prescriptions and Prescription Labels

- m. During the inspection, investigators opened a cabinet in the main pharmacy area and came across several stacks of prescription labels rubber-banded together. Labels without medications raise a red flag of fraud, both in billing and moderating the percent of controlled substances dispensed compared to all prescriptions dispensed.
- n. Respondent indicated these were duplicate labels of prescriptions that had already been filled and delivered to customers and were awaiting shredding. Respondent could not adequately explain why duplicate labels were being printed.
- o. Investigators reviewed the stacks of labels and discovered nearly all of them were for non-controlled medications known to have high insurance reimbursement, such as asthma inhalers, lidocaine cream, non-steroidal anti-inflammatories, stool softeners, and vitamins. These medications were prescribed by the same physicians who also issued high numbers of controlled substances, especially oxycodone 30 mg, on Pharmacy 4 Less II's MAPS report.

- p. Investigators subsequently requested copies of prescriptions and documentation to substantiate that patients received the prescriptions, as indicated. Respondent provided the patient profiles for these individuals, after redacting the prices charged by the pharmacy. Some prescriptions and documentation were provided at the inspection; more were provided via fax after the inspection. Investigators noted the patient signatures on the faxed documentation were in the same handwriting and appeared to be fraudulent.
- q. Investigators also reviewed numerous controlled substance prescriptions during the inspection and noted multiple instances of prescriptions from the same physician but for different patients in sequential order. This suggests that patients were coming to Pharmacy 4 Less II in groups or one person was handling prescriptions for multiple patients.

Red Flags for Abuse and Diversion

- r. Respondent indicated he was familiar with several red flags for abuse and diversion of controlled substances, including patients traveling far distances to obtain prescriptions, pattern prescribing to patients, patients willing to pay cash for prescriptions, and prescriptions for excessive quantities.
- s. Respondent is conscious of Pharmacy 4 Less II's rate of controlled substance medications as a percentage of total medications dispensed and acknowledged that higher percentages could attract unwanted attention from the State and the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA). Respondent indicated he refuses to fill just controlled substance prescriptions for customers and demands they bring in maintenance/non-controlled medications as well.
- t. Investigators asked Respondent about the significant increase in oxycodone 30 mg dispensing from 2016-2017 to the first quarter of 2018. Respondent admitted somehow losing control over Pharmacy 4 Less II's oxycodone 30 mg dispensing percentages and could not explain the increase.

Inspection-Related Violations

21. The Department's inspection revealed several violations of rules governing the practice of pharmacy, including:

- a. Schedule 2 invoices were not kept in a separate file.

- b. Investigators found no signed controlled substance logs since April 17, 2018, in violation of the Code of Federal Regulations.
- c. Not all controlled substance prescriptions indicated the quantity in both written and numeric terms.
- d. Expired drugs were found within Pharmacy 4 Less II's active inventory.
- e. A blister pack of medication had an incorrect expiration date
- f. Pharmacy 4 Less II's building did not have hot water.
- g. Pharmacy technician licenses were not posted.
- h. The consumer information notice was not displayed.
- i. One unlicensed pharmacy technician was working at Pharmacy 4 Less II. Bureau inspectors previously notified Respondent that the unlicensed person in question should not be working in a technician capacity.

Dispensing for Pattern Prescribers

22. The Department analyzed Pharmacy 4 Less II's dispensing data between January 1, 2016 and March 31, 2018 and noticed that Pharmacy 4 Less II was dispensing for providers who tended to prescribe the same medications to a number of patients. Several of the providers have been recently disciplined by the State of Michigan, federally indicted, or are under investigation for over prescribing and/or healthcare fraud. Pattern prescribing is suggestive of prescriptions being written for illegitimate purposes.

Examples include:

- a. Pharmacy 4 Less II filled 423 controlled substance prescriptions authorized by Reese James, D.O., who has been disciplined by the State of Michigan for prescribing controlled substances for other than lawful or diagnostic purposes. Of these prescriptions, 314 (74.23%) were for oxycodone 30 mg and 89 (21.04%) were for carisoprodol 350 mg.
- b. Pharmacy 4 Less II filled 124 controlled substance prescriptions authorized by Alex Kafi, M.D., who has been federally indicted for conspiracy to distribute controlled substances, including oxycodone. Of these

prescriptions, 96 (77.42%) were for oxycodone 30 mg and 21 (16.94%) were for promethazine with codeine syrup.

- c. Pharmacy 4 Less II filled 102 controlled substance prescriptions authorized by James Beale, M.D., who has been disciplined by the State of Michigan for prescribing controlled substances for other than lawful or diagnostic purposes. Of these prescriptions, 74 (72.55%) were for oxycodone 30 mg and 24 (23.53%) were for alprazolam 2 mg.
- d. Pharmacy 4 Less II filled 124 controlled substance prescriptions authorized by Christina Kimbrough, M.D., who has been federally indicted for conspiracy to commit health care fraud, including fraudulent prescriptions for controlled substances including oxycodone. Of the prescriptions filled at Respondent, 58 (46.77%) were for oxycodone 30 mg and 48 (38.71%) were for promethazine with codeine syrup.
- e. Pharmacy 4 Less II filled 148 controlled substance prescriptions authorized by Zeyn Seabron, M.D., who has recently been summarily suspended by the State of Michigan for prescribing controlled substances for other than lawful or diagnostic purposes. Of these prescriptions, 100% were for oxycodone 30 mg.
- f. Pharmacy 4 Less II filled 245 controlled substance prescriptions for prescriber "P." Of these prescriptions, 174 (71%) were for oxycodone 30 mg and 46 (18.77%) were for oxymorphone 40 mg.

Several of these prescribers' patients who filled prescriptions at Pharmacy 4 Less II were listed in the State of Michigan's Offender Tracking Information System (OTIS) as having controlled substance-related convictions, among others.

Specific Patient Examples

23. The Department reviewed MAPS data for seven patients to whom Pharmacy 4 Less II dispensed controlled substance prescriptions between January 1, 2016 and March 31, 2018. All of those patients filled prescriptions for commonly abused and diverted controlled substances at Pharmacy 4 Less II during that period:

- a. Patient D.B. regularly filled prescriptions for promethazine with codeine syrup at Pharmacy 4 Less II over the period, often alternating prescriptions

from two different providers. Promethazine with codeine syrup is indicated for short-term use. On several of these occasions, patient D.B. also filled an opioid prescription on the same day.

- b. Patient J.G. filled numerous prescriptions for carisoprodol 350 mg, hydrocodone-apap 10-325 mg, and promethazine with codeine syrup over the period at Pharmacy 4 Less II. These medications were often filled together on the same day or within close proximity.
- c. Patient J.G.2 repeatedly filled prescriptions for carisoprodol 350 mg and hydrocodone-apap 10-325 mg on the same day at Pharmacy 4 Less II throughout the review period. In close proximity to filling these two medications at Pharmacy 4 Less II, patient J.G.2 filled prescriptions for alprazolam 1 mg at other pharmacies, completing the Holy Trinity. On one occasion, patient J.G.2 filled the Holy Trinity at Pharmacy 4 Less II on the same day.
- d. Patient L.P. filled monthly prescriptions for promethazine with codeine syrup throughout a significant portion of the review period at Pharmacy 4 Less II. Promethazine with codeine syrup is intended for short-term use. Patient L.P. also filled opioids and benzodiazepines on the same day multiple times over the review period at Pharmacy 4 Less II.
- e. Patient D.T. filled several prescriptions for oxycodone 30 mg at Pharmacy 4 Less II. On two of these occasions, patient D.T. also filled prescriptions for carisoprodol 350 mg. All of these medications were written by Dr. Reese James. After patient D.T. filled his last oxycodone 30 mg prescription at Pharmacy 4 Less II, patient D.T. did not fill another controlled substance prescription for approximately 5 months.
- f. Patient D.C. filled eight prescriptions for oxycodone 30 mg at Pharmacy 4 Less II. On one of these occasions, patient D.C. filled a prescription for carisoprodol 350 mg on the same day. All of the prescriptions were written by Dr. Reese James. It appears that patient D.C. was opioid naïve before receiving the first oxycodone 30 mg prescription from Dr. James.
- g. Patient F.M. filled multiple prescriptions for oxycodone 30 mg, oxymorphone 40 mg, and promethazine with codeine syrup at Pharmacy 4 Less II over the review period. It appears that patient F.M. was opioid naïve before receiving the first oxycodone 30 mg prescription.

COUNT I

Respondent's conduct constitutes a violation of a general duty, consisting of negligence or failure to exercise due care, including negligent delegation to or supervision of employees or other individuals, or a condition, conduct, or practice that impairs, or may impair, the ability safely and skillfully to engage in the practice of the health profession in violation of MCL 333.16221(a).

COUNT II

Respondent's conduct fails to conform to minimal standards of acceptable, prevailing practice for the health profession in violation of MCL 333.16221(b)(i).

COUNT III

Respondent failed to maintain effective controls against diversion of controlled substances to other than legitimate and professionally recognized therapeutic, scientific, or industrial uses, contrary to MCL 333.7311(1)(e) and in violation of MCL 333.17768(2)(e).

COUNT IV

Respondent dispensed controlled substances for other than legitimate or professionally recognized therapeutic, scientific, or industrial purposes, or outside the Respondent's scope of practice, contrary to MCL 333.7311(1)(g) and in violation of MCL 333.17768(2)(e).

COUNT V

Respondent dispensed controlled substances without good faith, contrary to MCL 333.7333(1) and in violation of MCL 333.17768(2)(e).

COUNT VI

Respondent failed to comply with applicable federal, state, or local laws, contrary to MCL 7311(1)(f) and in violation of MCL 333.17768(2)(e).

COUNT VII

Respondent failed to maintain invoices and other acquisition records of all controlled substances listed in schedules 1 and 2 in a separate file, contrary to Mich Admin Code, R 338.3153(2)(a), and in violation of MCL 333.17768(2)(e).

COUNT VIII

Respondent failed to keep records and maintain inventories in conformance with the record-keeping and inventory requirements of federal law, contrary to MCL 333.7321(1), and in violation of MCL 333.17768(2)(e).

COUNT IX

Respondent's pharmacy department did not have a sink with both hot and cold running water, contrary to Mich Admin Code, R 338.481(1), in violation of MCL 333.16221 (h).

COUNT X

Respondent's premises lacked clean and sanitary surroundings, contrary to Mich Admin Code, R 338.482(1), in violation of MCL 333.16221(h).

COUNT XI

Respondent failed to display the consumer identification notice, contrary to MCL 333.17761(1), in violation of MCL 333.16221(h).

COUNT XII

Respondent failed to meet the labeling requirements for a customized patient medication package, contrary to Mich Admin Code, R 338.479c, in violation of MCL 333.16221(h).

COUNT XIII


Respondent failed to ensure all professional licenses are posted, contrary to MCL 333.16191, in violation of MCL 333.16221(h).

RESPONDENT IS NOTIFIED that, pursuant to MCL 333.16231(8), Respondent has 30 days from the date of receipt of this complaint to answer this complaint in writing and to show compliance with all lawful requirements for retention of the license. Respondent shall submit the response to the Bureau of Professional Licensing, Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs, P.O. Box 30670, Lansing, MI 48909.

Respondent's failure to submit an answer within 30 days is an admission of the allegations in this complaint. If Respondent fails to answer, the Department shall transmit this complaint directly to the Board's Disciplinary Subcommittee to impose a sanction pursuant to MCL 333.16231(9).

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF
LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS

Dated: 5/16/18


By: Cheryl Wykoff Pezon, Director
Bureau of Professional Licensing

S:\Drug Monitoring Section\Staff Folders\Prygoski.J\Emadamerho, Belief, R.Ph\Emadamerho, Belief, R.Ph., 151669 AC + OSS.docx