

**Governance, Transparency and Accountability**

Policy #	Policy Recommendations	Required Change/ Recommended Action	Due Date	FY18 Progress Report	Progress Clarification
6.1	In light of the level of federal and state funding involved in the managed care arrangements that serve as the payment and risk management structures in Michigan's Medicaid system, the <u>Michigan Legislature should require all organizations that manage Michigan's Medicaid benefit to comply with Michigan's Freedom of Information Act and the Michigan Open Meetings Act.</u>	MDHHS provided the final 298 Workgroup Report to the Legislature. This policy recommendation is dependent on legislative action. No further action is required by MDHHS at this time.	NA	NA	NA
6.2	The Michigan <u>Legislature should require at least a third of all members of boards of directors for organizations managing Medicaid benefits to be primary consumers</u> (persons who have or currently receive services from providers managed by the organization) <u>or secondary consumers</u> (families of persons who have or currently do receive services from these providers). Among the primary and secondary consumers on these boards, at least half should be primary consumers.	MDHHS provided the final 298 Workgroup Report to the Legislature. This policy recommendation is dependent on legislative action. No further action is required by MDHHS at this time.	NA	NA	NA
6.3	<u>MDHHS should host public forums annually to allow consumers to provide direct feedback</u> to the state on improving coordination of behavioral and physical health services for individuals who received Medicaid services. Public forums should be widely advertised using culturally and geographically appropriate means of distribution.	<p>1) Incorporate requirements for public forums into the contracts of the participants within the pilot sites.</p> <p>2) Work with the project facilitator and pilot site participants to schedule and host public forums within the pilot sites.</p> <p>3) Require pilot participants to host ongoing public forums throughout implementation in compliance with contractual requirements.</p>	<p>7.1.18</p> <p>7.1.18</p> <p>9.30.2020</p>	<p>Not Started</p> <p>Not Started</p> <p>Not Started</p>	MDHHS has provided guidance to the CMHSPs within the pilot sites that they are expected to continue to engage local stakeholders in discussions about the pilots. The pilot project evaluator is workign with pilot sites to inform development of pilot evaluation methods and criteira including processes for local feedback.