## **Request for Proposals for Contracts**

(FY 2020 Appropriation Act - Public Act 67 of 2019)

## **September 30, 2020**

- **Sec. 299.** (1) No state department or agency shall issue a request for proposal (RFP) for a contract in excess of \$5,000,000.00, unless the department or agency has first considered issuing a request for information (RFI) or a request for qualification (RFQ) relative to that contract to better enable the department or agency to learn more about the market for the products or services that are the subject of the RFP. The department or agency shall notify the department of technology, management, and budget of the evaluation process used to determine if an RFI or RFQ was not necessary prior to issuing the RFP.
- (2) From funds appropriated in part 1, for all RFPs issued during the current fiscal year where an existing service received proposals by multiple vendors, the department shall notify all vendors within 30 days of the RFP decision. The notification to vendors shall include details on the RFP process, including the respective RFP scores and the respective cost for each vendor. If the highest scored RFP or lowest cost RFP does not receive the contract for an existing service offered by the department, the notification shall issue an explanation for the reasons that the highest scored RFP or lowest cost RFP did not receive the contract and detail the incremental cost target amount or service level required that was required to migrate the service to a new vendor. Additionally, the department shall include in the notification details as to why a cost or service difference is justifiable if the highest scored or lowest cost vendor does not receive the contract.
- (3) The department shall submit to the senate and house appropriations subcommittees on the department budget, the senate and house fiscal agencies, the senate and house policy offices, and the state budget office by September 30 of the current fiscal year, a report that includes the following:
- (a) A summary of all RFPs issued for a contract in excess of \$5,000,000.00 including whether an RFI or RFQ was considered, and whether an RFI or RFQ was issued before issuing the RFP or whether the issuance of an RFI or RFQ was determined not to be necessary.
- (b) A summary of all RFPs during the current fiscal year if an existing service received proposals by multiple vendors.
- (c) A list of all finalized RFPs if there was a divergence from awarding the contract to the lowest-cost or highest-scoring vendor, and details as to why a divergence is justifiable as provided in the notification to vendors under subsection(2).
- (d) The cost or service threshold required by department policy that must be satisfied in order for an existing contract to be received by a new vendor.



## MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES SECTION 299(3) REPORT - FY20 RFP'S FOR EXISTING SERVICES THAT RECEIVED MULTIPLE VENDOR QUOTES DATE: 9/14/20

RFP#	REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL (RFP) TITLE
200000000050	Youth in Transition -Independent Living Skills Coach
20000000194	Foster Care Supportive Visitation (FCSV)
20000000527	Family Preservation - Parent Education-Group Ingham County
200000000539	Lead Abatement Pre-Qualification Program.
200000000680	Family Preservation - In-Home Family Services
200000000841	Families First of Michigan
200000000870	MDHHS Billing Services
200000000997	Family Preservation In Home Services Washtenaw County
20000001168	Family Preservation - Parenting Time Supportive Visitation
20000001198	Foster Care Supportive Visitation (FCSV)
20000001607	FP Building Community Partners
200000001611	Family Preservation - In-Home Family Services
200000001621	AFPRR -Foster and Adoptive Parent Support Group Coordinator
20000001653	FP In-Home Family Services Calhoun County
20000001788	FP Family Skills and Family Skills Maintenance Kent County
20000001836	Wraparound Contract Van Buren County
200000001866	FP Domestic Violence Intervention Oakland County
20000001971	Families First
200000002039	FP Parenting Time (Visitation) Support Services
200000002154	Wraparound Training, Technical Assistance and Consultation

## **NOTES:**

- There were no divergences from awarding the contract to the lowest cost or highest scoring vendor.
- All RFP proposals were evaluated based on the evaluation and scoring criteria identified in the RFP.