

The Role of CHWs in Combatting Colorectal Cancer

Health Disparities in Colorectal Cancer

Colorectal Cancer (CRC) is highly preventable through routine screening but is the third most common cancer in the United States with more than 102,000 new diagnoses per year.¹ CRC is the second leading cause of cancer-related deaths in the US. Although men are diagnosed with and die from CRC more often than women, strong racial and socioeconomic disparities exist among both men and women.

United States	Michigan
Black men are more likely to die from CRC than White	Black men are more likely to die from CRC than White
males (29.1 vs. 19.5 deaths per 100,000 cases,	males (29.4 vs. 18.4 deaths per 100,000 cases,
respectively) ²	respectively) ²
Black women are more likely to die from CRC than	Black women are more likely to die from CRC than
White women (19.7 vs. 13.7 deaths per 100,000 cases,	White women (18.5 vs. 13.7 deaths per 100,000 cases,
respectively) ²	respectively) ²
Lower income (47.6%) and less educated (45.4%) adults	Although higher than national levels, lower income
aged 50-75 are less likely to screen for CRC ³	(62%) and less educated (66.9%) adults aged 50-75 are
	less likely to screen for CRC ⁴

Community Health Worker (CHW) Interventions

Education

Educational CHW interventions have been shown to increase client knowledge and awareness about CRC,⁵ as well as CRC screening.⁶ A study of a medically underserved region in Appalachian Kentucky examined the effectiveness of face-to-face CHWs delivering cancer education to male participants. The study found that men had a higher understanding of CRC six months following the CHW-provided education, and a higher percentage of participants reported asking their doctor about colorectal cancer.⁷

Screening

Education, outreach, and patient navigation are all methods by which CHWs promote CRC screening. A group of Chinese American lay health workers (LHWs) provided education about CRC screening to 81 Chinese American community members. Following the education sessions, fecal occult blood testing (FOBT) for CRC among the participants increased from 0% to 55.7%. In addition to screening rates, participant awareness of screening options, FOBT, sigmoidoscopy, and colonoscopy increased significantly, and the number of participants who believed that screening can prevent CRC increased from 39% to 82.9%.⁸

Health System Navigation

Patient navigation has been recommended and documented to increase CRC screening and appropriate follow-up care.⁹ A study comparing individuals from East Harlem, New York City both with and without patient navigators found that patient navigation can significantly improve screening rates among patients referred for CRC screening. Three months after the referral, 18.4% of patients with navigators had appointments for an endoscopy, compared to 0% of the control group. The navigation group was also more likely to complete an endoscopy 6 months following the referral (23.7% vs. 5.0%).¹⁰

A randomized trial of individuals with abnormal colorectal screenings found that patients with a patient navigator had their diagnoses resolved at a significantly quicker rate when compared to patients without a navigator.¹¹



The Role of CHWs in Combatting Colorectal Cancer

Article Title	Year	Target Population	Intervention Type
Using a Lay Cancer Screening Navigator to Increase	2015	N/A	Patient navigation and
Colorectal Cancer Screening Rates			screening promotion
Dissemination of colorectal cancer screening by Filipino	2013	Filipino Americans	Patient education and
American community health advisors: a feasibility study.			screening promotion by
Health Promotion Practice			community health advisors
Effectiveness of community health workers in providing	2012	An underserved	CHW led patient
outreach and education for colorectal cancer screening in		geographic region	education
Appalachian Kentucky			
A pilot study of lay health worker outreach and colorectal	2010	Chinese American	Lay health worker group
cancer screening among Chinese Americans. Journal of		women	education sessions
Cancer Education			
Use of a patient navigator to increase colorectal cancer	2005	Urban residents	Patient navigation and
screening in an urban neighborhood health clinic			screening promotion
A multilevel intervention to promote colorectal cancer	2009	Urban minorities	Patient navigation and
screening among community health center patients:			screening promotion
results of a pilot study. BMC Family Practice			
Effectiveness of lay health worker outreach in reducing	2014	Vietnamese	Lay health worker group
colorectal cancer screening disparities in Vietnamese		Americans	education sessions
Americans			
The longitudinal impact of patient navigation on equity in	2014	Vulnerable	Patient navigation and
colorectal cancer screening in a large primary care		populations	screening promotion
network			
Patient navigation improves cancer diagnostic resolution:	2012	Low income	Patient navigation to
an individually randomized clinical trial in an underserved		minorities	resolve diagnoses
population			

Article abstracts available by clicking the hyperlinked article titles above; full citations are below

1. Grubbs SS, Polite BN, Carney J, et al. Eliminating racial disparities in colorectal cancer in the real world: it took a village. J Clin Oncol. 2013;31(16):1928-1930. doi:10.1200/JCO.2012.47.8412.

- 2. Michigan Public Health Institute and Michigan Department of Community Health. The Cancer Burden In Michigan: Selected Statistics 1993-2011. Report.
- https://www.michigan.gov/documents/mdch/Ca_burden_MI_select_stats_1993-2011_371092_7.pdf. Accessed July 13, 2015.
- 3. Michigan BRFSS Surv. Prevalence of Colorectal Cancer Screening Among Adults Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, United States, 2010. Vol 8. 2014. http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/su6102a9.htm#tab1. Accessed July 15, 2015.

4. Colorectal Cancer Screening Practices Among Michigan Adults .

http://www.michigan.gov/documents/mdch/MIBRFSS_Surveillance_Brief_Nov_2014_Vol8No5_FINAL_474404_7.pdf. Accessed July 15, 2015.

5. Feltner FJ, Ely GE, Whitler ET, Gross D, Dignan M. Effectiveness of community health workers in providing outreach and education for colorectal cancer screening in Appalachian Kentucky. Soc Work Health Care. 2012;51(5):430-440. doi:10.1080/00981389.2012.657296.

6. Blumenthal DS, Smith SA, Majett CD, Alema-Mensah E. A trial of 3 interventions to promote colorectal cancer screening in African Americans. Cancer. 2010;116(4):922-929. doi:10.1002/cncr.24842.

7. Feltner FJ, Ely GE, Whitler ET, Gross D, Dignan M. Effectiveness of community health workers in providing outreach and education for colorectal cancer screening in Appalachian Kentucky. Soc Work Health Care. 2012;51(5):430-440.

http://apps.webofknowledge.com/CitedFullRecord.do?product=WOS&colName=WOS&SID=4DNJHFLSpuyLdSoNiGU&search_mode=CitedFullRecord&isickref=WOS:0003045386 00004. Accessed May 18, 2015.

8. Nguyen TT, Love MB, Liang C, et al. A pilot study of lay health worker outreach and colorectal cancer screening among Chinese Americans. J Cancer Educ. 2010;25(3):405-412. http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2933803&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract. Accessed July 7, 2015.

9. Paskett ED, Katz ML, Post DM, et al. The Ohio Patient Navigation Research Program: does the American Cancer Society patient navigation model improve time to resolution in patients with abnormal screening tests? *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2012;21(10):1620-1628. doi:10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-12-0523.

10. Jandorf L, Gutierrez Y, Lopez J, Christie J, Itzkowitz SH. Use of a patient navigator to increase colorectal cancer screening in an urban neighborhood health clinic. J Urban Health. 2005;82(2):216-224.

http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3456577&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract. Accessed July 7, 2015.

11. Raich PC, Whitley EM, Thorland W, Valverde P, Fairclough D. Patient navigation improves cancer diagnostic resolution: an individually randomized clinical trial in an underserved population. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2012;21(10):1629-1638.

http://cebp.aacrjournals.org/content/21/10/1629.short. Accessed May 18, 2015.



The Role of CHWs in Combatting Breast Cancer

Health Disparities in Breast Cancer

Breast cancer is the most common cancer among American women, with the exception of skin cancer.¹ One in eight women in the US will develop invasive breast cancer during their lifetime.¹ In Michigan, breast cancer is the second leading cause of cancer deaths and the most frequently diagnosed cancer.² In the US and Michigan, racial and socioeconomic disparities exist in breast cancer mortality and screening.³

United States	Michigan		
The age-adjusted mortality rate for breast cancer is	The age-adjusted mortality rate for breast cancer is		
higher for black women than white women (31.4 vs.	higher for black women than white women (35.9 vs.		
22.2 deaths per 100,000 population). ³	22.9 cases per 100,000 population). ³		
The cause-specific survival rate among Black women is	Black women with breast cancer face lower five-year		
the lowest among all racial groups (78.9%). ⁴	survival rates (77%) at all stages of diagnosis than		
	white women (90.4%) and all races (89%). ³		
Asian American (62%) and Hispanic (64%) and less	American Indian (53.5%) and Hispanic (49.9%)		
educated women (52%) age 40+ are less likely to have	women aged 40+ are less likely to have had a		
had a mammogram in the past two years than White	mammogram in the past two years compared to the		
women (67%) and more educated women $(75\%)^4$	general population (75%). ³		

Community Health Worker (CHW) Interventions Health Literacy

Health literacy interventions commonly address breast cancer screening among racial and socioeconomic minority groups and have been found to be both effective and cost-effective.⁵ Interventions are typically tailored to target communities. The Kin Keeper Cancer Prevention Intervention trained female CHWs to deliver home-based education to clients and their selected female family members in English, Spanish, or Arabic.⁶ Surveys of program enrollees were used to inform curriculum development.⁷ Pre-post breast cancer literacy scores increased for all participants recruited.⁸

Screening and Mammography

A meta-analysis of 18 studies indicated that CHW interventions are associated with a significant increase in mammography rates. Effects were strongest in medical or urban settings and when CHWs and participants were ethnically or racially similar.⁹ In a study comparing the effect of lay health workers (LHWs) and media education to media education alone on screening rates among Vietnamese women, the LHW intervention significantly increased mammography and clinical breast examinations.¹⁰

Health System Navigation

Patient navigation interventions commonly address screening and diagnostic follow up testing for women with abnormal screening results. The Patient Navigation Research Program at Denver Health found that the use of lay patient navigators significantly shortened time to resolution of abnormal screening tests.¹¹ A Chicago-based patient navigation program found shorter time from abnormal screening to definitive diagnosis among socioeconomically disadvantaged women.¹²



The Role of CHWs in Combatting Breast Cancer

Article Title	Year	Target Population	Intervention Type
Economic Evaluation of a Community Health Worker-Led	2015	Korean American	Cervical cancer education;
Health Literacy Intervention to Promote Cancer Screening		women	screening promotion and
Among Korean American Women			navigation
Do community health worker interventions improve rates of	2011	Women age 40 and	Systematic review of
screening mammography in the United States? A systematic		older	screening interventions
review.			
Educating Hispanic women about breast cancer prevention:	2010	Hispanic women	Breast cancer education;
evaluation of a home-based promotora-led intervention			screening promotion
Breast cancer screening among Vietnamese Americans: a	2009	Vietnamese	Breast cancer education;
randomized controlled trial of lay health worker outreach		American women	screening promotion
Kin KeeperSM: design and baseline characteristics of a	2013	Black, Latina, and	Breast and cervical cancer
community-based randomized controlled trial promoting		Arab American	education; screening
cancer screening in Black, Latina, and Arab women		women	promotion
Breast cancer and cervical cancer control program enrollees	2009	Black, Latina, and	Breast and cervical cancer
inform the kin keeper curriculum. Journal of Cancer		Arab American	education; screening
Education : The Official Journal of the American Association		women	promotion
for Cancer Education			
Moving from theory to practice: implementing the Kin	2009	Black, Latina, and	Breast and cervical cancer
Keeper Cancer Prevention Model		Arab American	education; screening
		Women	promotion
Follow-up and timeliness after an abnormal cancer screening	2012	Socioeconomically	Health system navigation
among underserved, urban women in a patient navigation		disadvantaged	
program		women	
Patient navigation improves cancer diagnostic resolution: an	2012	Medically	Health system navigation
individually randomized clinical trial in an underserved		underserved	
population		women	

Article abstracts available by clicking the hyperlinked article titles above; full citations are below

1. American Cancer Society. Breast Cancer - Detailed Guide.; 2015. http://www.cancer.org/acs/groups/cid/documents/webcontent/003090-pdf.pdf. Accessed August 17, 2015.

2. Facts About Breast Cancer.; 2014. http://www.michigan.gov/documents/mdch/BreastCaFactSheet2014_461397_7.pdf. Accessed August 10, 2015.

3. Michigan Public Health Institute and Michigan Department of Community Health. The Cancer Burden In Michigan: Selected Statistics 1993-2011. Report.

https://www.michigan.gov/documents/mdch/Ca_burden_MI_select_stats_1993-2011_371092_7.pdf. Accessed July 13, 2015.

4. Breast Cancer Facts and Figures 2013-2014. http://www.cancer.org/acs/groups/content/@research/documents/document/acspc-042725.pdf. Accessed August 10, 2015. 5. Schuster AL, Frick KD, Huh B-Y, Kim KB, Kim M, Han H-R. Economic evaluation of a community health worker-led health literacy intervention to promote cancer screening among korean american women. J Health Care Poor Underserved. 2015;26(2):431-440. doi:10.1353/hpu.2015.0050.

6. Williams KP, Roman L, Meghea CI, Penner L, Hammad A, Gardiner J. Kin KeeperSM: design and baseline characteristics of a community-based randomized controlled trial promoting cancer screening in Black, Latina, and Arab women. *Contemp Clin Trials*. 2013;34(2):312-319.

http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3594085&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract. Accessed May 28, 2015.

7. Williams KP, Mabiso A, Jackson TL, Lawshe DC, Maurer J. Breast cancer and cervical cancer control program enrollees inform the kin keeper curriculum. *J Cancer Educ.* 2009;24(4):257-260. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19838881. Accessed May 28, 2015.

8. Williams KP, Mullan PB, Todem D. Moving from theory to practice: implementing the Kin Keeper Cancer Prevention Model. *Health Educ Res*. 2009;24(2):343-356. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18515265. Accessed May 28, 2015.

9. Wells KJ, Luque JS, Miladinovic B, et al. Do community health worker interventions improve rates of screening mammography in the United States? A systematic review. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2011;20(8):1580-1598. doi:10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-11-0276.

^{10.} Nguyen TT, Le G, Nguyen T, et al. Breast cancer screening among Vietnamese Americans: a randomized controlled trial of lay health worker outreach. *Am J Prev Med.* 2009;37(4):306-313. http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0749379709004188. Accessed May 28, 2015.

Raich PC, Whitley EM, Thorland W, Valverde P, Fairclough D. Patient navigation improves cancer diagnostic resolution: an individually randomized clinical trial in an underserved population. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2012;21(10):1629-1638. http://cebp.aacrjournals.org/content/21/10/1629.short. Accessed May 18, 2015.
Markossian TW, Darnell JS, Calhoun EA. Follow-up and timeliness after an abnormal cancer screening among underserved, urban women in a patient navigation program. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2012;21(10):1691-1700. http://cebp.aacrjournals.org/content/21/10/1691.short. Accessed May 18, 2015.



The Role of CHWs in Combatting Lung Cancer

Health Disparities in Lung Cancer

More men and women die of lung cancer in the United States than any other form of cancer.¹ It is estimated that 158,040 lung cancer deaths will occur in 2015, accounting for about 27% of all cancer deaths.¹ Tobacco use is the primary cause of lung cancer in the United States.² While incidence has decreased for most subpopulations since 1999,³ there still exist important lung cancer disparities.

United States	Michigan
In 2011, black men had the highest incidence of lung	Lung cancer incidence is higher for black men (106.6 deaths
cancer, followed by white, American Indian/Alaska	per 100,000) and women (68) than White men (83.8) and
Native, Asian/Pacific Islander, and Hispanic men ³	women (62.5) ⁴
Among men in 2011, black men were more likely to	Lung cancer mortality is higher for black men (88.8 deaths
die of lung cancer than any other racial group (about	per 100,000) than White men (66.3) ⁴
79 deaths per 100,000) ³	
Rural young adults are 27 percent more likely to	Lung cancer incidence and death rates are higher in rural
smoke than urban young adults, and they have higher	areas of Michigan. ⁶ Smoking rates are higher in rural areas
rates of smokeless tobacco use ⁵	(26.2%) than metropolitan areas $(22.5%)$. ⁷
Socioeconomically deprived and rural groups face	Adult Medicaid enrollees have a higher incidence of lung
excess risk of lung cancer and lung cancer mortality	cancer. Enrollees younger than 65 are more likely to be
for white and black men ⁸	diagnosed at advanced stages. ⁹

Community Health Worker (CHW) Interventions

Education and Smoking Cessation

CHWs are commonly employed in smoking cessation interventions targeting vulnerable communities such as minority groups and pregnant women.¹⁰ A systematic review found that bilingual lay health advisors can lead to more effective interventions.¹⁰ At the University of Arizona, Spanish-speaking CHWs were trained as tobacco cessation counselors to identify tobacco users and offer counseling. CHWs indicated high confidence in delivering brief cessation interventions.¹¹ In another intervention, CHWs led group sessions to provide smoking self-efficacy and social support to African American women living in public housing, leading to higher likelihood of smoking cessation.¹² Other research has shown that web-based and in-person interventions led by "lay health influencers" also increase self-efficacy and knowledge.¹³

In New York City, a tobacco cessation curriculum was delivered in English and Mandarin by lay health advocates and other partners to Chinese American 7th grade students. incorporating the cultural views held by Chinese immigrant students on the individual and society was important to successful curriculum implementation.¹⁴

Health System Navigation

CHW navigators are employed in both lung cancer treatment and prevention efforts. Lung cancer patients and their families benefit from community health workers as navigators.¹⁵ A volunteer lay navigation program for patients with newly diagnosed lung cancer found that patients benefit from emotional support, information, and referrals to other services provided by lay navigators.¹⁵ A study of physicians found that a majority of primary care providers are interested in integrating patient navigation models (using lay navigators) into their practice.¹⁶



The Role of CHWs in Combatting Lung Cancer

Article Title	Year	Target Population	Intervention Type
Tobacco cessation services through community health workers	2006	Hispanic	Smoking cessation
for Spanish-speaking populations		populations	
Activating Lay Health Influencers to Promote Tobacco Cessation	2014	Smoking	Smoking cessation
		populations	
Evidence-based tobacco cessation strategies with pregnant Latina	2012	Pregnant women,	Smoking cessation
women		Latina women	
The effect of a multi-component smoking cessation intervention	2007	African American	Smoking cessation
in African American women residing in public housing		women	
Design and evaluation of a tobacco-prevention program targeting	2007	Chinese American	Smoking prevention
Chinese American youth in New York City		youth	
The experience of people with lung cancer with a volunteer-based	2014	Newly diagnosed	Patient navigation
lay navigation intervention at an outpatient cancer center		lung cancer patients	
Exploring primary care providers' interest in using patient	2010	Low income	Patient navigation
navigators to assist in the delivery of tobacco cessation treatment		minority	
to low income, ethnic/racial minority patients.		populations	
Recruitment, training outcomes, retention, and performance of	2010	Diagnosed lung	Smoking cessation
community health advisors in two tobacco control interventions		cancer patients	
<u>for Latinos</u>			

Article abstracts available by clicking the hyperlinked article titles above; full citations are below

1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Lung Cancer Statistics. http://www.cdc.gov/cancer/lung/statistics/. Accessed August 20, 2015.

2. American Lung Association. Smoking . http://www.lung.org/stop-smoking/about-smoking/health-effects/smoking.html?referrer=https://www.google.com/. Accessed August 19, 2015.

3. Centers for Disease Control. Lung Cancer Rates by Race and Ethnicity. http://www.cdc.gov/cancer/lung/statistics/race.htm. Accessed August 18, 2015.

4. Michigan Public Health Institute. The Cancer Burden In Michigan: Selected Statistics 1993-2011.

https://www.michigan.gov/documents/mdch/Ca_burden_MI_select_stats_1993-2011_371092_7.pdf. Accessed July 13, 2015.

5. Cutting Tobacco's Rural Roots - Tobacco Use In Rural Communities. http://www.lung.org/assets/documents/publications/lung-disease-data/cutting-tobaccos-rural-roots.pdf. Accessed August 18, 2015.

6. Michigan Department of Community Health. *Michigan Rural Health Profile.*; 2008. http://www.michigan.gov/documents/mdch/MichiganRuralHealthProfile-2008-0801_243955_7.pdf. Accessed August 18, 2015.

7. Michigan Rural Health Profile. http://www.michigan.gov/documents/mdch/MichiganRuralHealthProfile-2008-0801_243955_7.pdf. Accessed August 18, 2015.

8. Singh GK, Williams SD, Siahpush M, Mulhollen A. Socioeconomic, Rural-Urban, and Racial Inequalities in US Cancer Mortality: Part I-All Cancers and Lung Cancer and Part II-Colorectal, Prostate, Breast, and Cervical Cancers. J Cancer Epidemiol. 2011;2011:107497.

http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3307012&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract. Accessed August 18, 2015.

9. Susan G. Komen for the Cure. The Societal and Economic Impact of Cancer Health Disparities.; 2010. http://c-

changetogether.org/Websites/cchange/images/Disparities/Societal_EconomicImpactofCancerHealthDisparitiesCaseStatement.pdf. Accessed August 18, 2015.

10. Fitzgerald EM. Evidence-based tobacco cessation strategies with pregnant Latina women. Nurs Clin North Am. 2012;47(1):45-54.

http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22289397. Accessed July 9, 2015.

11. Martinez-Bristow Z, Sias JJ, Urquidi UJ, Feng C. Tobacco cessation services through community health workers for Spanish-speaking populations. *Am J Public Health*. 2006;96(2):211-213. http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=1470484&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract. Accessed July 9, 2015.

12. Andrews JO, Felton G, Ellen Wewers M, Waller J, Tingen M. The effect of a multi-component smoking cessation intervention in African American women residing in public housing. *Res Nurs Health*. 2007;30(1):45-60. doi:10.1002/nur.20174.

13. Muramoto ML, Hall JR, Nichter M, et al. Activating lay health influencers to promote tobacco cessation. *Am J Health Behav.* 2014;38(3):392-403. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24636035. Accessed July 9, 2015.

14. Ferketich AK, Kwong K, Shek A, Lee M. Design and evaluation of a tobacco-prevention program targeting Chinese American youth in New York City. *Nicotine Tob Res.* 2007;9(2):249-256. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17365756. Accessed July 9, 2015.

15. Lorhan S, Dennis D, van der Westhuizen M, Hodgson S, Berrang T, Daudt H. The experience of people with lung cancer with a volunteer-based lay navigation intervention at an outpatient cancer center. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2014;96(2):237-248. http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0738399114001815. Accessed August 19, 2015. 16. Ostroff JS, Shuk E, Krebs P, et al. Qualitative evaluation of a new tobacco cessation training curriculum for patient navigators. *J Cancer Educ*. 2011;26(3):427-435. http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3449085&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract. Accessed July 9, 2015.



The Role of CHWs in Combatting Cervical Cancer

Health Disparities in Cervical Cancer

Since the introduction of the Papanicolaou (Pap) test, incidence and mortality rates for cervical cancer have declined. However, disparities across racial and ethnic groups persist in the United States and in Michigan. The following table highlights major cervical cancer disparities.

United States	Michigan
African-American and Hispanic women are more likely	Older black women (age 50+) have higher cervical
than White women to be diagnosed with cervical cancer	cancer incidence rates than White women ²
$(2 \text{ and } 1.5 \text{ times, respectively})^1$	
Black women are twice as likely to die of cervical cancer	Black women are more likely to die of cervical cancer
than White women (4.4 deaths per 100,000 vs. 2.2	than White women (3.4 deaths per 100,000 vs. 1.8
deaths per 100,000, respectively) ²	deaths per 100,000, respectively) ²
Vietnamese women have the highest cervical cancer	Cervical cancer screening rates are lower among
incidence rate (14 cases per 100,000) ¹	Asian Americans than among white women ³
Asian American, Hispanic, or uninsured women and	Asian American, Arab American, Hispanic , lower
women with no more than a high school diploma or	income and less educated women report significantly
GED are less likely to have had a Pap test in the last	lower rates of cervical cancer screening. ⁵
three years ⁴	

Community Health Worker (CHW) Interventions

Health Literacy

Promotora-led workshops with Hispanic community members have been shown to significantly increase cervical cancer understanding and Pap smear screening. Following one such intervention, screening rates increased 29% (from 36% to 65%), cervical cancer knowledge increased from 3.5 to 5.4 (out of 6) and self-efficacy increased from 4.0 to 4.7 (out of 5).⁶

Screening and Vaccination

An economic evaluation found that although CHW-led education programs targeting Korean-American women are more expensive than traditional methods (\$444 vs. \$269 per enrollee), the cost per screening in the CHW-led program was significantly cheaper (\$1,002 vs. \$1,766), and the incremental cost-effectiveness ratio (ICER) was calculated to be \$236.⁷ For Hispanic communities, interventions that demonstrate the most significant increases in screening consist of one-on-one or small group educational sessions led by lay health advisors (LHAs). In effective interventions, LHAs carry out multiple sessions or implement ongoing activities in the community.⁸ In South Texas, a *promotora*-led program called *Entre Madre e Hija* (EMH) provides mothers and their daughters with culturally relevant cancer prevention education and support. This group was compared to another group given just an HPV vaccine education brochure. Eighty-four percent of participants in both groups started the HPV vaccine series, but the EMH group was over 30% more likely to complete the vaccine series (72.2% vs. 42.5%).⁹

Health System Navigation

Individuals from disadvantaged neighborhoods with lower socioeconomic statuses are less likely to receive timely cancer care.¹⁰ Patient navigators can decrease the time it takes to resolve abnormal cervical cancer screening tests. One study found that women with a patient navigator were more than twice as likely to have reached diagnostic resolution in 60 days (52.4% vs. 24.9%) and at 365 days were nearly 20% more likely to have their diagnosis resolved (88.5% vs. 70.3%).¹¹



The Role of CHWs in Combatting Cervical Cancer

Article Title	Year	Target Population	Intervention Type
Economic Evaluation of a Community Health Worker-Led	2015	Korean American	Cervical cancer education,
Health Literacy Intervention to Promote Cancer Screening		women	screening promotion and
Among Korean American Women			navigation
Breast Cancer and Cervical Cancer Control Program	2009	Underserved	Cervical cancer education
Enrollees Inform the Kin Keeper Curriculum		women	
Increasing Cervical Cancer Screening Among US	2015	Latina women	Using lay health advisors to
Hispanics/Latinas: A Qualitative Systematic Review			increase screening rates
Promotora Outreach, Education and Navigation Support	2015	Latina women	Promotoras provide health
for HPV Vaccination to Hispanic Women with			education, referral and navigation
Unvaccinated Daughters			support for the HPV vaccine
A Cancer Screening Intervention for Underserved Latina	2012	Latina women	Group based education led by a
Women by Lay Educators			lay health educator
Evaluating a Bilingual Patient Navigation Program for	2014	Bilingual and non-	Patient navigation to decrease
Uninsured Women With Abnormal Screening Tests for		English speakers	follow-up time
Breast and Cervical Cancer: Implications for Future			
Navigator Research			
Follow-Up and Timeliness After an Abnormal Cancer	2012	Underserved	Patient navigation to decrease
Screening Among Underserved, Urban Women in a		women	diagnostic resolution time
Patient Navigation Program			
Community Health Worker Intervention to Decrease	2010	Latina Women	Cervical cancer education and
Cervical Cancer Disparities in Hispanic Women			screening promotion
A RCT of a Community Health Worker Intervention	2014	Latina, Haitian and	Tailored health outreach and
Using HPV Self-Sampling to Increase Cervical Cancer		African American	individualized CHW navigation
Screening Among Minority Populations		women	
Effective Lay Health Worker Outreach and Media-Based	2007	Vietnamese	Cervical cancer education and
Education for Promoting Cervical Cancer Screening		American women	screening promotion
Among Vietnamese American Women			

Article abstracts available by clicking the hyperlinked article titles above; full citations are below

1. Downs LS, Smith JS, Scarinci I, Flowers L, Parham G. The disparity of cervical cancer in diverse populations. *Gynecol Oncol* 2008;109(2 Suppl):S22-S30. doi:10.1016/j.ygyno.2008.01.003.

2. Michigan Public Health Institute and Michigan Department of Community Health. The Cancer Burden In Michigan: Selected Statistics 1993-2011. Report.

https://www.michigan.gov/documents/mdch/Ca_burden_MI_select_stats_1993-2011_371092_7.pdf. Accessed July 13, 2015.

3. Wu T-Y. Reducing Health Disparities in Asian American: Michigan Experience. *Heal Asian Am Proj.* http://www.michiganmhc.com/Documents/Tsu Yin Wu Presentation.pdf. Accessed August 17, 2015.

4. Cancer Prevention & Early Detection Facts & Figures 2015-2016. http://www.cancer.org/acs/groups/content/@research/documents/webcontent/acspc-045101.pdf. Accessed August 17, 2015.

5. Michigan Public Health Institute and the Michigan Department of Community. Special Cancer Behavioral Risk Factor Survey, 2008.; 2010.

http://www.michigancancer.org/PDFs/Publications_Products/MCCSpecialRpt/SCBRFS_2008-042910.pdf. Accessed July 13, 2015.

6. O'Brien MJ, Halbert CH, Bixby R, Pimentel S, Shea JA. Community health worker intervention to decrease cervical cancer disparities in Hispanic women. J Gen Intern Med.

2010;25(11):1186-1192. http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2947642&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract. Accessed May 18, 2015.

7. Schuster AL, Frick KD, Huh B-Y, Kim KB, Kim M, Han H-R. Economic evaluation of a community health worker-led health literacy intervention to promote cancer screening among korean american women. J Health Care Poor Underserved. 2015;26(2):431-440. doi:10.1353/hpu.2015.0050.

8. Mann L, Foley KL, Tanner AE, Sun CJ, Rhodes SD. Increasing Cervical Cancer Screening Among US Hispanics/Latinas: A Qualitative Systematic Review. J Cancer Educ. 2015;30(2):374-387. doi:10.1007/s13187-014-0716-9.

9. Parra-Medina D, Morales-Campos DY, Mojica C, Ramirez AG. Promotora Outreach, Education and Navigation Support for HPV Vaccination to Hispanic Women with Unvaccinated Daughters. J Cancer Educ. 2015;30(2):353-359. doi:10.1007/s13187-014-0680-4.

10. Barrett RE, Cho YI, Weaver KE, et al. Neighborhood change and distant metastasis at diagnosis of breast cancer. Ann Epidemiol. 2008;18(1):43-47. doi:10.1016/i.anneoidem.2007.07.001.

11. Markossian TW, Darnell JS, Calhoun EA. Follow-up and timeliness after an abnormal cancer screening among underserved, urban women in a patient navigation program. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2012;21(10):1691-1700. http://cebp.aacrjournals.org/content/21/10/1691.short. Accessed May 18, 2015.