
Michigan Judges' Retirement System

A Pension and Other Employee Benefit Trust Fund of the State of Michigan

Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2010



MJRS

Prepared by:
Financial Services
for
Office of Retirement Services
P.O. Box 30171
Lansing, Michigan 48909-7671
517-322-5103
1-800-381-5111

Table of Contents

Introductory Section	
Certificate of Achievement	
Public Pension Standards Award	5
Letter of Transmittal	<i>6</i>
Retirement Board Members	10
Advisors and Consultants	10
Organization Chart	11
Financial Section	
Independent Auditor's Report	14
Management's Discussion and Analysis	
Basic Financial Statements	
Statements of Pension Plan and Other Postemployment Benefit Plan Net Assets	20
Statements of Changes in Pension Plan and Other Postemployment Benefit Plan Net Assets	21
Notes to Basic Financial Statements	22
Required Supplementary Information	
Schedules of Funding Progress	40
Schedules of Employer and Other Contributions	41
Note to Required Supplementary Information	42
Supporting Schedules	43
Investment Section	
Report on Investment Activity	48
Asset Allocation	
Investment Results	59
List of Largest Stock Holdings	
List of Largest Bond Holdings	
Schedule of Investment Fees	
Schedule of Investment Commissions	
Investment Summary	63
Actuarial Section	
Actuary's Certification	66
Summary of Actuarial Assumptions and Methods	
Schedule of Active Member Valuation Data	
Schedule of Changes in the Retirement Rolls	
Prioritized Solvency Test	
Analysis of System Experience	
Summary of Plan Provisions	
Statistical Section	
Schedules of Additions by Source	70
Schedules of Deductions by Type	
Schedules of Changes in Net Assets	
Schedules of Benefits and Refunds by Type	
Schedules of Retired Members by Type of Benefit	
Schedule of Other Post Employment Benefits	
Schedules of Average Benefit Payments	
Schedule of Principal Participating Employers	
Ten Year History of Membership	
Schedule of Participating Employers	
Acknowledgements	03

INTRODUCTORY SECTION

Certificate of Achievement Public Pension Standards Award Letter of Transmittal Retirement Board Members Advisors and Consultants Organization Chart

INTRODUCTORY SECTION

Certificate of Achievement

Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting

Presented to

Michigan Judges' Retirement System

For its Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2009

A Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting is presented by the Government Finance Officers Association of the United States and Canada to government units and public employee retirement systems whose comprehensive annual financial reports (CAFRs) achieve the highest standards in government accounting and financial reporting.

SCE 01
UNITED STATES
AND
CANADA
CORPORATION
SEAS

OMICSO

Executive Director

Public Pension Standards Award



Public Pension Coordinating Council

Public Pension Standards Award For Funding and Administration 2010

Presented to

Michigan Office of Retirement Services

In recognition of meeting professional standards for plan funding and administration as set forth in the Public Pension Standards.

Presented by the Public Pension Coordinating Council, a confederation of

National Association of State Retirement Administrators (NASRA) National Conference on Public Employee Retirement Systems (NCPERS) National Council on Teacher Retirement (NCTR)

> Alan H. Winkle Program Administrator

alan Allinble

Letter of Transmittal

Michigan Judges' Retirement System P.O. Box 30171 Lansing, Michigan 48909-7671 Telephone 517-322-5103 Outside Lansing 1-800-381-5111

STATE OF MICHIGAN

RICK SYNDER, Governor

DEPARTMENT OF TECHNOLOGY, MANAGEMENT & BUDGET

January 3, 2011

The Honorable Rick Snyder Governor, State of Michigan,

Members of the Legislature State of Michigan,

Retirement Board Members and Members, Retirees and Beneficiaries

Ladies and Gentlemen:

We are pleased to present the comprehensive annual financial report of the Michigan Judges' Retirement System (System) for fiscal year 2010.

INTRODUCTION TO REPORT

The System was established by legislation under Public Act 234 of 1992 which consolidated the Judges' and former Probate Judges' retirement systems. The System is administered by the Office of Retirement Services (ORS). The number of active and retired members and beneficiaries of the System is presented in Note 1 of the financial statements in the Financial Section of this report. The purpose of the System is to provide benefits for all judges. The services performed by the staff provide benefits to members.

Responsibility

Responsibility for both the accuracy of the data and the completeness and fairness of the presentation, including all disclosures, rests with the leadership team of the System. To the best of our knowledge and belief, the enclosed data is accurate in all material respects and is reported in a manner designed to present fairly the financial position and results of operations of the System.

Letter of Transmittal (continued)

Internal Control Structure

The leadership team of the System is responsible for maintaining adequate internal accounting controls designed to provide reasonable assurance that transactions are executed in accordance with management's general or specific authorization, and are recorded as necessary to maintain accountability for assets and to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The internal control structure is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the safekeeping of assets and reliability of all financial records.

Independent Auditors and Actuary

The Office of the Auditor General (OAG), independent auditors, conducted an annual audit of the System. The independent auditor's report on the System's financial statements is included in the Financial Section of this report.

Statute requires that an annual actuarial valuation be conducted. The purpose of the valuation is to evaluate the mortality, service, compensation and other financial experience of the System and to recommend employer-funding rates for the subsequent year. The annual actuarial valuation was completed by Gabriel Roeder Smith & Company for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010. Actuarial certification and supporting statistics are included in the Actuarial Section of this report.

Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A)

Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) require that management provide a narrative introduction, overview, and analysis to accompany the Basic Financial Statements in the form of MD&A. This letter of transmittal is intended to complement MD&A and should be read in conjunction with it. The MD&A can be found immediately following the Independent Auditor's Report.

PROFILE OF THE GOVERNMENT

Public Act 234 of 1992 authorized the merger of the Probate Judges' Retirement Fund into the Judges' Retirement Fund by requiring the consolidation of all assets, rights, and obligations under the former Judges' and Probate Judges' Retirement Funds. The Judges' Retirement Board, with the director of the Office of Retirement Services as the Executive Secretary, administers the consolidated fund. Financing comes from member contributions, court filing fees as provided under law, investment earnings, and legislative appropriations.

Public Act 523 of 1996, effective March 31, 1997, closed the plan to new entrants. Judges or state officials newly appointed or elected on or after March 31, 1997, become members of the State's defined contribution plan.

ECONOMIC CONDITIONS AND OUTLOOK

Despite challenging economic times, the System continues to show steady performance over the long term.

Investments

The State Treasurer is the investment fiduciary and custodian of all investments of the System pursuant to State law. The primary investment objective is to maximize the rate of return on the total investment portfolio, consistent with a high degree of prudence and sufficient diversity to eliminate inordinate risks and to meet the actuarial assumption for the investment return rate. The investment activity for the year produced a total rate of return on the portfolio of 7.0%. For the last five years, the System has experienced an annualized rate of return of 3.1%. A summary of asset allocation and rates of return can be found in the Investment Section of this report.

Letter of Transmittal (continued)

Accounting System

Transactions of the System are reported on the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recorded when earned and expenses are recorded when incurred. Participants' benefits are recorded when payable by law. We believe the accounting and administrative internal controls established by the System provide reasonable assurance the System is carrying out its responsibilities in safeguarding its assets, in maintaining the reliability of the financial records for preparing financial statements, and in maintaining accountability for its assets.

Funding

Funds are derived from the excess of additions to plan net assets over deductions from plan net assets. Funds are accumulated by the System to meet future benefit obligations to retirees and beneficiaries. The percentage computed by dividing the actuarial value of assets by the actuarial accrued liability is referred to as the "funded ratio." This ratio provides an indication of the funding status of the System and generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the System. As of September 30, 2010, the actuarial value of the assets and actuarial accrued liability of the System were \$284.4 million and \$251.7 million, respectively, resulting in a funded ratio of 113.0%. As of September 30, 2009, the amounts were \$295.6 million and \$245.2 million, respectively. An historical perspective of funding levels for the System is presented on the Schedule of Funding Progress in the Required Supplementary Information in the Financial Section of this report.

Postemployment Benefits

An actuarial valuation is completed annually to determine the actuarial accrued liability if the postemployment benefits were to be pre-funded. If these benefits were pre-funded, the actuarial accrued liability as of September 30, 2010, would be \$7.4 million. Statement No. 43 does not require retroactive application of the reporting changes. Therefore, only five valuation years are presented and included in the required supplementary information of this report.

MAJOR GOALS ACCOMPLISHED

The Michigan Department of Technology, Management & Budget, Office of Retirement Services (ORS) is an innovative retirement organization driven to empower our customers for a successful today and a secure tomorrow. During fiscal year 2010, we continued to follow our strategic planning for our business goals. Strategic planning has united staff members from across the organization, generated fresh and unique perspectives, and created broad staff support of the strategic objectives.

Additional accomplishments are highlighted below:

Innovate & Improve Customer Service

<u>Improved member statements put information at your fingertips</u> - Our updated member statements now provide customers with a pension estimate and easier-to-find information.

Letter of Transmittal (continued)

AWARDS AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

ORS received the following recognitions:

- ORS won the Public Pension Standards 2010 Award by the Public Pension Coordinating Council for meeting standards for public retirement system management and administration.
- Michigan's Roth 401(k) was awarded the 2009 Leadership Recognition Award for plan design and administration from the National Association of Government Defined Contribution Administrators (NAGDCA).
- The Government Finance Officers Association (GFOA) of the United Stated and Canada awarded ORS with the Certificate of Achievement for Excellence in Financial Reporting for our fiscal year 2009 Comprehensive Annual Finance Report (CAFR). This marks the 19th consecutive year ORS has received this prestigious award.
- ORS was listed in the Information Technology Ideas and Noted Practices report of Cost Effectiveness Measurement, Inc. for our highly formalized testing process.

Acknowledgments

The preparation of this report was accomplished with the dedication and cooperation of many people. It is intended to provide complete and reliable information as a basis for making management decisions, as a means of determining compliance with legal provisions, and as a means for determining responsible stewardship of the funds of the System.

We would, therefore, like to express our appreciation for the assistance given by staff, advisors, and the many people who contributed to its preparation. We believe their combined efforts have produced a report that will enable employers and plan members to better evaluate and understand the Michigan Judges' Retirement System. Their cooperation contributes significantly to the success of the System.

Sincerely,

Phyllis Mellon, Chief Deputy Director

Thillip & Stocklard

Department of Technology, Management & Budget

Phillip J. Stoddard, Director Office of Retirement Services

Administrative Organization

Retirement Board Members *

Francis Spaniola Trustee (General Public) Term Expires March 31, 2011

Judge Alton Davis Representing Active Judges Term Expires March 31, 2013 George M. Elworth Representing Attorney General Statutory Member

Chris J. Swope Trustee (General Public) Term Expires March 31, 2011 Vernon Johnson Representing State Treasurer Statutory Member

Administrative Organization

Department of Technology, Management & Budget **Office of Retirement Services** P.O. Box 30171 Lansing, Michigan 48909-7671 517-322-5103 1-800-381-5111

Advisors and Consultants

Actuary

Gabriel Roeder Smith & Co. Alan Sonnanstine Southfield, Michigan

Legal Advisor Mike Cox Attorney General State of Michigan

Independent Auditors Thomas H. McTavish, C.P.A. Auditor General State of Michigan

Investment Manager and Custodian

Robert J. Kleine State Treasurer State of Michigan

Investment Performance Measurement **State Street Corporation**


State Street Investment Analytics

Boston, MA

^{*}Statute provides that board members may continue to serve after their term expires until they are either replaced or reappointed.

Administrative Organization (continued)

Organization Chart



*The investments of the System are managed by the Michigan Department of Treasury. Information on the investments and the fiduciary, Michigan Department of Treasury, can be found in the Investment Section, Introduction. In addition, see the Investment Section, Schedule of Investment Fees and Schedule of Investment Commissions, for information regarding the investment fees and commissions paid as well as investment professionals utilized by the system.

This page was intentionally left blank.

Independent Auditor's Report
Management's Discussion and Analysis
Basic Financial Statements
Notes to Basic Financial Statements
Required Supplementary Information
Note to Required Supplementary Information
Supporting Schedules

Independent Auditor's Report



STATE OF MICHIGAN

OFFICE OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL
201 N. WASHINGTON SQUARE
LANSING, MICHIGAN 48913
(517) 334-8050
FAX (517) 334-8079

THOMAS H. McTavish, C.P.A. AUDITOR GENERAL

Independent Auditor's Report on the Financial Statements

The Honorable Alton Davis, Chair
Michigan Judges' Retirement System Board
General Office Building
and
Ms. Phyllis Mellon, Chief Deputy Director
Department of Technology, Management & Budget
Lewis Cass Building
and
Mr. Phillip Stoddard, Director
Office of Retirement Services
Department of Technology, Management & Budget
General Office Building
Lansing, Michigan

Dear Judge Davis, Ms. Mellon, and Mr. Stoddard:

We have audited the accompanying basic financial statements of the Michigan Judges' Retirement System as of and for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2010 and September 30, 2009, as listed in the table of contents. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Michigan Judges' Retirement System's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and the significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

Independent Auditor's Report (continued)

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to in the first paragraph present fairly, in all material respects, the plan net assets of the Michigan Judges' Retirement System as of September 30, 2010 and September 30, 2009 and the changes in plan net assets for the fiscal years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we will also issue a report on our consideration of the Michigan Judges' Retirement System's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

The management's discussion and analysis on pages 16 through 19 and the required supplementary information and corresponding note on pages 40 through 42 are not required parts of the basic financial statements but are supplementary information required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board. We have applied certain limited procedures, which consisted principally of inquiries of management regarding the methods of measurement and presentation of the required supplementary information. However, we did not audit the information and express no opinion on it.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements taken as a whole. The introductory, investment, actuarial, and statistical sections and the supporting schedules are presented for the purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements. The supporting schedules on pages 43 through 46 have been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, are fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole. The introductory, investment, actuarial, and statistical sections have not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

AUDITOR GENERAL

December 30, 2010

Management's Discussion and Analysis

Our discussion and analysis of the Michigan Judges' Retirement System's (System) financial performance provides an overview of the System's financial activities for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010. Please read it in conjunction with the transmittal letter in the Introductory Section on page 6 and the basic financial statements, which follow this discussion.

FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

- System assets exceeded liabilities at the close of fiscal year 2010 by \$233.3 million (reported as *net assets*). Net assets are held in trust to meet future benefit payments.
- The System's funding objective is to meet long-term benefit obligations through contributions and investment income. As of September 30, 2010, the funded ratio was approximately 113.0% for pension benefits and the funded ratio for other postemployment benefits (OPEB) was 0.0%.
- Additions for the year were \$24.8 million, which is comprised primarily of member contributions of \$2.1 million and investment earnings of \$21.9 million.
- Deductions increased over the prior year from \$20.9 million to \$21.3 million or 2.0%. This increase can be mostly attributed to an increase in health care benefits paid.

THE STATEMENT OF PLAN NET ASSETS AND THE STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN PLAN NET ASSETS

This Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) consists of two financial statements; *The Statements of Pension Plan and Other Postemployment Benefit Plan Net Assets* (page 22) and *The Statements of Changes in Pension Plan and Other Postemployment Benefit Plan Net Assets* (page 23). These financial statements report information about the System, as a whole, and about its financial condition that should help answer the question: Is the System, as a whole, better off or worse off as a result of this year's activities? These statements include all assets and liabilities using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Under the accrual basis of accounting, all revenues and expenses are taken into account regardless of when cash is received or paid.

The Statement of Pension Plan and Other Postemployment Benefit Plan Net Assets presents all of the System's assets and liabilities, with the difference between the two reported as net assets. Over time, increases and decreases in net assets measure whether the System's financial position is improving or deteriorating. The Statement of Changes in Pension Plan and Other Postemployment Benefit Plan Net Assets presents how the System's net assets changed during the most recent fiscal year. These two financial statements should be reviewed along with the Schedules of Funding Progress (page 42) and Schedules of Employer and Other Contributions (page 43) to determine whether the System is becoming financially stronger or weaker and to understand changes over time in the funded status of the System.

Management's Discussion and Analysis (continued)

FINANCIAL ANALYSIS

System total assets as of September 30, 2010, were \$268.5 million and were mostly comprised of cash, investments, and contributions due from employers. Total assets decreased \$4.5 million or (1.7)% between fiscal years 2009 and 2010 due primarily to a change in net investments. Total assets decreased \$44.3 million or (14.0)% between fiscal years 2008 and 2009 due primarily to net investment losses.

Total liabilities as of September 30, 2010, were \$35.2 million and were comprised of warrants outstanding, accounts payable, and obligations under securities lending. Total liabilities decreased \$8.0 million or (18.5)% between fiscal years 2009 and 2010 due primarily to decreased obligations under securities lending. Total liabilities decreased \$4.6 million or (9.6)% between fiscal years 2008 and 2009 due primarily to decreased obligations under securities lending.

System assets exceeded its liabilities at the close of fiscal year 2010 by \$233.3 million. Total net assets held in trust for pension and OPEB benefits increased \$3.4 million or 1.5% between fiscal years 2009 and 2010 due primarily to net investment gains. Total net assets decreased \$39.7 million or (14.7)% between fiscal years 2008 and 2009 due primarily to net investment losses.

Net Assets (in thousands)

	2010	Increase (Decrease)	2009	Increase (Decrease)	2008
Assets					
Cash	\$ 771	(61.2) %	\$ 1,984	(67.9) %	\$ 6,176
Receivables	337	164.5	128	35.1	94
Investments	267,396	(1.3)	270,937	(12.9)	311,076
Total Assets	268,504	(1.7)	273,048	(14.0)	317,346
Liabilities					
Warrants outstanding	27	(9.8)	30	(23.1)	39
Accounts payable and					
other accrued liabilities	111	(33.7)	168	1.2	166
Amounts due to other fund	28				
Obligations under					
securities lending	35,007	(18.5)	42,935	(9.6)	47,519
Total Liabilities	35,173	(18.5)	43,133	(9.6)	47,724
Total Net Assets	\$ 233,331	1.5 %	\$ 229,915	(14.7) %	\$ 269,622

Management's Discussion and Analysis (continued)

ADDITIONS TO PLAN NET ASSETS

The reserves needed to finance pension and other postemployment benefits are accumulated through the collection of member contributions, earnings on investments, and court fees. Contributions, net investment losses, and court fees for fiscal year 2010 totaled \$24.8 million.

Total additions for fiscal year 2010 increased approximately \$43.5 million or 231.8% from those of fiscal year 2009 due primarily to a gain in investments compared to a loss in 2009. Total additions for fiscal year 2009 increased approximately \$27.3 million or 59.2% from those of fiscal year 2008 due primarily to a decrease in net investment losses. Total contributions decreased between fiscal years 2009 and 2010 by \$112.5 thousand or (5.2)%, and investment income increased \$43.3 million or 202.7%. Total contributions decreased between fiscal years 2008 and 2009 by \$104.9 thousand or (4.6)%, and investment income increased \$27.2 million or 56.0%. Court fees totaled \$755.2 thousand in fiscal year 2010 as compared to \$378.1 thousand in fiscal year 2009. The increase in court fees is the result of increased OPEB expenses. The Investment Section of this report reviews the results of investment activity for 2010.

DEDUCTIONS FROM PLAN NET ASSETS

The primary deductions of the System include the payment of pension benefits to members and beneficiaries, payment for health, dental and vision benefits, and the cost of administering the System. Total deductions for fiscal year 2010 were \$21.3 million, an increase of 2.0% from fiscal year 2009 deductions. Total deductions for fiscal year 2009 were \$20.9 million, an increase of 3.8% over fiscal year 2008 deductions.

The health, dental and vision care expenses increased during the year by \$258.2 thousand or 31.5% from \$820.7 thousand to \$1.1 million. This compares to an increase of \$30.7 thousand or 3.9% from \$790.0 thousand to \$820.7 thousand between fiscal years 2008 and 2009. Both comparative years' increases were due primarily to increases in retiree health rates. The payment of pension benefits increased by \$181.9 thousand or 0.9% between fiscal years 2009 and 2010, and by \$717.0 thousand or 3.7% from fiscal year 2008 to 2009. In fiscal year 2010, the increase in pension benefit expense resulted from new retirees earning higher pension payments and the number of retirees decreased from 542 in 2009 to 541 in 2010. In fiscal year 2009, the increase in pension benefit expense resulted from new retirees earning higher pension payments, while the number of retirees increased from 540 in 2008 to 542 in 2009. There were no contribution refunds during fiscal years 2010 and 2009. Administrative expenses decreased by \$25.4 thousand or (12.4)% from \$204.0 thousand to \$178.7 thousand between fiscal years 2009 and 2010. This compares to an increase of \$17.9 thousand or 9.7% from \$186.1 thousand in fiscal year 2008 to \$204.0 thousand in fiscal year 2009. The comparative year's decrease in administrative expenses is due primarily to a decrease in professional services expenses.

Management's Discussion and Analysis (continued)

Changes in Plan Net Assets (in thousands)

	2010	Increase (Decrease)		2009	Increase (Decrease)		2008
Additions							
Member contributions	\$ 2,061	(5.2)	%	\$ 2,173	(4.6)	%	\$ 2,278
Net investment income (loss)	21,930	202.7		(21,344)	56.0		(48,516)
Court fees, transfers, and miscellaneous	762	97.6		386	104.1		189
Total Additions	24,753	231.8		(18,785)	(59.2)		(46,050)
Deductions							
Pension benefits	20,079	0.9		19,897	3.7		19,180
Health care benefits	1,079	31.5		821	3.9		790
Administrative expenses	179	(12.4)		204	9.7		186
Total Deductions	21,337	2.0		20,922	3.8		20,156
Net Increase (decrease)	3,416	108.6		(39,707)	40.0		(66,206)
Net Assets - Beginning of Year	 229,915	(14.7)		 269,622	(19.7)		 335,828
Net Assets - End of Year	\$ 233,331	1.5	%	\$ 229,915	(14.7)	%	\$ 269,622

RETIREMENT SYSTEM AS A WHOLE

The System's combined net assets experienced an increase in 2010 after decreases in 2009 and 2008. Though the economy has not yet fully recovered, the System's investments did well enough to result in a net investment gain for fiscal year ended September 30, 2010. Management believes that the system remains financially sound and positioned to meet its ongoing benefit obligations due, in part, to a prudent investment program, cost controls, and strategic planning.

CONTACTING SYSTEM FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

This financial report is designed to provide the Retirement Board, our membership, taxpayers, investors, and creditors with a general overview of the System's finances and to demonstrate the System's accountability for the money it receives. If you have any questions about this report or need additional financial information, contact the Office of Retirement Services, P.O. Box 30171, Lansing, MI 48909-7671.

Statements of Pension Plan and Other Postemployment Benefit Plan Net Assets As of September 30, 2010 and 2009

	September 30, 2010			September, 2009				
	Pension	ОРЕВ		Pension	OPEB			
	Plan	Plan	Total	Plan*	Plan*	Total		
Assets:			·					
Equity in Common Cash	\$ 770,569	\$ 62	\$ 770,631	\$ 1,982,475	\$ 1,346	\$ 1,983,821		
Receivables:								
Amounts due from members	6,007		6,007	7,374		7,374		
Amount due from other		199,202	199,202					
Amounts due from employers	44,399	48,256	92,655	79,704	59	79,763		
Interest and dividends	39,536	4	39,540	40,416	28	40,444		
Total receivables	89,942	247,462	337,404	127,494	87	127,581		
Investments:								
Short term investment pools	885,267	71	885,338	5,773,021	3,918	5,776,939		
Fixed income pools	35,906,289	2,882	35,909,171	42,293,976	28,708	42,322,684		
Domestic equity pools	88,763,259	7,125	88,770,384	89,273,193	60,595	89,333,788		
Real estate pool	31,290,239	2,512	31,292,751	30,781,749	20,893	30,802,642		
Alternative investments pool	38,888,402	3,122	38,891,524	36,850,020	25,012	36,875,032		
International equities pools	35,190,249	2,825	35,193,074	30,408,436	20,639	30,429,076		
Absolute return pools	9,183,332	737	9,184,069	2,013,729	1,367	2,015,096		
Securities lending collateral	27,267,796	2,189	27,269,985	33,358,978	22,642	33,381,620		
Total investments	267,374,834	21,462	267,396,296	270,753,102	183,774	270,936,876		
Total assets	268,235,345	268,986	268,504,331	272,863,071	185,207	273,048,278		
Liabilities:								
Warrants outstanding	27,294	2	27,296	30,245	20	30,265		
Accounts payable and								
other accrued liabilities	7,573	103,699	111,272	13,401	154,540	167,941		
Amounts due to other funds		28,262	28,262					
Obligations under securities lending	35,003,712	2,810	35,006,522	42,905,765	29,123	42,934,888		
Total liabilities	35,038,579	134,773	35,173,352	42,949,411	183,683	43,133,094		
Net Assets (Liabilities) Held in Trust								
for Pension and OPEB Benefits	\$ 233,196,766	\$ 134,213	\$ 233,330,979	\$ 229,913,660	\$ 1,524	\$ 229,915,184		

^{*} Fiscal year 2009 activity reclassified.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Statements of Changes in Pension Plan and Other Postemployment Benefit Plan Net Assets For Fiscal Years Ended September 30, 2010 and 2009

	:	September 30, 20	10	September 30, 2009				
	Pension	OPEB		Pension	OPEB			
	Plan	Plan	Total	Plan*	Plan*	Total		
Additions:								
Contributions:								
Member contributions	\$ 1,539,822	\$ 520,707	\$ 2,060,529	\$ 1,644,585	\$ 528,402	\$ 2,172,987		
Investment income (loss):	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·						
Net appreciation (depreciation)								
in fair value of investments	16,757,010	9,641	16,766,651	(26,547,999)	(106)	(26,548,105)		
Interest, dividends, and other	5,513,359	3,298	5,516,657	5,386,205	637	5,386,842		
Net investment income (loss)	22,270,369	12,939	22,283,308	(21,161,794)	531	(21,161,263)		
Investment expenses:								
Real estate operating expenses	(20,239)	(12)	(20,251)	(9,504)	(1)	(9,505)		
Other investment expenses	(771,409)	(444)	(771,853)	(625,270)	(2)	(625,272)		
Securities lending activities:								
Securities lending income	596,568	343	596,911	866,662	3	866,665		
Securities lending expenses	(157,691)	(91)	(157,782)	(414,187)	(2)	(414,189)		
Net investment income (loss) ²	21,917,597	12,736	21,930,333	(21,344,093)	529	(21,343,564)		
Court Fees	43,181	712,000	755,181	43,108	335,000	378,108		
Transfers from other systems/funds								
Miscellaneous income	5,267	1,373	6,640	6,687	751	7,438		
Total additions	23,505,868	1,246,816	24,752,684	(19,649,713)	864,682	(18,785,031)		
Deductions:								
Benefits paid to plan members								
and beneficiaries:								
Retirement benefits	20,079,292		20,079,292	19,897,368		19,897,368		
Health benefits		859,602	859,602		747,808	747,808		
Dental/vision benefits		219,313	219,313		72,886	72,886		
Administrative expenses	143,470	35,212	178,682	158,909	45,133	204,042		
Total deductions	20,222,762	1,114,127	21,336,889	20,056,277	865,827	20,922,104		
Net Increase (decrease)	3,283,106	132,689	3,415,795	(39,705,990)	(1,145)	(39,707,135)		
Net Assets Held in Trust for Pension and OPEB Benefits:								
Beginning of Year	229,913,660	1,524	229,915,184	269,619,650	2,669	269,622,319		
End of Year	\$ 233,196,766	\$ 134,213	\$ 233,330,979	\$ 229,913,660	\$ 1,524	\$ 229,915,184		

^{*}Fiscal year 2009 activity reclassified.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements September 30, 2010 and 2009

NOTE 1 - PLAN DESCRIPTION

ORGANIZATION

The Michigan Judges' Retirement System (System) is a cost sharing, multiple employer, state-wide, defined benefit public employee retirement plan governed by the State of Michigan (State), created under Public Act 234 of 1992, consolidated the former Judges' and Probate Judges' Retirement Systems into one retirement system. Section 204 of this Act establishes the board's authority to promulgate or amend the provisions of the System. The System's pension plan was established to provide retirement, survivor and disability benefits to judges in the judicial branch of state government. In addition, the System's OPEB plan provides all retirees with the option of receiving health, dental, and vision coverage under the Michigan Judges' Retirement Act. There are 125 participating employers. The System also includes the Governor of the State of Michigan, Lieutenant Governor, Secretary of State, Attorney General, Legislative Auditor General, and the Constitutional Court Administrator if elected prior to March 31, 1997. (Those officials elected on or after March 31, 1997, are part of the Defined Contribution Retirement Plan.). The System is a qualified pension trust fund under section 401(a) of the Internal Revenue Code.

The System's financial statements are included as a pension and other employee benefit trust fund in the combined financial statements of the State.

The System is administered by the Office of Retirement Services within the Michigan Department of Technology, Management & Budget (DTMB). The Department Director appoints the Office Director who serves as Executive Secretary to the System's Board, with whom the general oversight of the System resides. The State Treasurer serves as the investment officer and custodian for the System.

MEMBERSHIP

At September 30, 2010, and 2009, the System's membership consisted of the following:

Retirees and beneficiaries			
currently receiving benefits:		2010	2009
Regular benefits		357	362
Survivor benefits		178	174
Disability benefits		6	6
·	Total	541	542
Current employees:			
Vested		220	233
Non-vested		1	1
	Total	221	234
Inactive employees entitled to benefits and not yet			
receiving them		11	9
	Total all members	773	785

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (continued)

Plan 1 or 2 members (Supreme Court Justice, Court of Appeals, or elected officials) may enroll in the State Health Plan when they retire and their premium rate is subsidized. All other judges may enroll in the State Health Plan if they wish to, but they must pay the entire premium cost. At September 30, 2010, and 2009, there were a total of 541 and 542 retirees, respectively, who are eligible to participate in the health/dental/vision plans. The number of participants is as follows:

Health, Dental, and Vision Plan	2010	2009
Eligible participants	541	542
Participants receiving benefits:		
Health	85	80
Dental	144	141
Vision	116	116

BENEFIT PROVISIONS – PENSION

Introduction

Benefit provisions of the defined benefit pension plan are established by State statute, which may be amended. Public Act 234 of 1992, Michigan Judges' Retirement Act, as amended, establishes eligibility and benefit provisions for the defined benefit pension plan. Retirement benefits are determined by final compensation and years of service. Members are eligible to receive a monthly benefit when they meet certain age and service requirements. The System also provides disability and survivor benefits.

A member who leaves judicial service may request a refund of his or her member contribution account. A refund cancels a former member's rights to future benefits. Returning members who previously received a refund of their contributions may reinstate their service credit through repayment of the refund upon satisfaction of certain requirements. For salary, contribution and calculation of retirement benefit, the membership of the System is categorized into seven plans. The categories are based on the position to which the member was elected or appointed.

Public Act 523 of 1996, which was effective March 31, 1997, closed the plan to new entrants. Judges or State officials newly appointed or elected on or after March 31, 1997, become members of the defined contribution plan.

Regular Retirement

The retirement benefit or allowance is calculated in accordance with the formula of the plan, which applies to the member. The formula is based on a member's years of credited service (employment) and final compensation. The normal retirement benefit is payable monthly over the lifetime of a member.

A member may retire and receive a monthly benefit after attaining:

- 1. age 60 with 8 or more years of credited service; or
- 2. age 55 with 18 or more years of credited service (the last 6 years continuous); or
- 3. 25 or more years of service, the last 6 years continuous; no age requirement; or
- 4. age 60 with service of two full terms in the office of Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Secretary of State, or Attorney General, or one full term in the office of Legislative Auditor General.

Early Retirement

A member may retire early with a permanently reduced pension:

- 1. after completing at least 12 but less than 18 years of service, of which the last 6 years are continuous; and
- 2. after attaining age 55.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (continued)

The early pension is computed in the same manner as a regular pension but is permanently reduced 0.5% for each full and partial month between the pension effective date and the date the member will attain age 60.

Deferred Retirement

A member with 8 or more years of credited service who terminates judicial service before meeting the age requirements to receive a retirement allowance and who does not withdraw his or her contributions, is entitled to receive a monthly allowance upon reaching age 60 or age 55 with 18 years of service, the last 6 of which were continuous.

Disability Benefit

A member with 8 or more years of credited service, who is totally disabled from physically or mentally performing his or her duties, is eligible for a disability pension. The disability benefit is computed in the same manner as an age and service allowance based upon service and final salary at the time of disability.

Pension Payment Options

A pension is payable monthly for the lifetime of a System retiree and equals 3% of final salary times years of service for up to 12 years of service; or 50% of salary with 12 years, increased 2.5% for each additional year up to a maximum of 60% of salary. A former retiree of the Probate Judges' Retirement System receives 3% of salary times years of service, to a maximum of the greater of 40% of salary or \$15,000 but not to exceed 66 2/3% of final salary when added to a county pension; or 3.5% of salary times years of service with a maximum of two thirds of final salary, if elected.

Straight Life - This option provides the highest monthly retirement allowance. Surviving spouse receives a 50% survivor's benefit.

Option A - Under this option, after the retiree's death, the beneficiary will receive 100% of the pension for the remainder of the beneficiary's lifetime. If this option is elected, the normal retirement benefit is reduced by a factor based upon the ages of the retiree and of the beneficiary.

Option B - Under this option, after the retiree's death, the beneficiary will receive 50% of the pension for the remainder of the beneficiary's lifetime. If this option is elected, the normal retirement benefit is reduced by a factor based upon the ages of the retiree and of the beneficiary. The reduction factor is smaller than the factor used in Option A above.

Survivor Benefit

A survivor benefit may be paid if 1) a member who has 8 or more years of credited service dies while in office, 2) a vested former member dies before retirement, or 3) a retiree dies following retirement.

Contributions

<u>Member Contributions</u> - Members currently participate on a contributory basis. For contribution purposes, the membership of the System is categorized in seven plans, which are based on the position to which the member was elected or appointed. Under certain circumstances, members may contribute to the System for the purchase of creditable service, such as military service or other public service. If a member terminates covered employment before a retirement benefit is payable, the member's contribution and interest on deposit may be refunded. If the member dies before being vested, the member's contribution and interest are refunded to the designated beneficiaries.

<u>Publicly Financed Contributions</u> - There are two public sources which fund retirement benefits: Court fees and State appropriations. The State contributes annually the greater of 3.5% of the aggregate annual compensation of State paid base salaries, or the difference between the total actuarial requirement of current service and unfunded accrued liabilities minus the revenues from court filing fees and member contributions. If the court fees deposited in the reserve for employer contributions equal the amount needed in addition to other publicly financed contributions to

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (continued)

sustain the required level of publicly financed contributions, Section 304(4) of Judges' Retirement Act requires court fees be deposited in the court fee fund. A chart showing the publicly financed contribution rates is included in the Schedules of Additions by Source in the Statistical Section.

Public Act 95 of 2002 authorizes the State Treasurer, if funds remain in the court fee fund after transfers, to transmit a portion of the money in the court fee fund and any earnings on those amounts to the reserve for health benefits. The purpose of this transfer is to pay expected health care costs for the subsequent fiscal year that are not covered as a result of employee contributions. For fiscal year 2010, this amount was \$712,000. The amount for fiscal year 2009 was \$335,000.

BENEFIT PROVISIONS - OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT

Introduction

Benefit provisions of the postemployment benefit plan are established by State statute, which may be amended. Public Act 234 of 1992, as amended, establishes eligibility and benefit provisions for the OPEB plan. Members are eligible to receive health, prescription drug, dental and vision coverage on the first day they start receiving pension benefits. There is no provision for ad hoc or automatic increases. The Judges' Retirement Act requires joint authorization by DTMB and the Civil Service Commission to make changes to retiree medical benefit plans.

Under the Michigan Judges' Retirement Act, Plan 1 or 2, members may enroll in the State Health Plan when they retire. Five percent of the health insurance premium is deducted from the monthly pension check until age 65, at which time Medicare provides primary health insurance coverage. All other members may enroll in the State Health Plan during an open enrollment period. The total premium is deducted from the monthly pension check. The active employee payroll contribution rate to fund health benefits for the Plan 1 or 2 members was 2.0% for 2010 and 2.0% for 2009. There are no required employer contributions to fund health benefits.

All retirees may enroll in the state dental and/or vision plan during an open enrollment period. The total premium is deducted from the monthly pension check.

Retirees of Plan 1 and 2 are provided with life insurance coverage equal to 25% of the active life insurance coverage and \$1,000 for each dependent. Premiums are fully paid by the State for Plan 1 and 2 members. All others must pay the full premium.

The number of participants and other relevant financial information are as follows:

Health, Dental, and Vision Plan	2010	2009
Eligible participants	541	542
Participants receiving benefits:		
Health	85	80
Dental	144	141
Vision	116	116
Expenses for the year	\$1,114,127	\$ 865,827
Employer payroll contribution rate	0%	0%

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Accounting and Presentation

The System's financial statements are prepared using the accrual basis of accounting. Court filing fees are recognized as revenue in the period received. Contributions from the State are recognized as revenue when due and payable. Benefits and refunds are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the terms of the System.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (continued)

Reserves

Public Act 234 of 1992, as amended, created several reserves. The reserves are described below.

Reserve for Employee Contributions - This reserve represents active member contributions, payments for the purchase of service credit, repayment of previously refunded contributions and interest less amounts transferred to the Reserve for Retirement Benefit Payments for regular and disability retirement, amounts refunded to terminated members, and transferring inactive reserves. At September 30, 2010, and 2009, the balance in this reserve was \$51.0 million and \$48.4 million, respectively.

Reserve for Employer Contributions - This reserve represents court fees, late fees, interest payments, employer contributions, and State appropriations. Amounts are transferred annually from this reserve to the Reserve for Retired Benefit Payments to fund that reserve. At September 30, 2010, and 2009, the balance in this reserve was (\$5.4) million and \$2.7 million, respectively.

Reserve for Retired Benefit Payments - This reserve represents the reserves for payment of future retirement benefits to current retirees. At retirement, a member's accumulated contributions plus interest are transferred into this reserve from the Reserve for Employee Contributions and the Reserve for Employer Contributions. Monthly benefits, which are paid to the retiree, reduce the reserve. At the end of each fiscal year, an amount is transferred from the Reserve for Employer Contributions to bring the reserve into balance with the actuarial present value of retirement allowances. At September 30, 2010, and 2009, the balance in this reserve was \$149.0 million and \$146.4 million, respectively.

Reserve for Undistributed Investment Income - This reserve is credited with all investment earnings, changes in fair value, gifts to the System, and forfeited contributions. All administrative expenses are paid from this reserve and interest is transferred annually to the other reserves. At September 30, 2010, and 2009, the balance in this reserve was \$38.5 million and \$32.4 million, respectively.

Reserve for Health (OPEB) Benefits - This reserve is credited with member contributions for health, dental and vision benefits. The required contribution is based on pay-as-you-go funding and represents a level of funding that, if paid on an ongoing basis, is projected to cover normal cost each year and amortize any unfunded actuarial liability (or funding excess) over a period not to exceed thirty years. The actual annual contributions have been less than the annual required contribution (the ARC). Health, dental, and vision benefits are paid from this reserve. At September 30, 2010, and 2009, the balance in this reserve was \$134,213 and \$1,524 respectively.

Reporting Entity

The System is a pension and other employee benefit trust fund of the State. As such, the System is considered part of the State and is included in the State's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report as a pension and other employee benefit trust fund. The System and its Board are not financially accountable for any other organizations. Accordingly, the System is the only entity included in this financial report.

Benefit Protection

Public Act 100 of 2002 was passed by the Michigan Legislature to protect pension benefits of public employees from alienation (being transferred). Alienation is attachment, garnishment, levy, execution, bankruptcy or other legal process except for divorce orders or eligible domestic relation orders. The statutes governing the System contained an "anti-alienation" clause to provide for this protection; however, many smaller public pension systems did not have the benefit of this protection. Therefore, Public Act 100 of 2002 was passed to establish legal protection of pension assets that encompasses all public employees.

Fair Value of Investments

Plan investments are reported at fair value. Securities traded on a national or international exchange are valued at the last reported sales price at current exchange rates. Corporate bonds not traded on a national or international exchange are based on equivalent values of comparable securities with similar yield and risk. Real estate debt is valued on the

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (continued)

basis of future principal and interest payments and is discounted at prevailing interest rates for similar instruments. The fair value of real estate investments is based on independent appraisals. Securities purchased with cash collateral under securities lending activities are recorded at estimated fair value. Other investments not having an established market are recorded at estimated fair value.

Investment Income

Dividend and interest income is recognized on the accrual basis. Fair value changes are recorded as investment income or loss. Purchases and sales of investments are recorded as of the trade date (the date upon which the transaction is initiated), except for purchase and sale of mortgages, real estate, and alternative investments, which are recorded as of the settlement date (the date upon which the transaction is ultimately completed). The effect of recording such transactions as of the settlement date does not materially affect the financial statements.

Costs of Administering the System

Each year a restricted general fund appropriation is requested to fund the on-going business operations of the System. These administrative costs are ultimately funded by the System through the regular transfer of funds from the System to the State's general fund based on either a direct cost or allocation basis depending on the nature of the expense. Costs of administering the System are financed by undistributed investment income of the System.

Property and Equipment

Office space is leased from the State on a year to year basis. Office equipment is capitalized if the value exceeds \$5,000. These assets are recorded at cost and are reported net of depreciation in the Statement of Pension Plan and Other Postemployment Benefit Plan Net Assets. Such assets are depreciated on a straight-line basis over 10 years. The System does not have equipment that falls within these parameters.

Related Party Transactions

<u>Leases and Services</u> - The System leases operating space and purchases certain administrative, data processing, legal and investment services from the State. The space and services are not otherwise available by competitive bid. The following summarizes costs incurred by the System for such services.

	2010	2009	
Building Rentals	\$ 1.869	\$ 1,848	
Technological Support	14,045	13,174	
Attorney General	14,909	13,947	
Investment Services	67,943	64,382	
Personnel Services	16,659	16,335	

<u>Cash</u> - On September 30, 2010, and 2009, the System had \$771 thousand and \$2.0 million, respectively in a common cash investment pool maintained for various State operating funds. The participating funds in the common cash pool earn interest at various rates depending upon prevailing short-term interest rates. Earnings from these activities amounted to \$1.9 thousand and \$10.1 thousand for the years ended September 30, 2010, and 2009, respectively.

Excess Benefits

Internal Revenue Service (IRS) Code Section 415 requires that, for individuals who receive retirement benefits in excess of established limits, these benefits are recorded and reported outside of the pension plan in order to keep the qualified status of the plan. This includes coordination of benefit issues whereby a retiree participates in more than one qualified plan. In fiscal years 2010 and 2009, the System provided excess benefits to one retiree.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (continued)

Reclassification of Prior Year Amounts

Amounts due from members and amounts due from employers for fiscal year 2009 have been reclassified between line items on the Statement of Net Assets. Amounts within the net appreciation/(depreciation) in net investment income (loss) and interest, dividends and other lines on the fiscal year 2009 Statement of Changes in Plan Net Assets has been reclassified between line items. The total activity for the System has remained the same.

NOTE 3 - CONTRIBUTIONS AND FUNDED STATUS

Contributions

Members' contributions range from 3.5% to 7% of their salary depending on the plan (described in statute). Contributions are tax deferred under Section 414(h)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code, except for probate judges whose contributions are tax deferred only if the local unit of government has adopted a resolution to do so. Contribution provisions are specified by State statute and may be amended only by action of the State Legislature.

The State contributes annually the greater of 3.5% of the aggregate annual compensation of State paid base salaries, or the difference between the total actuarial requirement of current service and unfunded actuarial liabilities minus the revenues from court filing fees and member contributions. Although contributions are expressed as a percentage of payroll, because the system is a closed plan, the actuarial valuation calculates a level dollar amount for funding purposes. For fiscal years 2010 and 2009, no employer contributions were required based on the annual actuarial valuation. If the court fees deposited in the reserve for employer contributions equal the amount needed in addition to other publicly financed contributions to sustain the required level of publicly financed contributions, Section 304(4) requires court fees to be deposited in the court fee fund in the State Treasury. The State Treasurer transmits the money in the court fee fund, not exceeding \$2.2 million in any fiscal year, to the court equity fund for operational expenses of trial courts.

Employer contributions to the System are determined on an actuarial basis using the entry age normal actuarial cost method. Under this method, the actuarial present value of the projected benefits of each individual included in the actuarial valuation is allocated on a level basis over the service of the individual between entry age and assumed exit age. The portion of this cost allocated to the current valuation year is called the normal cost. The remainder is called the actuarial accrued liability. Normal cost is funded on a current basis. For retirement and OPEB benefits, the unfunded (overfunded) actuarial accrued liability will be amortized over a 26 year period for the 2010 fiscal year and is amortized over a 27 year period for the 2009 fiscal year.

Actual contributions (court fees) for retirement benefits were \$43.2 thousand and \$43.1 thousand for fiscal years 2010 and 2009, respectively, representing 0.16% of annual covered payroll for the year ended September 30, 2010, and 0.16% of annual covered payroll for the year ended September 30, 2009. Required employer contributions based on previous year actuarial valuations for pensions included:

- 1.\$2.6 million for fiscal year 2010 and \$2.9 million for fiscal year 2009 for the normal cost of pensions representing 10.3% and 10.9% (before reconciliation) of annual covered payroll for fiscal years 2010 and 2009, respectively.
- 2. (\$2.9) million and (\$4.4) million for fiscal years 2010 and 2009, respectively, for amortization of overfunded actuarial accrued liability representing (11.4)% and (16.4)% (before reconciliation) of annual covered payroll for fiscal years 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Actual employer contributions (court fees) for other postemployment benefits (OPEB) were \$712.0 thousand and \$335.0 thousand, respectively, for fiscal years 2010 and 2009, representing 12.0% of annual covered payroll for the year ended September 30, 2010 and 5.5% of annual covered payroll for the year ended September 30, 2009. Required employer contributions based on previous year actuarial valuations for OPEB included:

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (continued)

- 1.\$297.4 thousand and \$225.2 thousand for fiscal years 2010 and 2009, respectively, for the normal cost of OPEB representing 5.0% and 3.7% (before reconciliation) of annual covered payroll for fiscal years 2010 and 2009, respectively.
- 2.\$307.8 thousand and \$264.9 thousand for fiscal years 2010 and 2009, respectively, for amortization of unfunded actuarial accrued liability representing 5.2% and 4.3% (before reconciliation) of annual covered payroll for fiscal years 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The system is required to reconcile with actuarial requirements annually. Any funding excess or deficiency for pension benefits is smoothed over 5 years. One fifth (20%) of the funding excess or deficiency is included in each of the subsequent years' contribution, and is not recognized as a payable or receivable in the accounting records.

Funded Status

Participating employers are required to contribute at an actuarially determined rate for both pension benefits and OPEB. For fiscal year 2010, the actuarial accrued liability (AAL) for pension benefits was \$251.7 million, and the actuarial value of assets was \$284.4 million, resulting in an overfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) of \$(32.7) million and a funded ratio of 113.0%. The covered payroll (annual payroll of active employees covered by the plan) was \$25.5 million and the ratio of the UAAL to the covered payroll was (128.4)%.

For fiscal year 2010, the actuarial accrued liability (AAL) for OPEB benefits was \$7.4 million, and the actuarial value of assets was \$0, resulting in an unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) of \$7.4 million and a funded ratio of 0.0%. The covered payroll (annual payroll of active employees covered by the plan) was \$5.9 million, and the ratio of the UAAL to the covered payroll was 124.5%.

Actuarial Valuations and Assumptions

Actuarial valuations for both the pension and OPEB plans involve estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of occurrence of events far into the future. Examples include assumptions about future employment, mortality and healthcare cost trend. Amounts determined regarding the funded status of the plan and the annual required contributions (ARC) are subject to continual revision as actual results are compared with past expectations and new estimates are made about the future. The schedules of funding progress, presented as required supplementary information following the notes to the financial statements, present multi-year trend information about whether the actuarial value of plan assets for both pension and OPEB plans is increasing or decreasing over time relative to the actuarial accrued liabilities for benefits.

The accompanying schedules of employer contributions present trend information about the amounts contributed to the plan by employers in comparison to the ARC, an amount that is actuarially determined in accordance with the parameters of GASB Statement No. 25 for pension contributions and GASB Statement No. 43 for OPEB contributions.

Projections of benefits for financial reporting purposes are based on the substantive plan (the plan as understood by the employer and plan members) and include the types of benefits provided at the time of each valuation and the historical pattern of sharing of benefit costs between the employer and plan members to that point. The actuarial methods and assumptions used include techniques that are designed to reduce the effects of short-term volatility in actuarial accrued liabilities and the actuarial value of assets, consistent with the long-term perspective of the calculations.

The information presented in the required supplementary information schedules was determined as part of the actuarial valuations at the dates indicated. Additional information as of the latest actuarial valuation follows:

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (continued)

Summary of Actuarial Assumptions

Valuation Date 9/30/2010
Actuarial Cost Method Entry Age, Normal
Amortization Method - Pension Level dollar, Closed
Amortization Method - OPEB Level Percent of Payroll, Closed

Remaining Amortization Period - Pension 26 Years Remaining Amortization Period - OPEB 26 Years

Asset Valuation Method - Pension 5-Year Smoothed Market
Asset Valuation Method - OPEB Market

Actuarial Assumptions:

Wage Inflation Rate3.5%Investment Rate of Return - Pension8.0%Investment Rate of Return - OPEB4.0%Projected Salary Increases4.0%

Cost-of-Living Pension Adjustments

Assumed 4% Compounded for those eligible

Healthcare Cost Trend Rate 9.0% Year 1 graded to 3.5% Year 12

Other Assumptions OPEB only:

Survivor coverage

Opt Out Assumption 0% of eligible participants are assumed

to opt out of the retiree health plan 75% of male retirees and 60% of female retirees are assumed to have coverage continuing after the retiree's death

Coverage Election at retirement 75% of male and 60% of female future retirees are assumed to elect coverage for 1 or more dependents

*Based on the provisions of GASB Statement No. 25, 43 and 45 when the actuarial accrued liability for a defined benefit pension plan is underfunded or overfunded, the difference should be amortized over a period not to exceed thirty years for the fiscal periods beginning on or after June 15, 2006.

NOTE 4 - INVESTMENTS

Investment Authority

Under Public Act 380 of 1965, as amended, the authority for the purchase and the sale of investments resides with the State Treasurer. Investments are made subject to the Michigan Public Pension Investment Act, Public Act 314 of 1965, as amended. The Michigan Public Pension Investment Act authorizes, with certain restrictions, the investment of pension fund assets in stocks, corporate and government bonds and notes, mortgages, real estate, and certain short-term and alternative investments. Investments must be made for the exclusive purposes of providing benefits to active members, retired members and beneficiaries, and for defraying the expenses of investing the assets.

Under Public Act 314 of 1965, as amended, the State Treasurer may invest up to 5% of the System's assets in small businesses having more than one-half of assets or employees in Michigan as described in section 20(a) of the Act and up to 20% of the System's assets in investments not otherwise qualified under the Act as described in section 20(d).

Alternative investments include limited partnerships and distributions from these partnerships in the form of bonds, preferred stock, common stock and direct investments.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (continued)

Derivatives

The State Treasurer employs the use of derivatives in the investment of the pension and other employee benefit trust funds (the trust funds).

Derivatives are used in managing the trust fund portfolios, but uses do not include speculation or leverage of investments. Less than 12% of the total trust funds' portfolio has been invested from time to time in future contracts, swap agreements, and option contracts. State investment statutes limit total derivative exposure to 15% of a fund's total asset value, and restrict uses to replication of returns and hedging of assets. Swap agreements represent the largest category of derivatives used, and they represented 6.8% of market value of total pooled assets on September 30, 2010 and 7.5% of market value of total pooled assets on September 30, 2010. Future contracts represented 0.0% of market value of total pooled assets on September 30, 2010 and September 30, 2009. Option contracts represented 0.0% of market value of total pooled assets on September 30, 2010, and September 30, 2009. The derivative fair values are reported on the Statements of Pension Plan and Other Postemployment Benefit Plan Net Assets as of September 30, 2010 and 2009 statements, in their respective investment pools market value. Derivative realized and unrealized gain (loss) are reported on the Statement of Changes in Pension Plan and Other Postemployment Benefit Plan Net Assets for fiscal years ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 statements, under "Investment income (loss)", in "Net appreciation (depreciation) in fair value of investments".

To diversify the trust funds' portfolio, the State Treasurer has entered into swap agreements with investment grade counterparties, which are tied to stock market indices in twenty-three foreign countries for the International Equity Pools. The notional amounts of the swap agreement at September 30, 2010 and 2009, were \$16.6 million and \$20.8 million, respectively. Approximately one quarter of the notional amount tied to foreign stock market indices is hedged against foreign currency fluctuations. The swap agreements provide that the System will pay quarterly, over the term of the swap agreements, interest indexed to the three month London Inter Bank Offer Rate (LIBOR), adjusted for an interest rate spread, on the notional amount stated in the agreements. The maximum loss due to counterparty credit risk is the amount that would be incurred if the counterparties to the derivative instrument failed to perform according to the terms of the contract, without respect to any collateral or other security, or netting arrangement. Counterparty credit risk will always be significantly less than the swap agreement notional totals. On September 30, 2010 and 2009, maximum amount of counterparty credit risk was \$2.0 million and \$1.7 million, respectively. At the maturity of the swap agreements, the trust funds will either receive the increase in the value of the equity indices from the level at the inception of the agreements, or pay the decrease in the value of the indices. Swap agreement maturity dates range from October 2010 to April 2012. U.S. Domestic LIBOR based floating rate notes and other investments earning short-term interest are held to correspond with the notional amount of the swap agreements. The State Treasurer maintains custody and control of these dedicated notes and short-term investments.

The value of these synthetic equity structures is a combination of the value of the swap agreements and the value of the notes and short-term investments. The book value represents the cost of the notes and short-term investments. The current value represents the current value of the notes and short-term investments and the change in the value of the underlying indices from the inception of the swap agreements. The current value is used as a representation of the fair value based on the intention to hold all swap agreements until maturity. For fiscal years ending September 30, 2010 and 2009, international equity investment programs involving swaps, incurred a net realized investment income loss of \$1.7 and \$.9 million, respectively.

The net unrealized gain of \$.4 million at September 30, 2010, primarily reflects increases in bond market values, increases in international indices and changes in currency exchange rates. The combined swap structure generally realizes gains and losses on a rolling multi-year basis.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (continued)

The respective September 30, 2010 and 2009, swap values are as follows:

	Notional Value	Current Value
9/30/2010 (dollars in millions)	\$ 16.6	\$ 16.4
9/30/2009 (dollars in millions)	\$ 20.8	\$ 18.2

To get enhanced passive exposure to the Dow Jones UBS Commodity Total Return Index, the State Treasurer purchased structured notes from investment grade counterparties for the Absolute Return Pools. These notes are fully collateralized and pay cash rates on the underlying collateral, as well as, providing the enhanced index return. Similar to a swap agreement with prices changing with the underlying index fluctuations, the notes differ due to their daily put option which allows the structure to end and settle before its final maturity in November 2016. For the fiscal year ending September 30, 2010, the notional value was \$0.7 million, the fair value of the structured notes was \$0.8 million. At September 30, 2010, the structured notes' fair value of \$0.8 million was subject to counterparty credit risk. The unrealized gain at September 30, 2010, was \$18.1 thousand.

To enhance management flexibility, the State Treasurer traded U. S. Treasury bond future contracts for the Fixed Income Pools. The U. S. Treasury bond future contracts were used to manage duration and yield curve exposure. U.S. Treasury bond future contracts' notional values at September 30, 2010 and 2009, were \$0.1 million and (\$0.1) million, respectively. For the fiscal year ending September 30, 2010 and 2009, the fair values were \$0.1 thousand and 0.5 thousand, respectively. The realized gain at September 30, 2010, was \$1.4 thousand and the realized loss at September 30, 2009, was \$3.9 thousand.

To provide downside protection and enhance current income, the State Treasurer traded covered equity options on single securities for the Absolute Return Pools. Put options are used to protect against large negative moves in single stocks, as well as to express interest in a security that is trading well below its intrinsic value. Call options have been used to achieve current income on single equity securities that are trading near their intrinsic value. Equity options' notional values on September 30, 2010 and 2009 were \$0 and \$24.5 thousand, respectively. For the fiscal years ending September 30, 2010 and 2009, the fair values of the equity options were \$0 and (\$0.1) thousand, respectively. The realized gain on options at September 30, 2010 and 2009, was \$16.2 thousand and \$6.0 thousand, respectively.

Securities Lending

State statutes allow the System to participate in securities lending transactions, and the System has, by way of an Agreement, authorized Credit Suisse, the agent bank, to lend the System's securities to broker-dealers and banks pursuant to a form of loan agreement.

During the fiscal year, the agent bank lent, at the direction of the State Treasurer, the System's securities and received cash (United States) as collateral. The types of securities lent were equity, and fixed income, which includes government and corporate bonds and notes. Borrowers were required to deliver collateral for each loan equal to (i) in case of loaned securities denominated in United States dollars or whose primary trading market was located in the United States or sovereign debt issued by foreign governments, 102% of the fair value of the loaned securities; and (ii) in the case of loaned securities not denominated in United States dollars or whose primary trading market was not located in the United States, 105% of the fair value of the loaned securities.

The agent bank agreed to indemnify the System by purchasing replacement securities, or returning cash collateral in the event the borrower failed to return the loaned security or pay distributions thereon, due to the borrower's insolvency.

Under Master Securities Lending Agreements between the System and each borrower, the System and the borrowers have the right to terminate all securities lending transactions on demand. The cash collateral received on each loan was invested in assets held in a collateral account dedicated to the System. As of September 30, 2010 and 2009, such assets had an average weighted maturity to next reset of 3.8 years and 3.5 years respectively and an average weighted maturity of 12.6 years and 8.5 years respectively. Because the loans are terminable at will, their duration did not

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (continued)

generally match the duration of the investments made with cash collateral. On September 30, 2010 and 2009, the System had no credit risk exposure to borrowers. The cash received for securities on loan for the System as of September 30, 2010 and 2009, was \$35,006,522 and \$42,934,888 respectively. The fair market value of assets held in the dedicated collateral account at the custodian for the System as of September 30, 2010 and 2009, was \$27,269,985 and \$33,381,620 respectively. The carrying amount, which is the fair market value, of securities on loan for the System as of September 30, 2010 and 2009, was \$34,163,607 and \$41,778,664 respectively.

Gross income, including capital gains and losses, from security lending for fiscal years ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 with Credit Suisse was \$596,911 and \$866,665 respectively. Expenses associated with this income were the borrower's rebate of \$53,933 and \$334,028 and fees paid to the agent bank of \$103,848 and \$80,161 respectively.

In 2008, substantial volatility in the financial markets impacted the fair value estimates for securities lending collateral. The System recorded an unrealized loss of 11.1 million at September 30, 2008, and a reduction of losses of \$1.8 million and \$1.5 million at September 2010 and 2009 respectively.

Risk

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 40, investments require certain disclosures regarding policies and practices, and the risks associated with them. The credit risk (including custodial credit risk and concentration of credit risk), the interest rate risk, and the foreign currency risk are discussed in the following paragraphs. Amounts represent the pro- rata share of the underlying investments as required by GASB Statement No. 40. These are held in internal investment pools and reported as such in the financial statements.

<u>Credit Risk</u> - Credit risk is the risk that an issuer will not fulfill its obligations.

- Short-Term Fixed Income Investments Prime commercial paper investments must be rated A-1 or P-1 at the time of purchase as rated by the two major rating services Standard and Poor's Corporation (S&P) and Moody's Investor Service (Moody's), respectively. Borrowers must have at least \$400.0 million in commercial paper outstanding, and the State Treasurer may not invest in more than 10% of the borrowers outstanding debt. The investments are further limited to \$200.0 million in any borrower, unless the borrower has an A-1+ rating in which case the investment is not to exceed \$300.0 million.
- Long-Term Fixed Income Investments These investments must be investment grade or better at the time of purchase unless specific requirements are met as defined by Public Act 314 of 1965, as amended, and the State Treasurer's investment policy. Law defines investment grade as investments in the top four major grades, rated by two national rating services, S&P (AAA, AA, A, BBB) and Moody's (Aaa, Aa, A, Baa). At September 30, 2010 and 2009, the System was in compliance with the policy in all material aspects.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (continued)

Rated Debt Investments (in thousands) As of September 30, 2010 and 2009

		2010				2009		
Investment Type	Fair Value	S&P	Fair Value	Moody's	Fair Value	S&P	Fair Value	Moody's
Short Term	\$ 6,086	A-1	\$ 5,983	P-1	\$ 5,635	A-1	\$ 5,635	P-1
	-	A-2	103	P-2	-	A-2	-	P-2
Government Securities								
US Agencies - Sponsored								
	3,543	AAA	3,543	Aaa	4,011	AAA	4,011	Aaa
Corporate Bonds & Notes								
	659	AAA	667	Aaa	1,173	AAA	694	Aaa
	3,559	AA	2,814	Aa	4,934	AA	4,222	Aa
	9,717	A	10,197	A	11,607	A	12,636	A
	6,227	BBB	6,161	Baa	7,257	BBB	7,859	Baa
	494	BB	383	Ba	1,186	BB	248	Ba
	116	В	108	В	113	В	52	В
	49	CCC	77	Caa	41	CCC	38	Caa
	-	CC	10	Ca	4	CC	4	Ca
	-	C	-	C	-	C	1	C
	5	D	-	D	-	D	-	D
	1,231	NR	1,640	NR	343	NR	904	NR
International ¹								
	-	AAA	-	Aaa	1,004	AAA	-	Aaa
	5,779	AA	7,821	Aa	7,435	AA	8,058	Aa
	7,244	A	5,748	A	9,308	Α	10,927	A
	820	BBB	1,862	Baa	1,235	BBB	1,583	Baa
	1,042	BB	-	Ba	834	BB	-	Ba
	546	NR	-	NR	752	NR	-	NR
Securities Lending Collateral								
	238	A-1	238	P-1	1,053	A-1	1,053	P-1
	7,595	AAA	7,333	Aaa	9,855	AAA	8,685	Aaa
	3,456	AA	11,677	Aa	3,979	AA	10,982	Aa
	3,241	A	4,096	A	5,434	Α	7,083	A
	9,601	BBB	214	Baa	10,164	BBB	554	Baa
	-	BB	149	Ba	-	BB	1,928	Ba
	1,348	В	58	В	464	В	776	В
	352	CCC	1,850	Caa	327	CCC	475	Caa
	-	CC	216	Ca	-	CC	-	Ca
	1,338	NR	1,338	NR	2,004	NR	1,744	NR
Total	\$ 74,286		\$ 74,286		\$ 90,152		\$ 90,152	

NR - not rated

 $^{^{1}}$ International Investment types consist of domestic floating rate note used as part of a Swap strategy.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (continued)

<u>Custodial Credit Risk</u> - Custodial credit risk for investments is the risk that, in the event of a failure of the counterparty, the State will not be able to recover the value of the investment or collateral securities that are in the possession of an outside party.

Investment securities are exposed to custodial credit risk if the securities are uninsured, are not registered in the name of the government and are held by either:

- The counterparty or
- The counterparty's trust department or agent but not in the government name.

The State Treasurer does not have a policy for custodial credit risk. However, the State's custodial bank had a credit rating of A+ at September 30, 2010. As of September 30, 2010 and 2009, no securities were exposed to custodial credit risk.

<u>Concentration of Credit Risk</u> - Concentration of credit risk is the risk of loss attributed to the magnitude of a government's investment in a single issuer.

Other than obligations issued, assumed or guaranteed by the United States, its agencies or United States government sponsored enterprises, the System is prohibited by Public Act 314 of 1965, as amended, from investing in more than 5% of the outstanding obligations of any one issuer or investing more than 5% of a System's assets in the obligations of any one issuer.

At September 30, 2010, and 2009, there were no investments in any single issuer that accounted for more than 5% of the System's assets nor were there any investments totaling more than 5% of the obligations of any one issuer, other than U.S. Government Securities as described above.

<u>Interest Rate Risk - Fixed Income Investments</u> - Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in interest rates of debt investments will adversely affect the fair value of an investment.

The State Treasurer's policy states that cash equivalents are invested in short-term fixed income securities with an average weighted maturity of less than one year to provide liquidity and safety of principal from capital market and default risk. At September 30, 2010, and 2009, the fair value of the System's prime commercial paper was \$6.1 million and \$5.6 million with the weighted average maturity of 8 days and 3 days, respectively.

The State Treasurer does not have a policy regarding interest rate risk for long-term debt investments. However, the trust funds are invested with a long-term strategy. The goal is to balance higher returns while accepting minimum risk for the return. Analyzing the yield curve on individual securities as compared to U.S. Treasuries determines, in part, what is an acceptable risk for the return. Therefore, market conditions such as lower interest rates result in shorter duration and higher interest rates result in longer duration.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (continued)

Debt Securities (in thousands) As of September 30, 2010 and 2009

	2010				2009	
	Fai	ir Value	Effective Duration in Years	Fa	ir Value	Effective Duration in Years
Government						
U. S. Treasury	\$	1,327	5.6	\$	2,543	2.1
U. S. Agencies - Backed		8,407	4.4		11,227	4.7
U. S. Agencies - Sponsored		3,543	2.5		4,011	3.9
Corporate		22,057	4.7		26,658	4.9
International*						
Corporate		15,431	0.2		20,568	0.1
Total	\$	50,765		\$	65,007	

Debt securities are exclusive of securities lending collateral.

<u>Foreign Currency Risk</u> - Foreign currency risk is the risk that changes in exchange rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment or deposit.

The System invests in various securities denominated in foreign currencies. These investments are limited to 20% of the total assets of the System with an additional limit of 5% of the outstanding foreign securities of any single issuer. No investment is allowed in a country that has been identified by the United States State Department as engaging in or sponsoring terrorism. These limits are set forth in Public Act 314 of 1965, as amended. The types of foreign investments include equities, fixed income, mutual funds, real estate, and limited partnerships. At September 30, 2010, and 2009, the total amount of foreign investment subject to foreign currency risk was \$27.9 million and \$21.3 million which amounted to 11.6% and 8.9% of total investments (exclusive of securities lending collateral) of the System, respectively.

^{*}International contains Corporate Debt Securities as a part of their derivative strategies. The interest rates reset on a quarterly basis for these securities.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (continued)

Foreign Currency Risk (in thousands) As of September 30, 2010

										Intern	ationa	l
				. Invest.		quity		l Estate		quities		ivatives
										ket Value		
Region	Country	Currency	<u>in</u>	U.S. \$	<u>in</u>	U.S. \$	in	U.S. \$	ir	u.S. \$	in U	J .S. \$ *
AMERIC	<u>A</u>											
	Brazil	Real			\$	12						
	Canada	Dollar									\$	37
EUROPE												
	European Union	Euro	\$	3,899		242			\$	26		(151)
	Switzerland	Franc				756						63
	Sweden	Krona								57		121
	Denmark	Krone				8						13
	Norway	Krone										32
	U.K.	Sterling		55		649						98
PACIFIC												
	Australia	Dollar										173
	China	Renminbi				28						
	Hong Kong	Dollar				41						48
	Japan	Yen		6		3						(7)
	New Zealand	Dollar										12
	Singapore	Dollar				42				31		15
	South Korea	Won								44		81
MIDDLE	EAST											
	Israel	Shekel				19						
AFRICA												
<u> </u>	South Africa	Rand				2						
VA DIOU	a					57	¢.	5 150		16 240		
VARIOU	<u>5</u>					57	\$	5,150		16,249		
	Total		\$	3,960	\$	1,859	\$	5,150	\$	16,407	\$	535

^{*}International derivatives' market value exposure to foreign currency risk is the net amount of unrealized gains and unrealized losses. Maturity dates on these investments range from October 2010 through April 2012, with an average maturity of .8 years.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (continued)

Foreign Currency Risk (in thousands) As of September 30, 2009

										Interna	tional		
			Alt	. Invest.	E	quity	Rea	al Estate	E	quities	Der	ivatives	
			Mar	ket Value	Mark	ket Value	Mar	ket Value	Mar	ket Value	Mark	et Value	
Region	Country	Currency	in	U.S. \$	in	U.S. \$	in	U.S. \$	in	U.S. \$	in (J .S. \$ *	
AMERICA													
	Brazil	Real			\$	29							
	Canada	Dollar							\$	35	\$	25	
EUROPE													
	European Union	Euro	\$	3,591		840				102		(69)	
	Switzerland	Franc		,		599				21		5	
	Sweden	Krona								4		45	
	Denmark	Krone				5				7		(7)	
	Norway	Krone								1		(4)	
	U.K.	Sterling		120		712				54		(354)	
PACIFIC													
IACIFIC	Australia	Dollar								26		4	
	China	Renminbi				56				20		7	
	Hong Kong	Dollar				30				9		23	
	Japan	Yen		7		111				81		(58)	
	New Zealand	Dollar		·								12	
	Singapore	Dollar								11		(11)	
	South Korea	Won										(20)	
MIDDLE I	FAST												
MIDDLE	Israel	Shekel				15							
<u>VARIOUS</u>							\$	4,118		11,116			
	Total		\$	3,718	\$	2,367	\$	4,118	\$	11,469	\$	(409)	

^{*}International derivatives' market value exposure to foreign currency risk is the net amount of unrealized gains and unrealized losses. Maturity dates on these investments range from October 2009 through April 2012, with an average maturity of 1.3 years.

Notes to Basic Financial Statements (continued)

NOTE 5 - ACCOUNTING CHANGES AND RESTATEMENT

The GASB has issued Statement No. 53, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Derivative Instruments. This Statement establishes accounting and financial reporting requirements for derivative instruments entered into by state and local governments. The requirements of this new Statement are effective for financial statements for periods beginning after June 15, 2009.

NOTE 6 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Under the Administrative Procedures Act, members may appeal a decision made by the Board to the Circuit Court. Various cases that have exhausted the administrative procedures have been appealed in the court system. The cases are in the normal course of business and the System does not anticipate any material loss as a result of the contingent liabilities.

Required Supplementary Information

Schedules of Funding Progress

Expressing the net assets available for benefits as a percentage of the actuarial accrued liability provides one indication of the System's funding status. Analysis of this percentage over time indicates whether the System is becoming financially stronger or weaker. Generally, the greater this percentage, the stronger the System. Trends in unfunded actuarial accrued liability and annual covered payroll are both affected by inflation. Expressing the unfunded or over-funded actuarial accrued liability as a percentage of annual covered payroll approximately adjusts for the effects of inflation and aids analysis of progress made in accumulating sufficient assets to pay benefits when due. Generally, the smaller this percentage, the stronger the System.

				Pension	Bene	fits (\$ in million	ns)				
Valuation Date Sept 30	V	ctuarial Value of Assets (a)	Ι	Accrued Liability Liabilit	Accr	verfunded) rued Liability (UAAL) (b-a)	Funded Ratio (a/b)		-	overed ayroll (c)	UAAL as a % of Covered Payroll ((b-a)/c)
2001	\$	291.0	\$	224.7	\$	(70.6)	129.5	%	\$	42.5	(155.7) %
2002		291.7		229.2		(62.5)	127.3			42.4	(147.3)
2003		292.3		235.2		(57.1)	124.3			38.9	(146.6)
2004 *		286.9		236.4		(50.5)	121.3			37.5	(134.6)
2005		278.4		243.4		(35.0)	114.4			34.9	(100.3)
2006		282.8		243.7		(39.1)	116.0			33.1	(118.1)
2007		301.0		247.1		(53.9)	121.8			29.7	(181.5)
2008		303.7		247.0		(56.7)	123.0			29.5	(192.2)
2009		295.6		245.2		(50.4)	120.6			27.0	(186.6)
2010		284.4		251.7		(32.7)	113.0			25.5	(128.4)

^{*} Revised actuarial assumptions

Other Postemployment Benefits (\$ in millions)

Valuation Date Sept 30	Actuarial Value of Assets (a)	Actuari Accrue Liabilit (AAL) Entr (b)	d y	(Ox Accrr	infunded verfunded) ued Liability UAAL) (b-a)	Funded Ratio (a/b)	Covered Payroll (c)	UAAL as a % of Covered Payroll ((b-a)/c)
2006	\$	\$	6.4	\$	6.4	0.0 % \$	6.1	105.3 %
2007			6.6		6.6	0.0	6.1	107.5
2008			6.7		6.7	0.0	5.9	113.0
2009			6.6		6.6	0.0	6.1	108.2
2010			7.4		7.4	0.0	5.9	124.5

Required Supplementary Information (continued)

Schedules of Employer and Other Contributions

Pension Benefits

_	Fiscal Year Ended Sept. 30		I	Annual Required ontribution (ARC)	Actual ntribution	Percentage Contributed	
	2001		\$	(955,186)		0.0 %	ó
	2002			(476,491)		0.0	
	2003			(135,812)		0.0	
	2004			(85,580)		0.0	
	2005	1		(441,948)	\$ 34,765	0.0	
	2006			607,883	653,587	108.0	
	2007			186,180	231,235	124.0	
	2008				44,406	N/A	
	2009				43,108	N/A	
	2010				43,181	N/A	

¹ Revised actuarial assumptions.

Other Postemployment Benefits

Fiscal Year Ended Sept. 30	Annual Required Contribution (ARC)	Actual Contribution	Percentage Contributed	
2007	\$ 473,742	\$ 115,000	24.3	%
2008	481,673	115,000	23.9	
2009	490,129	335,000	68.3	
2010	605,112	712,000	117.7	

Note to Required Supplementary Information

NOTE A - DESCRIPTION

Ten year historical trend information designed to provide information about the System's progress made in accumulating sufficient assets to pay benefits when due is presented in the preceding schedules. Other ten-year historical trend information related to the System is presented in the Statistical and Actuarial Sections of the report. This information is presented to enable the reader to assess the progress made by the System in accumulating sufficient assets to pay pension and other postemployment benefits as they become due. Because this is the fourth year the System is reporting other post employment benefits in accordance with GASB Statement No. 43, only four years of historical trend information is provided

The comparability of trend information is affected by changes in actuarial assumptions, benefit provisions, actuarial funding methods, accounting policies, and other changes. Those changes usually affect trends in contribution requirements and in ratios that use the pension and other postemployment benefit obligations as a factor.

The Schedules of Funding Progress and Schedules of Employer Contributions are reported as historical trend information. The Schedules of Funding Progress are presented to measure the progress being made to accumulate sufficient assets to pay benefits when due. The Schedules of Employer Contributions are presented to show the responsibility of the Employer in meeting the actuarial requirements to maintain the System on a sound financial basis.

Supporting Schedules

Comparative Summary Schedule of Pension Plan Administrative Expenses For Fiscal Years Ended September 30, 2010 and 2009

		2010	 2009
Personnel Services:	'-		
Staff Salaries	\$	10,608	\$ 10,471
Retirement and Social Security		3,576	3,422
Other Fringe Benefits		2,475	2,442
Total		16,659	16,335
Professional Services:			
Accounting		2,037	3,057
Actuarial		48,182	60,800
Attorney General		14,909	13,947
Audit		36,951	38,658
Consulting		1,708	 4,484
Total		103,786	120,946
Building Equipment:			
Building Rentals		1,869	1,848
Equipment Purchase, Maintenance,			
and Rentals		56	90
Total		1,925	1,938
Miscellaneous:			
Travel and Board Meetings		71	87
Office Supplies		46	61
Postage, Telephone and Other		4,347	4,239
Printing		2,592	2,129
Technological Support		14,045	 13,174
Total		21,100	19,690
Total Administrative Expenses	\$	143,471	\$ 158,909

Comparative Summary Schedule of OPEB Plan Administrative Expenses For Fiscal Years Ended September 30, 2010 and 2009

	 2010	 2009
Health Fees	\$ 29,879	\$ 41,008
Dental Fees	4,307	3,105
Vision Fees	 1,026	 1,020
Total Administrative Expenses	\$ 35,212	\$ 45,133

Supporting Schedules (continued)

Schedule of Investment Expenses For Fiscal Years Ended September 30, 2010 and 2009

	2010	2009
Real Estate Operating Expenses	\$ 20,251	\$ 9,505
Securities Lending Expenses	157,782	414,189
Other Investment Expenses ¹		
ORS-Investment Expenses ²	67,943	64,382
Custody Fees	4,209	4,931
Management Fees	687,730	536,908
Research Fees	 11,970	 19,051
Total Investment Expenses	\$ 949,885	\$ 1,048,966

¹Refer to Investment Section for fees paid to investment professionals.

Schedule of Payments to Consultants For Fiscal Years Ended September 30, 2010 and 2009

	 2010	2009
Accounting	\$ 2,037	\$ 3,057
Actuary	48,182	60,800
Attorney General	14,909	13,947
Independent Auditors	36,951	38,658
Consulting	 1,708	 4,484
Total Payment to Consultants	\$ 103,786	\$ 120,946

²Does not exclude Treasury Civil Service fees of \$788.80 recorded as a pass-through in the Schedule of Investment Fees - State Treasurer.

Supporting Schedules (continued)

Detail of Changes in Plan Net Assets (Pension and Other Postemployment Benefits) For the Year Ended September 30, 2010

	Employee Contributions	Employer Contributions	Retired Benefit Payments	Undistributed Investment Income	OPEB	Total
Additions:						
Member contributions	\$ 1,539,822				\$ 520,707	\$ 2,060,529
Investment income (loss): Net appreciation (depreciation) of fair value of investments Interest, dividends, and other Investment expenses:				\$ 16,757,010 5,513,359	9,641 3,298	16,766,651 5,516,657
Real estate operating expenses Other investment expenses Securities lending activities:				(20,239) (771,409)	(12) (444)	(20,251) (771,853)
Securities lending income Securities lending expenses				596,568 (157,691)	343 (91)	596,911 (157,782)
Net investment income (loss)				21,917,597	12,736	21,930,333
Court fees Transfers from other systems/funds			\$ 43,181		712,000	755,181
Miscellaneous income			5,069	198	1,373	6,640
Total additions	1,539,822		48,251	21,917,795	1,246,816	24,752,684
Deductions: Benefits paid to plan members and beneficiaries: Retirement benefits Health benefits Dental/vision benefits Administrative expenses			20,079,292	143,470	859,602 219,313 35,212	20,079,292 859,602 219,313 178,682
Total deductions			20,079,292	143,470	1,114,127	21,336,889
Net Increase (Decrease) Before Other Changes	1,539,822		(20,031,041)	21,774,325	132,689	3,415,795
Other Changes in Net Assets: Interest allocation Transfers upon retirement	3,658,594 (2,575,497)	\$ 218,905 (8,317,113)	11,715,239 10,892,610	(15,592,738)		
Total other changes in net assets	1,083,097	(8,098,208)	22,607,849	(15,592,738)		
Net Increase (Decrease) Net Assets Held in Trust for Pension and OPEB Benefits:	2,622,919	(8,098,208)	2,576,809	6,181,587	132,689	3,415,795
Beginning of Year	48,364,259	2,736,310	146,440,490	32,372,601	1,524	229,915,184
End of Year	\$ 50,987,178	\$ (5,361,898)	\$ 149,017,299	\$ 38,554,188	\$ 134,213	\$ 233,330,979

Supporting Schedules (continued)

Detail of Changes in Plan Net Assets (Pension and Other Postemployment Benefits) For the Year Ended September 30, 2009

_	Employee intributions	Employer intributions	Retired Benefit Payments	Undistributed Investment Income	OPEB	Total
Additions:						
Member contributions	\$ 1,644,585				\$ 528,402	\$ 2,172,987
Investment income (loss):						
Net appreciation (depreciation) of fair						
value of investments*				\$ (26,547,999)	(106)	(26,548,105)
Interest, dividends, and other*				5,386,205	637	5,386,842
Investment expenses:						
Real estate operating expenses				(9,504)	(1)	(9,505)
Other investment expenses				(625,270)	(2)	(625,272)
Securities lending activities:						
Securities lending income				866,662	3	866,665
Securities lending expenses	 	 	 	(414,187)	 (2)	 (414,189)
Net investment income (loss)	 			(21,344,093)	529	(21,343,564)
Court fees			\$ 43,108		335,000	378,108
Transfers from other systems/funds						
Miscellaneous income			6,680	7	 751	7,438
Total additions	1,644,585		49,788	(21,344,086)	864,682	 (18,785,031)
Deductions:						
Benefits paid to plan members						
and beneficiaries:						
Retirement benefits			19,897,368			19,897,368
Health benefits					747,808	747,808
Dental/vision benefits					72,886	72,886
Administrative expenses				158,909	 45,133	204,042
Total deductions			19,897,368	158,909	865,827	20,922,104
Net Increase (Decrease)						
Before Other Changes	1,644,585		(19,847,580)	(21,502,995)	(1,145)	(39,707,135)
Other Changes in Net Assets:						
Interest allocation	3,375,785	\$ 535,095	11,609,619	(15,520,499)		
Transfers upon retirement	(5,070,741)	(4,487,473)	9,558,214			
Total other changes in net assets	(1,694,956)	 (3,952,378)	21,167,833	(15,520,499)		
Net Increase (Decrease)	(50,371)	(3,952,378)	1,320,253	(37,023,494)	(1,145)	(39,707,135)
·	(30,371)	(3,734,316)	1,540,43	(31,043,454)	(1,143)	(33,101,133)
Net Assets Held in Trust for Pension and OPEB Benefits:						
Beginning of Year	 48,414,630	6,688,688	145,120,237	69,396,095	2,669	269,622,319
End of Year	\$ 48,364,259	\$ 2,736,310	\$ 146,440,490	\$ 32,372,601	\$ 1,524	\$ 229,915,184

^{*}Fiscal year 2009 activity reclassified.

Prepared by Michigan Department of Treasury, Bureau of Investments

Jon M. Braeutigam, Director

Report on Investment Activity
Asset Allocation
Investment Results
List of Largest Stock Holdings
List of Largest Bond Holdings
Schedule of Investment Fees
Schedule of Investment Commissions
Investment Summary

Report on Investment Activity

INTRODUCTION

The State Treasurer reports investment activity quarterly to the Investment Advisory Committee (Committee), which reviews the investments, goals, and objectives of the retirement funds and may submit recommendations regarding them to the State Treasurer. The Investment Advisory Committee may also, by a majority vote, direct the State Treasurer to dispose of any holdings that, in the Committee's judgment, are not suitable for the funds involved, and may, by unanimous vote, direct the State Treasurer to make specific investments.

The Investment Advisory Committee was created by Act 380 of the Public Acts of 1965. The three public members of the five-member committee are appointed by the Governor with the advice and consent of the Senate for three-year terms. The Director of the Department of Energy, Labor and Economic Growth and the Director of the Department of Technology, Management & Budget are ex-officio members. As of September 30, 2010, members of the Committee were as follows: David G. Sowerby, CFA (public member), Glenn P. Murray (public member), Roger Robinson (public member), Andrew S. Levin (ex-officio member), and Kenneth D. Theis (ex-officio member). The public members serve without pay, but may be paid actual and necessary travel and other expenses.

INVESTMENT POLICY & GOALS

Investment policy states that the fiduciary will operate within standard investment practices of the prudent person and in accordance with Public Employee Retirement System Investment Act 314 of 1965. The fiduciary is authorized to invest in government obligations, corporate obligations, various short-term obligations, corporate (domestic and international) stocks, private equity interests, mutual funds, real estate interests, and other investments subject to specific parameters. Above all, trust fund assets are to be invested for the exclusive benefit of the members of the System, in a fiduciary capacity.

The System's Proxy Voting Policy sets forth directives on the following issues: Boards of Directors, corporate governance, social issues, corporate restructurings and defenses. All proxies are reviewed and voted in accordance with the System's policy.

The primary function of the System is to provide retirement, survivor and disability benefits along with health and other postemployment benefits to its members. The State Treasurer is the sole investment fiduciary and custodian of the System's investments pursuant to State law. The goals of the System are:

- 1. Achieve the optimal rate of return possible within prudent levels of risk.
- 2. Maintain sufficient liquidity to pay benefits.
- 3. Diversify assets to preserve capital and avoid large losses.
- 4. Meet or exceed the actuarial assumption over the long term.
- 5. Perform in the top half of the public plan universe over the long term.
- 6. Exceed individual asset class benchmarks over the long term.
- 7. Operate in a cost-effective manner.

The strategy for achieving these goals is carried out by investing the assets of the System according to a two-year asset allocation model. The System currently invests in seven different asset classes, which provides for a well-diversified portfolio.

Report on Investment Activity (continued)

Asset Allocation (Excludes Collateral on Loaned Securities)

	As of 9/30/10	Two-Year
Investment Category	Actual %	Target %
Domestic Equity Pools	36.8%	31.0%
International Equity Pools	14.6%	15.0%
Alternative Investment Pools	16.2%	12.0%
Real Estate Pools	13.0%	10.0%
Fixed Income Pools	14.9%	20.0%
Absolute Return Pools	3.8%	10.0%
Short Term Investment Pools	0.7%	2.0%
TOTAL	100.0%	100.0%

INVESTMENT AUTHORITY

Pursuant to State Law (Section 91 of Act No. 380 of the Public Acts of 1965, as amended), the State Treasurer, State of Michigan, is the investment fiduciary for the following four State sponsored retirement systems: Michigan Public School Employees' Retirement System, Michigan State Employees' Retirement System, Michigan State Police Retirement System, and Michigan Judges' Retirement System.

Act No. 314 of the Public Acts of 1965, as amended, authorizes the investment of assets of public employee retirement systems or plans created and established by the State or any political subdivision.

INVESTMENT RESULTS

Total Portfolio Results

For the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010, the total System's rate of return was 7.0% as compiled by State Street Investment Analytics. Annualized rates of return for the three, five, seven and ten year periods ending September 30, 2010 were: (4.0)%, 3.1%, 5.5% and 3.1% respectively.

At the beginning of the 2010 fiscal year, it appeared as though the multitudes of responses to the global financial crisis of 2007-2009 were taking hold, and it had appeared that the worst of the damage was in the past. The System's returns, reflecting this, were steady and positive during the first two quarters of the fiscal year. In April, the S&P 500 hit its closing fiscal year high at 1217.28 and the 10-year U.S. Treasury rate hit its highest yield for the year at just under 4%.

As spring was in full bloom, the path towards recovery became much less certain. The credit quality of European sovereign debt had come into question. It became less certain if countries such as Portugal, Ireland, Italy, Greece, and Spain that have a high level of debt when compared to their economic output would have the ability to honor the repayment of their debts.

With the market meltdown of 2007 still fresh on the minds of investors, anxiety began to be re-priced into the markets. Ultimately, the European Central Bank was able to step-in and avert more severe problems. However, confidence was shaken and the recovery appeared to be frail at best. In the media, questions were beginning to appear wondering if the economy would experience a "double dip".

Report on Investment Activity (continued)

Adding to matters was the occurrence of two global events and the passage of major legislative reform. On April 14th, the Icelandic volcano Eyjafjallajökull erupted sending an ash plume high into the atmosphere. This enormous plume made the airspace unsafe for aviation travel for an extended period, and so a very high proportion of flights within, to, and from Europe were cancelled. On April 20th, a drilling rig named Deepwater Horizon, licensed by British Petroleum, exploded in the Gulf of Mexico. Subsequent to the explosion, oil spewed from the damaged well over the next 3 months. Finally, in the United States, sweeping legislative reforms targeting the financial and health care sectors were passed into law. The long-term impact on the operations of these companies due to the new laws is not yet certain. The end result was that the fiscal third quarter returns suffered during this time of uncertainty, giving up a large portion of the previous two quarter's gains.

The spring gloom continued into the summer months. In July, during his semi-annual testimony before congress, Federal Reserve Chairman Ben Bernanke described the economic outlook as "unusually uncertain". In September 2010, the National Bureau of Economic Research (NBER) officially declared the severe recession that started in December of 2007 actually ended in June 2009. As measured by duration or by contraction in real GDP, this recession was the worst on record since the Great Depression; however, not nearly as bad as the Great Depression. Of concern is the stunted and slow rate of recovery. At the end of September, 2010 the unemployment rate remained at a stubbornly high rate of 9.6% and from the calendar second quarter to third quarter, GDP was measured as growing at a mere 1.7% annual rate.

During the final fiscal quarter, many market pundits came to believe that the likelihood for an announcement by the Fed of a second round of quantitative easing (QE2) became highly probable. In anticipation of QE2, equity markets responded favorably. By September's end, the 10-year Treasury rate closed near a 1-year low of just over 2.5%. September is notoriously bad for domestic equities historically; however, not this year as the S&P 500 Index return of 8.92% was the best return for the month since 1939. In other areas, commodities performed well during the last fiscal quarter and commercial real estate also rebounding off its bottom returning positive returns in both the 3rd and 4th quarter.

The positive returns experienced across the capital markets during the last-leg of the fiscal year were well welcomed. Although the timing of the returns coincides with the increased likelihood for QE2, such policies are not without costs. Because of the actions taken by the Federal Reserve, the returns associated with safer assets are very much below normal. Cash equivalents, as an example, now currently yield approximately 0.25% per annum. Treasuries maturing in 5 years yield just 1.27%, and as mentioned above, 10-year Treasuries earn a paltry 2.5%.

Domestic Equity Pools

The objective for investments made in domestic equities is to meet or exceed the total return of the S&P 1500 Super Composite for one, three, and five-year periods and a market cycle.

For active management strategies, the objective is to earn returns that exceed the most relevant S&P Index (or the most relevant Russell Index), adjusting for market capitalization and style, for one, three, and five-year periods and a market cycle. Rank above median in a universe of managers possessing a similar market cap and style characteristics.

For index, or passive return strategies, the objective is to return within 20 basis points of the S&P 500 Index, 50 basis points for the S&P 400 mid-cap, and 60 basis points for the S&P 600 small-cap over one, three, and five-year periods and a market cycle.

The Pools are invested primarily in equities or equity-related securities of U.S. companies through internal and externally managed strategies. The goal is to build a portfolio of strategies that will provide excess returns relative to the S&P 1500 while providing minimal tracking error to the index. At times a portion of these pools may be

Report on Investment Activity (continued)

invested in exchange traded funds (ETFs) and fixed-income short-term securities with maturities of less than one year.

The Pools invest in equities and equity related securities that are listed on U.S. national securities exchanges, including American Depository Receipts (ADRs). It may also invest in stocks that are traded over-the-counter. The Pools diversify its investments by allocating its equity strategies with consideration of the capitalization weightings of the S&P 1500 Index.

The following summarizes the weightings of the Pools as of September 30, 2010:

Active	65.2 %
Passive	34.8_
Total	100.0 %
	
Large Cap	83.8 %
Mid Cap	10.3
Small Cap	5.9
Total	100.0 %

The System's Domestic Equity Pools total rate of return was 10.8% for fiscal year 2010. This compared with 10.9% for the S&P 1500 Index.

At the close of fiscal year 2010, the Domestic Equity Pools represented 36.8% of total investments. This compares to 37.3% for fiscal year 2009. The following summarizes the System's 0.6% ownership share of the Domestic Equity Pools at September 30, 2010:

Domestic Equity Pools (in thousands)

Short Term Pooled Investments	\$ 1,348
Equities	87,303
Settlement Principal Payable	(169)
Settlement Proceeds Receivable	216
Accrued Dividends	 72
Total	\$ 88,770

Report on Investment Activity (continued)

International Equity Pools

The objective for investments made in International Equity Pools is to meet or exceed the total return of the S&P/Citigroup BMI -World ex-US with 25% of the currency hedged for one, three, and five-year periods and a market cycle.

For active management strategies, the objective is to earn returns that exceed the most relevant S&P Index (or the most relevant Russell Index), adjusting for market capitalization, style and geography for one, three, and five-year periods and a market cycle. Rank above median in a universe of managers possessing a similar market capitalization, style and geography characteristics.

For index, or passive return strategies, the objective is to Return within 250 basis points of the S&P/Citigroup BMI-EPAC Index with 25% of the currency hedged for one, three, and five-year periods and a market cycle. Return within 400 basis points of the MSCI Emerging Markets Index, for one, three, and five-year periods and a market cycle.

Active exposure is invested primarily in equities or equity-related securities of non-U.S. companies through externally managed strategies.

Passive exposure to international equity returns is achieved primarily by investing in a combination of fixed income LIBOR notes, short-term fixed income investments, and equity swap agreements on foreign stock indices in developed markets. Interest on the dedicated notes and short-term fixed income investments is exchanged for international stock returns, and the total notional amount of the swap agreements is invested in the approximate proportions of the S&P Broad Market Index (BMI) Europe and Pacific Composite (EPAC) country weightings in related indices. Use of swap agreements for a core position began in 1993, an American Depository Receipts (ADR) and index-related security portfolio was added in June of 1999 to increase portfolio management flexibility, and a multiple country fund portfolio with smaller capitalization stocks was added in September of 2002 to improve exposure to the smallest companies in the BMI index. Use of futures as an investment to hedge cash flows and balances began in December of 2008, and this use is expected to continue in the future. The combined Swap agreements, notes and short-term investments together continue to perform like a stock index fund that realizes all gains and losses on a rolling three year basis.

The Pools diversify its investments by allocating its equity strategies with consideration of the economic development status weightings of the S&P/Citigroup BMI -World ex-US Index.

The following summarizes the weightings of the Pools as of September 30, 2010:

Active	23.7	%
Passive	76.3	
Total	100.0	%
		:
Developed	89.5	%
Emerging	10.5	-
Total	100.0	%

The System's International Equity Pools total rate of return were 6.5% for fiscal year 2010. This compared with 4.7% for the S&P/Citigroup BMI -World ex-US Index.

Report on Investment Activity (continued)

At the close of fiscal year 2010, the International Equity Pools represented 14.6% of total investments. This compares to 12.7% for fiscal year 2009. The following summarizes the System's 0.6% ownership share of the International Equity Pools at September 30, 2010:

International Equity Pools (in thousands)

Short Term Pooled Investments	\$ 2,023
Equities	17,765
Fixed Income Securities	15,431
Market Value of Equity Contracts	(56)
Accrued Dividends and Interest	30
Total	\$ 35,193

Alternative Investment Pools

The Alternative Investment Pools objective is to meet or exceed the benchmark for all private equity investments over long time periods. The benchmark is a blend of the S&P 500 Index plus 300 basis points and the 10 Year Yield plus 300 basis points using ending weights of equity and fixed income holdings within the portfolio.

Alternative Investments are investments in the private equity market, primarily through limited partnerships. The following summarizes the weightings of the Pools as of September 30, 2010:

Buyout Funds	53.7 %
Special Situation Funds	21.3
Venture Capital Funds	9.8
Fund of Funds	5.5
Liquidation Portfolio	4.6
Other	2.8
Mezzanine Funds	2.3
Total	100.0 %

The Alternative Investment Pools had a return of 19.8% for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010, versus the benchmark of 13.1%.

Report on Investment Activity (continued)

At the close of fiscal year 2010, the Alternative Investment Pools represented 16.2% of total investments. This compares to 15.4% for fiscal year 2009. The following summarizes the System's 0.4% ownership share of the Alternative Investment Pools at September 30, 2010:

Alternative Investment Pools (in thousands)

Short Term Pooled Investments	\$ 536
Equities	38,356
Total	\$ 38,892

Real Estate Pool

The Real Estate Pool seeks favorable returns primarily through rental income and appreciation of real estate investments. Real estate investments are typically held through various legal investment entities, such as limited partnerships or limited liability companies, established for the specific purpose of owning, leasing, managing, financing, or developing real estate and real estate related investments. Independent third parties regularly value the real estate investments to establish market values.

The Real Estate Pool diversifies its holdings by:

- Geography The Pools is diversified geographically with emphasis placed upon domestic (U.S.) real estate investments. Foreign real estate investments are limited to 20% of the value of the Pools.
- Property Size and Value The Pools diversifies its holdings by size so that it is not concentrated in a limited number of large real estate investments.
- Property Type The Pools is diversified by type of property as summarized in the table below.

Multi-family apartments	23.8 %
Commercial office buildings	19.7
Hotel	19.2
Retail shopping centers	16.6
Industrial warehouse buildings	8.7
For Sale Housing	6.9
Land	2.6
Senior Living	1.6
Short Term Investments	0.9
Total	100.0 %

The Real Estate Pool generated a return of (16.4)% for fiscal year 2010. The two benchmark returns from the National Council of Real Estate Investment Fiduciaries: the National Property Index (less 130 basis points) was 4.5% and the Open-End Diversified Core Equity Index was 6.0%. Real estate fundamentals and values appear to have stabilized with improvement in nearly all property types. The slow economic recovery limited any real estate

Report on Investment Activity (continued)

value appreciation to the fourth quarter of the fiscal year. Transaction activity remained at low levels as commercial real estate investors remained cautious and only investing in quality, cash flowing assets in prime markets.

At the close of fiscal year 2010, the Real Estate Pool represented 13.0% of total investments. This compares to 12.9% for fiscal year 2009. The following summarizes the System's 0.7% ownership share of the Real Estate Pool at September 30, 2010:

Real Estate Pool (in thousands)

Short Term Pooled Investments \$ 283 Equities \$ 31,010 Total \$ 31,293

Fixed Income Pools

The objective for investments made in the Fixed Income Pools is to meet or exceed the total blended return of 50% of the Barclays U.S. Government Index and 50% of the Barclays U.S. Credit Index over one, three, and five-year periods and market cycles. Rank above median in a nationally recognized universe of managers possessing a similar style.

For fixed income sub-strategies, the objective return is to meet or exceed the most relevant Barclays benchmark index.

The Pools are invested primarily in fixed income securities of U.S. companies through internal and externally managed strategies. The goal is to build a portfolio of strategies that will provide excess returns relative to the blended benchmark while providing minimal tracking error to the index. At times a portion of the Pools may be invested in exchange traded funds (ETFs) and fixed-income short-term securities with maturities of less than one year.

The Pools invest in fixed income and related securities in a diversified portfolio of investment grade corporate issues, treasuries, agencies, government sponsored enterprises and government guaranteed mortgages. The Pools diversify its investments by allocating its strategies with consideration of credit risk.

The System's Fixed Income Pools total rate of return was 10.0% for fiscal year 2010. This compared with 8.7% for the blended return of 50% of the Barclays U.S. Government Index and 50% of the Barclays U.S. Credit Index.

Report on Investment Activity (continued)

At the close of fiscal year 2010, the Fixed Income Pools represented 14.9% of total investments. This compares to 17.7% for fiscal year 2009. The following summarizes the System's 0.5% ownership share of the Corporate Bond Pools at September 30, 2010:

Fixed Income Pools (in thousands)

Short Term Pooled Investments	\$ 987
Fixed Income Securities	34,671
Settlement Proceeds Receivable	1
Accrued interest	250
Total	\$ 35,909

Absolute Return Pools

The Absolute Return Pools consist of the Absolute Return Strategies Pools and the Real Return and Opportunistic Investment Pools.

The primary investment objective of the Absolute Return Strategies Pools is to generate a rate of return that meets or exceeds T-bills by 400 basis points net of fees over the one, three, and five-year periods and a market cycle. Also, exceed the appropriate HFN Fund of Funds median net of fees over one, three, and five-year periods and a market cycle.

The Absolute Return Strategies Pools rate of return for the fiscal year was 9.0% versus the benchmark's (0.6)%.

The primary investment objective of the Real Return and Opportunistic Pools is to generate a rate of return that meets or exceeds the increase in the CPI by at least five percent (5%) annually net of fees over one, three, and five-year periods and a market cycle. If a peer universe is available, rank above median in a nationally recognized universe of managers possessing a similar style.

For sub-strategies targeting a commodities index, the objective is to generate a rate of return that meets or exceeds the Dow Jones-AIG Total Return Commodities Index over one, three, and five year periods and a market cycle. Rank above median in a nationally recognized universe of managers possessing a similar style.

The Real Return and Opportunistic Investments Pools rate of return inception to fiscal year end was 5.1%.

Report on Investment Activity (continued)

At the close of fiscal year 2010, the Absolute Return Pools represented 3.8% of total investments. This compares to 0.8% for fiscal year 2009. The following summarizes the System's 0.5% ownership share of the Absolute Return Pools at September 30, 2010:

Absolute Return Pools (in thousands)

Absolute Return Strategies Pool	\$ 6,143
Real Return and Opportunistic Investment Pool	
Short Term Pooled investments	\$ 97
Equities	2,675
Fixed Income Securities	243
Settlement Proceeds Receivable	19
Accrued Dividends and Interest	7
Total	\$ 9,184

Short Term Investment Pools

The objective of the Short Term Investment Pools is to closely match the return performance of its benchmark, the 30 day Treasury bill. The Short Term Investment Pools return for the fiscal year was 1.0% versus the benchmark's 0.1%.

Potential areas of investment are:


- Obligations of the United States or its agencies.
- Banker's acceptances, commercial accounts, certificates of deposit or depository receipts.
- Repurchase agreements for the purchase of securities issued by the US government or its agencies.
- Commercial paper rated at the time of purchase within the two highest classifications established by not less than two national rating services as determined by the State Treasurer.
- Short duration investment grade corporate issues.

At the close of fiscal year 2010, the Short Term Investment Pools represented 0.7% of total investments. This compares to 3.2% for fiscal year 2009. The following summarizes the System's 0.8% ownership share of the Short Term Investment Pools at September 30, 2010:

Short Term Investment Pools (in thousands)

\$ 331
1,324
 1
\$ 1,656
\$ \$

<u>Asset Allocation – Security Type Only</u>



Investment Results for the Period Ending September 30, 2010

		Annualized Rate of Return ¹			
Investment Category	Current Year	3 Years	5 Years	10 Years	
Total Portfolio	7.0 %	(4.0) %	3.1 %	3.1 %	
Domestic Equity Pools	10.8	(6.0)	1.4	0.3	
S&P 1500 Index	10.9	(6.6)	0.9	0.2	
International Equity Pools	6.5	(8.7)	1.9	2.2	
S&P Developed BMI-EPAC 75/25 ²	4.7	(8.9)	1.4	2.1	
Alternative Investments Pools	19.8	(0.6)	10.1	5.4	
Alternative Blended Benchmark ³	13.1	(2.8)	4.5	3.2	
Real Estate Pool	(16.4)	(12.0)	(0.5)	4.3	
NCREIF Property Blended Index 4	4.5	(5.9)	2.3	6.2	
Fixed Income Pools	10.0	8.9	7.3	6.7	
Barclays Government/Credit	8.7	7.5	6.2	6.5	
Absolute Return Pools	9.0				
HFRX Absolute Return	(0.6)				
Short Term Investment Pools	1.0	0.2	2.1	2.2	
30 Day Treasury Bill	0.1	0.8	2.3	2.3	

¹Calculations used a time-weighted rate of return based on the market rate of return in accordance with industry standards. Excludes income and investment gains and losses from securities lending.

² As of 1/1/10, index is blend of S&P Developed BMI-EPAC 75 local/ 25 USD and S&P Developed BMI-EPAC 50/50. History prior to 1/1/10 is S&P Developed BMI-EPAC 50/50.

³ As of 1/1/07, index is blend of S&P 500 plus 300 bps and 10 year yield plus 300 bps based on ending weights. History prior to 1/1/07 is S&P500 plus 300 bps.

⁴ As of 10/1/05, index is NCREIF less 130 bp. History prior to 10/1/05 reflects NCREIF less 75 bp.

Largest Assets Held

Largest Stock Holdings (By Market Value)* September 30, 2010

Rank	Shares	Stocks	Market Value
1	7,838	Apple Inc.	\$ 2,224,008
2	44,936	Merck & Company Inc.	1,654,101
3	3,041	Google Inc.	1,598,808
4	90,000	Pfizer Inc.	1,545,297
5	24,791	Johnson & Johnson	1,536,039
6	110,371	Bank of America Corporation	1,446,969
7	18,274	Exxon Mobil Corporation	1,129,151
8	31,814	United Health Group Inc.	1,117,005
9	21,658	Baxter International Inc.	1,033,304
10	6,937	Goldman Sachs Group Inc.	1,002,993

Largest Bond Holdings (By Market Value)* September 30, 2010

Rank	Par Amount	Description	M	larket Value
1	\$ 1,364,501	JPMorgan Chase & Co .61563% FRN Due 11-1-2012	\$	1,354,880
2	1,295,521	General Electric Cap Corp .54625% FRN Due 2-15-2017		1,165,166
3	1,091,601	Berkshire Hathaway Fin .82750% FRN Due 1-11-2011		1,092,717
4	955,151	Wachovia Corp .63625% FRN Due 4-23-2012		951,131
5	818,701	Toyota Motor Credit Corp 4.02988% Due 1-9-2012		852,435
6	818,701	Vulcan Materials 1.54219% FRN Due 12-15-2010		819,820
7	818,701	JPMorgan Chase & Co .79156% FRN Due 9-21-2012		818,692
8	600,380	General Electric Cap Corp .66094% FRN Due 1-15-2013		591,447
9	726,306	Resolution FDG Corp Strip Principal Due 10-15-2019		558,529
10	545,800	Wells Fargo & Company .94938% FRN Due 8-29-2011		548,905

Largest Bond Holdings are exclusive of securities lending collateral.

The System's investments are commingled in various pooled accounts. Amounts, par value and number of shares represents the System's pro-rata share based on its ownership of the investment pools.

^{*}A complete list of holdings is available from the Michigan Department of Treasury.

Schedule of Investment Fees

The State Treasurer is the investment fiduciary and custodian of the System's funds pursuant to State law. Outside advisors are utilized to augment the State Treasurer's internal staff. Only 49.7% of the total investment portfolio is managed by fully discretionary outside advisors. The Michigan Department of Treasury's cost of operations applicable to the System for the fiscal year amounted to \$67 thousand or five and five-tenths basis points (.055%) of the market value of the Assets under Management by the State Treasurer.

Act 380 of the Public Acts of 1965 created an Investment Advisory Committee (Committee) comprised of the directors of the Department of Energy, Labor, and Economic Growth and the Department of Technology, Management & Budget, or their duly authorized representatives, and three public members appointed by the Governor with the advice and consent of the Senate. The public members serve without pay, but may be paid actual and necessary travel and other expenses. The Committee meets quarterly to review investments, goals and objectives and may submit recommendations to the State Treasurer. The Committee may also, by a majority vote, direct the State Treasurer to dispose of any holding which, in the Committee's judgment, is not suitable for the fund involved, and may by unanimous vote direct the State Treasurer to make specific investments.

Schedule of Investment Fees

Investment Managers' Fees:

	Ma	sets under nagement thousands)	I (in tho	Basis Points		
State Treasurer	\$	121,282	\$	67	5.5	
Outside Advisors for						
Fixed Income		8,808		19	21.3	
Absolute Return		7,453		26	34.8	
International Equity		12,942		41	31.4	
Domestic Equity		23,343		131	56.1	
Alternative		38,892		377	96.9	
Real Estate		28,178		95	33.6	
Total	\$	240,897	\$	756		
Other Investment Services Fees:						
Assets in Custody	\$	240,121	\$	16		
Securities on Loan		34,164		104		

^{*} Outside Advisors Fees are netted against the income for Fixed Income, Domestic Equity, International Equity and Absolute Return. For Alternative Investment partnership agreements that define the management fees, the asset management fees range from 75 basis points on remaining assets under management to 250 basis points of the committed capital. For Real Estate, the asset management fees range from 50 to 200 basis points. Alternative and Real Estate fees, in most cases, are netted against income.

Schedule of Investment Commissions

	Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2010							
	Actual	Actual Number of	Average	Trade	Estimated Research	Estimated	Estimated	
	Commissions	Shares	Commission	Costs	Costs	Trade	Research	
	Paid ¹	Traded 1	Per Share	Per Share	Per Share	Costs	Costs	
Investment Brokerage Firms:								
Banc Of America Securities LLC	\$ 56	1,505	\$ 0.04	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.03	\$ 15	\$ 45	
Barclays Capital Inc.	1,427	62,726	0.02	0.01	0.01	627	627	
BNY Convergex Execution Solutions LLC	1,261	65,292	0.02	0.01	0.01	653	653	
BTIG LLC	3,477	285,826	0.01	0.01		2,858		
The Buckingham Research Group Inc.	1,292	43,291	0.03	0.01	0.02	433	866	
Cantor Fitzgerald & Co.	638	31,914	0.02	0.01	0.01	319	319	
Capital Institutional Services Inc.	1,240	41,338	0.03	0.01	0.02	413	827	
Citigroup Global Markets Inc.	2,060	76,986	0.03	0.01	0.02	770	1,540	
Cowen & Company LLC	4,005	141,669	0.03	0.01	0.02	1,417	2,833	
Credit Suisse Securities LLC	8,032	361,760	0.02	0.01	0.01	3,618	3,618	
Deutsche Bank - Alex Brown	3	111	0.03	0.01	0.02	1	2	
Deutsche Bank Securities Inc.	1,199	41,873	0.03	0.01	0.02	419	838	
Goldman, Sachs & Co.	1,223	44,962	0.03 0		0.02	450	899	
The Griswold Company Inc.	3,290	260,346	0.01	0.01		2,603		
ISI Capital LLC	4,003	134,967	0.03	0.01	0.02	1,350	2,699	
Investment Technology Group Inc.	1	14	0.01	0.01				
J. P. Morgan Securities Inc.	3,585	149,371	0.02	0.01	0.01	1,494	1,494	
Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Inc.	4,542	184,883	0.02	0.01	0.01	1,849	1,849	
Mischler Financial Group Inc.	673	22,451	0.03	0.01	0.02	224	449	
Morgan Stanley & Co. Inc.	1,092	36,305	0.03	0.01	0.02	363	726	
Oppenheimer & Co. Inc.	8	262	0.03	0.01	0.02	3	5	
OTA LLC	818	28,447	0.03	0.01	0.02	284	569	
Sanders Morris Harris Inc.	438	14,601	0.03	0.01	0.02	146	292	
Sanford C. Bernstein & Co. LLC	4,354	152,619	0.03	0.01	0.02	1,526	3,052	
Soleil Securities Corporation	608	20,272	0.03	0.01	0.02	203	405	
Stifel, Nicolaus & Co. Inc.	15	384	0.04	0.01	0.03	4	12	
Thomas Weisel Partners LLC	92	2,893	0.03	0.01	0.02	29	58	
UBS Securities LLC	1,770	60,245	0.03	0.01	0.02	602	1,205	
Weeden & Co. LP	4,153	416,670	0.01	0.01		4,167		
Western International Securities Inc.	415	20,731	0.02	0.01	0.01	207	207	
William Blair & Co. LLC	5	120	0.04	0.01	0.03	1	4	
Total	\$ 55,775	2,704,834	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.01	\$ 27,048	\$ 26,093	

Commissions are included in purchase and sale prices of investments. The commissions and shares represent the System's pro-rata share based on ownership of commission and share transactions in the investment pools.
 The average commission per share for all brokerage firms.

Investment Summary

Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2010

	Market Value ¹		Percent of Total Market Value	erest Income ²	Percent of Total Investment & Interest Income
Fixed Income Pools	\$	35,909,171	14.9%	\$ 3,575,562	17.5%
Domestic Equity Pools		88,770,384	36.8%	8,985,749	43.9%
Real Estate Pool		31,292,751	13.0%	(586,788)	(2.9%)
Alternative Investment Pools		38,891,524	16.2%	5,680,919	27.8%
International Equity Pools		35,193,074	14.6%	2,318,244	11.3%
Absolute Return Pools		9,184,069	3.8%	426,944	2.1%
Short Term Investment Pools		1,655,969	0.7%	65,942	0.3%
Total	\$	240,896,942	100.0%	\$ 20,466,572	100.0%

¹ Market value excludes \$27,269,985 in securities lending collateral for fiscal year 2010.

² Total Investment & Interest Income excludes net security lending income of \$596,911 and unrealized gain of \$1,816,731 for securities lending collateral.

Investment SummaryFiscal Year Ended September 30, 2009

	Market Value ¹		Percent of Total Market Value	 nvestment & terest Income ²	Percent of Total Investment & Interest Income		
Fixed Income Pools	\$	42,322,684	17.7%	\$ 5,232,006	(23.0)%		
Domestic Equity Pools		89,333,788	37.3%	(10,652,383)	46.9%		
Real Estate Pool		30,802,642	12.9%	(14,519,786)	63.9%		
Alternative Investment Pools		36,875,032	15.4%	(5,814,063)	25.6%		
International Equity Pools		30,429,075	12.7%	3,361,503	(14.8)%		
Absolute Return Pools		2,015,096	0.8%	(50,410)	0.2%		
Short Term Investment Pools		7,760,760	3.2%	 (268,207)	1.2%		
Total	\$	239,539,077	100.0%	\$ (22,711,340)	100.0%		

¹ Market value excludes \$33,381,620 in securities lending collateral for fiscal year 2009.

² $\,$ Total Investment & Interest Income excludes net security lending income of \$452,476 and unrealized gain of \$1,549,605 for securities lending collateral.

Actuary's Certification
Summary of Actuarial Assumptions and Methods
Schedule of Active Member Valuation Data
Schedule of Changes in Retirement Rolls
Prioritized Solvency Test
Analysis of System Experience
Summary of Plan Provisions

Actuary's Certification



Gabriel Roeder Smith & Company Consultants & Actuaries One Towne Square Suite 800 Southfield, MI 48076-3723 248.799.9000 phone 248.799.9020 fax www.gabrielroeder.com

December 13, 2010

Ms. Phyllis Mellon, Acting Director
Department of Technology, Management and Budget
and
The Retirement Board
Michigan Judges' Retirement System
P.O. Box 30171
Lansing, Michigan 48909

Ladies and Gentlemen:

The basic financial objective of the Michigan Judges' Retirement System (JRS) is to establish and receive contributions which when combined with present assets and future investment return will be sufficient to meet the financial obligations of the system to present and future benefit recipients.

The financial objective is addressed within the actuarial valuation. The valuation process develops employer contributions that are sufficient to fund the plan's normal cost (i.e., the costs assigned by the valuation method to the year of service about to be rendered), as well as to fund any unfunded accrued liabilities over a reasonable period. The most recent valuation was completed based upon population data, asset data, and plan provisions as of September 30, 2010.

The Retirement System provides the actuary with data for the actuarial valuation. The actuary relies on the data after reviewing it for internal and year to year consistency. The actuary summarizes and tabulates population data in order to analyze long term trends. The plan's external auditor also audits the actuarial data annually.

Annual actuarial valuations are based upon assumptions regarding future activity in specific risk areas including the rate of investment return and payroll growth, eligibility for the various classes of benefits and longevity among retired lives. These assumptions are adopted by the Board and the Department after considering the advice of the actuary and other professionals. The assumptions and the methods comply with the disclosure requirements of GASB Statement Nos. 25 and 43. Each actuarial valuation takes into account all prior differences between actual and assumed experience in each risk area and adjusts the contribution rates as needed.

Actuary's Certification (continued)

Ms. Phyllis Mellon December 13, 2010 Page 2

Our firm provided the following supporting schedules for use in the Comprehensive Annual Financial Report:

Financial Section

- Note 1 Table of System's Membership
- · Note 3 Summary of Actuarial Assumptions
- · Schedules of Funding Progress
- Schedules of Employer Contributions (Annual Required Contribution)

Actuarial Section

- · Summary of Actuarial Assumptions and Methods
- Percent of Eligible Active Members Retiring Within Next Year
- Separation from Active Employment Before Age and Service Retirement and Individual Pay Increase Assumptions
- · Schedule of Active Member Valuation Data
- Schedule of Changes in the Retirement Rolls
- Prioritized Solvency Tests
- · Analysis of System Experience

Statistical Section

- Schedule of Retired Members by Type of Pension Benefit
- Schedule of Retired Members by Type of Health Benefit
- Schedules of Average Benefit Payments Pension, Medical, Dental, and Vision

Although our firm provided supporting schedules in connection with GASB Statement Nos. 43 and 45, we recommend consultation with legal counsel and the auditors to determine whether Statement No. 43 applies.

The actuarial valuations of JRS as of September 30, 2010 were performed by qualified actuaries in accordance with standards of practice prescribed by the Actuarial Standards Board and in compliance with applicable state statutes. The undersigned are Members of the American Academy of Actuaries (MAAA) and meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the actuarial opinion contained herein. It is our opinion that the calculated employer contribution meets the financial objective of the Retirement System.

Respectfully submitted,

Mark Buis, FSA, EA, MAAA

Alan Sonnanstine, ASA, MAAA

Alm E. Somanston

MB:rmn

Gabriel Roeder Smith & Company

Summary of Actuarial Assumptions and Methods

- 1. The investment return rate used in the valuations was 8% per year net of expenses, compounded annually. This rate of return is not the assumed real rate of return. The real rate of return is the rate of investment return in excess of the inflation rate. Considering other financial assumptions, this 8% investment return rate translates to an assumed long-term real rate of return of 4.5%. Adopted 2004.
- 2. The healthy life mortality table used in evaluating allowances to be paid was 95% of the RP-2000 Male Combined Healthy Mortality Table, adjusted for mortality improvements to 2020 using projection scale AA, and 107% of the RP-2000 Female Combined Healthy Mortality Table, adjusted for mortality improvements to 2020 using projection scale AA. Adopted 2010.
- 3. Sample probabilities of retirement with an age and service allowance are shown in Schedule 1 on the next page. Adopted 2010.
- 4. Sample probabilities of withdrawal from service and disability, together with individual pay increase assumptions, are shown in Schedule 2 on the next page. Adopted 2010.
- 5. The active member population is closed to new hires. This will result in a gradual reduction in the total active member payroll over time. Adopted 1997.
- 6. An individual entry age actuarial cost method of valuation was used in determining age and service and deferred retirement actuarial liabilities and normal cost. Adopted 1975. Unfunded actuarial accrued liabilities, including actuarial gains and losses, are financed over a declining 40-year period beginning October 1, 1996. Adopted or readopted 1996.
- 7. The Department of Technology, Management & Budget approved the use of market value of assets as of September 30, 1997, for actuarial valuation purposes. For investment gains or losses that occur after that date, a 5-year smoothing technique will be used. Specifically, the excess (shortfall) of actual investment income (including interest, dividends, realized and unrealized gains or losses) over the imputed income at the valuation interest rate is considered the gain (loss), which is spread over five years. Adopted 1997.
- 8. The data about persons now covered and about present assets was furnished by the System's administrative staff. Although examined for general reasonableness, the data was not audited by the actuary.
- 9. The actuarial valuation computations were made by or under the supervision of a Member of the American Academy of Actuaries (MAAA). The assumptions used in the actuarial valuations were adopted by the System's Board and the Department of Technology, Management & Budget after consulting with the actuary.
- 10. A 5-year experience investigation, covering the period from September 30, 2002 through September 30, 2007 was completed in May 2010. The purpose of the study was to analyze the actual experience of the System versus that anticipated by actuarial assumptions then in use. The combined effect of the recommended changes in assumptions was an increase in actuarial accrued liabilities of approximately 1.8% and no change in computed employer contributions (remains at zero). Adopted 2010.
- 11. Gabriel, Roeder, Smith & Company was awarded the actuarial and consulting services contract beginning October 4, 2006.

Summary of Actuarial Assumptions and Methods (continued)

SCHEDULE 1

Percent of Eligible Active Members Retiring Within Next Year

Retirement Ages	
55-59	6 %
60	10
61	8
62	10
63	8
64	8
65	18
66-68	8
69	12
70	25
71	30
72	35
73	40
74	50
75	100

SCHEDULE 2

Separation From Active Employment Before Age & Service Retirement & Individual Pay Increase Assumptions

Sample Ages	Percent of Active Members Withdrawing Within Next Year (Men and Women)	Percent of Active Members Becoming Disabled Within Next Year	Percent Increase In Pay During Next Year		
20		0.00 %	4.0 %		
25		0.00	4.0		
30	2.25 %	0.00	4.0		
35	2.25	0.02	4.0		
40	2.25	0.06	4.0		
45	2.25	0.12	4.0		
50	2.25	0.18	4.0		
55	2.25	0.24	4.0		
60	2.25	0.36	4.0		

Actuarial Valuation Data

Schedule of Active Member Valuation Data

			Active											
Valuation Date Sept. 30	Inactive Number	Number	Reported Average Annual Annual Payroll* Pay		% Increase		Average Age	Average Service						
2001	15	380	\$	42,543,811	\$	111,957	20.7	%	54.4	11.7				
2002	16	367		42,441,201		115,644	3.3		55.3	12.4				
2003	13	337		38,900,163		115,431	(0.2)		55.4	13.2				
2004	11	325		37,453,179		115,241	(0.2)		56.1	13.6				
2005	18	302		34,935,846		115,682	0.4		57.0	14.8				
2006	16	291		33,066,573		113,631	(1.8)		57.8	15.9				
2007	16	260		29,716,615		114,295	0.6		58.4	16.5				
2008	13	257		29,475,726		114,692	0.3		59.4	17.5				
2009	9	234		27,027,185		115,501	0.7		59.9	18.3				
2010	11	221		25,504,058		115,403	(0.1)		60.7	19.1				

^{*} Prior to 2006, reported annual payroll in this schedule is 2% higher than the actual data reported to the actuary.

Schedule of Changes in the Retirement Rolls

Year	Added to Rolls		Removed from Rolls		Rolls-	-End of Year	% Increase		Average		
Ended Sept. 30	No.	Annual Allowances	No.	Annual Allowances	No.	Annual No. Allowances		al ces_		annual owances	
2001	30	\$ 1,027,902	19	\$ 399,313	546	\$ 16,027,671	5.3	%	\$	29,355	
2002	8	310,381	19	659,722	535	15,678,330	(2.2)			29,305	
2003	27	1,099,372	11	520,658	551	17,144,956	9.4			31,116	
2004	13	836,992	15	562,582	549	17,572,770	2.5			32,009	
2005	16	816,849	13	387,731	552	18,001,888	2.4			32,612	
2006	19	827,419	38	877,683	533	17,951,624	(0.3)			33,680	
2007	38	1,797,377	29	657,528	542	19,091,473	6.3			35,224	
2008	25	879,299	27	927,730	540	19,043,042	(0.3)			35,265	
2009*	44	1,987,777	42	1,316,828	542	19,713,991	3.5			36,373	
2010	23	1,104,282	24	722,169	541	20,096,104	1.9			37,146	

^{*} Restated based on more complete information.

Prioritized Solvency Test

The System's funding objective is to meet long-term benefit promises through contributions that remain approximately level from year to year as a percent of member payroll. If the contributions to the System are level in concept and soundly executed, the System will pay all promised benefits when due, the ultimate test of financial soundness. Testing for level contribution rates is the long-term solvency test.

A prioritized solvency test is another means of checking a System's progress under its funding program. In a short condition test, the System's present assets (cash and investments) are compared with: (1) active member contributions on deposit; (2) the liabilities for future benefits to present retired lives; and (3) the liabilities for service already rendered by active and inactive members. In a system that has been following the discipline of level percent of payroll financing, the liabilities for active member contributions on deposit (liability 1) and the liabilities for future benefits to present retired lives (liability 2) will be fully covered by present assets (except in rare circumstances). In addition, the liabilities for service already rendered by active members (liability 3) are normally partially covered by the remainder of present assets. Generally, if the System has been using level-cost financing, the funded portion of liability 3 will increase over time. Liability 3 being fully funded is not necessarily a by-product of level percent of payroll funding methods.

The schedules that follow illustrate the history of the liabilities of the System and are indicative of the System's policy of following the discipline of level percent of payroll financing.

Pension Benefits (\$ in thousands)

		Actuari	al Accrued Li	AAL)										
		(1)	(2)		(3)									
Valuation			Retirants	Active a	and Inactive									
Date	Activ	ve Member	and	Member	rs (Employer	Valuation	Por	rtion	of AA	L C	Covered	by	Assets	
Sept. 30	Con	tributions	Beneficiaries	F <u>inanc</u>	ed Portion)	Assets	(1)		(2)		(3)		(4) 1	_
2001	\$	29,469	\$ 125,097	\$	70,171	\$ 290,998	100	%	100	%	194.4	%	129.5	%
2002		33,457	120,456		75,309	291,730	100		100		183.0		127.3	
2003		34,355	131,719		69,167	292,258	100		100		182.4		124.2	
2004^{-2}		37,089	138,141		61,219	286,873	100		100		182.4		121.3	
2005		38,695	142,689		61,987	278,423	100		100		156.5		114.4	
2006		43,094	142,384		58,230	282,822	100		100		167.2		116.0	
2007		42,250	151,691		53,142	301,047	100		100		201.5		121.8	
2008		48,109	149,608		49,293	303,746	100		100		215.1		123.0	
2009		46,561	154,758		43,879	295,625	100		100		214.9		120.6	
2010		48,853	159,481		43,361	284,439	100		100		175.5		113.0	

¹ Percents funded on a total valuation asset and total actuarial accrued liability basis.

² Revised actuarial assumptions.

2008

2009

2010

Prioritized Solvency Test (continued)

Other Postemployment Benefits (\$ in thousands)

Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) **(1) (2)** Valuation Active Retirants Active and Inactive Date Member Members (Employer Valuation Portion of AAL Covered by Assets Financed Portion) **(1) (2)** (3) $(4)^1$ **Sept. 30** Contributions Beneficiaries Assets 0 % 2007 \$ \$ 3,082 \$ 3,633 \$ 0% 0 % 0 %

3,633

3,619

4,186

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

3,082

2,974

3,207

¹ Percents funded on a total valuation asset and total actuarial accrued liability basis.

Analysis of System Experience

Gains/(Losses) in Accrued Liabilities During Year Ended September 30, 2010 Resulting from Differences Between Assumed Experience & Actual Experience

	Type of Activity	Gain/(Loss)
1.	Retirements (including Disability Retirement). If members retire at older ages or with lower final average pay than assumed, there is a gain. If younger ages or higher average pays, a loss.	\$ 2,240,228
2.	Withdrawal From Employment (including death-in-service). If more liabilities are released by withdrawals and deaths than assumed, there is a gain. If smaller releases, a loss.	141,703
3.	Pay Increases. If there are smaller pay increases than assumed, there is a gain. If greater increases, a loss.	3,254,503
4.	Investment Income. If there is greater investment income than assumed, there is a gain. If less income, a loss.	(15,599,457)
5.	Death After Retirement. If retirants live longer than assumed, there is a loss. If not as long, a gain.	(3,710,337)
6.	Rehires. Rehires into the System will generally result in an actuarial loss.	-
7.	Other. Miscellaneous gains and losses resulting from data adjustments, timing of financial transactions, etc.	(455,733)
8.	Composite Gain (or Loss) During Year	\$ (14,129,093)

Summary of Plan Provisions

Our actuarial valuation of the System as of September 30, 2010, is based on the present provisions of the Judges' Retirement Act (Public Act No. 234 of 1992, as amended).

Regular Retirement

Eligibility - Age 60 with 8 years credited service; or age 55 with 18 years credited service, or 25 years with no age requirement.

Annual Amount - If less than 12 years of credited service, 3% of final annual compensation times years of credited service; for 12 or more years of credited service, 50% of final annual compensation plus 2.5% of such compensation for each year of credited service in excess of 12 years to a maximum of 60%. Former System members receive 3% of final annual compensation times years of credited service to a maximum of the greater of 40% of final annual compensation or \$15,000, but not to exceed 66.67% of final annual compensation when added to a local retirement system benefit; or 3.5% of final annual compensation times years of credited service to a maximum of 66.67% of final annual compensation if elected.

<u>Final Annual Compensation</u> - Annual state salary at time of retirement plus state salary standardization, if any. For former Probate System members, final annual compensation is member's certified salary at time of retirement. For 36th District Court judges, final annual compensation is total state and district control unit salary at time of retirement. For Probate Court judges serving in a single county of less than 15,000 population, final annual compensation is total judicial salary at the time of retirement.

Early Retirement (age reduction factor used)

Eligibility - Age 55 with 12 but less than 18 years credited service.

<u>Annual Amount</u> - Regular retirement benefit, reduced by 0.5% for each month by which the commencement age is less than 60.

Deferred Retirement (vested benefit)

Eligibility - 8 years of credited service.

<u>Annual Amount</u> - Regular retirement benefit. If less than 12 years of credited service, payable at age 60; if 18 or more years of credited service payable at age 55; if more than 12 but less than 18 years of credited service reduced amount payable at age 55.

Disability Retirement

Eligibility - 8 years of credited service.

Annual Amount - Regular retirement benefit, based upon member's credited service and final salary at time of disability.

Death Before or After Retirement (Spouse or Dependent Children)

Eligibility - 8 years of credited service.

<u>Annual Amount</u> - 50% of the member's accrued pension.

Summary of Plan Provisions (continued)

Post Retirement Cost-of-Living Adjustments

None, except that judges who were active judges prior to September 8, 1961, (and their survivors) have their benefits adjusted as active judges' salaries change.

Member Contributions

Non-Trial Judges - 5% of salary (2% for health benefits).

<u>Trial Judges with Full Standardization</u> - 7% of salary.

<u>Trial Judges without Full Standardization</u> - 3.5% of salary.

Probate Judges under 3% Formula - 7% of salary to maximum of \$980.

<u>Probate Judges under 3.5% Formula</u> - 7% of salary (no maximum).

<u>District Court Judges of the Thirty-sixth District</u> - 3.5% of salary.

Defined Contribution Legislation - (Public Act 523 of 1996)

New employees hired on or after March 31, 1997, become participants in Tier 2 (i.e. a defined contribution plan) rather than Tier 1 (i.e., the above described defined benefit plan).

Active members on March 30, 1997, had an opportunity to irrevocably elect to terminate membership in Tier 1 and become participants in Tier 2. Elections were in writing and submitted between January 2, 1998, and April 30, 1998. Such members became Tier 2 participants on June 1, 1998, and had the actuarial present value of their Tier 1 accrued benefit transferred into Tier 2 by September 30, 1998.

This page was intentionally left blank.

Schedules of Additions by Source Schedules of Deductions by Type Schedules of Changes in Net Assets Schedules of Benefits and Refunds by Type Schedules of Retired Members by Type of Benefit Schedule of Other Post Employment Benefits Schedules of Average Benefit Payments Schedule of Principal Participating Employers Ten Year History of Membership Schedule of Participating Employers

This part of the System's comprehensive annual financial report presents detailed information as a context for understanding what the information in the financial statements, note disclosures, and required supplementary information says about the System's overall financial health.

Contents

Financial Trends

These schedules contain trend information to help the reader understand how the System's financial performance and fiscal health has changed over time. The schedules are presented for the last ten fiscal years. Schedules included are:

- Schedule of Pension Plan Additions by Source
- Schedule of OPEB Plan Additions by Source
- Schedule of Pension Plan Deductions by Type
- Schedule of OPEB Plan Deductions by Type
- Schedule of Changes in Net Assets Pension Plan
- Schedule of Changes in Net Assets OPEB Plan
- Schedule of Pension Benefits and Refunds by Type
- Schedule of OPEB Benefits by Type

Operating Information

These schedules contain contextual information to assist the reader's understanding of how the System's financial information relates to the combination of participating members and the benefits it provides. Schedules are presented for the last ten fiscal years, except where noted. Schedules included are:

- Schedule of Retired Members by Type of Pension Benefit
- Schedule of Retired Members by Type of Other Postemployment Benefit
- Schedule of Other Post Employment Benefits
- Schedule of Average Benefit Payments Pension
- Schedule of Average Benefit Payments OPEB
- Schedule of Principal Participating Employers
- Ten Year History of Membership
- Schedule of Participating Employers


Schedule of Pension Plan Additions by Source Last Ten Years

Fiscal Year			
Ended	Member	Net Investment &	
Sept. 30	Contributions	Other Income	Total
2001	\$ 2,304,041	\$ (30,995,562)	\$ (28,691,521)
2002	2,857,224	(25,998,096)	(23,140,872)
2003	2,288,608	34,560,288	36,848,896
2004	2,143,714	28,818,651	30,962,365
2005	2,077,550	32,011,495	34,089,045
2006	2,017,943	35,106,967	37,124,910
2007	1,845,878	49,974,396	51,820,274
2008	1,738,459	(48,472,838)	(46,734,379)
2009	1,644,585	(21,294,298)	(19,649,713)
2010	1,539,822	21,966,046	23,505,868

Since System is fully funded, no employer contributions are required.

Schedule of OPEB Plan Additions by Source Last Ten Years

Fiscal Year Ended Sept. 30	Member Contributions	Net Investment & Other Income	Total
2001	\$ 345,851		\$ 345,851
2002	362,987	\$ 100,000	462,987
2003	451,035	265,000	716,035
2004	483,966	215,000	698,966
2005	450,657	215,000	665,657
2006	518,057	215,000	733,057
2007	530,336	115,535	645,871
2008	539,440	145,130	684,570
2009	528,402	336,280	864,682
2010	520,707	726,109	1,246,816



Schedule of Pension Plan Deductions by Type


Last Ten Years

Fiscal Year			Administrative	
Ended	Benefit	Refunds	and Other	
Sept. 30	Payments	and Transfers	Expenses	Total
2001	\$ 15,793,191	\$ 975,633	\$ 500,501	\$ 17,269,325
2002	15,809,951	52,862	404,983	16,267,796
2003	16,728,017	1,074	500,590	17,229,681
2004	17,540,889	67,792	210,178	17,818,859
2005	17,797,840		167,993	17,965,833
2006	17,952,735		170,023	18,122,758
2007	18,919,433	247,941	181,848	19,349,222
2008	19,180,381		144,188	19,324,569
2009	19,897,368		158,909	20,056,277
2010	20,079,292		143,470	20,222,762

Schedule of OPEB Plan Deductions by Type

Last Ten Years

Fiscal Year Ended		Benefit	Adn	ninistrative	
Sept. 30	P	ayments	E	xpenses	 Total
2001	\$	505,459		_	\$ 505,459
2002		519,669			519,669
2003		564,801			564,801
2004		576,043			576,043
2005		510,152			510,152
2006		596,769			596,769
2007		611,246			611,246
2008		789,975	\$	41,978	831,953
2009		820,694		45,133	865,827
2010		1,078,915		35,212	1,114,127



Schedule of Changes in Net Assets - Pension Plan

Last Ten Years

(in thousands)

					Fis	cal Year				
	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Member contributions Employer contributions	\$ 2,304	\$ 2,857	\$ 2,289	\$ 2,144	\$ 2,078	\$ 2,018	\$ 1,846	\$ 1,738	\$ 1,645	\$ 1,540
Net investment income	(30,996)	(25,998)	34,560	28,818	31,977	34,452	49,716	(48,525)	(21,344)	21,918
Court fees					34	654	231	44	43	43
Miscellaneous income						1	27	8	7	5
Total Additions	(28,692)	(23,141)	36,849	30,962	34,089	37,125	51,820	(46,735)	(19,650)	23,506
Pension benefits Refunds of member	15,793	15,810	16,728	17,541	17,798	17,953	18,919	19,180	19,897	20,079
contributions	976	53	1	67			248			
Administrative expenses	500	405	501	210	168	170	182	144	159	143
Total Deductions	17,269	16,268	17,230	17,818	17,966	18,123	19,349	19,324	20,056	20,222
Changes in net assets	\$ (45,961)	\$ (39,409)	\$ 19,619	\$ 13,144	\$ 16,123	\$ 19,002	\$ 32,471	\$ (66,059)	\$ (39,706)	\$ 3,283

Schedule of Changes in Net Assets - OPEB Plan

Last Ten Years

(in thousands)

									Fisca	al Yea	r								
	2	2001	2	2002	2	2003	2004	2	2005	2	2006	2	007	2	2008	2	009	2	2010
Member contributions Net investment income Court fees Tranfer from other systems	\$	346	\$	363 100	\$	451 265	\$ 484 215	\$	451 215	\$	518 215	\$	530 115	\$	539 8 115 15	\$	528 1 335	\$	521 13 712
Miscellaneous income Total Additions		346	_	463	_	716	 699		666	_	733		1 646		7 684		1 865		1,247
Health care benefits Administrative expenses		505		520		565	576		510		597		611		790 42		821 45		1,079 35
Total Deductions		505		520		565	576		510		597		611		832		866		1,114
Changes in net assets	\$	(159)	\$	(57)	\$	151	\$ 123	\$	156	\$	136	\$	35	\$	(148)	\$	(1)	\$	133

Schedule of Pension Benefits and Refunds by Type

Last Ten Years


Fiscal Year							Refunds						
Ended Sept. 30	Regular Benefits		Disability Benefits		Survivor Benefits		Employee Contribution		Retired Benefit		Transfers		Total
2001	\$	15,352,750	\$	440,441		\$	51,373	\$	13,581	\$	910,679	\$	16,768,824
2002		15,375,626		434,325			52,862						15,862,813
2003		16,236,804		491,213			1,074						16,729,091
2004		17,011,125		529,764			67,792						17,608,681
2005		17,269,422		528,418									17,797,840
2006		14,611,531		297,408	\$ 3,043,796								17,952,735
2007		14,996,160		260,585	3,662,688		246,389		1552				19,167,374
2008		15,231,453		233,700	3,715,228								19,180,381
2009		15,741,513		211,077	3,944,778								19,897,368
2010		15,694,797		211,078	4,173,417								20,079,292

Schedule of OPEB Benefits by Type

Last Ten Years

Fiscal Year Ended Sept. 30]	Health Benefits	Dental Benefits	Vision enefits	 ninistrative xpenses	 Total
2001	\$	435,444	\$ 61,978	\$ 8,037		\$ 505,459
2002		441,453	69,927	8,289		519,669
2003		482,449	73,619	8,733		564,801
2004		489,225	77,691	9,127		576,043
2005		422,261	78,495	9,396		510,152
2006		486,112	98,652	12,005		596,769
2007		500,954	98,160	12,132		611,246
2008		720,335	62,770	6,870	\$ 41,978	831,953
2009		747,808	65,013	7,873	45,133	865,827
2010		859,602	167,845	51,468	35,212	1,114,127

<u>Total Benefit Expenses</u> Year Ended September 30



Schedule of Retired Members by Type of Pension Benefit

September 30, 2010

Amount of	-		Type o	f Retiremen	ıt *		Sele	ected Opti	on**
Monthly Pension Benefit	Number of Retirees	1	2	3	4	5	Opt 1	Opt 2	Opt 3
\$ 1 - 400	4	2	1	1			4		
401 - 800	30	7	19	4			27	3	
801 - 1,200	43	22	15	2	2	2	34	8	1
1,201 - 1,600	39	15	18	6			32	6	1
1,601 - 2,000	74	25	40	6	1	2	66	7	1
2,001 - 2,400	36	16	16	2	1	1	22	14	
2,401 - 2,800	37	23	13			1	29	8	
2,801 - 3,200	24	16	6			2	12	12	
3,201 - 3,600	34	30	3	1			21	13	
3,601 - 4,000	59	49	6	3		1	44	14	1
Over 4,000	161	152	4	2	2	1	142	18	1_
Totals	541	357	141	27	6	10	433	103	5

* Type of Retirement

- 1 Normal retirement for age & service
- 2 Survivor payment normal retirement or early retirement
- 3 Survivor payment death in service
- 4 Non-duty disability retirement (incl. survivors)
- 5 Survivor payment disability retirement

**Selected Option

Opt 1 - Straight life allowance

Opt 2 - 100% Survivor option

Opt 3 - 50% Survivor option

<u>Schedule of Retired Members by Type of Other Postemployment Benefit</u> September 30, 2010

		Type of Oth	er Postemploymer	nt Benefits
Amount of Monthly Pension Benefit	Eligible Retirees	Health	Dental	Vision
\$ 1 – 400	4	1		1
401 - 800	30	4	6	5
801 - 1,200	43	7	12	6
1,201 - 1,600	39	2	5	3
1,601 - 2,000	74	13	14	9
2,001 - 2,400	36	7	12	11
2,401 - 2,800	37	4	11	7
2,801 - 3,200	24	3	2	1
3,201 - 3,600	34	3	9	6
3,601 - 4,000	59	12	21	19
Over 4,000	161	29	52	48
Totals	541	85	144	116

Schedule of Other Postemployement Benefits

For Years Ended September 30, 2010 and 2009

	2010	2009
Claims		
Health insurance	\$ 765,484	\$ 595,998
Vision insurance	47,228	7,110
Dental insurance	162,594	63,109
Total Claims	975,307	666,217
Estimated Claims Liability		
Health insurance	94,118	151,810
Vision insurance	4,240	763
Dental insurance	5,251	1,904
Total Estimated Claims Liability	103,608	154,477
Administrative Fees		
Health insurance	29,879	41,008
Vision insurance	1,026	1,020
Dental insurance	4,307	3,105
Total Administrative Fees	35,212	45,133
Grand Total	\$ 1,114,127	\$ 865,827

<u>Schedule of Average Benefit Payments - Pension</u> <u>Last Ten Years</u>

Payment Periods	Credited Service (Years) as of September 30															
		0 - 5		5 - 10		10 - 15		15 - 20		20 - 25	25 - 30		30 +			Total
Period 10/1/00 to 9/30/01:																
Average Monthly Benefit	\$	1,144	\$	1,365	\$	1,668	\$	2,618	\$	3,080	\$	2,628	\$	3,761	\$	2,446
Average Final Average Salary		7,066		59,526		51,362		60,795		67,803		83,459		73,014		60,618
Number of Active Retirants		23		31		109		188		128		47		20		546
Period 10/1/01 to 9/30/02:																
Average Monthly Benefit	\$	1,144	\$	1,363	\$	1,712	\$	2,618	\$	3,015	\$	2,718	\$	3,904	\$	2,442
Average Final Average Salary		7,066		60,075		53,476		62,450		67,578		84,054		76,199		61,683
Number of Active Retirants		23		32		109		180		125		47		19		535
Period 10/1/02 to 9/30/03:																
Average Monthly Benefit	\$	1,026	\$	1,251	\$	1,978	\$	2,771	\$	3,217	\$	2,722	\$	4,197	\$	2,593
Average Final Average Salary		813		49,406		48,531		56,406		61,051		58,177		59,739		53,211
Number of Active Retirants		24		31		118		188		121		46		23		551
Period 10/1/03 to 9/30/04:																
Average Monthly Benefit	\$	1,026	\$	1,247	\$	2,016	\$	2,917	\$	3,317	\$	2,940	\$	3,871	\$	2,667
Average Final Average Salary		813		49,810		48,982		55,299		61,840		59,355		59,739		53,149
Number of Active Retirants		24		33		118		188		117		46		23		549
Period 10/1/04 to 9/30/05:																
Average Monthly Benefit	\$	1,057	\$	1,263	\$	2,074	\$	2,984	\$	3,382	\$	2,986	\$	3,850	\$	2,718
Average Final Average Salary		780		51,605		48,782		53,902		62,131		58,827		59,739		52,634
Number of Active Retirants		25		34		117		193		116		44		23		552
Period 10/1/05 to 9/30/06:																
Average Monthly Benefit	\$	1,023	\$	1,411	\$	2,098	\$	3,013	\$	3,364	\$	2,953	\$	3,569	\$	2,807
Average Final Average Salary		3,250		71,249		61,140		69,683		76,983		75,353		92,016		70,441
Number of Active Retirants		6		30		112		193		117		49		26		533
Period 10/1/06 to 9/30/07:																
Average Monthly Benefit	\$	888	\$	1,467	\$	2,126	\$	3,228	\$	3,440	\$	3,064	\$	3,569	\$	2,935
Average Final Average Salary		3,900		70,222		63,067		72,137		80,455		81,286		93,887		73,280
Number of Active Retirants		5		31		109		202		124		45		26		542
Period 10/1/07 to 9/30/08:																
Average Monthly Benefit	\$	888	\$	1,467	\$	2,164	\$	3,265	\$	3,323	\$	3,074	\$	3,704	\$	2,939
Average Final Average Salary		3,900		70,222		64,589		72,403		81,027		80,861		97,424		73,861
Number of Active Retirants		5		31		107		206		123		44		24		540
Period 10/1/08 to 9/30/09:																
Average Monthly Benefit	\$	888	\$	1,421	\$	2,303	\$	3,377	\$	3,401	\$	3,211	\$	3,793	\$	3,031
Average Final Average Salary		37,149		74,389		67,177		78,416		83,236		81,197		90,472		77,308
Number of Active Retirants		5		32		109		210		126		40		20		542
Period 10/1/09 to 9/30/10:																
Average Monthly Benefit	\$	888	\$	1,421	\$	2,389	\$	3,459	\$	3,429	\$	3,257	\$	4,004	\$	3,096
Average Final Average Salary		37,149		74,389		69,084		79,411		84,463		82,687		94,002		78,630
Number of Active Retirants		5		32		110		204		127		42		21		541

Schedule of Average Benefit Payments - Health

Last Four Years

Payment Periods	Credited Service (Years) as of September 30											
	0 - 5	5 - 10	10 - 15	15 - 20	20 - 25	25 - 30	30 +	Total				
Period 10/1/06 to 9/30/07												
Average Monthly Benefit	\$ 17	\$ 2,102	\$ 2,235	\$ 3,544	\$ 3,791	\$ 4,426	\$ 5,859	\$ 3,351				
Average Final Average Salary	19,500	84,638	63,913	79,862	88,876	105,084	131,721	81,436				
Number of Active Retirants	1	3	20	33	19	9	2	87				
Period 10/1/07 to 9/30/08												
Average Monthly Benefit	\$ 17	\$ 2,102	\$ 2,264	\$ 3,456	\$ 3,488	\$ 4,648	\$ 5,859	\$ 3,287				
Average Final Average Salary	19,500	84,638	66,144	78,843	88,876	105,720	131,721	81,745				
Number of Active Retirants	1	3	18	32	19	8	2	83				
Period 10/1/08 to 9/30/09												
Average Monthly Benefit	\$ 17	\$ 2,102	\$ 2,264	\$ 3,699	\$ 3,337	\$ 5,392	\$ 5,859	\$ 3,361				
Average Final Average Salary	19,500	84,638	66,144	85,685	89,254	125,557	131,721	85,455				
Number of Active Retirants	1	3	18	30	20	6	2	80				
Period 10/1/09 to 9/30/10												
Average Monthly Benefit	\$ 17	\$ 2,102	\$ 2,264	\$ 3,804	\$ 3,367	\$ 5,392	\$ 5,859	\$ 3,378				
Average Final Average Salary	19,500	84,638	65,338	79,121	90,360	125,557	131,721	82,664				
Number of Active Retirants	1	3	20	32	21	6	2	85				

Source: Gabriel Roeder Smith & Co.

Schedule of Average Benefit Payments - Dental

Last Four Years

Payment Periods	Credited Service (Years) as of September 30											
	0 - 5	5 - 10	10 - 15	15 - 20	20 - 25	25 - 30	30 +	Total				
Period 10/1/06 to 9/30/07												
Average Monthly Benefit	-	\$ 1,923	\$ 2,408	\$ 3,471	\$ 3,599	\$ 4,088	\$ 4,017	\$ 3,349				
Average Final Average Salary	-	84,070	65,954	76,790	79,234	95,142	88,821	78,270				
Number of Active Retirants	-	5	28	55	38	17	7	150				
Period 10/1/07 to 9/30/08												
Average Monthly Benefit	-	\$ 1,923	\$ 2,510	\$ 3,447	\$ 3,513	\$ 4,178	\$ 3,990	\$ 3,354				
Average Final Average Salary	-	84,070	68,761	77,730	81,887	94,839	88,958	79,829				
Number of Active Retirants	-	5	25	56	38	16	6	146				
Period 10/1/08 to 9/30/09												
Average Monthly Benefit	-	\$ 1,923	\$ 2,616	\$ 3,510	\$ 3,505	\$ 4,429	\$ 4,054	\$ 3,394				
Average Final Average Salary	-	84,070	70,379	81,063	83,005	101,786	99,613	82,293				
Number of Active Retirants	-	5	26	55	37	14	4	141				
Period 10/1/09 to 9/30/10												
Average Monthly Benefit	-	\$ 1,923	\$ 2,631	\$ 3,639	\$ 3,503	\$ 4,447	\$ 4,054	\$ 3,456				
Average Final Average Salary	-	84,070	72,415	78,988	84,063	102,499	99,613	82,374				
Number of Active Retirants	-	5	26	55	39	15	4	144				

Schedule of Average Benefit Payments - Vision


Last Four Years

Payment Periods	Credited Service (Years) as of September 30															
	0 -	. 5	5 -	10	10	- 15	15	- 20	20	- 25	25	- 30	30	+	То	tal
Period 10/1/06 to 9/30/07																
Average Monthly Benefit	\$	17	\$	2,186	\$	2,526	\$	3,795	\$	4,003	\$	4,377	\$	4,065	\$	3,651
Average Final Average Salary		19,500		96,442		67,760		80,314		86,286		102,028		99,110		83,177
Number of Active Retirants		1		3		20		42		35		14		5		120
Period 10/1/07 to 9/30/08																
Average Monthly Benefit	\$	17	\$	2,186	\$	2,588	\$	3,801	\$	3,775	\$	4,510	\$	4,038	\$	3,618
Average Final Average Salary		19,500		96,442		70,418		81,753		86,286		102,184		101,887		84,189
Number of Active Retirants		1		3		18		42		35		13		4		116
Period 10/1/08 to 9/30/09																
Average Monthly Benefit	\$	17	\$	2,186	\$	2,728	\$	3,916	\$	3,719	\$	4,624	\$	4,317	\$	3,667
Average Final Average Salary		19,500		96,442		72,545		85,688		87,123		106,348		111,045		86,469
Number of Active Retirants		1		3		19		43		35		12		3		116
Period 10/1/09 to 9/30/10																
Average Monthly Benefit	\$	17	\$	2,186	\$	2,749	\$	3,955	\$	3,753	\$	4,624	\$	5,372	\$	3,707
Average Final Average Salary		19,500		96,442		75,332		83,630		88,016		106,348		137,252		86,723
Number of Active Retirants		1		3		19		42		37		12		2		116

<u>Schedule of Principal Participating Employers</u> For Fiscal Years Ending September 30, 2010 and 2001

	201	.0	2001	1
Participating Employers	Employees	Percentage of Total System	Employees	Percentage of Total System
Court of Appeals	17	7.7 %	24	6.7 %
03rd Circuit Court	14	6.3	27	7.5
03rd District Court	10	4.5	2	0.6
05th Circuit Court	7	3.2	1	0.3
Recorders Court	6	2.7	8	2.2
16th Circuit Court	5	2.3	4	1.1
Wayne County Probate Court	5	2.3	8	2.2
07th Circuit Court	4	1.8	4	1.1
14th Circuit Court	4	1.8	4	1.1
22nd Circuit Court	4	1.8	4	1.1
All other	145	65.6	273	76.0
Total	221	100.0 %	359	100.0 %

<u>Ten Year History of Membership</u> Fiscal Year Ended September 30



Schedule of Participating Employers through 9/30/10

Elected Offices 16th District Court Supreme Court 17th District Court Court Of Appeals 19th District Court 03rd Circuit Court 21st District Court 04th Circuit Court 22nd District Court 05th Circuit Court 25th District Court 06th Circuit Court 26th District Court 28th District Court 07th Circuit Court **08th Circuit Court** 31st District Court 09th Circuit Court 33rd District Court 10th Circuit Court 36th District Court 37th District Court 13th Circuit Court 14th Circuit Court 39th District Court 16th Circuit Court 40A District Court 17th Circuit Court 41B District Court 18th Circuit Court 42nd District Court 20th Circuit Court 43rd District Court 21st Circuit Court 44th District Court 22nd Circuit Court 46th District Court 27th Circuit Court **48th District Court** 30th Circuit Court 51st District Court 31st Circuit Court 52nd District Court 32nd Circuit Court 54B District Court 34th Circuit Court 56A District Court 35th Circuit Court 57th District Court 36th Circuit Court 58th District Court 37th Circuit Court 60th District Court 38th Circuit Court 61st District Court 39th Circuit Court 63rd District Court 40th Circuit Court 64th District Court 41st Circuit Court 65th District Court 46th Circuit Court 66th District Court 56th Circuit Court 67th District Court 57th Circuit Court 68th District Court 03rd District Court 70th District Court 05th District Court 71st District Court 07th District Court 74th District Court **08th District Court** 76th District Court 10th District Court 77th District Court 78th District Court 14th District Court 15th District Court 80th District Court

Schedule of Participating Employers through 9/30/10 (continued)

81st District Court

82nd District Court

84th District Court

85th District Court

86th District Court

87th District Court

88th District Court

90th District Court

91st District Court

95th District Court

98th District Court

Bay County Probate Court

Benzie County Probate Court

Branch County Probate Court

Calhoun County Probate Court

Cass County Probate Court

Chippewa County Probate Court

Clare County Probate Court

Emmet County Probate Court

Gogebic County Probate Court

Huron County Probate Court

Iosco County Probate Court

Iron County Probate Court

Isabella County Probate Court

Kalamazoo County Probate Court

Kent County Probate Court

Lake County Probate Court

Leelanau County Probate Court

Macomb County Probate Court

Mason County Probate Court

Mecosta/Osceola Probate District 18

Monroe County Probate Court

Montmorency County Probate Court

Muskegon County Probate Court

Oscoda County Probate Court

Ottawa County Probate Court

Recorders Court

Sanilac County Probate Court

Shiawassee County Probate Court

St Joseph County Probate Court

Washtenaw County Probate Court

Wayne County Probate Court

Wexford County Probate Court

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The *Michigan Judges' Retirement System Comprehensive Annual Financial Report* is prepared by Financial Services, Fiscal Management Division. Staff of the division for the fiscal year 2009-2010 report included:

Management:

Ronald W. Foss, Director Cindy Peters, Accounting Manager

Accountants:

Randy Bitner Rob Feguer Dan Harry Erik Simmer Paula Webb

Technical and Support Staff:

Patricia Jorae Jamin Schroeder

Special thanks are also extended to the Office of Retirement Services personnel, accounting and support personnel throughout Financial Services, Investments Division of Treasury, Office of the Auditor General, Gabriel Roeder Smith & Company and the staff at the Office of Financial Management. Preparation of this report would not have been possible without the efforts of these individuals.

The report may be viewed on-line at: www.michigan.gov/ors