## City of Hamtramck

## Receivership Transition Advisory Board Meeting Minutes

Tuesday, November 28, 2017

Hamtramck City Hall

Council Chambers - 2nd floor

3401 Evaline

Hamtramck, Michigan 48212

## RTAB MEMBERS PRESENT:

DEBORAH ROBERTS KAREN YOUNG MARK STEMA AL BOGDAN

ALSO PRESENT:

KATHY ANGERER City Manager

ERIC CLINE
Michigan Department of Treasury

Reported by:
Nina Lunsford (CER 4539)
Modern Court Reporting & Video, LLC
SCAO FIRM NO. 08228
101-A North Lewis Street
Saline, Michigan 48176
(734) 429-9143/krs

1	Tuesday, November 28, 2017
2	Called to order at 1:03 p.m.
3	* * * *
4	MS. ROBERTS: It is slightly after 1:00 on
5	Tuesday, November 28, 2017, and I will call the City of
6	Hamtramck Receivership Transition Advisory Board meeting
7	to order.
8	Mr. Cline, could you take roll, please?
9	MR. CLINE: Deb Roberts?
10	MS. ROBERTS: Here.
11	MR. CLINE: Mark Stema.
12	MR. STEMA: Here.
13	MR. CLINE: Karen Young.
14	MS. YOUNG: Present.
15	MR. CLINE: Al Bogdan.
16	MR. BOGDAN: Here.
17	MR. CLINE: A quorum is present, and Mr.
18	McInerny has an excused absence.
19	MS. ROBERTS: Thank you.
20	As a reminder to the public, if anybody would
21	like to speak, please sign up at the podium.
22	First on the agenda is approval of the agenda.
23	MS. ANGERER: Madam Chair?
24	MS. ROBERTS: Yes?
25	MS. ANGERER: Could you please add Resolution

1	2017-91 to the agenda. I believe you received all the
2	materials previously.
3	MS. ROBERTS: Yes.
4	MS. ANGERER: However, it wasn't added to the
5	agenda, if you could do that.
6	MS. ROBERTS: Yes. I will add that item as
7	Number eight-and-a-half. That's twenty-seven dash ninety-
8	one, Veteran's Park RFP, recommendation/approval and
9	approval of transfer of CDBG funds.
10	MS. ANGERER: Thank you.
11	MS. ROBERTS: I would entertain a motion to
12	approve the agenda as amended and presented.
13	MR. STEMA: Motion to approve.
14	MS. YOUNG: Second.
15	MS. ROBERTS: Any discussion?
16	(No response.)
17	MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, all those in favor
18	say aye.
19	MR. STEMA: Aye.
20	MS. YOUNG: Aye.
21	MR. BOGDAN: Aye.
22	MS. ROBERTS: Aye.
23	Opposed the same.
24	(No response.)
25	MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries.

1	Next on the agenda is approval of the RTAB
2	minutes from the October 24th, 2017 regular meeting. I
3	will entertain a motion to approve the October 24th, 2017
4	RTAB meeting minutes.
5	MR. BOGDAN: Motion to approve.
6	MR. STEMA: Seconded.
7	MS. ROBERTS: Any discussion?
8	(No response.)
9	MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, all those in favor
10	say aye.
11	MR. STEMA: Aye.
12	MS. YOUNG: Aye.
13	MR. BOGDAN: Aye.
14	MS. ROBERTS: Aye.
15	Opposed the same.
16	(No response.)
17	MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries.
18	Next on the agenda is public comment.
19	Mr. Cline, has anyone signed up for public
20	comment?
21	MR. CLINE: We have three individuals.
22	MS. ROBERTS: Okay.
23	MR. CLINE: Tamara.
24	MS. ROBERTS: As a reminder, it's two minutes
25	per person.

MS. SOCHACKA: Yeah.

MS. ROBERTS: That's not on, just so you know.

MS. SOCHACKA: Oh, okay. My name is Tamara Sochacka. I'm the head librarian and director of the Hamtramck Public Library, and I would like to take the advantage of this public comment portion of the meeting since we were not placed on the agenda to present my plea for allowing normal operations of the library.

The new procedures implemented since the departure of Katrina Powell ignore a resolution appointment by the library board and create roadblocks to regular operations of the library. I'd like to emphasize that during the takeover by state emergency manager twice it was the city administration that caused financial problems in the city budget. The library was always operated within its means, and all the decision of the library board were honored.

Since the departure of the city controller at the end of May, the library millage collections have not been deposited to the library fund; the HR department has been imposing changed rules on the library staff; and our practice has been to solicit volunteers to help the library, train them in the library operation and create a pool from which part-time pages, employees are hired. This practice minimized our expenses. When full-time

positions become available we give -- always we gave priority to part-time employees who are already proficient in their duties. And if none of the part-time employees qualify, then we advertise outside of the library. And this is the only way we can provide good quality service to our community operating on the smallest budget of all class four libraries in Michigan. Please take my message under consideration and allow for normal operations of the library without the red tape created by the city administration. And copies of some of my communication to the city administration are included in the information package. May I give you the information package?

MS. ROBERTS: Sure.

MR. STEMA: Thank you.

MS. ROBERTS: Thank you.

MR. CLINE: Mr. Zwolak.

MR. ZWOLAK: Good afternoon.

BOARD IN UNISON: Good afternoon.

MR. ZWOLAK: I'd like to add my support of one of the items, you have it on the agenda, and that is the hiring of Mr. Rodney Johnson as our DPW superintendent. I had the fortunate opportunity to work with him, not as a councilman, but as a regular resident here. He was appointed I believe by our former emergency manager. He has been part now, and thankful to Ms. Angerer for

appointing him or suggesting him because he's bringing in that consistency and continuity that we need, and with his experience in that department already he's very much familiar with what's needed and required. Of course he has a staffing problem, but all the departments have a staffing problem. So I highly recommend approval of that hiring of Mr. Rodney Johnson.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

On another note, you know in the past I have strongly recommended that the TAB Board attend some of our council meetings. I think tonight is another excellent opportunity. There's one item on the agenda that I think is of concern. One of the resolutions on the agenda is where the council is considering going after our former city manager for about \$10,000 in severance pay. five months after she's left. I don't think it's appropriate, and in light of the fact of the policies that we have in the past I think we're just begging for another lawsuit for the city. We have enough lawsuits that have been dragging on for quite a long time now, and to go after something that's five months old when it should have been dealt with at the time I think is inappropriate. I strongly advise you to attend our council meeting and see how they deal with this, but this is the situation that has been initiated by the council.

Again, thank you.

1 MS. ROBERTS: Thank you.

MR. CLINE: Andrea.

MS. KARPINSKI: Good afternoon.

BOARD IN UNISON: Good afternoon.

MS. KARPINSKI: I just want to speak on a concern of mine about the agenda item that was added, the reallocation of the CDBG funds. I feel as council this resolution was kind of forced down our throat. We didn't really have much input in it. The deadlines were there, and rather than lose the money and have it gone to another community we kind of had to accept what was proposed.

I have concerns. I asked questions about, you know, different things of why the reallocation, one of which was the code enforcement money and why we hadn't hired code enforcement and it was, you know, just pass the buck to the former city manager. So I wasn't really happy with that answer.

And as far as the renovation, the rehab funds, I think for \$15,000 we could have -- it could have been marketed different to get people to apply for those funds to be used.

This playscape or play field that was proposed and accepted, I don't believe that it had much community input for it. Like I said, I believe, you know, we kind of were forced to approve it because we didn't want to

lose the funds altogether. With the resolution we purchased the equipment, and then I questioned where that was going to come from install, and apparently that's in the future budget for CDBG, but I'm unclear that that's allowable.

So I hope that you question it. Again, we have to kind of pass it because otherwise we lose those funds, but I hope you have some questions regarding that.

So thank you.

MR. CLINE: No other public comment.

MS. ROBERTS: We'll move on with the agenda.

There is no old business.

We'll move on to new business. First thing on the agenda is the approval of resolutions and ordinances from city council meetings. Resolution from the regular city council meetings of October 10th, 2017. I would entertain a motion to approve all ordinances and resolutions from the October 10th, 2017 regular city council meeting.

MS. YOUNG: Motion to approve.

MR. BOGDAN: Second.

MS. ROBERTS: Any discussion?

(No response.)

MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, all those in favor say aye.

1	MR. STEMA: Aye.
2	MS. YOUNG: Aye.
3	MR. BOGDAN: Aye.
4	MS. ROBERTS: Aye.
5	Opposed the same.
6	(No response.)
7	MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries.
8	Next on the agenda is resolutions from the
9	regular city council meeting of October 24th, 2017. I
10	will entertain a motion to approve all ordinances and
11	resolutions from the October 24th, 2017 regular city
12	council meeting.
13	MR. STEMA: Motion to approve.
14	MR. BOGDAN: Second.
15	MS. ROBERTS: Any discussion?
16	(No response.)
17	MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, all those in favor
18	say aye.
19	MR. STEMA: Aye.
20	MS. YOUNG: Aye.
21	MR. BOGDAN: Aye.
22	MS. ROBERTS: Aye.
23	Opposed the same.
24	(No response.)
25	MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries.

1 Next on the agenda is the claims and accounts 2 from the regular city council meeting draft minutes of 3 November 14th, 2017. I will entertain a motion to 4 approve, deny, or postpone claims and accounts from the 5 regular city council meeting draft minutes of November 14th, 2017. 6 7 MS. YOUNG: Motion to approve. 8 MR. STEMA: Seconded. 9 MS. ROBERTS: Any discussion? 10 (No response.) MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, all those in favor 11 12 say aye. 13 MR. STEMA: Aye. 14 MS. YOUNG: Aye. 15 MR. BOGDAN: Aye. 16 MS. ROBERTS: Aye. 17 Opposed the same. 18 (No response.) 19 MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries. 20 Next on the agenda is the city administrator 21 We've already approved the city council meetings. 22 So I'll move on to the invoice register and 23 preapproved expenditures. I will entertain a motion to 24 approve, deny, or postpone the invoice register and

preapproved expenditures.

1	MR. BOGDAN: Motion to approve.
2	MS. YOUNG: Second.
3	MS. ROBERTS: Any discussion?
4	(No response.)
5	MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, all those in favor
6	say aye.
7	MR. STEMA: Aye.
8	MS. YOUNG: Aye.
9	MR. BOGDAN: Aye.
10	MS. ROBERTS: Aye.
11	Opposed the same.
12	(No response.)
13	MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries.
14	Next on the agenda is approval of the budget to
15	actual and cash flow reports.
16	Ms. Angerer, would you please provide a summary
17	of this item for the board?
18	MS. ANGERER: Thank you.
19	I'd like to call our chief financial officer,
20	city controller, Susan Hendricks up to give an overview of
21	those items.
22	MS. ROBERTS: Okay.
23	MS. HENDRICKS: Thank you.
24	I'm actually going to start with something that
25	is related to this but not exactly this, and that is

letting you know the June 30th, 2017 audit is on track. They completed the majority of the field work yesterday. A few open items, but we do have a work plan that has us submitting a audited financial statement before the December 31st deadline, and so far everybody has been able to maintain those deadlines. So good news that I just wanted to make sure I shared with all of you.

MR. STEMA: Thank you.

MS. HENDRICKS: As to the statements in front of you today, the largest things that had been hanging out there open have definitely been handled, the property tax issue, all of the bank reconciliation issues. That's not to say we won't find some small things here or there, but they are materially correct in comparison to what you may have been receiving previously, and any adjustments will be done in future months. We will not be reopening those old months. So this is where we are. We got the big things done.

Most of the departments, if you take it simply four months down in the year, we want expenditures to be around the 33 percent mark, and you'll see that most of them are. There's one that is over but that's because a large amount is paid at the beginning of the year so we wouldn't expect to see that. So most of the departments at this time are tracking on budget. I will be looking

into them deeper and giving you better status on each department as I move along. I appreciate the time to let me figure out how -- what everything is going on here, but I wanted to make sure that within one month you got substantially correct financial statements.

If there's anything in particular that you'd like me to address I'm happy to do that.

MR. STEMA: I just have one general question, kind of overall. You mentioned the audit that's being done and it looks like it's going to be on time. When are we going to get adjustments for last year? Because didn't we have to have some adjustments for the stuff that was over and under and all that? I know we've been waiting, or no, for the previous year's budget or no?

MS. HENDRICKS: So that's a matter -- so either way at this point if we do budget adjustments to last year --

MR. STEMA: Okay.

MS. HENDRICKS: -- we have to put a note in the financial statements that we did them late.

MR. STEMA: Okay.

MS. HENDRICKS: If we don't do them, they're there and they say, "Well, you didn't do them." Either way it's a note in our financial statements. I don't know if there's a preference here. I would have to get with

our auditors to see how comfortable they would be for me to bring actual adjustments in. I don't know that we're there yet, but I think we're close.

MR. STEMA: So in totality what are we looking at over/under budget, this, like this -- you know, obviously we believe when we approved the budget in the beginning of the year we approved, which was basically going to eat into the general fund I think about \$700,000 or something like that. I can't remember the exact number, but I believe it was that. So what are we looking at in there?

MS. HENDRICKS: So can I just clarify?

The budget that was adopted for June 30th, 2018, so the one we're in right now, has approximately \$300,000 coming from the fund balance. The 2017 audit that we're just finishing --

MR. STEMA: Yep.

MS. HENDRICKS: -- and I'm speaking general fund right now by the way, is looking like we will be increasing the general fund around \$700,000.

MS. ROBERTS: You're increasing or you're --

MS. HENDRICKS: Increasing. At June 30, '17 increasing the general fund's fund balance around \$700,000. The budget for '18 was to reduce it about three, so we'd have a net four.

MR. STEMA: Well, we were reducing it in '17 too because at that time when we approved the budget they didn't have the firemen grant yet, and so we were projecting a \$700,000 and even when we got the firemen grant they were --

MS. ROBERTS: They lost part of it, yeah.

MR. STEMA: -- they were going to get part of it and then they lost some. So what you're saying is so what ended up happening in '17 is the budget still actually grew and grew the general fund even though in the beginning of the year it was projected to lose?

MS. HENDRICKS: Yes.

MS. ROBERTS: So we can close out all -- so if we can close out, that means that everything was under budgeted --

MR. STEMA: Or some things were under budgeted.

MS. ROBERTS: Because we can't close out --

MS. HENDRICKS: Some things were under budgeted, some revenues were under budgeted, some expenditures were over budgeted. So we didn't spend some money where we wanted to. I need to do a deep dive into that analysis, but it's coming from both sides. Our revenues were higher than what was originally budgeted, some of our expenditures were lower than what was originally budgeted, which created a net positive for us in June 30 of '17.

1 MR. STEMA: Doesn't the state rules --2 MS. ROBERTS: Where we're coming from is we've never seen the year-end. So now you're telling that an 3 4 audit is going to be shown and we don't know where we're 5 at in the financials. 6 MR. STEMA: Because I thought --7 MS. ROBERTS: Because the last six months we 8 haven't had good financials. 9 MS. HENDRICKS: Okay. 10 MR. STEMA: Numbers. We've never gotten good 11 financial numbers for the end of the year because it was 12 all waiting to get caught up. So when the year ended in 13 June --14 MS. HENDRICKS: So what I wanted to say is I can 15 bring you unaudited numbers to your next meeting --16 MR. STEMA: Okay. 17 MS. HENDRICKS: -- but I believe that would be -18 19 MS. ANGERER: We'll be close to being audited at 20 that point. 21 MS. HENDRICKS: That's December -- I don't have 22 a calendar in front of me -- that's the end of December. 23 Our audit needs to be to Lansing by December 31st. So, I 24 mean you're going to be seeing them really last minute,

but we can definitely bring them to your next TAB board.

1 MS. ANGERER: Do you want to have an earlier 2 meeting in December due to the holiday?

MS. ROBERTS: I don't know that it matters.

MR. STEMA: Yeah.

MS. ROBERTS: We were just trying to figure out where we are with the financials because we were told for several months we would get them.

MS. HENDRICKS: Right.

MS. ROBERTS: And then we never -- and now we finally, we finally did, but we're now into November -
MS. HENDRICKS: And I'm showing you the current year --

MS. ROBERTS: -- and we don't know what the year-end looks liked --

MR. BOGDAN: -- not last year.

MR. STEMA: Yeah, and I agree because most of my, a lot of my questions, you know, at the end would have been concerning the year-end to see what it is because I know we've projected a loss and we're projecting there. I mean, I know I'd like to know what changed, what hasn't, you know what I mean? And all that. And I'm not sure I understand -- because I have an audit background -- I understand, you know, the note thing, but I thought that for the state that you would have to make adjustments to those accounts why they're late so nothing's over. Or

```
1
        maybe I'm wrong.
2
                  MS. ROBERTS: But I think what they're telling
3
        us is nothing's over.
4
                  MR. STEMA: No, no, no, no, no.
5
                  MS. HENDRICKS:
                                  Well -- oh, no.
                              There's going to be some --
6
                  MR. STEMA:
7
                  MS. HENDRICKS:
                                  There's going to be some over.
                  MR. STEMA: No, there's some budget areas over.
8
9
        What basically happened is that totality is on there, so
10
        you're going to have some areas that are under, some
11
        expenses that are over --
12
                  MS. ROBERTS: Yeah, I see --
13
                  MR. STEMA: -- and just the net, but I think
14
        that you --
                  MS. ROBERTS: I think --
15
16
                  MR. STEMA: -- for the state ---
17
                  MS. HENDRICKS: You used to.
18
                  MR. STEMA: -- for the state you have to make
19
        those adjustments.
20
                  MS. HENDRICKS: You used to have to.
21
                  MR. STEMA: Okay, so you don't is what you're
22
         saying --
23
                  MS. HENDRICKS: So that's how I came up --
24
                  MR. STEMA: Okay.
25
                  MS. HENDRICKS: -- at one point we had to.
                                                               Now
```

```
1
        they -- now either way they're saying you're putting it in
2
        your financial statements either way.
3
                  MS. ROBERTS: Well, yeah, you've got to find --
4
                  MR. STEMA: Okay, well, yeah, I understand the
5
        notes that --
6
                  MR. BOGDAN: Right.
7
                  MS. ROBERTS: You've got to find it one way or
8
        another.
9
                  MR. STEMA: Yeah.
10
                  MS. ROBERTS: Because either you did it late --
                  MS. HENDRICKS: Right, so but --
11
12
                  MS. ROBERTS: -- or you didn't do it at all.
13
                  MR. STEMA: Yeah.
14
                  MS. HENDRICKS: But, yes, earlier in my career -
15
16
                  MR. STEMA:
                              Yeah.
17
                  MS. HENDRICKS: -- your statement is 100 percent
18
        correct. We all had to do it.
19
                  MR. STEMA:
                              Yeah.
20
                  MS. HENDRICKS: Even if you did it after the
21
        year.
22
                  MR. STEMA:
                              Okay.
23
                  MS. HENDRICKS: They don't require us to do it
24
         anymore.
                  MR. STEMA: So, I'm fine then, just seeing the
25
```

1 totality, being able to see the breakdown where the 2 savings were and stuff like that. If you could maybe when 3 the numbers are good do a report on where we had 4 significant savings, where you had significant expendings 5 6 MS. HENDRICKS: And I have some ideas right now 7 8 MR. STEMA: -- and point some of that stuff out. MS. HENDRICKS: -- but I want a little more 9 10 comfort that those numbers are good. 11 MR. STEMA: Perfectly fine, but that would be great to have when that comes. 12 13 MS. HENDRICKS: Okay. 14 MS. ROBERTS: And for this current year that 15 we're in that we do budget adjustments much earlier than 16 waiting until this --MS. HENDRICKS: Yes, I'm already looking at some 17 18 of those things. It's going to take me a little bit to 19 get them put together, but yes, our goal is to, you know, 20 have the budget adjustments done before the year-end. 21 MS. ROBERTS: Okay, thank you. 22 MR. STEMA: Okay, thank you. 23 MS. ROBERTS: I will entertain a motion to 24 approve, deny, or postpone the budget to actual and cash

25

flow reports.

1 MS. YOUNG: Motion to approve. 2 MR. STEMA: Seconded. 3 MS. ROBERTS: Any further discussion? 4 (No response.) 5 MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, all those in favor 6 say aye. 7 MR. STEMA: Aye. 8 MS. YOUNG: Aye. 9 MR. BOGDAN: Aye. 10 MS. ROBERTS: Aye. 11 Opposed the same. 12 (No response.) 13 MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries. 14 Next on the agenda is approval to hire one part-15 time code enforcement officer. 16 Ms. Angerer, would you please provide a summary 17 of this item for the board? 18 MS. ANGERER: Thank you, madam chair. 19 As you can see from the memo, this is a request 20 to hire one part-time code enforcement officer. This 21 would bring the staffing to the department to three 22 officers; one who acts as a supervisor. We hired one 23 several meetings ago, and this will now bring the staff to 24 three. He will be paid \$17 per hour. There is money in 25 the budget for this. He's met all the pre-employment

prerequisites and he's ready for hire.

We did interview other individuals and this one stood out. He is currently a entry police reserve officer and volunteers frequently with the department at festivals and other times as needed. He is multilingual which I think will be a benefit to the department in helping with some of the educational components that a code enforcement officer works with with individuals. It's not all writing tickets in enforcement; it's looking for compliance. And so I think he'd be really helpful with that and he's anxious to start work.

MS. ROBERTS: Okay, thank you.

I would entertain a motion to approve, deny, or postpone hiring one part-time code enforcement officer.

MR. STEMA: Motion to approve.

MR. BOGDAN: Second.

MS. ROBERTS: Any discussion?

MR. STEMA: I just have a quick question. I know that he's going to be the third. How many positions are budgeted?

If I remember correctly, I was thinking that there was more than three. Based on the last budget it was like five or six or something like that.

MS. ANGERER: And so we pay for code enforcement out of CDBG funds.

1 MR. STEMA: Okay. 2 MS. ANGERER: And so on an annual basis I need to make sure that HUD is going to continue to fund this 3 4 position. We were told at a point last year that it may 5 be an area that may not be funded. And so I don't want to 6 over hire and have the city on the hook for these staffers 7 if we don't have a way to fund it. So I want to wait to 8 see what happens with code enforcement as it pertains to 9 CDBG funding. We did feel like we had enough for five 10 officers total. So you haven't gotten, the city 11 MR. STEMA: 12 hasn't been assigned their fund check --13 MS. ANGERER: We just --14 MR. STEMA: -- for the fiscal year '18? 15 MS. ANGERER: We just got our contract for 16 **'**17/**'**18 --17 MR. STEMA: Yeah. 18 MS. ANGERER: -- and it was approved. 19 MR. STEMA: Okay. 20 MS. ANGERER: But that's on a year to year 21 basis, the categories. 22 MR. STEMA: Yeah. 23 MS. ANGERER: And so what I'm talking about is 24 the category of code enforcement. When Wayne County

started talking about how to fund and what categories,

1 code enforcement was one that was discussed is being taken 2 away, and we have --3 MR. STEMA: So --MS. ANGERER: -- used code enforcement probably 4 5 all with CDBG funds. The city has not funded that 6 position at all. 7 MR. STEMA: Okay, so --MS. ANGERER: So I don't want to overstaff. 8 9 MR. STEMA: So you're not sure yet if '18, if 10 it's going to be allowed or not? MS. ANGERER: I'm not sure of '18/'19. 11 12 MR. STEMA: Okay. 13 MS. ANGERER: You're allowed to spend -- now the 14 spending guidelines have changed, and that's something 15 that you're going to hear about later in the meeting. Now 16 we're allowed 18 months total to spend that money. 17 MR. STEMA: Okay. 18 MS. ANGERER: From July 1 of the fiscal year 19 through December 31st of the next year. 20 MR. STEMA: Yeah. 21 MS. ANGERER: It used to be two-and-a-half to 22 three years we could spend. 23 MR. STEMA: Yeah. 24 MS. ANGERER: And that is no longer the case.

MR. STEMA: So the coding is going to be

1	financed through
2	MS. ANGERER: We're covered
3	MR. STEMA: So, no, no; I don't understand that.
4	So we're going to be covered for at least 18 months then
5	of our coding people.
6	MS. ANGERER: Correct. Correct.
7	MR. STEMA: Okay.
8	MS. ANGERER: I want to be careful with the
9	hiring.
10	MR. STEMA: Yeah; no, I understand.
11	MS. ROBERTS: All set?
12	Okay, the motion before us is to approve the
13	hiring of one part-time code enforcement officer. All
14	those in favor say aye.
15	MR. STEMA: Aye.
16	MS. YOUNG: Aye.
17	MR. BOGDAN: Aye.
18	MS. ROBERTS: Aye.
19	Opposed the same.
20	(No response.)
21	MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries.
22	Next on the agenda is approval to hire director
23	of public service department.
24	Ms. Angerer, would you please provide a summary

of this item for us?

MS. ANGERER: Yes, and I'll just summarize the memo that you have. This vacancy when the former director resigned in the spring, and it's correct, you heard in public comment, Mr. Johnson has been working as a 1099 contractor since the time of the emergency manager, and he did that continuously since the time Cathy Square was here. His résumé has all the qualification needed for this position, including the S1 licensure. It was difficult to find someone that had that. We interviewed several individuals. What will happen when we hire this position is we will no longer fill the contractor position, so that will also free up some money in the budget making this department more lean.

And so before you you have Mr. Johnson's qualifications. He's a proven leader. He's made some incremental changes to the department since taking the interim position earlier this year, and I think it'll be wonderful to have him in a permanent position. And he's here today in the audience if you had any questions or comments for him.

MS. ROBERTS: Okay. I would entertain a motion to approve, deny, or postpone hiring a director of the public services department.

MR. BOGDAN: Motion to approve.

MS. YOUNG: Second.

1 MS. ROBERTS: Any discussion? 2 (No response.) 3 MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, all those in favor 4 say aye. 5 MR. STEMA: Aye. 6 MS. YOUNG: Aye. 7 MR. BOGDAN: Aye. 8 MS. ROBERTS: Aye. 9 Opposed the same. 10 (No response.) MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries. 11 12 Next on the agenda is approval of Resolution 13 2017-88, contract for Hamtramck stadium predevelopment 14 planning. While action on this item occurred during a 15 council meeting outside the normal review period for 16 today's board meeting, the city manager is requesting we bring this item forward for early review. City council 17 18 passed this Resolution on November 14th, 2017. 19 Ms. Angerer, could you please provide a summary 20 of this item for the board? 21 MS. ANGERER: Thank you. 22 And the board is likely aware that we received a 23 grant for funding for this predevelopment planning. 24 have Melanie Markowitz, our city planner, who secured that

grant, and I'd ask her to come up and speak a few words to

1 this.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. ROBERTS: Okay.

MS. ANGERER: Because it was a great process that was followed for the procurement, and she can give a few words on that.

MS. MARKOWITZ: Thank you very much.

MS. ROBERTS: It's not on.

MS. MARKOWITZ: Oh, it's not on. That's right. Fools everyone.

MS. ROBERTS: We should just, like, hide it.

MS. MARKOWITZ: Okay, so as you are aware, we were awarded a National Park Service African American Civil Rights grant, which we received the contract for at long last at the end of July of this year. In August we issued a competitive RFP to find firms that were going to complete this predevelopment work consisting of a historic structures report conditions assessment, conceptual architectural drawings, renderings, and an accurate rehabilitation cost estimate; something which will lead us into the next phase of actual development. important that we had the nuances for design and architectural and landscape architecture of recreation design services, so it wasn't a sealed bid procurement. It was a competitive RFP process as mandated by the federal government through the code of federal

regulations, as well as through our grant and cooperative agreement with the National Parks Service. Competitive procurement, we formed a evaluation committee to take a look at all of the RFP responses that we received and graded them according to the selection criteria as defined in the RFP.

At the end of the day after taking a look at those scores, really looking at those analyzation of those individual scores, what's going to be most advantageous to the program looking at references, interviews, and final and best offers for cost as well, we came up with Smith Group being the most advantageous firm to complete our program for Hamtramck Stadium, and we ask you today to approve the contract so that we can move forward.

MS. ROBERTS: Anyone have any questions?

MR. STEMA: Did the grant cover -- is covering the whole cost or --

MS. MARKOWITZ: No, no. So --

MR. STEMA: -- is there going to be city funds -

MS. MARKOWITZ: Yeah. Yes.

MR. STEMA: -- CDBG or?

MS. MARKOWITZ: So the grant from the National Park Service was capped out at \$50,000. However, for what we're asking for in the scope of work for what we're

1 asking for, it was going to cost more than \$50,000 and all the bids came in more than \$50,000. They ranged anywhere 2 3 from \$56,000 all the way up to \$140,000. So it was a 4 large kind of range there for this predevelopment work. 5 And so the extra overage is coming from CDBG funds, and one of the permitted uses of CDBG funds is the matching 6 7 portion for federal awards for grants. 8 MR. STEMA: Okav. 9 MS. MARKOWITZ: As well as the actual purview of 10 what we're doing is also within the scope of CDBG focus 11 area. 12 MR. STEMA: Yeah. 13 MS. MARKOWITZ: So it kind of fleshes it all out 14 in all areas. 15 MR. STEMA: Excellent. Okay. 16 MS. ROBERTS: I will entertain a motion to 17 approve, deny, or postpone Resolution 2017-88, the 18 contract for Hamtramck Stadium predevelopment planning. 19 MR. STEMA: Motion to approve. 20 MR. BOGDAN: Second. 21 MS. ROBERTS: Any further discussion? 22 (No response.) 23 MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, all those in favor 24 say aye.

Aye.

MR. STEMA:

1 MS. YOUNG: Aye.

2 MR. BOGDAN: Aye.

MS. ROBERTS: Aye.

Opposed the same.

(No response.)

MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries.

MS. MARKOWITZ: Thank you very much.

MS. ROBERTS: Thank you.

Next on the agenda is approval of Resolution 2017-89, tentative agreement with the Fraternal Order of Police, Hamtramck Lodge. While action on this item occurred during a council meeting outside the normal review period for today's board meeting, the city manager is requesting that we bring this item forward for early review. City council approved this resolution on November 14th, 2017.

Ms. Angerer, will you please provide a summary of this item for the board?

MS. ANGERER: Thank you, Madam Chair.

So in meeting with the FOP, I learned that while the city does not have to meet with the local, that they had not had any collective bargaining at all yet, and so they wanted to sit down and negotiate in good faith. We had a conversation. They had a long list of demands, and the city also had some on our side. However, in sitting

down and looking at that, we believe, I believe along with the FOP, that it's a good practice to settle the contractual obligations for the 2016 calendar year. We're being audited on that now, and so in order to have any sense of closure on that year because their contract expired so long ago, that this would be an advantageous way to settle this contract.

So the changes between the expired contract and the proposed contract are this: each member of the bargaining unit would receive \$1,500; the full-time members would receive a one percent wage increase. We would add some additional holidays. And they currently do not receive both a clothing and gun allowance, so we would add a second allowance so that they would have both a gun and clothing allowance at \$500.

MS. ROBERTS: Okay. And this contract only goes through June?

MS. ANGERER: Right.

MS. ROBERTS: Of 2018?

MS. ANGERER: It does. And I believe it paves the way for the next person sitting in the city manager seat to sit down and not have that contract be the first thing that they have to handle when they walk in the door. It gives them the end of that fiscal year and then a time to sit down with them and in good faith negotiate other

1 portion of that contract. And I feel the same way about 2 the next agenda item as well. 3 MR. STEMA: I have a quick question. In the one 4 line item it says budget impact, \$50,474 and a one-time 5 payment of 31. So the budget impact is really \$80,000. 6 You've got to add those two numbers together; the 31,000 7 part of the 50,000. 8 MS. ANGERER: Hang on one second, Mark. 9 MR. STEMA: Oh. 10 MS. ANGERER: Let me catch up to you. 11 All right, so the total budget impact for the 12 FOP agreement, the total annual increase is \$50,479.37, 13 and the one-time payment, \$31,500 that will go into last 14 fiscal year. 15 MR. STEMA: So that's actually going to affect 16 last fiscal year? 17 MS. ANGERER: Correct. 18 MR. STEMA: Okay. So it won't be part of this 19 budget term --20 MS. ANGERER: Correct. 21 MR. STEMA: -- just the raise will? 22 MS. ANGERER: Correct. 23 MR. STEMA: Okay. 24 MS. ROBERTS: Okay. I will entertain a motion to approve, deny, or postpone Resolution 2017-89, 25

1 agreement with the Fraternal Order of Police. 2 MR. BOGDAN: Motion to approve. 3 MS. YOUNG: Second. 4 MS. ROBERTS: Any further discussion? 5 (No response.) 6 MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, all those in favor 7 say aye. 8 MR. STEMA: Aye. 9 MS. YOUNG: Aye. 10 MR. BOGDAN: Aye. 11 MS. ROBERTS: Aye. 12 Opposed the same. 13 (No response.) 14 MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries. 15 Next on the agenda is approval of Resolution 16 2017-90, tentative agreement with Hamtramck Police Ranking 17 Officers Association. While action on this item occurred 18 during a council meeting outside the normal review period for today's board meeting, the city manager is requesting 19 20 that we bring this item forward for early review. City 21 council passed this resolution on November 14th, 2017. 22 Ms. Angerer, would you please provide a summary 23 of this item for the board? 24 MS. ANGERER: This item, this is the identical

package that was, that you just passed for the FOP.

This

1 is the Ranking Officers Association. It's a smaller 2 The negotiations were also all in good faith. And group. 3 you can see on your chart the amount of the budget impact 4 for 2017, as well as that one-time payment for 2016/17. 5 MR. STEMA: Just a quick follow-up to all of 6 Are you guys going to have to, because of the 7 impact on this year's budget, are you going to have to 8 make a budget adjustment then for these two amounts 9 together? 10 MS. ANGERER: So --MR. STEMA: Well, because of this 15 --11 MS. ANGERER: 12 For the past -- oh. 13 MR. STEMA: -- and plus the \$50,000? 14 MS. ANGERER: So going forward you mean? 15 MR. STEMA: Yeah, like going for '17/'18? 16 MS. ANGERER: Yes, you will see that again. 17 MR. STEMA: Okay. 18 Because there was nothing planned. MS. ANGERER: 19 MR. STEMA: Okay. Okay, that's what I was 20 asking. 21 MS. ANGERER: And it'll depend on staffing as 22 well. 23 MR. STEMA: Yeah. 24 MS. ANGERER: You know, you see on the FOP one 25 on the chart, there's still a couple of vacant budgeted

1	positions.
2	MR. STEMA: Yeah.
3	MS. ANGERER: And so it depends if we are able
4	to add those staff members.
5	MR. STEMA: Okay.
6	MS. ROBERTS: I will entertain a motion to
7	approve, deny, or postpone Resolution 2017-89, tentative
8	agreement with the Hamtramck Police Ranking Officers
9	Association.
10	MS. YOUNG: Motion to approve.
11	MR. BOGDAN: Second.
12	MR. STEMA: Seconded.
13	MS. ROBERTS: Any further discussion?
14	(No response.)
15	MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, all those in favor
16	say aye.
17	MR. STEMA: Aye.
18	MS. YOUNG: Aye.
19	MR. BOGDAN: Aye.
20	MS. ROBERTS: Aye.
21	Opposed the same.
22	(No response.)
23	MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries.
24	Next on the agenda is Resolution 2017-91,
25	Veteran's Park RFP recommendation approval and approval of

transfer of CDBG funds to purchase equipment for Veteran's Park. While action on this item occurred during a council meeting outside the normal review period for today's board meeting, the city manager is requesting that we bring this item forward for early review. City council passed this resolution at a special meeting on November 21st, 2017.

Did I get the date right?

MS. ANGERER: What date did you say?

MS. ROBERTS: November 21st?

MS. ANGERER: Correct.

MS. ROBERTS: Okay. Ms. Angerer, will you please provide a summary of this item for the board?

MS. ANGERER: Thank you.

So the notice of public hearing was properly posted. We held a special meeting that was also properly posted for the intent purpose first of transferring funds, and then as you saw in the Resolution to spend those funds. And so this year, this is regarding 2016 CDBG funds, asking to transfer from code enforcement \$68,204.78 from publically and privately owned commercial industrial rehab \$15,000 for a total of \$83,204.78 to parks.

The code enforcement dollars due to not hiring enough individuals, we were only able to reimburse for a little over \$11,000; that was for the one code enforcement officer that we had on staff. Next year we will be able

to reimburse for more because we added one today, one a couple of months ago, so we will have more reimbursements for code enforcement. However, we did not have full staffing during the last fiscal year in order to be able to reimburse, so those funds were going to be left unspent if we had not done something to transfer them out of that account. That money has to be spent by December 31st.

The public or privately owned commercial rehab,

I had one applicant for that money, and their project was

larger than \$15,000 and they would have had to do the

other items with that contract and they were not willing

to do that. This is a loan when you do rehab; it is not a

gift of free money. It is a loan. If that property ever

changed hands they would repay that loan. Sometimes

people don't want to do that. So I was not able to find a

qualified candidate for that.

So instead, the request is to repurpose this money, continue in the phases that we are doing for Veteran's Park. So the transfer was approved by council and then we looked at what to do with the money. In 2015 city council approved a phased in approach to improvements in Veteran's Park. We have already added a playscape. We improved some of the walk path. We improved garbage cans, benches, picnic tables, repaired fences; that's the most of it. And now this will allow us to put a play facility

on the existing ice rink. It would be portable. If going forward master planning indicated that we should have it somewhere else, this would be a portable unit that could be picked up and moved to a new location if something further, you know, if that changed going down the road. We specifically asked for a portable unit. And I have our engineering firm, Ryan Kern from Hennessy to give you a quick overview of what the piece of equipment is.

MR. KERN: Good afternoon.

BOARD IN UNISON: Good afternoon.

MR. KERN: Ryan Kern with Hennessy Engineers.

What we're looking at basically is a portable soccer facility, arena soccer, fits all -- I don't know what you want to call it -- facility. It has dasher boards around it. I've got some handouts here too as well that will show you a little bit of what's being proposed there.

Basically it's got -- provides a turf with it as well, and then also attached here is, it's kind of an overview of what the conceptual masterplan was back in 2015 as far as what was proposed at that time to do at the park, and you can see where the arena soccer is currently proposed and the existing ice rink on the western end of the park there next to Berres Street. Again, that could be in the future when this park is master planned that

could be, you know, moved to a different location where necessary.

MS. ROBERTS: Is this like a club sport? Is this for kids, adults, teens?

MR. KERN: It could be for anybody, any age.

MS. ANGERER: One of the goals of Veteran's Park is that people can use it for pickup sports.

MR. KERN: Right.

MS. ANGERER: And there's a lot of that that goes on on a daily basis where kids get together, and they've been using the tennis court sort of as an arena soccer, and it's damaging actually the fencing on there.

We've had to reattach the fencing on several occasions, so I would love for them to play on this surface on the ice rink with that place there.

And then we bid it out with two options, either with a turf or the hard surface, and they actually like the hard surface better, the kids do, which was kind of amazing to me. And, you know, we met with DCFC to get their input on it because they really want us to do something in that, you know, in talking about providing some sports for like a farm team kind of a thing where kids can play. And overall I think the beauty of this is this soccer facility can be moved if we decide later down the road that we're, you know, rehabilitating the stadium

1 and this part of the area is better for a green space, we 2 could move this to another place on our city property. 3 MS. ROBERTS: Was this included in 2015 plan for 4 the phase in? 5 MS. ANGERER: It's in our masterplan to rehab 6 the ice rink. It was included in the 2015 plan to rehab 7 the ice rink, yes. The city council talked about doing 8 skateboarding there, you know, doing any kind of multiple 9 So it was not decided, jee, it should be one 10 thing or another, but rehabbing the ice rink 100 percent. 11 MS. ROBERTS: Was. Okay. 12 MR. STEMA: I have just a couple of questions 13 because I looked at this late last night so it might have been in the wording, but I might have missed it. 14 15 you're reprogramming about \$83,000? 16 MS. ANGERER: Let me look at the exact number. 17 MR. STEMA: You can give an estimate if it --18 It was about -- you said about 83. MS. ROBERTS: 19 MR. STEMA: Okay, it was about \$83,000. 20 this is costing \$183,000 so --21 MS. ANGERER: We already --22 MR. STEMA: -- is the \$100,000 part of the city 23 budget or --24 MS. ANGERER: No. 25 MR. STEMA: So where is that extra \$100,000

1	coming from?
2	MS. ANGERER: So we already had \$85,000 in parks
3	that had not been spent, and so added to that money in
4	that year, that year already had \$85,000 programmed in it.
5	MR. STEMA: Okay.
6	MS. ANGERER: But we had not yet spent one
7	penny.
8	MR. STEMA: Okay, so is that general fund
9	dollars is what I'm asking?
10	MS. ANGERER: No, it's CDBG money.
11	MR. STEMA: Oh, so that 85, too.
12	MS. ANGERER: Correct.
13	MR. STEMA: So we're taking the 83 plus the 85.
14	MS. ANGERER: Correct.
15	MR. STEMA: And then the overage for the \$83,000
16	comes from where?
17	MS. ANGERER: The overage?
18	MS. ROBERTS: I think it's about 15.
19	MR. STEMA: Well, 85 and 83
20	MS. ROBERTS: You're about 15
21	MR. STEMA: Yeah, it's about fifteen, seventeen
22	thousand.
23	MS. ANGERER: Yes, that can come out of this
24	year's parks money.
25	MR. STEMA: Okay, so this would come out of CDBG

1 too? 2 MS. ANGERER: Correct. 3 MR. STEMA: It's all coming out of these 4 amounts? 5 MR. KERN: Yes. 6 MS. ANGERER: Correct. 7 MR. STEMA: Throughout the years. 8 MS. ROBERTS: So is the change in spending, was 9 that timeframe changed? Was that made partway through 10 this year? Like how did it come about that we got to the 11 end of the year and we've got to spend it fast? 12 MS. ANGERER: All of Wayne County, there are 34 13 communities that spend CDBG money, and we all learned of it at a meeting, and people gasped at that meeting and 14 15 said, "Oh my goodness; that's our spending deadline." And 16 apparently it was known by Wayne County that that was what 17 direction they were heading in, but we hadn't been alerted 18 yet. 19 We just received a letter in the last week that 20 there will be recapture on December 31st of those funds 21 and that we were all invited to apply for any funds that 22 are recaptured after December 31st. I will tell you that 23 Hamtramck is going to apply for some of those funds. 24 I was just going to ask that. MS. ROBERTS:

25

Okay.

MS. ANGERER: We're going to spend these funds, and then we are going to apply for other communities who were caught in the same way but had no plan to have spending. There are a lot of communities that are going to lose their money.

MS. ROBERTS: Okay.

MS. ANGERER: And so we're going to apply to recapture some of those.

MS. ROBERTS: Okay. I will entertain a motion to approve, deny, or postpone Resolution 2017-91, Veteran's Park RFP recommendation approval, and approval of transfer of CDBG funds to purchase equipment or Veteran's Park.

MR. BOGDAN: Motion to approve.

MR. STEMA: Seconded.

MS. ROBERTS: Any further discussion?

MR. STEMA: The only thing I would say, you know, for the city that has to grow its way out of its financial, long-term financial issues, coding's extremely important. You know, planning, development, all of that's important, and not spending it on coding when you had a chance where officers — because those guys create revenues and stuff like that, keep housing values up by making sure people are in there, you know, all your buildings. You guys really got to start focusing on those

areas because -- or you're just going to be -- still have revenue issues every single year. We've got to grow -- Hamtramck truly has to grow its way out of here, and as a resident I know that, and I understand that. I think you guys got to start focusing, instead of not spending it on things like coding that are important in doing a project like this.

I mean, and I think it's a great project, but not spending it on coding that could have happened over the years that money would have been spent on it and coding enforcement officers could have benefitted the city a lot greater.

MS. ANGERER: And that's why you've seen -- you know, I agree with you on that.

MR. STEMA: Yeah.

MS. ANGERER: I would have rather spent that on code enforcement salaries that raise the SNB of this community and improved our neighborhoods. That's why you saw two people brought before this body for hiring in the last five months.

MR. STEMA: Yep.

MS. ROBERTS: Okay, the motion before us is to approve Resolution 2017-91. All those in favor say aye.

MR. STEMA: Aye.

MS. YOUNG: Aye.

1 MR. BOGDAN: Aye.

MS. ROBERTS: Aye.

Opposed the same.

(No response.)

MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries.

Next on the agenda is approval of the citywide overtime report.

Ms. Angerer, could you please provide a summary of progress the city is making in regards to this issue?

MS. ANGERER: Absolutely. I'm actually going to bring -- left. I'm going to bring up our fire chief and our police chief to speak to their particular departments because that's the focus of this report is containing the cost in both police and fire. So I'll bring them up together.

POLICE CHIEF MOISE: I knew you were going to be disappointed that you didn't get to see me.

So in the police department in the month of October we had a few larger investigations that required additional work hours from multiple staff members, so you're going to see a little bit of increase in those numbers. And then we had the Angel's Night Halloween detail. We incorporated that into the weekend as well because we had a lot of establishments in town that were doing a lot of activities for the Halloween weekend, so we

had extra officers on staff to make sure that everything went safely. And then we have our standard traffic overtime, and those numbers will start to go up again because you now have more officers that are able to work traffic. They have to have their year on, so we're starting to get officers that have passed their probationary period that you'll see those numbers increase in traffic, and hopefully on the court revenue side it's being reimbursed as well. And then I see on the year to date we've got some reimbursed expenditures for overtime.

MS. ROBERTS: Okay. Thank you.

FIRE CHIEF HAGEN: Good afternoon.

BOARD IN UNISON: Good afternoon.

FIRE CHIEF HAGEN: As you've seen -- I think you've seen between last month's and this month there's a huge decrease; ding, ding, ding; it worked. It worked. We can still be better, but we still are dealing with people that call in sick because they're ill for whatever reasons. We're dealing with a probie who is brand new and he really didn't count until today. So within a couple of days -- so he'll be able to count, which is a good -- you know, some manpower. I mentioned earlier that we had one of our officers was injured. He's in recovery. We had another person become injured, so he is not in recovery. So we're doing the best we can with what we've got.

1 The majority of the reason for overtime is 2 because of sick time. People are out because of their 3 OJI, then someone calls in sick, ding, ding; triggers it. 4 I can't fix that. Period. It just can't be done. 5 the good news is that it did go down 5,000, a little bit 6 of change, from one month to the other, so I think that's 7 a plus. 8 MS. ROBERTS: Okay. Thank you. 9 FIRE CHIEF HAGEN: Any questions, I can possibly 10 try. 11 MS. ROBERTS: I think we're good. Thank you. 12 FIRE CHIEF HAGEN: Thank you. 13 MS. ROBERTS: We have the district court 14 revenues that are for information. Does anyone have any 15 questions on those? 16 (No response.) 17 MS. ROBERTS: It's board comment. Would anyone 18 like to comment? 19 Madam chair? We never took a vote MR. CLINE: 20 on the overtime report. 21 MS. ROBERTS: Oh, sorry. 22 MS. ANGERER: Madam Chair, can I bring up our 23 controller also to speak to the overtime report briefly? 24 MS. ROBERTS: Sure.

Thank you.

MS. ANGERER:

25

MS. HENDRICKS: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I noticed something when I was putting this together that I wanted to call to all of your attention because I didn't want you to see it and think I hadn't, and that is if you look at the amounts that are reported for year to date overtime, in some of the accounts, in particular major roads, local roads, and the water fund, they are not going to agree to what your general ledger says we spent on overtime. The reason for that is in the past, for some reason I haven't figured out yet, payroll had been told to charge some overtime to non- -- not to the overtime line in our general ledger. I can't answer the why of it, but as I was preparing these reports I noticed that those things did not balance, and I didn't want any of you to see that those did not balance and wonder why I would have done that.

So the overtime on the overtime report comes directly out of our payroll system and is what we have paid for overtime, but for some reason some overtime expenditures in the general ledger have been posted to not overtime lines in the general ledger. Our expenditures are correct; I just don't know why they're not where I expected to see them.

MS. ROBERTS: Okay.

MS. HENDRICKS: Thank you.

1		MS. ROBERTS: I will entertain a motion to
2	approve,	deny, or postpone the citywide overtime report.
3		MR. STEMA: Motion to approve.
4		MS. YOUNG: Second.
5		MS. ROBERTS: Any discussion?
6		(No response.)
7		MS. ROBERTS: Seeing none, all those in favor
8	say aye.	
9		MR. STEMA: Aye.
10		MS. YOUNG: Aye.
11		MR. BOGDAN: Aye.
12		MS. ROBERTS: Aye.
13		Nay the same.
14		(No response.)
15		MS. ROBERTS: Motion carries.
16		I would entertain a motion to adjourn.
17		MR. STEMA: Motion to adjourn.
18		MS. YOUNG: Second.
19		MS. ROBERTS: All those in favor?
20		MR. STEMA: Aye.
21		MS. YOUNG: Aye.
22		MR. BOGDAN: Aye.
23		MS. ROBERTS: Aye.
24		Thank you everyone.
25		(Proceedings adjourned at 1:51 p.m.)

1	STATE OF MICHIGAN		
2	COUNTY OF WASHTENAW ).ss		
3			
4			
5	I certify that this transcript is a complete, true, and		
6	correct transcript to the best of my ability of the RTAB		
7	meeting held on November 28, 2017, City of Hamtramck. I also		
8	certify that I am not a relative or employee of the parties		
9	involved and have no financial interest in this case.		
10			
11			
12			
13	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED: November 30, 2017		
14	s/Amy Shankleton-Novess		
15			
16			
17	Amy Shankleton-Novess (CER 0838)		
18	Certified Electronic Reporter		