STATE OF MICHIGAN
DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS
CANNABIS REGULATORY AGENCY

In the Matter of

Lifted Investments, LLC
dba Superb Cannabis Co.
License No.: AU-G-C-001228
_____/

ENF No.: 25-00752

CONSENT ORDER AND STIPULATION

CONSENT ORDER

On September 22, 2025, the Cannabis Regulatory Agency (CRA) issued a formal complaint against the adult-use marijuana grower class C license (AU-G-C-001228) of Lifted Investments, LLC dba Superb Cannabis Co. (Respondent) under the Michigan Regulation and Taxation of Marihuana Act (MRTMA), MCL 333.27951 *et seq*., and the administrative rules promulgated thereunder. The formal complaint alleged Respondent violated Mich Admin Code, R 420.102(7), R 420.211(1), R 420.211(6)(a), R 420.211(6)(b), R 420.211(6)(c), and R 420.211(6)(d).

The executive director reviewed the stipulation contained in this document and agrees the public interest is best served by resolution of the formal complaint. Therefore, the executive director finds that all of the allegations contained in the formal complaint are true and that Respondent violated Mich Admin Code, R 420.102(7), R 420.211(1), R 420.211(6)(a), R 420.211(6)(b), R 420.211(6)(c), and R 420.211(6)(d).

Accordingly, for these violations, IT IS ORDERED:

1. Respondent must pay a fine in the amount of two thousand three hundred thirty-three and 34/100 dollars ($2,333.34). This fine shall be paid within 30 days of the effective date of this order by check, money order, or online through Accela Citizen Access (ACA). Instructions on how to make online payments can be found under the Tips for Licensees bulletin section at www.michigan.gov/cra. Check or money orders shall be made payable to the State of Michigan with

enforcement number “25-00752” and license number “AU-G-C-001228” clearly displayed on the check or money order. Respondent shall mail the fine to Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs, Cannabis Regulatory Agency, PO BOX 30205, Lansing, Michigan 48909.

2. Within 10 days of the effective date on the consent order, Respondent must provide updated standard operating procedures (SOP) detailing all procedures implemented which demonstrate compliance with rules regarding the proper disposal of marijuana waste.

3. If Respondent fails to timely comply with the terms of this order, Respondent’s license shall be suspended until compliance is demonstrated.

4. Unless otherwise specified in this order, Respondent shall direct any communications to the CRA that are required by the terms of this order to CRA-CSS@michigan.gov.

5. Respondent shall be responsible for all costs and expenses incurred in complying with the terms and conditions of this consent order.

6. If Respondent violates any term or condition set forth in this order, Respondent shall be subject to fines and/or other sanctions under section7(1)(c) of the MRTMA, MCL 333.27957, and Mich Admin Code, R 420.808.

7. Upon timely compliance of the terms of this order by Respondent, the matters set forth in the formal complaint shall be deemed resolved and closed subject to this Consent Order.

CONTINUED ONTO THE NEXT PAGE.

This order shall be effective 30 days after the date signed by the CRA's executive director or his designee, as set forth below.

CANNABIS REGULATORY AGENCY

Signed on: 12/5/2025

By: Brian Hanna

Digitally signed by: Brian Hanna
DN: CN = Brian Hanna email = hannab@michigan.gov C = US O = CRA OU = CRA
Date: 2025.12.05 08:25:32 -05'00'

Brian Hanna, Executive Director
or his designee
Cannabis Regulatory Agency

STIPULATION

The parties stipulate to the following:

1. The facts alleged in the formal complaint are true and constitute a violation of the administrative rules promulgated under the MRTMA.
2. Respondent understands and intends that by signing this stipulation, Respondent is waiving the right under the MRTMA, administrative rules promulgated thereunder, and the Administrative Procedures Act of 1969, MCL 24.201 *et seq*., to require the CRA to prove the violations set forth in the formal complaint by presentation of evidence and legal authority, and to present a defense to the violations.
3. The parties considered the following in reaching this agreement:
    a. Respondent stated that it hired a new Director of Cultivation who has since corrected the data in Metrc.
    b. Respondent stated that it has purchased a waste grinder, and will implement the grinder to transform marijuana waste into unrecognizable matter pursuant to rule.
    c. Respondent was cooperative and wishes to resolve the allegations without the need for and expense of an administrative hearing.

4. The CRA's legal division director or her designee must approve this proposed agreement before it is forwarded to the CRA's executive director or his designee for review and issuance of the above consent order. The parties reserve the right to proceed to an administrative hearing without prejudice to either party, should the CRA's legal division director, executive director, or their designees reject the proposed consent order.

   By signing this stipulation, the parties confirm that they have read, understand, and agree with the terms of the consent order.

AGREED TO BY:

Alyssa A. Grissom Digitally signed by Alyssa A. Grissom Date: 2025.12.02 14:07:42 -05'00'

Alyssa Grissom, Director
Legal Division
or her designee
Cannabis Regulatory Agency

Dated: 12/2/2025

AGREED TO BY:

Tmd Digital Signature Tmd Digital Signature 2025.11.21 14:33:35 -05'00'

Thomas DeAgostino, Authorized Officer
on behalf of Respondent
Lifted Investments, LLC
dba Superb Cannabis Co.

Dated: 11.20.2025

Jeffrey Schroder Digitally signed by: Jeffrey Schroder DN: CN = Jeffrey Schroder email = jschroder@plunkettcooney.com C = US O = Plunkett Cooney Date: 2025.11.24 12:39:49 -05'00'

Jeffrey M. Schroder, P63172
Attorney for Respondent

Dated: 11.24.25

STATE OF MICHIGAN
DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS
CANNABIS REGULATORY AGENCY

In the Matter of

Lifted Investments, LLC
dba Superb Cannabis Co.
License No.: AU-G-C-001228
_____/

ENF No.: 25-00752

FORMAL COMPLAINT

The Cannabis Regulatory Agency (CRA) files this formal complaint against Lifted Investments, LLC dba Superb Cannabis Co. (Respondent) alleging upon information and belief as follows:

1. The CRA is authorized under the Michigan Regulation and Taxation of Marihuana Act (MRTMA), MCL 333.27951, *et seq.*, and Executive Reorganization Order No.2019-2, MCL 333.27001, to investigate alleged violations of the MRTMA and the administrative rules promulgated thereunder, take disciplinary action to prevent such violations, and impose fines and other sanctions against applicants and licensees that violate the MRTMA or administrative rules.

2. Section 8(1)(d) of the MRTMA provides that the administrative rules must ensure the health, safety, and security of the public and integrity of the marijuana establishment operations.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS AND INTENDED ACTION OF THE CRA

3. Respondent holds an active state license under the MRTMA to operate an adult use marijuana class C grower in the state of Michigan.

4. Respondent operated at 4611 Liberty Drive, Lake Orion, Michigan 48359, at all

times relevant to this complaint.

5. Following an investigation, the CRA determined that Respondent violated the MRTMA and/or administrative rules promulgated thereunder as set forth below:

a. On April 10, 2025, the CRA received an anonymous complaint alleging Respondent copied statewide monitoring system (Metrc) tags and put the copied tags on different batches to appear compliant, and that Respondent swapped the test failed Metrc tags with test passed Metrc tags. Additionally, the complaint indicates Respondent hand selected amounts of testing samples from batches, which went through extra processing to pass testing, and then Respondent would sell the marijuana products for $0.01 to remove them from Metrc.
b. A CRA Regulation Agent (RA) reviewed Metrc and observed Respondent had a total combined plant count of 5,402 immature plants for its three adult use class C grower licenses.
c. On May 29, 2025, a CRA Regulation Agent conducted an onsite visit at Respondent's establishment and met with the Director of Government Compliance and Facilities, B.P. and Director of Operations, K. D., who both denied all the allegations and claimed that they were made by a disgruntled ex-employee who failed to work.
d. During the visit, the CRA RA spoke with Respondent's Cultivator, A.F., and asked him about the number of immature plants. A.F. stated that the figure was inaccurate because he believed that the number was approximately 3,500, and not the 5,402 as reported in Metrc. A.F. indicated the incorrect entry was due to employee error.
e. On May 30, 2025, the CRA RA again reviewed Metrc and observed that the immature plant count was reduced from 5,402 to 1,849 plants.

f. On Monday June 2, 2025, Respondent conducted an internal audit, and the immature plants were updated to 2,331 from 5,402.

g. The CRA RA continued his investigation and asked Respondent about its waste practices. Respondent explained that in the course of processing the marijuana plants, buds are placed in one bin, while dried stems and leaves are placed in another. Log sheet data is then recorded into Metrc.

h. On June 4, 2025, the CRA RA reviewed requested surveillance video and observed Respondent had two 27-gallon bins, which contained marijuana plant material, and an employee is seen pushing them toward an outdoor dumpster.

i. The CRA RA observed Respondent failed to mix the marijuana plant material with a 50% non-marijuana product waste when disposing it in the dumpster. The Respondent also failed to grind the marijuana plant material into an unrecognizable state.

j. The CRA RA reviewed the Excel spread sheet from Respondent titled Document Waste in Metrc – May 16, 2025, which showed 354.05 pounds of plant waste corresponds with the Metrc Plants Destroyed report.

Count I

Respondent's actions as described above in paragraphs d and e demonstrate a violation of Mich Admin Code, R 420.102(7), which states a marihuana grower must accurately enter all transactions, current inventory, and other information into the statewide monitoring system as required in these rules.

Count II

Respondent's actions as described above in paragraphs h and i demonstrate a violation of Mich Admin Code, R 420.211(1), which states a marihuana product that is to be destroyed or is considered waste must be rendered into an unusable and unrecognizable form through grinding or another method as determined by the

agency that incorporates the marihuana product waste with 1 or more of the following types of non-consumable solid waste so that the resulting mixture is not less than 50% non-marihuana product waste: (a) Paper waste. (b) Plastic waste. (c) Cardboard waste. (d) Food waste. (e) Grease or other compostable oil waste. (f) Fermented organic matter or other compost activators. (g) Soil. (h) Other waste approved in writing by the agency.

Count III

Respondent's actions as described above in paragraphs h and i demonstrate a violation of Mich Admin Code, R 420.211(6)(a), which states a licensee shall dispose of marihuana product waste and marihuana plant waste in a secured waste receptacle using 1 or more of the following methods that complies with applicable state and local laws and regulations: (a) A licensed municipal solid waste landfill.

Count IV

Respondent's actions as described above in paragraphs h and i demonstrate a violation of Mich Admin Code, R 420.211(6)(b), which states a licensee shall dispose of marihuana product waste and marihuana plant waste in a secured waste receptacle using 1 or more of the following methods that complies with applicable state and local laws and regulations: (b) A registered composting facility that has specific approval under part 115 of the natural resources and environmental protection act, 1994 PA 451, MCL 324.11501 to 324.11554, to accept the material.

Count V

Respondent's actions as described above in paragraphs h and i demonstrate a violation of Mich Admin Code, R 420.211(6)(c), which states a licensee shall dispose of marihuana product waste and marihuana plant waste in a secured waste receptacle using 1 or more of the following methods that complies with applicable state and local laws and regulations: (c) An anaerobic digester that has specific

approval under part 115 of the natural resources and environmental protection act, 1994 PA 451, MCL 324.11501 to 324.11554, to accept the material.

Count VI

Respondent's actions as described above in paragraphs h and i demonstrate a violation of Mich Admin Code, R 420.211(6)(d), which states a licensee shall dispose of marihuana product waste and marihuana plant waste in a secured waste receptacle using 1 or more of the following methods that complies with applicable state and local laws and regulations: (d) An in-state municipal solid waste or hazardous waste incinerator that has been permitted under part 55 of the natural resources and environmental protection act, 1994 PA 451, MCL 324.5501 to 324.5542.

THEREFORE, based on the above, the CRA gives notice of its intent to impose fines and/or other sanctions against Respondent's license, which may include the suspension, revocation, restriction, and/or refusal to renew Respondent's license.

Respondent has the right to request a compliance conference under Mich Admin Code, R 420.704(1) and R 420.808(4). A compliance conference is an informal meeting at which Respondent has the opportunity to discuss the allegations in this complaint and demonstrate compliance under the MRTMA and/or the administrative rules.

Alternatively, a Respondent has a right to a contested case hearing regarding the allegations in this complaint. Under Mich Admin Code, R 420.704(2), a request for a hearing must be submitted to the CRA in writing within 21 days after service of this complaint. Notice served by certified mail is considered complete on the business day following the date of the mailing.

Respondent may choose to be represented by a Michigan State Bar licensed attorney regarding this formal complaint. If so, Respondent's attorney must file an appearance with the CRA with the compliance conference or hearing request, or as soon as applicable.

Compliance conference and hearing requests, as well as attorney appearances, must be submitted in writing by one of the following methods:

By Mail: Department of Licensing & Regulatory Affairs
Cannabis Regulatory Agency
P.O. Box 30205
Lansing, Michigan 48909

In Person: Department of Licensing & Regulatory Affairs
Cannabis Regulatory Agency
2407 North Grand River
Lansing, Michigan 48906

By Email: CRA-LegalHearings@michigan.gov

If Respondent fails to timely respond to this formal complaint, a contested case hearing will be scheduled to resolve this matter.

Questions about this complaint should be directed to the Cannabis Regulatory Agency at (517) 284-8599 or CRA-LegalHearings@michigan.gov.

Dated: 9/22/25

By: Alyssa A. Grissom Digitally signed by Alyssa A. Grissom Date: 2025.09.22 11:30:57 -04'00'

Alyssa A. Grissom, Director
Legal Division
Or her designee
Cannabis Regulatory Agency