STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS CANNABIS REGULATORY AGENCY

In the Matter of

Sky Labs, LLC

ENF Nos.: 21-00300, 21-00481, 21-00497, 22-00308, 22-00414, 22-00476, & 22-00556

License No.: AU-P-000157

ERRATA TO CONSENT ORDER AND STIPULATION SIGNED ON JUNE 14, 2023

#### ERRATA TO CONSENT ORDER AND STIPULATION SIGNED ON JUNE 14, 2023

On June 14, 2023, the Cannabis Regulatory Agency (CRA) issued a consent order and stipulation (ENF Nos.: 21-00300, 21-00481, 21-00497, 22-00308, 22-00414, 22-00476, and 22-00556) against the adult-use marijuana processor establishment license (AU-P-000157) of Sky Labs, LLC ("Respondent") under the Michigan Regulation and Taxation of Marihuana Act (MRTMA), MCL 333.27951 *et seq.*, and the administrative rules promulgated thereunder.

The consent order and stipulation signed on June 14, 2023, contained a Scrivener's Error in that the violations of Mich Admin Code, R 420.103(3); R 420.214b(1); R 420.214b(2); R 420.403(1); R 420.403(3); R 420.403(7)(a); R 420.403(7)(e); and R 420.509(3) under ENF No. 22-00556 were missing from paragraphs 1 and 2.

The parties agree the intent of consent order and stipulation signed on June 14, 2023, was to include the violations of Mich Admin Code, R 420.103(3); R 420.214b(1); R 420.214b(2); R 420.403(1); R 420.403(3); R 420.403(7)(a); R 420.403(7)(e); and R 420.509(3) under ENF No. 22-00556.

This document does not change or amend any other terms or stipulations of the Consent Order and Stipulation signed on June 14, 2023.

Signed on: \_<sup>07/28/2023</sup>

CANNABIS REGULATORY AGENCY

Adam Sandoval By: Digitally signed by Adam Sandoval Digitally signed by Adam Sandoval Digitally signed by Adam Sandoval -04'00'

Brian Hanna, Executive Director or his designee Cannabis Regulatory Agency

AGREED TO BY:

AGREED TO BY:

Desmond Mitchell Digitally signed by Desmond Mitchell Digitally signed by Desmond Mitchell

Desmond Mitchell, Operations Director or his designee Cannabis Regulatory Agency

Dated: 7/21/2023

Chad Wurtzel (Jul 20, 2023 14:

Chad Wurtzel Authorized Officer on behalf of Respondent Sky Labs, LLC

Dated: \_\_\_\_

TATER (Ru

Denise Pollicella, P55629 Attorney for Respondent

Dated: \_\_\_\_

#### STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS MARIJUANA REGULATORY AGENCY

In the Matter of

CMP Nos.: 21-000632, 21-001251 & 21-000960

Sky Labs, LLC CMI AU-ER No.: 000684 License No.: AU-P-000157 ENF Nos.: 21-00300, 21-00481 & 21-00497

# FORMAL COMPLAINT

The Marijuana Regulatory Agency ("Complainant") files this formal complaint against Sky Labs, LLC ("Respondent") alleging upon information and belief as follows:

1. The Marijuana Regulatory Agency (MRA) is authorized under the Michigan Regulation and Taxation of Marihuana Act (MRTMA), 2018 IL1, *et seq.*, and Executive Reorganization Order No.2019-2, MCL 333.27001, to investigate alleged violations of the MRTMA and the Administrative Rule promulgated thereunder, take disciplinary action to prevent such violations, and impose fines and other sanctions against applicants and licensees that violate the MRTMA or Administrative Rules.

2. Section 8(1)(d) of the MRTMA provides that the Administrative Rules must ensure the health, safety, and security of the public and integrity of the marihuana establishment operations.

3. Respondent's conduct as described below is a risk to public health and safety and/or the integrity of marihuana establishment operations.

# FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS AND INTENDED ACTION OF THE MRA

4. Respondent holds an active state license under the MRTMA to operate an adult use processor establishment in the state of Michigan.

5. Respondent operated at 9421 N. Dort Hwy, Mt. Morris, Michigan 48458, at all times relevant to this complaint.

6. Following an investigation, the MRA determined that Respondent violated the MRTMA and/or Administrative Rules promulgated thereunder as set forth below:

7. ENF: 21-00300

- a. On May 5, 2021, Respondent's four statewide monitoring system (Metrc) package tags of marijuana vape cartridge concentrates failed safety compliance testing for banned chemical residues.
- b. <u>1A4050300014ADD000004972</u>

Bifenazate = 31.326ppm; action limit is 0.2ppm Etoxazole = 0.23ppm; action limit is 0.2ppm Tebuconazole = 0.653ppm; action limit is 0.4ppm <u>1A4050300014ADD000004973</u> Bifenazate = 33.103ppm; action limit is 0.2ppm Etoxazole = 0.26ppm; action limit is 0.2ppm Tebuconazole = 0.665ppm; action limit is 0.4ppm <u>1A4050300014ADD000004974</u> Bifenazate = 33.279ppm; action limit is 0.2ppm Etoxazole = 0.285ppm; action limit is 0.2ppm Tebuconazole = 0.645ppm; action limit is 0.2ppm Myclobutanil = 0.206ppm; action limit is 0.2ppm

Bifenazate = 31.975ppm; action limit is 0.2ppm

Etoxazole = 0.276ppm; action limit is 0.2ppm Tebuconazole = 0.635ppm; action limit is 0.4ppm

- c. Respondent is in violation of Mich Admin Code, R 420.206(4), which states the agency shall publish a list of banned chemical residue active ingredients that are prohibited from use in the cultivation and production of marihuana plants and marihuana products to be sold or transferred in accordance with the acts or these rules.
- d. Respondent is also in violation of Mich Admin Code, R 420.206(11), which states all non-marihuana inactive ingredients must be clearly listed on the product label. Inactive ingredients, other than botanically derived terpenes that are chemically identical to the terpenes derived from the plant Cannabis Sativa L., must be approved by the FDA for the intended use, and the concentration must be less than the maximum concentration listed in the FDA Inactive Ingredient database for the intended use.
- e. During the investigation to determine the cause of the chemical residue failures, the MRA discovered the record of formulation (ROF) used in the production of the concentrate did not include the minimum requirements.
- f. The ROF did not include the test results for the terpenes used in the concentrate. Respondent is in violation of Mich Admin Code, R 420.403(8)(c), which states a producer shall keep formulation records for all marihuana products. These records at a minimum must include the recipe, any additional processing in order to be shelf stable, and test results for any ingredients used.
- 8. ENF: 21-00481
  - a. On September 1, 2021, the MRA conducted a semi-annual inspection at Respondent's processor establishment.
  - b. The MRA observed eleven employees actively working in the production of marijuana products who were not trained on and in the possession of Serv Safe training certificates.

- c. Respondent is in violation of Mich Admin Codes, R 420.403(8)(d) & R 420.403(8)(g), which state a producer of edible marihuana product shall comply with all the following to ensure safe preparation: (d) Provide annual employee training for all employees on safe food handling and demonstrate an employee's completion of this training by providing proof of food handler certification that includes documentation of employee food handler training, including, but not limited to, allergens and proper sanitation and safe food handling techniques. Any course taken pursuant to this rule must be conducted for not less than 2 hours and cover all of the following subjects: (i) Causes of foodborne illness, highly susceptible populations, and worker illness. (ii) Personal hygiene and food handling practices. (iii) Approved sources of food. (iv) Potentially hazardous foods and food temperatures. (v) Sanitization and chemical use. (vi) Emergency procedures, including, but not limited to, fire, flood, and sewer backup. (q) If requested as provided in this subdivision, provide to the agency documentation to verify certifications and compliance with these rules. The agency may request in writing documentation to verify certifications and compliance with these rules.
- d. Respondent is also in violation of Mich Admin Code, R 420.602(2)(d), which states a licensee shall train employees and have an employee training manual that includes, but is not limited to, employee safety procedures, employee guidelines, security protocol, and educational training, including, but not limited to, marihuana product information, dosage and purchasing limits if applicable, and educational materials. Copies of these items must be maintained and made available to the agency upon request.
- e. During the semi-annual inspection, the MRA observed Respondent producing, packaging, and storing vape cartridges in a back trailer without a working video surveillance system. Respondent was not capable of recording any activity in the vape cart trailer. Respondent could not

provide any activity on the DVR system and did not have enough mounted cameras to provide sufficient camera coverage.

- f. Respondent is in violation of Mich Admin Codes, R 420.209(5) & R 420.209(6), which state a licensee shall have a video surveillance system that, at a minimum, consists of digital or network video recorders, cameras capable of meeting the recording requirements in this rule, video monitors, digital archiving devices, and a color printer capable of delivering still photos. And a licensee shall ensure the video surveillance system does all the following: (a) Records, at a minimum, the following areas: (i) Any areas where marihuana products are weighed, packed, stored, loaded, and unloaded for transportation, prepared, or moved within the marihuana business. (ii) Limited access areas and security rooms. Transfers between rooms must be recorded. (b) Records at all times images effectively and efficiently of the area under surveillance with a minimum of 720p resolution.
- g. Respondent is also in violation of Mich Admin Codes, R 420.209(7), R 420.209(9) & R 420.209(11), which state a licensee shall install each camera so that it is permanently mounted and in a fixed location. Each camera must be placed in a location that allows the camera to clearly record activity occurring within 20 feet of all points of entry and exit on the marihuana business and allows for the clear and certain identification of any person, including facial features, and activities, including sales or transfers, in all areas required to be recorded under these rules. A licensee shall have cameras that record when motion is detected at the marihuana business and record images that clearly and accurately display the time and date. A licensee shall keep surveillance recordings for a minimum of 30 days, except in instances of investigation or inspection by the agency in which case the licensee that the recordings may be destroyed.

#### 9. ENF: 21-00497

- a. On July 13, 2021, the MRA placed statewide monitoring system (Metrc) package tag 1A4050300014ADD000009737 on administrative hold for failing safety compliance testing on a banned chemical residue, Bifenazate.
- b. Respondent is in violation of Mich Admin Code, R 420.206(4), which states the agency shall publish a list of banned chemical residue active ingredients that are prohibited from use in the cultivation and production of marihuana plants and marihuana products to be sold or transferred in accordance with the acts or these rules.

THEREFORE, based on the above, the MRA gives notice of its intent to impose fines and/or other sanctions against Respondent's license, which may include the suspension, revocation, restriction, and/or refusal to renew Respondent's license.

Under MCL 333.27957 (1)(c) and Mich Admin Code, R 420.704(2), any party aggrieved by an action of the MRA suspending, revoking, restricting, or refusing to renew a license, or imposing a fine, shall be given a hearing upon request. A request for a hearing must be submitted to the MRA in writing within 21 days after service of this complaint. Notice served by certified mail is considered complete on the business day following the date of the mailing.

Respondent also has the right to request a compliance conference under Mich Admin Code, R 420.704(1). A compliance conference is an informal meeting at which Respondent has the opportunity to discuss the allegations in this complaint and demonstrate compliance under the MRTMA and/or the Emergency Rules. A compliance conference request must be submitted to the MRA in writing.

Hearing and compliance conference requests must be submitted in writing by one of the following methods:

By Mail: Department of Licensing & Regulatory Affairs Marijuana Regulatory Agency P.O. Box 30205

Lansing, Michigan 48909

In Person:	Department of Licensing & Regulatory Affairs
	Marijuana Regulatory Agency
	2407 North Grand River
	Lansing, Michigan 48906

By Email: MRA-LegalHearings@michigan.gov

If Respondent fails to timely respond to this formal complaint, a contested case hearing will be scheduled to resolve this matter.

Questions about this complaint should be directed to the Marijuana Regulatory Agency's legal section at (517) 284-8599 or <u>MRA-LegalHearings@michigan.gov.</u>

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

By: \_\_\_

Claire Patterson, Manager Scientific and Legal Section Manager Enforcement Division Marijuana Regulatory Agency

#### STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS<sup>1</sup> CANNABIS REGULATORY AGENCY

In the Matter of

Sky Labs, LLC License No.: AU-P-000157 ENF No(s).: 22-00308, 22-00414, 22-00476 & 22-00556

# SECOND SUPERSEDING FORMAL COMPLAINT

The Cannabis Regulatory Agency ("Complainant") files this Second Superseding Formal Complaint against Sky Labs, LLC ("Respondent") alleging upon information and belief as follows:

1. The Cannabis Regulatory Agency (CRA) is authorized under the Michigan Regulation and Taxation of Marihuana Act (MRTMA), 2018 IL1, *et seq.*, and Executive Reorganization Order No.2019-2, MCL 333.27001, to investigate alleged violations of the MRTMA and the administrative rules promulgated thereunder, take disciplinary action to prevent such violations, and impose fines and other sanctions against applicants and licensees that violate the MRTMA or administrative rules.

2. Section 8(1)(d) of the MRTMA provides that the Administrative Rules must ensure the health, safety, and security of the public and integrity of the marijuana establishment operations.

3. Respondent's conduct as described below is a risk to public health and safety and/or the integrity of marijuana establishment operations.

## FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS AND INTENDED ACTION OF THE CRA

4. Respondent holds an active state license under the MRMTA to operate an adult use processor establishment in the state of Michigan.

5. Respondent operated at 9421 N. Dort Hwy., Mt. Morris, Michigan 48458, at all times relevant to this complaint.

6. Following investigations, the CRA determined that Respondent violated the MRTMA and/or Administrative Rules promulgated thereunder as set forth below:

## ENF 22-00476

- a. On November 18, 2021, Respondent had the following statewide monitoring system (Metrc) tags fail safety compliance testing for Bifenthrin, a banned chemical residue:
  - a. 1A4050300014ADD000015911
  - b. 1A4050300014ADD000015913
  - c. 1A4050300014ADD000017664
  - d. 1A4050300014ADD000017665
  - e. 1A4050300014ADD000017680
- b. Bifenthrin has a half-life of two years.
- c. On March 7, 2022, the Michigan Department of Agriculture and Rural Development (MDARD) conducted an inspection at the marijuana grower of the abovementioned products and did not detect any indication of the banned chemical residues.

# <u>Count I</u>

Respondent's actions as described above demonstrate a violation of Mich Admin Code, R 420.206(4), which states the agency shall publish a list of banned chemical residue active ingredients that are prohibited from use in the cultivation and production of marihuana plants and marihuana products to be sold or transferred in accordance with the acts or these rules.

#### ENF 22-00414

a. On March 15, 2022, Respondent notified the CRA that its video surveillance system experienced a system failure and as a result, it was shutting down

operations effective immediately.

- b. On March 16, 2022, Respondent notified the CRA that its video surveillance system was repaired and functioning as of 2 pm on March 16, 2022.
- c. On April 21, 2022, the CRA visited Respondent's facility. Respondent stated it would provide the CRA an invoice for the camera repair and the video surveillance recording log.
- d. Later that day, Respondent provided the invoice for the camera repair and the recording log.
- e. The CRA observed the recording log did not contain the information required by administrative rule.

# Count II

Respondent's actions as described above demonstrate a violation of Mich Admin Code, R 420.209(14)(a), which states that a licensee shall maintain a log of the recordings, which includes all of the following: (a) The identity of the employee or employees responsible for monitoring the video surveillance system.

# Count III

Respondent's actions as described above demonstrate a violation of Mich Admin Code, R 420.209(14)(b), which states that a licensee shall maintain a log of the recordings, which includes all of the following: (b) The identity of the employee who removed any recording from the video surveillance system storage device and the time and date removed.

# Count IV

Respondent's actions as described above demonstrate a violation of Mich Admin Code, R 420.209(14)(c), which states that a licensee shall maintain a log of the recordings, which includes all of the following: (c) The identity of the employee who destroyed any recording.

#### ENF 22-00308

- a. On March 24, 2022, the CRA conducted a compliance check and discovered Respondent producing marijuana edibles with melatonin as an ingredient.
- b. Per the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) Inactive Ingredient database, Generally Recognized As Safe (GRAS), melatonin is not listed as an approved ingredient.
- c. On September 8, 2022, the CRA conducted a site visit at Respondent's adult use processor establishment and confirmed melatonin was no longer being used as an ingredient at the establishment. No melatonin was observed, and it was removed from all packaging.

## Count V

Respondent's actions as described above demonstrate a violation of Mich Admin Code, R 420.206(11), which states all non-marihuana inactive ingredients must be clearly listed on the product label. Inactive ingredients, other than botanically derived terpenes that are chemically identical to the terpenes derived from the plant Cannabis Sativa L., must be approved by the FDA for the intended use, and the concentration must be less than the maximum concentration listed in the FDA Inactive Ingredient database for the intended use.

#### ENF 22-00556

- a. On June 23, 2022, Respondent distributed Chewii Sour Cherry Edibles to employees of the adult use processor establishment. Ten employees each received one package containing 20 pieces each.
- Respondent admitted the packages of Chewii Sour Cherry Edibles were mislabeled and as a result, were distributed to employees as trade samples.
- c. The labels on the Chewii Sour Cherry Edibles displayed a large sticker showing "200 MG CBD." Respondent did not place a THC amount or

modifier anywhere on the packages. Respondent also failed to include the date the marihuana product was produced on the package labels.

- d. On July 2, 2022, an employee provided the Chewii Sour Cherry Edibles to her 4-year-old child. The child consumed two Chewii Sour Cherry Edible which contained 20 mgs of marijuana. The child became seriously ill.
- e. The child was hospitalized due to adverse reactions to the marijuana edibles. A police report was filed with the Davison City Police Department and an investigation was opened with Child Protective Services.
- f. On July 4, 2022, Respondent entered the transfer of the trade samples into the statewide monitoring system (Metrc), ten days after the physical inventory was removed from the adult use processor establishment.
- g. Adjustments made by Respondent in Metrc stated "Gummies packaged in wrong bags. Gave them as samples to employees with the knowledge that the gummies were packaged wrong, and they are THC." The Certificate of Analysis (COA) for the marijuana product demonstrated the presence of THC in the Chewii Sour Cherry Edibles.
- h. On July 5, 2022, the adverse reaction was reported to the CRA via electronic mail.

# Count VI

Respondent's actions as described above demonstrate a violation of Mich Admin Code, R 420.103(3), which states a marihuana processor shall enter all transactions, current inventory, and other information into the statewide monitoring system as required in these rules.

# Count VII

Respondent's actions as described above demonstrate a violation of Mich Admin Code, R 420.214b(1), which states a licensee shall notify the agency within 1 business day of becoming aware or within 1 business day of when the licensee should have been aware of any adverse reactions to a marihuana product sold or transferred by any licensee.

# Count VIII

Respondent's actions as described above demonstrate a violation Mich Admin Code, R 420.214b(2), which states a licensee shall enter into the statewide monitoring system within 1 business day of becoming aware of or within 1 business day of when the licensee should have been aware of any adverse reactions to a marihuana product sold or transferred by any licensee.

## Count IX

Respondent's actions as described above demonstrate a violation Mich Admin Code, R 420.403(1), which states a producer shall package and properly label marihuana-infused products before sale or transfer.

## Count X

Respondent's actions as described above demonstrate a violation Mich Admin Code, R 420.403(3), which states a producer of marihuana-infused products shall list and record the THC concentration and CBD concentration of marihuana-infused products, as provided in R 420.305 and R 420.404, in the statewide monitoring system and indicate the THC concentration and CBD concentration on the label along with the tag identification as required under these rules.

# Count XI

Respondent's actions as described above demonstrate a violation Mich Admin Code, R 420.403(7)(a), which states a producer shall label all marihuana-infused products with all of the following: (a) The name of the marihuana-infused product that includes a product modifier such as "marijuana product", "THC product" or "cannabis product" using the same or larger font than the product name.

# Count XII

Respondent's actions as described above demonstrate a violation Mich Admin

Code, R 420.403(7)(e), which states a producer shall label all marihuana-infused products with all of the following: (e) The date the marihuana product was produced.

# Count XIII

Respondent's actions as described above demonstrate a violation Mich Admin Code, R 420.509(3), which states a licensee shall record the transfer of an internal product sample in the statewide monitoring system.

THEREFORE, based on the above, the CRA gives notice of its intent to impose fines and/or other sanctions against Respondent's license, which may include the suspension, revocation, restriction, and/or refusal to renew Respondent's license.

Under MCL 333.27957 (1)(c) and Mich Admin Code R 420.704(2), any party aggrieved by an action of the CRA suspending, revoking, restricting, or refusing to renew a license, or imposing a fine, shall be given a hearing upon request. A request for a hearing must be submitted to the CRA in writing within 21 days after service of this complaint. Notice served by certified mail is considered complete on the business day following the date of the mailing.

Respondent also has the right to request a compliance conference under Mich Admin Code 420.704(1). A compliance conference is an informal meeting at which Respondent has the opportunity to discuss the allegations in this complaint and demonstrate compliance under the MRTMA and/or the Administrative Rules. A compliance conference request must be submitted to the CRA in writing.

Hearing and compliance conference requests must be submitted in writing by one of the following methods:

# By Mail: Department of Licensing & Regulatory Affairs Cannabis Regulatory Agency P.O. Box 30205

Lansing, Michigan 48909

In Person: Department of Licensing & Regulatory Affairs Cannabis Regulatory Agency 2407 North Grand River Lansing, Michigan 48906

By Email: <u>CRA-LegalHearings@michigan.gov</u>

If Respondent fails to timely respond to this formal complaint, a contested case hearing will be scheduled to resolve this matter.

Questions about this complaint should be directed to the Cannabis Regulatory Agency's legal section at (517) 284-8599 or <u>CRA-LegalHearings@michigan.gov.</u>

The formal complaint filed against the Respondent on August 17, 2022, is hereby WITHDRAWN and replaced in full by this superseding complaint.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

By: \_

Alyssa A. Grissom, Manager Legal Section Manager Cannabis Regulatory Agency