The manager of the color of STATE OF MICHIGAN arranged to the deposit read DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS CANNABIS REGULATORY AGENCY

terry law and the secured pure security formal conjulent. It renders, the executive In the Matter of

ENF. No.: 21-00144, 21-00249, 21-00496, 22-00296, 22-00413, 22-00415. 22-00416, 22-00418. 2721 1 7 1 1 4 2 0 209 (14)(b), R 45 1 109 (14)(b), R 42 1.1 22-00311, 22-00448, 图形 图 . 图 项型 (209(12) 民 4亿亿 16(1) 农 420 2 年 (2) 经 4**8 22-00489** 4次 2 16(1)

License No.: PR-000121

CONSENT ORDER AND STIPULATION

CONSENT ORDER

8 421 BF42), R 420,403.66, R 420,463.660, R 423,453, B42, 453, B424, A33, 743, B

On August 10, 2022 and December 28, 2022, the Cannabis Regulatory Agency (CRA) issued a first superseding formal complaint (ENF Nos.: 21-00144, 21-00249, 21-00496, and 22-00296) and a second superseding formal complaint (ENF Nos.: 21-00413, 22-00415, 22-00416, 22-00418, 22-00311, 22-00448, and 22-00489) against the medical marijuana producer facility license (PR-000121) of Sky Labs, LLC ("Respondent") under the Medical Marihuana Facilities Licensing Act (MMFLA), MCL 333.27101 et seq., and the administrative rules promulgated thereunder. The first superseding formal complaint and second superseding formal complaint alleged Respondent violated Mich Admin Code, R 420.6(5), R 420.109(4), R 420.109(4), R 420.206a(1), R 420.206a(2), R 420.206(4), R 420.206(11), R 420.209(6)(a)(i), R 420.209(7), R 420.209(14)(a), R 420.209(14)(b), R 420.209(14)(c), R 420.210(2), R 420.210(3), R 420.209(11), R 420.209(12), R 420.210(1), R 420.211(2), R 420.211(6), R 420.212(1), R 420.304(2), R 420.403(6), R 420.403(8)(c), R 420.403(9)(a), R 420.403(9)(b), R 420.403(9)(c), R 420.502(1).

The executive director reviewed the stipulation contained in this document and agrees the public interest is best served by resolution of the first superseding formal complaint and the second superseding formal complaint. Therefore, the executive director finds that all of the allegations contained in the first superseding formal complaint and the second superseding formal complaint are true and that Respondent violated Mich Admin Code, R 420.6(5), R 420.109(4), R 420.109(4), R 420.206a(1), R 420.206a(2), R 420.206(4), R 420.206(11), R 420.209(6)(a)(i), R 420.209(7), R 420.209(14)(a), R 420.209(14)(b), R 420.209(14)(c), R 420.210(2), R 420.210(3), R 420.209(11), R 420.209(12), R 420.210(1), R 420.211(2), R 420.211(6), R 420.212(1), R 420.304(2), R 420.403(6), R 420.403(8)(c), R 420.403(9)(a), R 420.403(9)(b), R 420.403(9)(c), R 420.502(1).

Accordingly, for these violations, IT IS ORDERED:

- Respondent will voluntarily surrender its license under number PR-000121.
- 2. If Respondent fails to timely comply with the terms of this order, Respondent's license shall be suspended until compliance is demonstrated.
- 3. Unless otherwise specified in this order, Respondent shall direct any communications to the CRA that are required by the terms of this order to <u>CRA-LegalHearings@michigan.gov</u>.

docted states astat, and the Admiristrative Procedures Act of 1930.

 Respondent shall be responsible for all costs and expenses incurred in complying with the terms and conditions of this consent order.

ad r injections index occupated and or the MERTA

 If Respondent violates any term or condition set forth in this order, Respondent will be subject to fines and/or other sanctions under section 407(1) of the MMFLA, MCL 333.27407(1), and Mich Admin Code, 420.808.

 Upon timely compliance of the terms of this order by Respondent, the matters set forth in the first superseding formal complaint and the second superseding formal complaint shall be deemed resolved and closed subject to this Consent Order.

This order shall be effective 10 days after the date signed by the CRA's executive director or his designee, as set forth below.

CANNABIS REGULATORY AGENCY

Signed on:	06/14/2023	Adam Sandoval Sandoval Date: 202304'00'	06.14 15:14:42
ACSEED TO BY:		Brian Hanna, Executive Dire or his designee Cannabis Regulatory Agend	

Entertained Materials, Communication STIPULATION

The parties stipulate to the following:

- The facts alleged in the first superseding formal complaint and the second superseding formal complaint are true and constitute a violation of the administrative rules promulgated under the MMFLA.
- 2. Respondent understands and intends that by signing this stipulation, Respondent is waiving the right under the MMFLA, administrative rules promulgated thereunder, and the Administrative Procedures Act of 1969, MCL 24.201 et seq., to require the CRA to prove the violations set forth in the first superseding formal complaint and the second superseding formal complaint by presentation of evidence and legal authority, and to present a defense to the violations.
- 3. The parties considered the following in reaching this agreement:
 - a. Respondent was cooperative and wishes to resolve the allegations without the need for and expense of an administrative hearing.

4. The CRA's operations director or his designee must approve this proposed agreement before it is forwarded to the CRA's executive director or his designee for review and issuance of the above consent order. The parties reserve the right to proceed to an administrative hearing without prejudice to either party, should the CRA's operations director, executive director, or their designees reject the proposed consent order.

By signing this stipulation, the parties confirm that they have read, understand, and agree with the terms of the consent order.

10	DE	-	TO	D11
AG	KE	: CL	10	BY:

Desmond Mitchell

Digitally signed by Desmond Mitchell Date: 2023.06.09 16:45:27

Desmond Mitchell, Operations Director Chad Wurtzel, Authorized Officer or his designee Cannabis Regulatory Agency

6/9/2023 Dated:

AGREED TO BY:

on behalf of Respondent Sky Labs, LLC

5/30/2023

hard s. Win

Denise Pollicella, P55629 Attorney for Respondent

STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS CANNABIS REGULATORY AGENCY

In the Matter of

Sky Labs, LLC ENF Nos.: 21-00144, 21-00249,

License No.: PR-000121 21-00496, & 22-00296

FIRST SUPERSEDING FORMAL COMPLAINT

The Cannabis Regulatory Agency ("Complainant") files this first superseding formal complaint against Sky Labs, LLC ("Respondent") alleging upon information and belief as follows:

- 1. The Cannabis Regulatory Agency (CRA) is authorized under the Medical Marihuana Facilities Licensing Act (MMFLA), MCL 333.27101 et seq., and Executive Reorganization Order No.2019-2, MCL 333.27001, to investigate alleged violations of the MMFLA and administrative rules promulgated thereunder, take disciplinary action to prevent such violations, and impose fines and other sanctions against applicants and licensees that violate the MMFLA or rules.
- 2. Section 402(12) of the MMFLA provides that the expiration of a license does not terminate the CRA's authority to impose sanctions on the license.
- 3. Section 206(c) of the MMFLA provides that the administrative rules must ensure the health, safety, and security of the public and integrity of the marihuana facility operations.

CANNABIS REGULATORY AGENCY 2407 NORTH GRAND RIVER • P.O. BOX 30205 • LANSING, MICHIGAN 48909 www.michigan.gov/CRA

LARA is an equal opportunity employer/program

^[1] Executive Reorganization Order 2019-2 created the Marijuana Regulatory Agency (MRA) as a Type I agency within the Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs (LARA). MCL 333.27001(1)(a)(d). On April 13, 2022, the MRA became the Cannabis Regulatory Agency (CRA). The CRA exercises its statutory powers, duties, and functions independent of LARA's direction. MCL 16.103.

4. Respondent's conduct as described below is a risk to public health and safety and/or the integrity of marihuana facility operations.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS AND INTENDED ACTION OF THE CRA

- 5. Respondent holds an active state operating license under the MMFLA to operate a medical marijuana processor in the state of Michigan.
- 6. Respondent operated at 9421 N. Dort Hwy, Mt. Morris, Michigan 48458 at all times relevant to this complaint.
- 7. Following an investigation, the CRA determined that Respondent violated the MMFLA and/or administrative rules promulgated thereunder as set forth below:

a. ENF: 21-00144

- i. On December 16, 2020, Respondent submitted a retest request to the CRA for twelve statewide monitoring system (Metrc) packages (vape carts) which failed compliance testing for the chemical residue Bifenthrin at 0.4 or higher. The twelve packages are listed below:
 - 1. 1A4050100008C3D000007748.
 - 2. 1A4050100008C3D000007749.
 - 3. 1A4050100008C3D000007750,
 - 4. 1A4050100008C3D000007754,
 - 5. 1A4050100008C3D000007757,
 - 6. 1A4050100008C3D000007783,
 - 7. 1A4050100008C3D000008134,
 - 8. 1A4050100008C3D000008135,
 - 9. 1A4050100008C3D000008136,
 - 10.1A4050100008C3D000008137,
 - 11.1A4050100008C3D000008138,
 - 12.1A4050100008C3D000008139.
- ii. Bifenthrin is a chemical residue banned by the CRA from use in the cultivation and production of marijuana products.

- iii. The distillate used to make the marijuana products previously passed safety compliance testing and did not contain banned chemicals (or this level of banned chemicals) before Respondent used it to create the vape carts at issue.
- iv. Respondent is in violation of Mich Admin Code R 420.206(4), which states the agency shall publish a list of banned chemical residue active ingredients that are prohibited from use in the cultivation and production of marihuana plants and marihuana products to be sold or transferred in accordance with the acts or these rules.

b. ENF: 21-00249

- i. On April 5, 2021, Respondent's Metrc package tag 1A4050100008C3D000014427 (vape carts) failed safety compliance testing for Vitamin E Acetate, a target analyte banned for inhalation by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA).
- v. During the investigation to determine the origin of the Vitamin E Acetate, the CRA discovered the record of formulation (ROF) used in the production of the concentrate did not include the minimum requirements. The Certificate of Analysis (COA) for the terpenes used to manufacture the concentrate did not include all ingredients and their concentrations.
- vi. Respondent did not quarantine the product while undergoing testing. The product was moved from where the original samples were taken and then into the kitchen for remediation.
- vii. Respondent is in violation of Mich Admin Code, R 420.304(2), which states that if a testing sample is collected from a marihuana business for testing in the statewide monitoring system, that marihuana business shall quarantine the marihuana product that is undergoing the testing from any other marihuana product at the marihuana business. The quarantined marihuana product must not be packaged, transferred, or sold until passing test results are entered into the statewide monitoring system.
- viii. Respondent is in violation of Mich Admin Code, R 420.403(6), which states inactive ingredients must be approved by the FDA for the intended use, and the concentration must be less than the maximum concentration listed in the FDA Inactive Ingredient database for the intended use.

ix. Respondent is in violation of Mich Admin Code, R 420.403(8)(c), which states that a producer shall keep formulation records for all marihuana products. These records, at a minimum, must include the recipe, any additional processing in order to be shelf stable, and test results for any ingredients used.

c. ENF: 21-00496

 On June 14, 2021, Respondent had three Metrc package tags fail safety compliance testing for multiple banned chemical residues at levels significantly above the allowable action limits as indicated below:

ii. 1A4050100008C3D000022537-

Bifenazate = 1.326ppm; action limit is 0.2ppm Bifenthrin = 2.722ppm; action limit is 0.2ppm Carbaryl = 1.125ppm; action limit is 0.2ppm Chlorfenapyr = 9.923ppm; action limit is 1ppm Malathion = 10.747ppm; action limit is 0.2ppm Myclobutanil = 1.235ppm; action limit is 0.2ppm

1A4050100008C3D000022538-

Bifenazate = 13.376ppm; action limit is 0.2ppm Bifenthrin = 7.434ppm; action limit is 0.2ppm Carbaryl = 0.884ppm; action limit is 0.2ppm Chlorfenapyr = 13.526ppm; action limit is 1ppm Malathion = 8.21ppm; action limit is 0.2ppm Metalaxyl = 1.814 ppm; action limit is 0.2ppm Myclobutanil = 1.613ppm; action limit is 0.2ppm

1A4050100008C3D000022539-

Bifenazate = 23.047ppm; action limit Is 0.2ppm Bifenthrin = 12.781ppm; action limit is 0.2ppm Carbaryl = 1.299ppm; action limit is 0.2ppm Chlorfenapyr = 12.99ppm; action limit is 1ppm Malathion = 10.476ppm; action limit is 0.2ppm Metalaxyl = 3.352ppm; action limit is 0.2ppm Myclobutanil = 1.613ppm; action limit is 0.2ppm

iii. Respondent is in violation of Mich Admin Code, R 420.206(4), which states the agency shall publish a list of banned chemical residue active ingredients that are prohibited from use in the cultivation and production of marihuana plants and marihuana products to be sold or transferred in accordance with the acts or these rules.

d. ENF: 22-00296

- On December 7, 2021, and January 6, 2022, audits were requested for multiple Metrc packages tags (packages) from Respondent's inventory; these packages previously received full compliance testing.
- ii. On December 14, 2021, a sampling event occurred for the December 7, 2021, audit request.
- iii. The December 7, 2021, audit requested testing of vape carts for chemical residue, Vitamin E Acetate, and potency for the following packages:
 - 1. 1A4050100008C3D000007748 [-07748];
 - 2. 1A4050100008C3D000007749 [-07749];
 - 3. 1A4050100008C3D000007750 [-07750];
 - 4. 1A4050100008C3D000007754 [-07754];
 - 5. 1A4050100008C3D000007757 [-07757];
 - 6. 1A4050100008C3D000007783 [-07783];
 - 7. 1A4050100008C3D000008134 [-08134];
 - 8. 1A4050100008C3D000008135 [-08135];
 - 9. 1A4050100008C3D000008136 [-08136];
 - 10.1A4050100008C3D000008137 [-08137];
 - 11.1A4050100008C3D000008138 [-08138];
 - 12.1A4050100008C3D000008139 [-08139].
- iv. The December 7, 2021, audit request indicated:
 - Package [-08134]'s initial test results for Vitamin E Acetate resulted in findings of no Vitamin E Acetate; but, when tested again, the results indicated Vitamin E Acetate above the CRA action limit.
 - 2. Packages [-08135] and [-08136], initially failed for chemical residues but when tested again, passed for chemical residue.
 - All the packages in the audit initially failed for only the chemical residue, Bifenthrin; however, when tested again, only two packages failed for Bifenthrin; [-07750] and [-07783].
 - Additionally, the audit indicated, the packages that had failed for chemical residue when tested again, had detections for

- one or more of the following chemical residues: Bifenazate, Carbaryl, Chlorfenapyr, Hexythiazox, Myclobutanil, Spiromesifen, Tebuconazole, and Trifloxystrobin.
- 5. The audit indicated that the amount of Total THC and Total Cannabinoids were significantly lower than when the packages were initially tested.
- 6. Additionally, the audit indicated that three vape carts comprising the sample for package [-08134], which failed for Vitamin E, were distinctly different.
- 7. The three vape carts had uniquely different hues ranging from amber to dark yellow which would not be expected in a homogeneous liquid solution. The potency of each cart was measured separately and as a solution. The Total THC found between these three vape carts from the same batch are vastly different.
- 8. The audit indicated that the packages tested first are not the same packages that were tested for the second time, and/or the packages were not in the same condition at the time of the second testing, even though all the products contained in the packages were sampled from the same Metrc package tag. Packages containing the same Metrc tag must contain the same product.
- v. On January 18, 2022, a sampling event occurred for the January 6, 2022, audit request.
- vi. The January 6, 2022, audit requested testing of distillate for chemical residue, Vitamin E Acetate, and potency. The testing included the following packages:
 - 1. 1A4050100008C3D000022537 [-22537]
 - 2. 1A4050100008C3D000022538 [-22538]
 - 3. 1A4050100008C3D000022539 [-22539]
 - 4. 1A4050100008C3D000022844 [-22844]
 - 5. 1A4050100008C3D000022845 [-22845]
 - 6. 1A4050100008C3D000022846 [-22846]
 - 7. 1A4050100008C3D000023449 [-23449]
 - 8. 1A4050100008C3D000023450 [-23450]
 - 0 444000400000000000404[00464]
 - 9. 1A4050100008C3D000023451 [-23451].

- vii. The January 6, 2022, audit request indicated:
 - 1. At the time of the sampling event, Respondent presented eight batches of distillate in multiple jars.
 - 2. Respondent presented the multiple jars with altered Metrc tag numbers to indicate they were the same batch.
 - 3. The audit indicated, package [-22537] with the jar designation CAM-220119-091 passed for chemical residues, when all the other jars for that package failed.
 - The audit indicated, jars with package tags [-22537], [-22538], and [-22539] that initially failed for chemical residues failed for distinctly different chemical residues present when tested again.
 - Furthermore, the audit test results indicated when all the packages were tested for cannabinoids initially, Delta8-THC was not detected. When the same packages were tested at again, the following packages were found to contain Delta8-THC: [-22537], [-22846], [-23450] and [-23451].
 - 6. The audit indicated that the packages tested first are not the same packages that were tested for the second time, and/or the packages were not in the same condition at the time of the second testing, even though all the products contained in the packages were sampled from the same Metrc package tag. Packages containing the same Metrc tag must contain the same product.
 - 7. On January 19, 2022, the CRA requested 8 days (January 12, 2012– January 19, 2022) of video surveillance footage from Respondent. Specifically, all camera angles in the kitchen area and all camera angles in the trailer where vape carts are filled and packaged.
 - 8. On February 16, 2022, Respondent provided the video surveillance footage to the CRA; however, none of the angles from the trailer where vape carts are packaged were viewable.

viii. Respondent is in violation of Mich Admin Code, R 420.209(7), which states in part, each camera must be placed in a location that allows the camera to clearly record activity occurring within 20 feet of all points of entry and exit on the marihuana business and allows for the clear and certain identification of any person, including facial features, and activities, including sales or transfers, in all areas required to be recorded under these rules.

ix. Respondent is in violation of Mich Admin Code, R 420.210(2), which states in part, a licensee shall immediately tag, identify, or record as part of a batch in the statewide monitoring system any marihuana product as provided in these rules.

x. Respondent is in violation of Mich Admin Code, R 420.210(3) which states, a licensee shall not reassign or subsequently assign a tag to another package that has been associated with a package in the statewide monitoring system.

xi. Respondent is in violation of Mich Admin Code, R 420.212(1) which states in part, all marihuana products must be identified and tracked consistently in the statewide monitoring system under these rules

THEREFORE, based on the above, the CRA gives notice of its intent to impose fines and/or other sanctions against Respondent's license, which may include the suspension, revocation, restriction, and/or refusal to renew Respondent's license.

Under MCL 333.27407(4) and Mich Admin Code, R 420.704(2), any party aggrieved by an action of the CRA suspending, revoking, restricting, or refusing to renew a license, or imposing a fine, shall be given a hearing upon request. A request for a hearing must be submitted to the CRA in writing within 21 days after service of this complaint. Notice served by certified mail is considered complete on the business day following the date of the mailing.

Respondent also has the right to request a compliance conference under Mich Admin Code, R 420.704(1). A compliance conference is an informal meeting at which

Respondent has the opportunity to discuss the allegations in this complaint and demonstrate compliance under the MMFLA and/or rules. A compliance conference request must be submitted to the CRA in writing.

Hearing and compliance conference requests must be submitted in writing by one of the following methods.

By Mail: Department of Licensing & Regulatory Affairs

Cannabis Regulatory Agency

P.O. Box 30205

Lansing, Michigan 48909

In Person: Department of Licensing & Regulatory Affairs

Cannabis Regulatory Agency 2407 North Grand River

Lansing, Michigan 48906

By Email: CRA-LegalHearings@michigan.gov

If Respondent fails to timely respond to this formal complaint, a contested case hearing will be scheduled to resolve this matter.

Questions about this complaint should be directed to the Cannabis Regulatory Agency's legal section at (517) 284-8599 or CRA-LegalHearings@michigan.gov. The formal complaints filed against the Respondent on July 9, 2021, and December 1, 2021, are hereby WITHDRAWN and replaced in full by this superseding complaint.

Dated: 8/10/2022 By

Alyssa A.

By: Grissom

Digitally signed by Alyssa A.
Grissom
Date: 2022.08.10 16:07:30
-04'00'

Alyssa A. Grissom
Legal Section Manager
Enforcement Division
Cannabis Regulatory Agency