

STATE OF MICHIGAN
DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS
CANNABIS REGULATORY AGENCY

In the Matter of

Ground Control Michigan, LLC
dba GCM Waypoint
License No. AU-P-000154

ENF No: 26-00320

FORMAL COMPLAINT

The Cannabis Regulatory Agency (CRA) by and through its attorneys, Assistant Attorneys General Erika S. Julien and Sarah E. Huyser, files this formal complaint against Ground Control Michigan dba GCM Waypoint (Respondent), alleging upon information and belief as follows:

1. The CRA is authorized under the Michigan Regulation and Taxation of Marihuana Act (MRTMA), MCL 333.27951 *et seq.*, to investigate alleged violations of the MRTMA and administrative rules promulgated thereunder, take disciplinary action to prevent such violations, and impose fines and other sanctions against applicants and licensees that violate the MRTMA or administrative rules.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

2. Respondent holds an active state license under the MRTMA to operate an adult-use processor business in the State of Michigan.

3. Respondent operated at 54341 M43 Hwy, Bangor, MI 49013, at all times relevant to this complaint.

4. Following an investigation, the CRA determined that Respondent violated the MRTMA and/or administrative rules promulgated thereunder as set forth below.

5. On March 4, 2026, the CRA received a complaint from the Massachusetts Cannabis Control Commission (MCCC) that marijuana products with Michigan Metrc tags that were discovered in a Massachusetts marijuana producer's facility. The following products were found:

- A. 65 units from Metrc tag 1A4050300037461000084615 (84615) – Midwest Extracts Midwest Pineapple 200mg THC gummies;
- B. 17 units from Metrc tag – 1A4050300037461000084452 (84452) – Midwest Extracts Traverse City Cherry 200mg THC gummies;
- C. 9 units from Metrc tag – 1A4050300013FED000094692 (94692) – OnlyZips, Gush Mintz – Indica – 28 [pack] – 1g Prerolls;
- D. 5 units from Metrc tag – 1A4050300013FED000097325 (97325) – OnlyZips, Galactic Jack – Sativa – 1g Prerolls;
- E. 31 units from Metrc tag – 1A4050300013FED000096124 (96124) – King's Choice, Rainbow Beltz – Hybrid – 2g Disposable Vapes;
- F. 3 units from Metrc tag – 1A4050300013FED000097036 (97036) – OnlyZips, Lemon Pound Cake – Sativa – Live Resin Infused – 14 [pack] 1g Prerolls;
- G. 23 units from Metrc tag – 1A40503000013FED000092961 (92961) – King's Choice, Strawberry Cough – Sativa – 1.5g Infused Prerolls;

H. 16 units from Metrc tag – 1A4050300013FED000094726 (94726) – OnlyZips, Tangie Stomper – Hybrid -- 1g Prerolls;

I. 31 units from Metrc tag – 1A40503000013FED000095250 (95250) – King’s Choice, Unicorn Poop – 1g Vape Cartridges;

J. 24 units from Metrc tag – 1A4050300013FED000092939 (92939) – King’s Choice, Tropicana Cookies – 1g Disposable Vapes;

K. Unopened Box, Amount Unclear (Listed 25 units) from Metrc tag – 1A4050300013FED000094786 (94786) – OnlyZips, Lemon Pound Cake – 1g Infused Prerolls.

6. Upon investigation, it was determined the seized Metrc tags and associated marijuana products belonged to Respondent’s marijuana processor license in Bangor, MI. Package traces in Metrc were conducted with the following results:

A. Midwest Extracts tags 1A4050300037461000084615 (84615) and 1A4050300037461000084452 (84452) were marked in Metrc as repackaged and finished by Respondent’s employee J.G. on 12/26/25.

B. OnlyZips and King’s Choice tags 1A4050300013FED000094692 (94692), 1A4050300013FED000096124 (96124), 1A4050300013FED000097036 (97036), 1A40503000013FED000092961 (92961), 1A4050300013FED000094726 (94726), 1A4050300013FED000092939 (92939), and 1A4050300013FED000094786 (94786) were marked in Metrc as trade samples by Respondent’s employee

J.Y. on 03/05/26. The Metrc change happened after the day after the products were found in Massachusetts.

C OnlyZips tag 1A4050300013FED000097325 (97325) was marked in Metrc as trade samples by Respondent's employee M.G. on 03/05/26. The Metrc change happened after the day after the products were found in Massachusetts.

D. King's Choice tag 1A40503000013FED000095250 (95250) was marked in Metrc as package discontinued by J.Y. on 02/27/26.

7. On March 11, 2026, CRA agents conducted a site visit at Respondent's facility, where they met with Respondent's sales manager J.G. and compliance manager R.N., and provided the Metrc ID numbers from the packages in question for further investigation.

8. J.G. informed the agents that Respondent had given these packages as trade samples in December 2025 and January 2026 to another individual G.G. while he was visiting Respondent from his own licensed Massachusetts facility. J.G. adamantly denied transporting the marijuana products to Massachusetts and insisted they had been picked up by G.G. from Respondent's facility in Michigan.

9. Employee J.Y. informed the agents that she was instructed by J.G. to mark the corresponding tags as trade samples in Metrc on March 5, 2026, a day after they were discovered and seized in Massachusetts on March 4, 2026.

10. The agents conducted additional onsite investigation of the following source tag numbers associated with the Massachusetts seizure:

- A. Tag 1A4050300013FED000095369(5369) – 4 pre-rolls were found in inventory but not labeled with the appropriate METRC tags and instead were in a plastic container labeled, “Galactic Jack 4 each.”
- B. Tag 1A4050300013FED000087626 (87626) – Metrc showed 5 eaches in physical inventory but none were located anywhere on the premises.
- C. Tag 1A4050300013FED000050870 (50870) – Metrc showed 144 eaches in physical inventory but none were located anywhere on the premises.
- D. Tag 1A4050300013FED000074164 (74164) – Metrc showed 752 eaches in physical inventory but none were located anywhere on the premises.
- E. Tag 1A4050300013FED000087633 (87633) – Metrc showed 368 eaches in physical inventory but none were located anywhere on the premises.

11. The agents observed a clear plastic bag of 317 grams of untagged marijuana biomass on a rack in the center of the storage area. J.G. and R.N. could not identify the strain, origin, any details, or why it was untagged. Both employees admitted the bag should have had a Metrc tag affixed.

12. J.G. also claimed Respondent utilized a process for gummy reclamation, which involves extracting distillates from unsellable gummies from other processors and re-packaging them for resale. Respondent was unable to produce a standard operating procedure (SOP) for gummy reclamation.

13. When agents looked up the tags associated with the gummies being used for reclamation, the tags associated with this product were inaccurately marked in Metrc as distillate rather than edible gummies.

14. On March 20, 2026, the agents subsequently questioned G.G. about whether he had transported the marijuana products in question from Respondent's facility in Michigan to Massachusetts. He adamantly stated that he had not and proceeded to describe how J.G. and two other employees of Respondent had brought them to his facility in their truck while visiting Massachusetts for a cannabis show.

15. On March 30, 2026, when questioned again, J.G. later admitted that he had lied to the CRA during the investigation and had illegally driven the 249 marijuana products from Michigan to Massachusetts in his personal vehicle for delivery.

COUNT 1

Respondent's actions as described above demonstrate a violation of MCL 333.27961(f), which states that no marijuana establishment may sell or otherwise transfer marijuana that was not produced, distributed, and taxed in compliance with this act.

COUNT 2

Respondent's actions as described above demonstrate a violation of MCL 333.27961(g), which states in relevant part that a processor or agents acting on their behalf may not transport more than 15 ounces of marijuana or more than 60 grams of marijuana concentrate at one time.

COUNT 3

Respondent's actions as described above demonstrate a violation of Rule 420.15, which states in relevant part that applicants shall notify the agency and local law enforcement authorities within 24 hours of becoming aware of or within 24 hours of when the applicant should have been aware of criminal activity at the marijuana business.

COUNT 4

Respondent's actions as described above demonstrate a violation of Rule 420.103(1), which states in relevant part that a marijuana processor license is

authorized to sell or transfer marijuana or marijuana-infused products only to a licensed marijuana establishment, as defined in R 420.101(p).

COUNT 5

Respondent's actions as described above demonstrate a violation of Rule 420.103(2), which states that except as otherwise provided in these rules and the MRTMA, a marijuana processor license authorizes a marijuana processor to transfer marijuana only by means of a marijuana secure transporter.

COUNT 6

Respondent's actions as described above demonstrate a violation of Rule 420.103(3), which states a marijuana processor must accurately enter all transactions, current inventory, and other information into the statewide monitoring system as required in these rules.

COUNT 7

Respondent's actions as described above demonstrate a violation of Rule 420.206a(1), which states that a marijuana business must have up-to-date written standard operating procedures on site at all times.

COUNT 8

Respondent's actions as described above demonstrate a violation of Rule 420.206a(2), which states that standard operating procedures must be made available to the agency upon request.

COUNT 9

Respondent's actions as described above demonstrate a violation of Rule 420.206a(3), which states that standard operating procedures must detail the marijuana business operations and activities necessary for the marijuana business to comply with the acts and the rules.

COUNT 10

Respondent's actions as described above demonstrate a violation of Rule 420.210(2), which states that except for a designated consumption establishment or temporary marijuana event licensed under the MRTMA, a marijuana business must not have any marijuana product without a batch number or identification tag or label pursuant to these rules. A licensee shall immediately tag, identify, or record as

part of a batch in the statewide monitoring system any marijuana product as provided in these rules.

COUNT 11

Respondent's actions as described above demonstrate a violation of Rule 420.212(1), which states that all marijuana products must be stored at a marijuana business in a secured limited access area or restricted access area and must be identified and tracked consistently in the statewide monitoring system under these rules.

COUNT 12

Respondent's actions as described above demonstrate a violation of Rule 420.508(7), which states that in addition to the information required in R 420.403, a trade sample must have a label containing the statement "TRADE SAMPLE NOT FOR RESALE" in bold, capital letters attached to the trade sample.

THEREFORE, based on the above, the CRA gives notice of its intent to impose fines and/or other sanctions against Respondent's license, which may include suspension, revocation, restriction, and/or refusal to renew Respondent's license.

Under MCL 333.27957(1)(c) and Rule 420.704(2), any party aggrieved by an action of the CRA suspending, revoking, restricting, or refusing to renew a license, or imposing a fine, shall be given a hearing upon request. A request for a hearing must be submitted to the CRA in writing within 21 days after service of this complaint. Notice served by certified mail is considered complete on the business day following the date of the mailing.

Respondent also has the right to request a compliance conference under Rule 420.704(1) and R 420.808(4). A compliance conference is an informal meeting at which Respondent has the opportunity to discuss the allegations in this complaint and demonstrate compliance under the MRTMA and/or the administrative rules.

Hearing and compliance conference requests must be submitted in writing by one of the following methods, with a copy provided to the Assistant Attorney General named below:

By Mail: Department of Licensing & Regulatory Affairs
Cannabis Regulatory Agency
P.O. Box 30205
Lansing, Michigan 48909

In Person: Department of Licensing & Regulatory Affairs
Cannabis Regulatory Agency
2407 North Grand River
Lansing, Michigan 48906

By Email: CRA-LegalHearings@michigan.gov

If Respondent fails to timely respond to this formal complaint, a contested case hearing will be scheduled to resolve this matter.

Questions about this complaint should be directed to the undersigned assistant attorneys general.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Erika S. Julien

Erika S. Julien (P63124)
Sarah E. Huyser (P70500)
Assistant Attorney General
Attorneys for Cannabis Regulatory
Agency
Licensing and Regulation Division
525 West Ottawa Street
P.O. Box 30758
Lansing, Michigan 48909
Telephone: (517) 335-7569
Fax: (517) 241-1997

Dated: June 4, 2026



GRETCHEN WHITMER
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN
DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS
LANSING

MARLON I. BROWN, DPA
DIRECTOR

In the Matter of

License Name: Ground Control Michigan LLC
License No.: dba GCM Waypoint
AU-P-000154

ENF No.: 26-00320

_____ /

PROOF OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on _____, I provided a copy of the
Formal Complaint dated _____ in the above captioned case
by personal service to:

Cannabis Regulatory Agency
Department of Licensing & Regulatory
Affairs

CANNABIS REGULATORY AGENCY
2407 NORTH GRAND RIVER • P.O. BOX 30205 • LANSING, MICHIGAN 48909
www.michigan.gov/cra

LARA is an equal opportunity employer/program.