# STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS CANNABIS REGULATORY AGENCY

In the Matter of

Candid Labs, LLC ENF No: 22-00549

License No.: GR-C-000819

### FORMAL COMPLAINT

The Cannabis Regulatory Agency ("Complainant") files this formal complaint against Candid Labs, LLC ("Respondent") alleging upon information and belief as follows:

- 1. The Cannabis Regulatory Agency (CRA) is authorized under the Medical Marihuana Facilities Licensing Act (MMFLA), MCL 333.27101 *et seq.*, and Executive Reorganization Order No.2019-2, MCL 333.27001, to investigate alleged violations of the MMFLA and the administrative rules promulgated thereunder, take disciplinary action to prevent such violations, and impose fines and other sanctions against applicants and licensees that violate the MMFLA or administrative rules.
- 2. Section 402(12) of the MMFLA provides that the expiration of a license does not terminate the CRA's authority to impose sanctions on the license.
- 3. Section 206(c) of the MMFLA provides that the administrative rules must ensure the health, safety, and security of the public and integrity of the marihuana facility operations.
- 4. Respondent's conduct as described below is a risk to public health and safety and/or the integrity of marihuana facility operations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Executive Reorganization Order 2019-2 created the Marijuana Regulatory Agency (MRA) as a Type I agency within the Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs (LARA). MCL 333.27001(1)(a)(d). On April 13, 2022, the MRA became the Cannabis Regulatory Agency (CRA). The CRA exercises its statutory powers, duties, and functions independent of LARA's direction. MCL 16.103.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS AND INTENDED ACTION OF THE CRA

5. Respondent holds an active state operating license under the MMFLA to operate

a medical marihuana class C grower facility in the state of Michigan.

6. Respondent operated at 1850 Parmenter Rd., Corunna, Michigan 48817, at all

times relevant to this complaint.

7. Following an investigation, the CRA determined that Respondent violated the

MMFLA and/or administrative rules promulgated thereunder as set forth below:

a. On June 24, 2022, the CRA contacted Respondent to schedule a pre-licensure

inspection.

b. Respondent inquired if it could bring in 12,000 immature plants so that the plants

would reach maturity by the time Respondent was approved for licensure. The

CRA advised Respondent that the plants would count against Respondent's plant

count under its medical licenses and the CRA would not "push them through" to

obtain licensure sooner.

c. On June 27, 2022, the CRA observed in the statewide monitoring system (Metrc)

that Respondent had a total of 44,592 immature plants in its inventory under

license number GR-C-000819 – 43,092 plants over its allotted 1,500 plant count

authorized under its medical class C grower license.

d. On June 27, 2022, the CRA observed in Metrc that a majority of Respondent's

44,592 plants entered in Metrc were in batches greater than 100.

e. During a facility site visit on June 29, 2022, the CRA observed that most of the

plants inside the facility greenhouse were over 8 inches tall and untagged.

f. On July 6, 2022, the CRA observed in Metrc that 44,592 immature plants in

Respondent's inventory were reduced to 27,500 plants and that many of the plant

packages were labeled as destroyed and documented in Metrc as "unwanted

plants."

CANNABIS REGULATORY AGENCY 2407 NORTH GRAND RIVER • P.O. BOX 30205 • LANSING, MICHIGAN 48909

www.michigan.gov/CRA

LARA is an equal opportunity employer/program

Formal Complaint ENF No.: 22-00549 CRA 5039

Page 2 of 7

- g. During an onsite visit on July 7, 2022, Respondent explained to the CRA that it destroyed 4,250 plants on July 1, 2022.
- h. Respondent was unable to confirm and/or provide proof of the destruction of the 4,250 plants, nor was Respondent able to confirm and/or provide proof of what happened to the rest of the plants that brought the plant count down to 27,500.
- i. Additionally, on July 7, 2022, the CRA observed a June 21, 2022 video surveillance recording of a white vehicle driving toward the greenhouse. The onsite manager stated the vehicle was delivering immature caregiver plants.
- j. The CRA was unable to observe any caregiver plants in the vehicle nor was Respondent able to provide a video surveillance recording of the caregiver plants accepted at the facility or entered into the statewide monitoring system (Metrc).

## **COUNT I**

k. Respondent is in violation of Mich Admin Code, R 420.108(1)(c), which states a grower license authorizes the grower to grow not more than the following number of marihuana plants under the indicated license class for each license the grower holds in that class: (c) class C – 1,500 marihuana plants.

# **COUNT II**

I. Respondent is in violation of R 420.108(8), which states a grower shall accurately enter all transactions, current inventory, and other information into the statewide monitoring system as required in the MMFLA, these rules, and the marihuana tracking act.

## COUNT III

m. Respondent is in violation of Mich Admin Code, R 420.209(6)(a)(i), which states a licensee shall ensure the video surveillance system does all the following: (a) records, at a minimum, the following areas: (i) any areas where marihuana products are weighed, packed, stored, loaded, and unloaded for transportation, prepared, or moved within the marihuana business.

## **COUNT IV**

n. Respondent is in violation of Mich Admin Code, R 420.209(6)(a)(iv), which states a licensee shall ensure the video surveillance system does all the following: (a)

records, at a minimum, the following areas: (iv) the entrances and exits to the building, which must be recorded from both indoor and outdoor vantage points.

# COUNT V

o. Respondent is in violation of Mich Admin Code, R 420.209(6)(a)(vii), which states a licensee shall ensure the video surveillance system does all the following: (a) records, at a minimum, the following areas: (vii) areas where marihuana or marihuana products are destroyed.

## **COUNT VI**

p. Respondent is in violation of Mich Admin Code, R 420.209(11), which states a licensee shall keep surveillance recordings for a minimum of 30 calendar days, except in instances of investigation or inspection by the agency in which case the licensee shall retain the recordings until the time as the agency notifies the licensee that the recordings may be destroyed.

### **COUNT VII**

q. Respondent is in violation of Mich Admin Code, R 420.209(12), which states surveillance recordings of the licensee are subject to inspection by the agency and must be kept in a manner that allows the agency to view and obtain copies of the recordings at the marihuana business immediately upon request.

#### **COUNT VIII**

r. Respondent is in violation of Mich Admin code, R 420.211(4), which states a marihuana product rendered unusable and unrecognizable and, therefore, considered waste, and marihuana plant waste must be recorded in the statewide monitoring system.

## **COUNT IX**

s. Respondent is in violation of Mich Admin Code, R 420.211(8), which states a licensee shall maintain accurate and comprehensive records regarding marihuana product waste, and marihuana plant waste that accounts for, reconciles, and evidences all waste activity related to the disposal.

Formal Complaint ENF No.: 22-00549 CRA 5039 **COUNT X** 

t. Respondent is also in violation of R 420.212(1), which states, in part, all marihuana

products must be identified and tracked consistently in the statewide monitoring

system.

COUNT XI

u. Respondent is in violation of Mich Admin Code, R 420.303(1), which states that

each immature plant batch must consist of no more than 100 immature plants.

COUNT XII

v. Respondent is in violation of Mich Admin Code, R 420.303(2), which states a

cultivator shall tag each individual plant that is greater than 8 inches in height from

the growing or cultivating medium or more than 8 inches in width with an individual

plant tag and record the identification information in the statewide monitoring

system.

THEREFORE, based on the above, the CRA gives notice of its intent to impose fines

and/or other sanctions against Respondent's license, which may include the suspension,

revocation, restriction, and/or refusal to renew Respondent's license.

Under MCL 333.27407(4) and Mich Admin Code, R 420.704(2), any party aggrieved

by an action of the CRA suspending, revoking, restricting, or refusing to renew a license,

or imposing a fine, shall be given a hearing upon request. A request for a hearing must

be submitted to the CRA in writing within 21 days after service of this complaint. Notice

served by certified mail is considered complete on the business day following the date of

the mailing.

Respondent also has the right to request a compliance conference under Mich Admin

Code, R 420.704(1) A compliance conference is an informal meeting at which

Respondent has the opportunity to discuss the allegations in this complaint and

demonstrate compliance under the MMFLA and/or the administrative rules. A compliance

conference request must be submitted to the CRA in writing.

CANNABIS REGULATORY AGENCY 2407 NORTH GRAND RIVER • P.O. BOX 30205 • LANSING, MICHIGAN 48909

www.michigan.gov/CRA

LARA is an equal opportunity employer/program

Formal Complaint ENF No.: 22-00549 CRA 5039 Hearing and compliance conference requests must be submitted in writing by one of the following methods:

By Mail: Department of Licensing & Regulatory Affairs

Cannabis Regulatory Agency

P.O. Box 30205

Lansing, Michigan 48909

In Person: Department of Licensing & Regulatory Affairs

Cannabis Regulatory Agency 2407 North Grand River Lansing, Michigan 48906

By Email: CRA-LegalHearings@michigan.gov

If Respondent fails to timely respond to this formal complaint, a contested case hearing will be scheduled to resolve this matter.

Questions about this complaint should be directed to the Cannabis Regulatory Agency at (517) 284-8599 or <a href="mailto:CRA-LegalHearings@michigan.gov">CRA-LegalHearings@michigan.gov</a>.

Dated:	By:
	J

Alyssa A. Grissom
Legal Section Manager
Enforcement Division
Cannabis Regulatory Agency

In the Matter of

Candid Labs, LLC License No.: GR-C-000819	ENF No: 22-00549
PROOF OF SERVICE	
I hereby certify that on	, I mailed a copy of the
Formal Complaint dated	_ in the above captioned case
by certified mail (return receipt requested) to:	
Candid Labs, LLC 16383 Shaftesbury Ln Macomb, Michigan 48044	

Abby Rae Brooks
Departmental Technician
Cannabis Regulatory Agency
Department of Licensing & Regulatory
Affairs

Formal Complaint ENF No.: 22-00549 CRA 5039