STATE OF MICHIGAN
DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS
MARIJUANA REGULATORY AGENCY

CMP No.: 21-000693

Page 1 of 7

In the Matter of

The House of Mary Jane, LLC

ERG No.: 000421

License No.: PC-000102 ENF No.: 21-00280

LIVI 1VO.. 21 00200

FORMAL COMPLAINT

The Marijuana Regulatory Agency ("Complainant") files this formal complaint

against The House of Mary Jane, LLC ("Respondent") alleging upon information and

belief as follows:

1. The Marijuana Regulatory Agency (MRA) is authorized under the Medical

Marihuana Facilities Licensing Act (MMFLA), MCL 333.27101 et seq., and Executive

Reorganization Order No.2019-2, MCL 333.27001, to investigate alleged violations of the

MMFLA and the administrative rules promulgated thereunder, take disciplinary action to

prevent such violations, and impose fines and other sanctions against applicants and

licensees that violate the MMFLA or administrative rules.

2. Section 402(12) of the MMFLA provides that the expiration of a license does not

terminate the MRA's authority to impose sanctions on the license.

3. Section 206(c) of the MMFLA provides that the administrative rules must ensure

the health, safety, and security of the public and integrity of the marihuana facility

operations.

4. Respondent's conduct as described below is a risk to public health and safety

and/or the integrity of marihuana facility operations.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS AND INTENDED ACTION OF THE MRA

Respondent holds an active state operating license under the MMFLA to operate

a medical marihuana provisioning center facility in the state of Michigan.

Respondent operated at 19154 James Couzens Fwy., Detroit, Michigan 48235, at

all times relevant to this complaint.

7. Following an investigation, the MRA determined that Respondent violated the

MMFLA and/or administrative rules promulgated thereunder as set forth below:

a. On May 19, 2021, the MRA conducted a compliance visit at Respondent's

provisioning center facility.

b. The MRA observed multiple bags, backpacks, and duffle bags of marijuana

products that did not have the tracking identification numbers assigned by

the statewide monitoring system (METRC) attached.

c. Respondent was told none of the products that were without METRC tags

could be sold or destroyed until the investigation was completed and

guidance was given by the MRA. The MRA also requested Respondent

provide the 30 days of video surveillance coverage required by

administrative rule.

d. During the compliance visit, the MRA was able to view 30 days of

surveillance recordings on the provisioning center's surveillance system.

e. Respondent is in violation of Mich Admin Codes, R 420.210(1) & R

420.210(2), which state except for designated consumption establishments

or temporary marihuana events licensed under the Michigan regulation and

taxation of marihuana act, a marihuana business must not have marihuana

products that are not identified and recorded in the statewide monitoring

system pursuant to these rules. A licensee shall not transfer or sell a

marihuana product that is not identified in the statewide monitoring system

pursuant to these rules. And a marihuana business must not have any marihuana product without a batch number or identification tag or label pursuant to these rules. A licensee shall immediately tag, identify, or record as part of a batch in the statewide monitoring system any marihuana product as provided in these rules.

- f. Respondent possessing untagged marijuana products at the facility is also a violation of Mich Admin Code, R 420.505(2), which states a marihuana sales location shall enter all transactions, current inventory, and other information required by these rules in the statewide monitoring system in compliance with the acts and these rules. The marihuana sales location shall maintain appropriate records of all sales or transfers under the acts and these rules and make them available to the agency upon request.
- g. Finally, Respondent is in violation of Mich Admin Code, R 420.111(4)(b), which states a provisioning center shall enter all transactions, current inventory, and other information into the statewide monitoring system as required in the medical marihuana facilities licensing act, these rules, and the marihuana tracking act.
- h. Respondent was not able to provide the 30 days of video surveillance as requested by the MRA. Respondent is in violation of Mich Admin Codes, R 420.209(11) & R 420.209(12), which state a licensee shall keep surveillance recordings for a minimum of 30 days, except in instances of investigation or inspection by the agency in which case the licensee shall retain the recordings until the time as the agency notifies the licensee that the recordings may be destroyed. And surveillance recordings of the licensee are subject to inspection by the agency and must be kept in a manner that allows the agency to view and obtain copies of the recordings at the marihuana business immediately upon request. The licensee shall also send or otherwise provide copies of the recordings to the agency upon request within the time specified by the agency.
- i. The MRA requested the video management system log, after Respondent could not provide the required footage and alleged a third-party vendor

deleted the video back up. The log failed to identify the employee(s) responsible for monitoring the video surveillance system and lacked the identity of anyone removing or destroying recordings. Respondent is in violation of Mich Admin Codes, R 420.209(14)(a-c), which state a licensee shall maintain a log of the recordings, which includes all of the following: (a) The identities of the employee or employees responsible for monitoring the video surveillance system. (b) The identity of the employee who removed the recording from the video surveillance system storage device and the time and date removed. (c) The identity of the employee who destroyed any recording.

- j. On May 25, 2021, the MRA returned to the provisioning center facility and inquired about the untagged marijuana products. Respondent had some products remaining in a safe but destroyed the remaining marijuana products with motor oil and had Waste Management remove the destroyed products. Video surveillance recordings of the product destruction was requested as required and not provided by Respondent.
- k. Respondent is in violation of Mich Admin Code, R 420.209(6)(vii), which states a licensee shall ensure the video surveillance system covers anywhere marihuana or marihuana products are destroyed.
- I. Respondent is also in violation of Mich Admin Codes, R 420.211(4) & R 420.211(8), which state a marihuana product rendered unusable and unrecognizable and, therefore, considered waste, and marihuana plant waste must be recorded in the statewide monitoring system. And a licensee shall maintain accurate and comprehensive records regarding marihuana product waste, and marihuana plant waste that accounts for, reconciles, and evidences all waste activity related to the disposal. The agency may publish guidance on marihuana product waste management.

THEREFORE, based on the above, the MRA gives notice of its intent to impose fines and/or other sanctions against Respondent's license, which may include the suspension, revocation, restriction, and/or refusal to renew Respondent's license.

Under MCL 333.27407(4) and Mich Admin Code, R 420.704(2), any party aggrieved

by an action of the MRA suspending, revoking, restricting, or refusing to renew a license,

or imposing a fine, shall be given a hearing upon request. A request for a hearing must

be submitted to the MRA in writing within 21 days after service of this complaint. Notice

served by certified mail is considered complete on the business day following the date of

the mailing.

Respondent also has the right to request a compliance conference under Mich Admin

Code, R 420.704(1) A compliance conference is an informal meeting at which

Respondent has the opportunity to discuss the allegations in this complaint and

demonstrate compliance under the MMFLA and/or the administrative rules. A compliance

conference request must be submitted to the MRA in writing.

Hearing and compliance conference requests must be submitted in writing by one of

the following methods:

By Mail: Department of Licensing & Regulatory Affairs

Marijuana Regulatory Agency

P.O. Box 30205

Lansing, Michigan 48909

In Person: Department of Licensing & Regulatory Affairs

Marijuana Regulatory Agency

2407 North Grand River Lansing, Michigan 48906

By Email: MRA-LegalHearings@michigan.gov

If Respondent fails to timely respond to this formal complaint, a contested case

hearing will be scheduled to resolve this matter.

Questions about this complaint should be directed to the Marijuana Regulatory

Agency at (517) 284-8599 or MRA-LegalHearings@michigan.gov.

MARIJUANA REGULATORY AGENCY 2407 NORTH GRAND RIVER • P.O. BOX 30205 • LANSING, MICHIGAN 48909

Formal Complaint

Dated:	By:
	Claire Patterson, Manager
	Scientific and Legal Section Manager
	Enforcement Division
	Marijuana Regulatory Agency

In the Matter of

The House of Mary Jane, LLC

ERG No.: 000421

License No.: PC-000102 ENF No.: 21-00280

_____**'**

PROOF OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on	, I mailed a copy of the
Formal Complaint dated	in the above captioned case
by certified mail (return receipt requested) to:	

The House of Mary Jane, LLC 19154 James Couzens Detroit, Michigan 48235

With a copy to:

Markwei Boye 5306 Kingsfield Dr. West Bloomfield, Michigan 48322-2036

Abby Rae Brooks
Departmental Technician
Marijuana Regulatory Agency
Department of Licensing & Regulatory
Affairs

CMP No.: 21-000693