## **Meeting Minutes**

Meeting Name: Data Synthesis Workgroup

Date and Time: Tuesday, January 10, 2006

2:30 - 4:30 pm

Location: MPHI Interactive Learning Center, Room B, Okemos

Present:

Umbrin Ateequi, Elaine Beane, Kathie Boynton, Gary Burmeister, Marcus Cheatham, Rebecca Cienki, Janette Davis, Raymond Higbea, Robert Mosher

**Minutes** 

Topic	Discussion	Results
Update from Elaine Beane on	The MDWG is currently pulling	The next Advisory Council
the work of the Models	together the various options	Meeting is scheduled for
Development Workgroup	that the subgroups had	January 18, 2006. The
(MDWG) Steering Committee	proposed, developing a time	Advisory Council will be given
and the Advisory Council.	stratified plan for achieving	the opportunity to react to the
,	100% health insurance	plan to be submitted by the
	coverage for Michigan	MDWG. Meetings of the
	residents. Relevant	MDWG Steering Committee
	information from both the	and the Advisory Council
	Household survey and CPS	Workgroup have contributed to
	data are being used in the	moving the plan write-up
	plan.	forward.
Current Status of the	The Household Survey Report	The Household Survey will
Household Survey Report, the	is currently in revision.	provide the State Planning
Employer Survey Report, the	Expected completion date for	Project with its primary data
Focus Groups Report, and the	the final report is January 27,	source for understanding the
MDWG Data Request Log.	2006. The Michigan	uninsured in Michigan.
me i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	Household Health Insurance	aga
	Survey Report is close to 100	For the health care safety net
	pages, including regional data.	review, we will especially use
	pages, meraanig regional datar	Household Survey data; we
	First draft of the Employer	may be able to use Employer
	Survey Report was submitted	Survey data.
	by MPHI to MDCH staff on	Carroy data.
	January 6, 2006. A final draft	The group is interested in
	of the report is expected by	knowing information on
	the end of February.	businesses by State. Gary
	line ond or robidary.	Burmeister brought to the
	Focus groups were conducted	group's attention the National
	by MPHI for the uninsured,	Business Coalition on Health,
	employers, and insurance	based in D.C., that has data
	agents. A draft report of the	on mid-large sized employers.
	findings from the Focus	Gary suggested contacting
	groups is expected by the end	Dennis White, Executive
	of January.	Director of the South Central
	or January.	MI Health Alliance, for further
	The MDWG has been	information on businesses.
	provided with responses to its	inionnation on businesses.
	data requests; the MDWG	
	Data Request Log is complete	
	and up to date. Data request	
	responses are available to all	
	for review.	
Discussion of Health Care	In an effort to scan the safety	A review of these datasets and
Safety Net Profile Data	net in Michigan, the group will	health indicators will provide a
Salety Net Fiville Data	riet in wildingan, the group will	Health indicators will provide a

Elements	need to review a number of data sets, which could include: MDCH Health Statistics, the	picture of safety net utilization and permit limited analysis of the ways in which the safety
	Michigan Behavioral Risk Factor Survey (BRFS), Kaiser State Health Facts (based primarily on Current Population Survey data), the Michigan Primary Care Association (MPCA) dataset, the Free Clinics of Michigan (FCOM) dataset, and the Voices of Detroit Initiative (VODI) dataset. These sources of data provide basic information on population health status, provider information, utilization, and information on coordination and funding of resources. Rebecca Cienki (MPCA) suggested that mental health be included as one of the indicators. Marcus Cheatham suggested that data on risky behaviors for the uninsured is available in the BRFS, and could help make the case for its correlation to increases in chronic disease.	net ways in which the safety net will be affected by potential strategies for 100% coverage. This is information that HRSA will expect to see in the final report on the State Planning Project, and update on the safety net segment of the SPG proposal, and an extrapolation of the current safety net as it may be affected by implementation of the project plan. DSWG members have been requested to compare and narrow down a selection of data elements that are most important to the project. Additional data resources are open to consideration. The Kaiser State Health Facts are a good source for identifying indicators for a safety net review, and for some data components. Given the multitude of health indicators available, only 5-10 "Health Status" indicators will be selected as the most descriptive measures of the safety net scan. Rebecca Cienki suggested that MPCA can provide a list of specific elements for data on FQHC patients who are uninsured.
Employer Survey Report Presentation: Part II	Elaine Beane presented more detailed and complete data from the Employer Survey.	The Employer Survey Report is currently being finalized.
Review of Handouts	The "course-pack" for this meeting included a packet of Kaiser State Health Facts, Data from the 2005 National Health Interview Survey, Safety Net description from the SPG 2004 proposal, and additional articles.	DSWG members were asked to review these additional materials with the goal of incorporating this information into the upcoming plan for the Michigan Safety Net scan, and the ability to better describe the uninsured.
Meeting was adjourned at 4:30 pm		February meeting is scheduled for Feb. 14, 2006 at MPHI Interactive Learning Center, 2:30 – 4:30 pm.

Submitted by:

Umbrin Ateequi, MDCH February 9, 2006