

# FY 2005 Michigan Caregiver Profile

## Caregivers Served in 2005<sup>i</sup>

In 2005 OSA services supported 7,348 unduplicated caregivers, and an additional 10,750 caregivers in non-registered services<sup>ii</sup>. Caregivers received 1,033,047 hours of adult day, respite, training, support, nutrition, supplemental, and counseling services. Services to non-registered caregivers included 51,858 hours of transportation, information & referral, and other support services.

## Profile of Caregivers

48% were younger than 65 years of age  
70% were female  
42% resided in rural areas  
24% were low-income  
15% were minority by race

35% of caregivers were daughters or daughters-in-law  
31% of caregivers were spouses; 57% of spousal caregivers were female  
63% of caregivers have been providing care for more than one year; 37% of caregivers have been providing care for 3 or more years  
60% of caregivers live with the individual they are caring for; 30% travel up to an hour to provide care; 8% travel more than an hour to provide care  
58% of caregivers provide daily care  
79% of caregivers provide hands-on care  
38% of caregivers are employed full or part time  
31% of caregivers describe their health as fair or poor; 69% describe their health as good or excellent  
7% of caregivers were caring for grandchildren

## Expenditures to Support Caregivers<sup>iii</sup>

In 2005 the aging network spent approximately \$13.7 million to support caregivers. This equates to an approximate annual cost of \$759 per caregiver, and an approximate annual cost of \$12.66 per unit of hourly service.

---

<sup>i</sup> Data source: Michigan Aging Information System - NAPIS 2.0 software application

<sup>ii</sup> *Registered* clients are clients enrolled in services for which NAPIS registration is required. Registered client counts are unduplicated. Clients in *non-registered* services are reported in the aggregate and may client count include duplication.

<sup>iii</sup> Service expenditure data includes federal, state and local expenditures reported for 2005. Minor discrepancies may exist between reported expenditures at the time of this analysis and final, adjusted totals (e.g., corrections, audits adjustments, etc.)