

PRISONER RE-ENTRY

SPECIAL ALTERNATIVE INCARCERATION PR In-Reach Facility Male and Female

ANNUAL REPORT -2019-

**Pursuant to: P.A. 64 of 2019
Section 611
MCL 791.234a (9)**

Heidi E. Washington, Director

REPORT HIGHLIGHTS

- 466 SAI male prisoners from 65 counties and 237 male probationers from 42 counties were enrolled in the program.
- 12.7% of the male prisoners, 23.6% of the male probationers, enrolled in the program were serving for drug related offenses.
- 435 SAI male prisoners and 206 male probationers successfully completed the program. The successful completion rates were 96.5% for SAI male prisoners and 91.2% for male probationers.
- 144 male offenders earned their GED Certificates while enrolled in the program.
- 54 SAI female prisoners from 33 counties and 18 female probationers from 13 counties were enrolled in the program.
- 47 SAI female prisoners and 18 female probationers successfully completed the program (includes prisoners/probationers enrolled in 2018 but graduated in 2019). The successful completion rates were 87.1% for SAI female prisoners and 100% for female probationers.
- The program is cost effective as compared to prison.
- 8 offenders earned their GED Certificates while enrolled in the program.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | <u>Page</u> |
|--|-------------|
| What is Offender Re-Entry | 4-6 |
| Special Alternative Incarceration | 7-10 |
| Program Cost Effectiveness | 11 |
| Evening Educational and Re-Entry Programming | 11 |
| Male- Summary of 2019 Probationer Program Statistics | 12 |
| Male- Summary of 2019 SAI Prisoner Program Statistics | 13 |
| Male- Probationer Admissions by Offense Type | 14 |
| Male- Prisoner Admissions by Offense Type | 15 |
| Female- Summary of 2019 Probationer Program Statistics | 16 |
| Female- Summary of 2019 SAI Prisoner Program Statistics | 17 |
| Female- Probationer Admissions by Offense Type | 18 |
| Female- Prisoner Admissions by Offense Type | 19 |
| Comparison of Outcomes SAI-Prison vs. Non-SAI | 20- 22 |
| APPENDICES A-K (Males and Females) | |
| A. 2019 Admissions by County – Probationer | 23- 26 |
| B. 2019 Admissions by County – SAI Prisoner | 27- 30 |
| C. 2019 Admissions by Month | 31- 32 |
| D. 2019 Admissions by Gender | 33 |
| E. 2019 Monthly Population Totals | 34- 35 |
| F. 2019 Program Outcomes by County - Probationer | 36- 40 |
| G. 2019 Program Outcomes by County – Prisoner | 41- 46 |
| H. 2019 Monthly Program Outcomes - Prisoner | 47- 48 |
| I. 2019 Monthly Program Outcomes – Probationer | 49- 50 |
| J. 2019 Graduates by Gender | 51- 52 |
| K. Comparative Program Outcomes - 2018 and 2019 | 53- 54 |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

What is Offender Re-Entry?

Offender Re-entry is the process of leaving prison or jail and returning to society. All former offenders experience re-entry into the community whether they are released on parole or without supervision. With successful re-entry, there are great benefits to the community including improved public safety, a tremendous cost savings by reducing the chances for recidivism, and the long-term reintegration of the former offender.

The Offender Success Vision

The **VISION** of Offender Success (OS) is that every offender released from prison will have the tools needed to succeed in the community.

The OS Mission

The **MISSION** of OS is to reduce crime by implementing a seamless plan of services and supervision developed with each prisoner—delivered through state and local collaboration—from the time of their entry into prison through their transition, reintegration, and aftercare in the community.

The Three-Phase, Decision-Point OS Model

The OS Model involves improved decision making at critical decision points in the three phases of the custody, release, and community supervision/discharge process. The Special Alternative Incarceration Program encompasses both the Getting Ready and Going Home phases.

GETTING READY

The institutional phase describes the details of events and responsibilities that occur during the prisoner's imprisonment from admission until the point of the parole decision and involves two major decision points:

1. Assessment and classification: Measuring the prisoner's risks, needs, and strengths.
2. Prisoner programming: Assignments to reduce risk, address need, and build on strengths.

Participation in each program is the result of assessing each offender's strengths and weaknesses using the Correctional Offender Management Profiling for Alternative Sanctions (COMPAS) and the Transition Accountability Plan (TAP).

The programs provided during the offender's SAI experience are:

Cognitive Behavioral Restructuring (Male): Thinking Matters, Journaling (thinking report format), Cage Your Rage, Victim Awareness, Advanced Substance Abuse Therapy, Domestic Violence (Bridges), MIDVP (Batterers Intervention).

Cognitive Behavioral Restructuring (Female): Phase II Outpatient Substance Abuse Treatment, Seeking Safety - (PTSD and Substance Abuse/Social Support), Moving on and Meridians (for women who have used force in an inmate partner relationship)

Family/Community Structure (Male):

Family Reunification, Family Focus Meeting, Premarital Interpersonal Choices and Knowledge (PICK a Partner), Smart Steps for Stepfamilies, Religious Services, Transition Team Visits and In-Reach Services.

Family/Community Structure (Female):

Family Reunification, Family Focus, Parenting, Self-Determination, Religious Services, New Direction/New Freedom (faith-based reintegration program/voluntary participation), Transition Team Meetings and In-Reach Services.

Education:

Employment Readiness, Digital Literacy and GED

Daily Living Skills:

Pre-Release, Getting it Right (Change Plan, Relapse Prevention, Manage My Life, Responsive Thinking and Personal Growth), Winning at Work, Employment Counseling, Public Works and Institutional Work Assignments.

Daily Living Skills (Female):

The following were added on 8/1/18: Nutrition, Life Skills and Financial Planning.

Self-Discipline:

Interaction within a highly structured disciplined setting-Offenders rise at 6:00 A.M., attend programs and work assignments throughout the day and participate in physical exercise. (Exercise designed for the offender's physical ability) Bedtime is at 10:00 P.M. There are no individual televisions, radios, games or other distractions that prevent the offender from learning and applying the skills they learn while incarcerated in the SAI Program. The offender is challenged mentally, emotionally and physically while at SAI.

GOING HOME

The second phase is the going home phase and involves the transition to the community and begins before the prisoner's target release date. In this phase, highly specific re-entry plans are organized that address housing, employment, and services to address addiction and mental illness. Phase Two involves the next two major decision points:

3. Prisoner release preparation: Developing a strong, public-safety-conscious parole plan.
4. Release decision making: Improving parole release guidelines.

The second phase is the “Going home or transitioning from prison to the community” phase. Prior to re-entering the community, a plan utilizing community in-reach resources is developed in collaboration with the offender and those state and local resources.

The community plan is designed to enhance public safety by effective risk management, treatment programs, offender accountability and community and victim participation. These plans are developed prior to the offender leaving SAI with the assistance of Transition Teams.

During the Transition Team or “In-reach” meeting, the offender is introduced to their parole or probation agent. The agent will discuss their home placement and brief initial reporting instructions. Transition Team members from various service providers will be present at the meeting to inquire as to what services the offender will initially require upon release. The offender is allowed the opportunity to discuss or ask questions regarding their release and transition.

STAYING HOME

The community and discharge phase begin when the prisoner is released from prison and continues until discharge from community parole supervision. In this phase, it is the responsibility of the former prisoner, human services providers, and the offender’s network of community supports and mentors to assure continued success. Phase Three involves the final three major decision points of the transition process:

5. Supervision and services: Providing flexible and firm supervision and services.
6. Revocation decision making: Using graduated sanctions to respond to behavior.
7. Discharge and aftercare: Determining community responsibility to “take over” the case.

Upon release from Special Alternative Incarceration, prisoners are placed on parole for a minimum of 18 months at the expiration of their minimum sentence, or as determined by the Parole Board. The first 120 days of any trainee released from SAI will be under intense supervision. For the first 120 days of this period, the offender will be on tether. After the intensive supervision period, the offender will transfer to either a regular parole caseload or a regular probation case.

Special Alternative Incarceration Program*

The Special Alternative Incarceration Program (SAI) has been established as a Offender Success (OS) In-Reach Facility. Early on in this transition it was evident that SAI needed to make and be able to demonstrate significant changes in its structure and operation to meet the standards of Evidence Based Practice established in the OS Model. The SAI program provides for a decrease in the costs of incarceration by reducing the need for bed space for more extended periods of time. SAI is unique in the sense that they are a Phase I (Getting Ready) and a Phase II (Going Home) facility requiring the approval of the offender’s judge and/or Parole Board to participate in the 90-day program. The program and structural (evidence based) modifications to the SAI program that have been fully implemented are:

| |
|---|
| SAI |
| In-reach facility: Focus on individual risks and needs. Provides programming to address these risks and needs. |

1. Assessment and classification:

Each offender who enters SAI has a COMPAS profile which is used by the classification director in assigning the offender to programs and work assignments that will increase their knowledge and provide the tools to become successful in the community upon their release. Offenders are now provided specific and appropriate responsive programming based upon their individual strengths and needs as profiled by the COMPAS and entered into the Transition Accountability Plan.

2. Offender programming (Male):

The programming menus at SAI consist of the following to meet the goals of PR and the programming standards. These programs are delivered in a 90-day period.

- Thinking Matters (Cognitive Behavioral Program)
- Cage Your Rage (Cognitive Behavioral Program)
- Journaling -Thinking Reports (Cognitive Behavioral Program)
- Domestic Violence (Bridges)
- Victim Awareness
- Family Reunification Education Workshop
- Family Focus Meetings
- Premarital Interpersonal Choices and Knowledge (PICK a Partner)
- Smart Steps for Stepfamilies
- Advanced Substance Abuse Therapy (ASAT) provided by Catholic Charities
- GED
- Employment Readiness
- Pre-Release Vocational Educational Planning
- Getting it Right
- Employment Counseling
- Winning at Work
- MIDVP (Batterers Intervention)

Offender programming (Female):

The programming menus at SAI consist of the following to meet the goals of OS and the programming standards. These programs are delivered in a 90-day period:

- Family Reunification Meetings
- Family Focus Workshops
- Substance Abuse treatment provided by contracted agency
- GED
- Employment Readiness & Digital Literacy
- Seeking Safety
- Moving On
- Meridians
- Self Determination
- Parenting
- New Direction/New Freedom provided by Prison Fellowship
- Nutrition
- Life Skills
- Financial Planning

3. **Release decision making:**

The COMPAS and Transitional Accountability Plan are used in developing the offender's release plan. All offenders entering SAI are either given automatic paroles or probation depending on the successful 90-day completion. An Institutional Parole Agent enters the COMPAS profile into the Transition Accountability Plan. This entry is created by COMPAS Assessment, criminal history and the trainee interview. The Transition Accountability Plan (TAP) is used to identify programming and needed intervention to reduce recidivism

The Offenders profile is used by the classification director to classify trainees to the programs needed to strengthen weaknesses and to strengthen the existing skills of an offender to become successful in the community. Once the programs are completed, the completion and evaluation for SAI offender is entered into the TAP by the Institutional Parole Agent. Completion and offender progress evaluation is provided by a supplemental 363 which was developed for SAI study evaluation purposes. This supplemental form provides both qualitative and quantitative information that allows for better audit information gathering and release decision making.

4. **Offender release preparation:**

Three reports currently completed by staff at SAI are:

30 Day Report-reporting court information, after care agent, placement information including employment plans.

Final Report: identifies court information, agent, approved placement and program participation.

Transition Accountability Plan: An Institutional Parole Agent (IPA) interviews the trainees and enters SAI completion data into OCMS that is used in the field to supervise the trainee when released to the community. It is also used by the Transition Teams that meet with the trainee before SAI completion for the purpose of offender release preparation. Transition Team visits are coordinated by the PR Facility Coordinator. This team works collaboratively to develop a strong public safety conscious release plan with the offender prior to release from SAI. The meetings are either in person, by phone or video.

Disciplinary Process

The disciplinary process focuses on pro-social modeling and intrinsic motivation.

Immediate Verbal Counseling - Counsel trainee pointing out infraction of a rule or negative behavior.

Extra Drill - Marching and facing movements.

Extra Duty – Extra work; cleaning trash cans, toilets, washing windows etc., up to two hours, along with very close supervision.

Journaling - Writing in a journal about behavior, emotions, and making good decisions based upon what they are being taught in programming, i.e. Cage Your Rage, Thinking Matters, Journaling.

Motivation Detail - Detail consisting of hard physical labor and motivational PT, along with very close supervision.

Program Review Board(Male) - A board usually consisting of the Deputy Warden, Treatment Staff, and Shift Commander to review poor or disruptive behavior, major misconduct results, medical issues, lack of progress in the program etc., and determine whether the trainee referred should continue in the program or be terminated.

Program Review Board(Female) - A board usually consisting of the Deputy Warden, SAI Sergeant, Resident Unit Manager, and Prison Counselor to review poor or disruptive behavior, misconduct results, medical issues, lack of progress in the program etc., and determine whether the trainee referred should continue in the program or be terminated.

SAI Health Care, in concert with the worksite ADA Coordinator, designates certain trainees with medical issues in a "Medically Compromised Platoon". These trainees are not allowed to do any physical training and are not assigned to any work assignments which require intense physical labor without Health Care approval. They are carefully monitored and are allowed to benefit by participating in the Prisoner Re-entry classes.

Staff Development

Modified to the SAI Staff Academy, which incorporates the changes made to become a PR In-Reach Facility. Staff currently attending the Academy will be trained to facilitate current programs, supervise trainees (medical and non-medical), using skills received by attending the "Offenders Under Our Care" module, SAI Staff Academy and the MDOC New Employees' School. Training in the areas of Evidence Based Programming for each facilitator is also provided.

Current staffing level male facility:

58 custody staff
10 Administrative staff
03 Maintenance staff
06 Food Service staff
06 Healthcare staff
07 Education staff

Current staffing level female facility:

08 Custody staff
01 Administrative staff
01 Food Service staff
07 Healthcare staff (full time and part time combined)
01 Education staff

Capacity Building (Male)

A medical criterion was issued on January 6, 2009 to change the medical requirements of SAI to allow medically compromised prisoners and probationers to participate in the program (see Attachment 2). Further criterion was developed to screen outpatient mental health prisoners for participation in the SAI Program. These changes, combined with those of the Psychological Service Unit screening criteria, have now increased the number of prisoners available to participate in the SAI program. The current male offender capacity is 320.

To accommodate the medically compromised offenders, Health Care staff has been increased. SAI's male facility currently operates with four Registered Nurses, one Health Care supervisor, a part time dentist, and staff from the Outpatient Mental Health Team and Psychological Services Unit. Physical structure includes a medication room, medical teleconferencing room, and a dental clinic.

In keeping with the components of the Prisoner Re-Entry and expected participation of medically comprised/mentally ill trainees in the SAI program, two modifications have been made to the structure of the program. The changes affect the SAI trainee disciplinary process and physical training regimen. Based on the expected increased risk of heat-related illnesses and number of trainees now on "restricted physical training status" for medical and mental health related illnesses, the operating procedure has been changed, eliminating physical discipline sanctions for medically restricted and non-medically restricted trainees. This change significantly increased the number of offenders who can participate in the SAI program.

*See 2010 Annual Report for a full before and after comparison of SAI program and structure

Capacity Building (Female)

SAI Women's Program was modified to incorporate 1:1 intake interviews, positive reinforcement, team building exercises, new workshops (nutrition, life skills and financial planning), an updated parenting program, earned visitation opportunities with immediate family, and 1:1 exit interviews upon SAI completion.

A revised mental health criterion was approved by BHCS on October 17, 2018. The SAI mental health screening criteria has been updated so that prisoners and probationers have the same requirement for SAI placement. Previously, prisoners who were on an OPT caseload and taking medication were required to demonstrate 6 months of stable functioning. Under the revised criteria, 2 months of stable mental health (GAF >60) is the minimum requirement. A new policy directive PD 04.06.155 *Offenders with Disabilities* was implemented on August 1, 2018. This policy requires adherence to Title II of ADA, which in part requires that evaluations be completed as necessary to determine if reasonable accommodations can be made for SAI admission, participation and program completion, if they otherwise meet the SAI eligibility criterion. A revised medical criterion was approved by BHCS on October 21, 2016 allowing for pregnant offenders to participate in SAI via the Medically Compromised Platoon if they could complete prior to 32 weeks gestation.

A revised medical criterion was approved by BHCS on June 17, 2015 to change the medical admission requirements of SAI-Female to allow additional prisoners and probationers to participate in the program because the facility has 24-hour nursing. A medical criterion was issued on January 6, 2009 to change the medical requirements of SAI to allow medically compromised prisoners and probationers to participate in the program (see Attachment 2). Further criterion was developed to screen outpatient mental health prisoners for participation in the SAI Program.

These changes have increased the number of prisoners available to participate in the SAI program. The current female capacity is 58 which includes ZPF and ZLW.

SAI's female facility currently operates with one R.N., one part-time L.P.N., one Clinical Social Worker, one Health Care supervisor, part time dental, one general office assistant and staff from the Outpatient Mental Health Team. Physical structure includes examination rooms, medication room, medical teleconferencing room, and a dental clinic.

In keeping with the components of Offender Success and expected participation of medically comprised/mentally ill trainees in the SAI program, two modifications have been made to the structure of the program. The changes affect the SAI trainee disciplinary process and physical training regimen. Based on the expected increased risk of heat-related illnesses and number of trainees now on "restricted physical training status" for medical and mental health related illnesses, the operating procedure has been changed, eliminating physical discipline sanctions for medically restricted and non-medically restricted trainees. This change increased the number of offenders who can participate in the SAI program.

PROGRAM COST EFFECTIVENESS

A process and impact evaluation previously conducted by the JFA Institute included a cost benefit assessment to estimate net savings that can be attributed to the SAI program. The analysis incorporated information regarding SAI population, cumulative SAI program costs (including programs), estimated length of stay in prison for non-SAI cases and per diem costs for Level I and Level II facilities in its calculation. It further controlled for higher parole grant rates that have occurred recently. Finally, recognizing that Length of Stay (LOS) and probability of parole are different, separate estimates were done for each SAI group: Probation and Prison.

EVENING EDUCATIONAL AND SELF-HELP PROGRAMMING (Male)

While enrolled in the program, all 703 male offenders admitted in 2019, participated in programming classes consisting of Thinking Matters, Smart Steps for Step Families, Family Reunification Workshop, PICK a Partner, Pre-Release, Advanced Substance Abuse Therapy, Employment Readiness, Cage Your Rage, Domestic violence (Bridges), Victim Awareness, Journaling and MIDVP (Batterers Intervention).

Of those completing all mandatory GED test modules, 144 male offenders earned their GED Certificates. Those offenders that did not have the academic skills necessary to take the GED test as determined by Test of Adult Basic Education (TABE) were enrolled in academic education classes. Program graduates who have completed a portion of the GED test battery are enrolled in adult education programs in the community during the residential aftercare portion of the program.

EVENING EDUCATIONAL AND SELF-HELP PROGRAMMING (Female)

While enrolled in the program, all 54 female offenders admitted in 2019, participated in programming classes consisting of Parenting (if needed), Self Determination, Moving On, Seeking Safety, Family Reunification, Phase II Substance Abuse (if needed), Meridians (if needed), Family Focus, Employment Readiness and Digital Literacy.

Eight female offenders, of those completing all mandatory GED test modules, earned their GED Certificates. Those offenders that did not have the academic skills necessary to take the GED test as determined by Test of Adult Basic Education (TABE) were enrolled in academic education classes. Program graduates who have completed a portion of the GED test battery are enrolled in adult education programs in the community during the residential aftercare portion of the program.

SUMMARY OF 2019 MALE PROBATIONER PROGRAM STATISTICS

Male probationer program statistics for 2019 are presented in the attached appendices. These key data are summarized as follows:

Of the 237 probationers enrolled in the program:

1. 84 (35.4%) were African American
2. 145 (61.2%) were Caucasian
3. 7 (3.0%) were Hispanic
4. 1 (0.4%) were of other races

Probationers sentenced in 42 counties enrolled in the program.

Probationer age at sentencing ranged from 18 years to 47 years, with the 18-22-year age group comprising 40.9% of all admissions.

As of December 31, 2019, 58 male probationers were enrolled in the program.

Of the 237 probationers who either completed or were terminated from the program:

(Note: Completed figures include probationers who were already in the program as of January 1, 2019).

1. 206 (87.7%) successfully completed the program
2. 4 (1.7%) voluntarily withdrew
3. 16 (6.8%) were terminated as rule violators

5 probationers (2.1%) were terminated for medical reasons, and 4 probationers (1.7%) were terminated as unqualified.

(Note: Probationers terminated for medical reasons or for being unqualified are reasons out of the probationer's control).

SUMMARY OF 2019 SAI MALE PRISONER PROGRAM STATISTICS

SAI male prisoner program statistics for 2019 are presented in the attached appendices. These key data are summarized as follows:

Of the 466 prisoners enrolled in the program:

1. 157 (33.7%) were African American
2. 301 (64.6%) were Caucasian
3. 0 (0.0%) were Hispanic
4. 8 (1.7%) were of other races

SAI male prisoners sentenced in 65 counties enrolled in the program.

SAI male prisoner age at sentencing ranged from 18 years to 60 years, with the 18-22-year age group comprising 10.9% of all admissions.

As of December 31, 2019, 85 prisoners were enrolled in the program.

Of the 466 SAI male prisoners who either completed or were terminated from the program:

(Note: Completed figures include prisoners who were already in the program as of January 1, 2019).

1. 435 (91.0%) successfully completed the program
2. 4 (0.8%) voluntarily withdrew
3. 12 (2.5%) were terminated as rule violators

18 SAI male prisoners (3.6%) were terminated for medical reasons, and 10 SAI male prisoners (2.1%) were terminated as unqualified.

(Note: Prisoners terminated for medical reasons or for being unqualified are reasons out of the prisoner's control).

PROBATIONER ADMISSIONS BY OFFENSE TYPE (Male)

The sentence for each of the 237 male probationers who entered the program during 2019 was used for the groupings listed below. For probationers serving more than one sentence, the sentence entered into the database first is listed.

Each of the following offense type groupings contains offenses which are similar in nature. For example, the "Fraud" category contains all cases involving financial transactions where trickery or deceit was an element of the crime.

| OFFENSE TYPE | PERCENT of TOTAL |
|------------------------|------------------|
| | Males |
| 1. Drug Offenses | 21.1% |
| 2. Home Invasion | 13.9% |
| 3. Assault | 12.7% |
| 4. Unlawful Driving | 4.2% |
| 5. Robbery | 5.5% |
| 6. Larceny | 12.7% |
| 7. Fraud | 4.6% |
| 8. Weapons | 9.7% |
| 9. Breaking & Entering | 14.8% |
| 10. Miscellaneous | .8% |

SAI PRISONER ADMISSIONS BY OFFENSE TYPE (Male)

The controlling sentence for each of the 466 SAI male prisoners who entered the program during 2019 was used for the groupings listed below. For prisoners serving more than one sentence, the sentence with the longest minimum term is the controlling sentence.

Each of the following offense type groupings contains offenses which are similar in nature. For example, the "Fraud" category contains all cases involving financial transactions where trickery or deceit was an element of the crime.

| OFFENSE TYPE | PERCENT of TOTAL |
|------------------------|------------------|
| | Males |
| 1. Drug Offenses | 14.9% |
| 2. Home Invasion | 10.7% |
| 3. Assault | 19.5% |
| 4. Unlawful driving | 13.9% |
| 5. Robbery | 5.4% |
| 6. Larceny | 8.6% |
| 7. Fraud | 4.1% |
| 8. Weapons | 5.8% |
| 9. Breaking & Entering | 15.0% |
| 10. Miscellaneous | 2.1% |

SUMMARY OF 2019 FEMALE PROBATIONER PROGRAM STATISTICS

Female probationer program statistics for 2019 are presented in the attached appendices. These key data are summarized as follows:

Of the 18 probationers enrolled in the program:

1. 2 (11.0%) were African American
2. 15 (83%) were Caucasian
3. 0 (0.0%) were Hispanic
4. 1 (6.0%) were of other races

Probationers sentenced in 13 counties enrolled in the program.

Probationer age at sentencing ranged from 21 years to 46 years, with the 18-22-year age group comprising 38% of all admissions.

As of December 31, 2019, 14 female probationers were enrolled in the program.

Of the 18 probationers who either completed or were terminated from the program:

(Note: Completed figures include probationers who were already in the program as of January 1, 2019).

1. 17 (95%) successfully completed the program
2. 1 (5%) voluntarily withdrew
3. 0 (0.0%) were terminated as rule violators

Six (6) probationers were terminated for medical reasons, and zero probationers was terminated as unqualified

(Note: Probationers terminated for medical reasons or for being unqualified are reasons out of the probationer's control).

SUMMARY OF 2019 SAI FEMALE PRISONER PROGRAM STATISTICS

SAI female prisoner program statistics for 2019 are presented in the attached appendices. These key data are summarized as follows:

Of the 54 prisoners enrolled in the program:

1. 5 (9.2%) were African American
2. 48 (89.0%) were Caucasian
3. 0 (0.0%) were Hispanic
4. 1 (1.8%) were of other races

Prisoners sentenced in 33 counties enrolled in the program.

Prisoner age at sentencing ranged from 22 years to 55 years, with the 18-22-year age group comprising 5.0% of all admissions.

As of December 31, 2019, 8 SAI female prisoners were enrolled in the program.

Of the 54 SAI female prisoners who either completed or were terminated from the program: (Note: Completed figures include prisoners who were already in the program as of January 1, 2019).

1. 47 (87.0%) successfully completed the program
2. 1 (2.0%) voluntarily withdrew
3. 3 (6.0%) was terminated as a rule violator

Three (3) SAI female prisoners were terminated for medical reasons, and zero (0) SAI female prisoners were terminated as unqualified.

(Note: Prisoners terminated for medical reasons or for being unqualified are reasons out of the prisoner's control).

PROBATIONER ADMISSIONS BY OFFENSE TYPE (Female)

The sentence for each of the 43 female probationers who entered the program during 2018 was used for the groupings listed below. For probationers serving more than one sentence, the sentence entered into the database first is listed.

Each of the following offense type groupings contains offenses which are similar in nature. For example, the "Fraud" category contains all cases involving financial transactions where trickery or deceit was an element of the crime.

| OFFENSE TYPE | PERCENT of TOTAL |
|------------------------|------------------|
| | Females |
| 1. Drug Offenses | 56.0% |
| 2. Home Invasion | 6.0% |
| 3. Assault | 0.0% |
| 4. Unlawful Driving | 6.0% |
| 5. Robbery | 0.0% |
| 6. Larceny | 0.0% |
| 7. Fraud | 16.0% |
| 8. Weapons | 0.0% |
| 9. Breaking & Entering | 0.0% |
| 10. Miscellaneous | 16.0% |

SAI PRISONER ADMISSIONS BY OFFENSE TYPE (Female)

The controlling sentence for each of the 54 SAI female prisoners who entered the program during 2019 was used for the groupings listed below. For prisoners serving more than one sentence, the sentence with the longest minimum term is the controlling sentence.

Each of the following offense type groupings contains offenses which are similar in nature. For example, the "Fraud" category contains all cases involving financial transactions where trickery or deceit was an element of the crime.

| OFFENSE TYPE | PERCENT of TOTAL Females |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1. Drug Offenses | 54.0% |
| 2. Home Invasion | 13.0% |
| 3. Assault | 9.2% |
| 4. Unlawful driving | 0.0% |
| 5. Robbery | 0.0% |
| 6. Larceny | 3.7% |
| 7. Fraud | 9.2% |
| 8. Weapons | 0.0% |
| 9. Breaking & Entering | 0.0% |
| 10. Miscellaneous | 11.0% |

2019 ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY –PROBATIONER (Males)

| MALES Sentencing County | Number of Admissions | % of Total Admissions |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Alcona | 0 | 0.0% |
| Alger | 0 | 0.0% |
| Allegan | 2 | 0.8% |
| Alpena | 0 | 0.0% |
| Antrim | 1 | 0.4% |
| Arenac | 0 | 0.0% |
| Baraga | 0 | 0.0% |
| Barry | 4 | 1.7% |
| Bay | 10 | 4.2% |
| Benzie | 1 | 0.4% |
| Berrien | 18 | 7.6% |
| Branch | 4 | 1.7% |
| Calhoun | 1 | 0.4% |
| Cass | 2 | 0.8% |
| Charlevoix | 0 | 0.0% |
| Cheboygan | 0 | 0.0% |
| Chippewa | 0 | 0.0% |
| Clare | 4 | 1.7% |
| Clinton | 1 | 0.4% |
| Crawford | 1 | 0.4% |
| Delta | 0 | 0.0% |
| Dickinson | 0 | 0.0% |
| Eaton | 1 | 0.4% |
| Emmet | 0 | 0.0% |
| Genesee | 10 | 4.2% |
| Gladwin | 2 | 0.8% |
| Gogebic | 0 | 0.0% |
| Grand Traverse | 0 | 0.0% |
| Gratiot | 0 | 0.0% |
| Hillsdale | 0 | 0.0% |
| Houghton | 0 | 0.0% |
| Huron | 0 | 0.0% |
| Ingham | 18 | 7.6% |
| Ionia | 7 | 3.1% |
| Iosco | 0 | 0.0% |
| Iron | 0 | 0.0% |
| Isabella | 2 | 0.8% |
| Jackson | 11 | 4.6% |
| Kalamazoo | 7 | 3.1% |
| Kalkaska | 0 | 0.0% |
| Kent | 8 | 3.4% |
| Keweenaw | 0 | 0.0% |
| Lake | 0 | 0.0% |
| Lapeer | 1 | 0.4% |

2019 ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY –PROBATIONER – Cont. (Males)

| MALES Sentencing County | Number of Admissions | % of Total Admissions |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Leelanau | 0 | 0.0% |
| Lenawee | 0 | 0.0% |
| Livingston | 3 | 1.3% |
| Luce | 1 | 0.4% |
| Mackinac | 0 | 0.0% |
| Macomb | 10 | 4.2% |
| Manistee | 4 | 1.7% |
| Marquette | 0 | 0.0% |
| Mason | 0 | 0.0% |
| Mecosta | 0 | 0.0% |
| Menominee | 0 | 0.0% |
| Midland | 6 | 2.5% |
| Missaukee | 1 | 0.4% |
| Monroe | 12 | 5.1% |
| Montcalm | 9 | 3.8% |
| Montmorency | 0 | 0.0% |
| Muskegon | 4 | 1.7% |
| Newaygo | 1 | 0.4% |
| Oakland | 13 | 5.5% |
| Oceana | 1 | 0.4% |
| Ogemaw | 0 | 0.0% |
| Ontonagon | 0 | 0.0% |
| Osceola | 3 | 1.3% |
| Oscoda | 0 | 0.0% |
| Otsego | 0 | 0.0% |
| Ottawa | 5 | 2.1% |
| Presque Isle | 0 | 0.0% |
| Roscommon | 0 | 0.0% |
| Saginaw | 13 | 5.5% |
| St. Clair | 3 | 1.3% |
| St. Joseph | 1 | 1.3% |
| Sanilac | 0 | 0.0% |
| Schoolcraft | 0 | 0.0% |
| Shiawassee | 1 | 0.4% |
| Tuscola | 0 | 0.0% |
| Van Buren | 0 | 0.0% |
| Washtenaw | 7 | 3.1% |
| Wayne | 21 | 8.7% |
| Wexford | 0 | 0.0% |
| Totals | 237 | 100.0% |

APPENDIX A

2019 ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY –PROBATIONER (Female)

FEMALES

| Sentencing County | Number of Admissions | % of Total Admissions |
|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|

| | | |
|----------------|---|-------|
| Alcona | 0 | 0.0% |
| Alger | 0 | 0.0% |
| Allegan | 0 | 0.0% |
| Alpena | 0 | 0.0% |
| Antrim | 0 | 0.0% |
| Arenac | 0 | 0.0% |
| Baraga | 0 | 0.0% |
| Barry | 0 | 0.0% |
| Bay | 1 | 5.0% |
| Benzie | 0 | 0.0% |
| Berrien | 1 | 5.5% |
| Branch | 0 | 0.0% |
| Calhoun | 0 | 0.0% |
| Cass | 0 | 0.0% |
| Charlevoix | 0 | 0.0% |
| Cheboygan | 0 | 0.0% |
| Chippewa | 0 | 0.0% |
| Clare | 0 | 0.0% |
| Clinton | 1 | 5.5% |
| Crawford | 0 | 0.0% |
| Delta | 0 | 0.0% |
| Dickinson | 0 | 0.0% |
| Eaton | 0 | 0.0% |
| Emmet | 0 | 0.0% |
| Genesee | 0 | 0.0% |
| Gladwin | 0 | 0.0% |
| Gogebic | 0 | 0.0% |
| Grand Traverse | 0 | 0.0% |
| Gratiot | 0 | 0.0% |
| Hillsdale | 0 | 0.0% |
| Houghton | 0 | 0.0% |
| Huron | 0 | 0.0% |
| Ingham | 3 | 14.6% |
| Ionia | 1 | 5.5% |
| Iosco | 0 | 0.0% |
| Iron | 0 | 0.0% |
| Isabella | 0 | 0.0% |
| Jackson | 1 | 5.5% |
| Kalamazoo | 0 | 0.0% |
| Kalkaska | 0 | 0.0% |
| Kent | 0 | 0.0% |
| Keweenaw | 0 | 0.0% |
| Lake | 0 | 0.0% |
| Lapeer | 0 | 0.0% |

2019 ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY –PROBATIONER – Cont. (Females)

| FEMALES | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| Sentencing County | Number of Admissions | % of Total Admissions |
| Leelanau | 0 | 0.0% |
| Lenawee | 0 | 0.0% |
| Livingston | 0 | 0.0% |
| Luce | 0 | 0.0% |
| Mackinac | 0 | 0.0% |
| Macomb | 0 | 0.0% |
| Manistee | 1 | 5.5% |
| Marquette | 0 | 0.0% |
| Mason | 0 | 0.0% |
| Mecosta | 1 | 5.5% |
| Menominee | 0 | 0.0% |
| Midland | 0 | 0.0% |
| Missaukee | 0 | 0.0% |
| Monroe | 2 | 11.0% |
| Montcalm | 0 | 0.0% |
| Montmorency | 0 | 0.0% |
| Muskegon | 0 | 0.0% |
| Newaygo | 0 | 0.0% |
| Oakland | 0 | 0.0% |
| Oceana | 1 | 5.5% |
| Ogemaw | 0 | 0.0% |
| Ontonagon | 0 | 0.0% |
| Osceola | 0 | 0.0% |
| Oscoda | 0 | 0.0% |
| Otsego | 0 | 0.0% |
| Ottawa | 0 | 0.0% |
| Presque Isle | 0 | 0.0% |
| Roscommon | 0 | 0.0% |
| Saginaw | 2 | 11.0% |
| St. Clair | 2 | 11.0% |
| St. Joseph | 0 | 0.0% |
| Sanilac | 0 | 0.0% |
| Schoolcraft | 0 | 0.0% |
| Shiawassee | 0 | 0.0% |
| Tuscola | 0 | 0.0% |
| Van Buren | 0 | 0.0% |
| Washtenaw | 0 | 0.0% |
| Wayne | 0 | 0.0% |
| Wexford | 0 | 0.0% |
| Total | 18 | 100% |

APPENDIX A

2019 ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY – SAI PRISONER (Males)

| MALES Sentencing County | Number of Admissions | % of Total Admissions |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Alcona | 0 | 0.0% |
| Alger | 0 | 0.0% |
| Allegan | 5 | 1.1% |
| Alpena | 1 | 0.2% |
| Antrim | 2 | 0.4% |
| Arenac | 0 | 0.0% |
| Baraga | 0 | 0.0% |
| Barry | 7 | 1.5% |
| Bay | 1 | 0.2% |
| Benzie | 2 | 0.4% |
| Berrien | 22 | 4.7% |
| Branch | 1 | 0.2% |
| Calhoun | 11 | 2.4% |
| Cass | 3 | 0.6% |
| Charlevoix | 2 | 0.4% |
| Cheboygan | 0 | 0.0% |
| Chippewa | 0 | 0.0% |
| Clare | 3 | 0.6% |
| Clinton | 6 | 1.3% |
| Crawford | 5 | 1.1% |
| Delta | 1 | 0.2% |
| Dickinson | 1 | 0.2% |
| Eaton | 5 | 1.1% |
| Emmet | 0 | 0.0% |
| Genesee | 21 | 4.5% |
| Gladwin | 6 | 1.3% |
| Gogebic | 1 | 0.2% |
| Grand Traverse | 6 | 1.3% |
| Gratiot | 1 | 0.2% |
| Hillsdale | 5 | 1.1% |
| Houghton | 1 | 0.2% |
| Huron | 0 | 0.0% |
| Ingham | 6 | 1.3% |
| Ionia | 3 | 0.6% |
| Iosco | 2 | 0.4% |
| Iron | 2 | 0.4% |
| Isabella | 6 | 1.3% |
| Jackson | 15 | 3.2% |
| Kalamazoo | 14 | 3.0% |
| Kalkaska | 2 | 0.4% |
| Kent | 35 | 7.5% |
| Keweenaw | 0 | 0.0% |
| Lake | 2 | 0.4% |
| Lapeer | 3 | 0.6% |

APPENDIX B

2019 ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY – SAI PRISONER – Cont. (Males)

| MALES Sentencing County | Number of Admissions | % of Total Admissions |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Leelanau | 1 | 0.2% |
| Lenawee | 9 | 1.9% |
| Livingston | 2 | 0.4% |
| Luce | 0 | 0.0% |
| Mackinac | 0 | 0.0% |
| Macomb | 9 | 1.9% |
| Manistee | 4 | 0.8% |
| Marquette | 7 | 1.5% |
| Mason | 2 | 0.4% |
| Mecosta | 6 | 1.3% |
| Menominee | 0 | 0.0% |
| Midland | 2 | 0.4% |
| Missaukee | 0 | 0.0% |
| Monroe | 14 | 3.0% |
| Montcalm | 6 | 1.3% |
| Montmorency | 1 | 0.2% |
| Muskegon | 10 | 2.1% |
| Newaygo | 8 | 1.7% |
| Oakland | 15 | 3.2% |
| Oceana | 4 | 0.8% |
| Ogemaw | 6 | 1.3% |
| Ontonagon | 0 | 0.0% |
| Osceola | 1 | 0.2% |
| Oscoda | 0 | 0.0% |
| Otsego | 2 | 0.6% |
| Ottawa | 6 | 1.3% |
| Presque Isle | 0 | 0.0% |
| Roscommon | 5 | 1.1% |
| Saginaw | 9 | 1.9% |
| St. Clair | 14 | 3.0% |
| St. Joseph | 7 | 1.5% |
| Sanilac | 0 | 0.0% |
| Schoolcraft | 0 | 0.0% |
| Shiawassee | 9 | 1.9% |
| Tuscola | 3 | 0.6% |
| Van Buren | 12 | 2.6% |
| Washtenaw | 5 | 1.1% |
| Wayne | 68 | 15.6% |
| Wexford | 8 | 1.7% |
| Totals | 466 | 100.0% |

APPENDIX B

2019 ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY – SAI PRISONER (Female)

FEMALES


| Sentencing County | Number of Admissions | % of Total Admissions |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Alcona | 0 | 0.0% |
| Alger | 0 | 0.0% |
| Allegan | 1 | 1.8% |
| Alpena | 5 | 9.2% |
| Antrim | 0 | 0.0% |
| Arenac | 0 | 0.0% |
| Baraga | 0 | 0.0% |
| Barry | 0 | 0.0% |
| Bay | 1 | 1.8% |
| Benzie | 0 | 0.0% |
| Berrien | 0 | 0.0% |
| Branch | 0 | 0.0% |
| Calhoun | 1 | 1.8% |
| Cass | 0 | 0.0% |
| Charlevoix | 1 | 1.8% |
| Cheboygan | 0 | 0.0% |
| Chippewa | 1 | 1.8% |
| Clare | 0 | 0.0% |
| Clinton | 1 | 1.8% |
| Crawford | 0 | 0.0% |
| Delta | 0 | 0.0% |
| Dickinson | 0 | 0.0% |
| Eaton | 3 | 5.5% |
| Emmet | 0 | 0.0% |
| Genesee | 2 | 3.7% |
| Gladwin | 1 | 1.8% |
| Gogebic | 0 | 0.0% |
| Grand Traverse | 1 | 1.8% |
| Gratiot | 0 | 0.0% |
| Hillsdale | 1 | 1.8% |
| Houghton | 0 | 0.0% |
| Huron | 0 | 0.0% |
| Ingham | 1 | 1.8% |
| Ionia | 0 | 0.0% |
| Iosco | 0 | 0.0% |
| Iron | 0 | 0.0% |
| Isabella | 1 | 1.8% |
| Jackson | 2 | 3.7% |
| Kalamazoo | 1 | 1.8% |
| Kalkaska | 0 | 0.0% |
| Kent | 2 | 3.7% |
| Keweenaw | 0 | 0.0% |
| Lake | 0 | 0.0% |
| Lapeer | 1 | 1.8% |

2019 ADMISSIONS BY COUNTY – SAI PRISONER – Cont.

| FEMALES | | |
|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Sentencing County | Number of Admissions | % of Total Admissions |
| Leelanau | 0 | 0.0% |
| Lenawee | 3 | 5.5% |
| Livingston | 0 | 0.0% |
| Luce | 0 | 0.0% |
| Mackinac | 0 | 0.0% |
| Macomb | 0 | 0.0% |
| Manistee | 0 | 0.0% |
| Marquette | 2 | 3.7% |
| Mason | 0 | 0.0% |
| Mecosta | 2 | 3.7% |
| Menominee | 0 | 0.0% |
| Midland | 1 | 1.8% |
| Missaukee | 2 | 3.7% |
| Monroe | 0 | 0.0% |
| Montcalm | 0 | 0.0% |
| Montmorency | 0 | 0.0% |
| Muskegon | 1 | 1.8% |
| Newaygo | 0 | 0.0% |
| Oakland | 0 | 0.0% |
| Oceana | 0 | 0.0% |
| Ogemaw | 0 | 0.0% |
| Ontonagon | 0 | 0.0% |
| Osceola | 0 | 0.0% |
| Oscoda | 0 | 0.0% |
| Otsego | 0 | 0.0% |
| Ottawa | 0 | 0.0% |
| Presque Isle | 1 | 1.8% |
| Roscommon | 1 | 1.8% |
| Saginaw | 0 | 0.0% |
| St. Clair | 0 | 0.0% |
| St. Joseph | 1 | 1.8% |
| Sanilac | 0 | 0.0% |
| Schoolcraft | 0 | 0.0% |
| Shiawassee | 3 | 5.5% |
| Tuscola | 2 | 3.7% |
| Van Buren | 1 | 1.8% |
| Washtenaw | 1 | 1.8% |
| Wayne | 3 | 5.5% |
| Wexford | 4 | 7.4% |
| Total | 54 | 100% |


Appendix B

2019 ADMISSIONS BY MONTH (Males)




Appendix C

2019 ADMISSIONS BY MONTH Females



Appendix C


2019 ADMISSIONS (Males)



2019 ADMISSIONS (Females)




2019 MONTHLY POPULATION TOTALS (Males)



APPENDIX E

2019 MONTHLY POPULATION TOTALS (Females)



Appendix E

2019 PROGRAM OUTCOMES BY COUNTY – MALE PROBATIONER

| | Successful Completion | Rule Violator | Voluntary Withdrawal | Medical Termination | Unqualified | Totals |
|----------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------|--------|
| Alcona | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Alger | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Allegan | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Alpena | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Antrim | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Arenac | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Baraga | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Barry | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Bay | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Benzie | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Berrien | 10 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 12 |
| Branch | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Calhoun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cass | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Charlevoix | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cheboygan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Chippewa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Clare | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Clinton | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Crawford | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Delta | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dickinson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Eaton | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Emmet | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Genesee | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Gladwin | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Gogebic | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grand Traverse | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gratiot | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hillsdale | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Houghton | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Huron | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ingham | 12 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 16 |
| Ionia | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Iosco | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Iron | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Isabella | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Jackson | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Kalamazoo | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Kalkaska | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Kent | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Keweenaw | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lake | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lapeer | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

Appendix F

2019 PROGRAM OUTCOMES BY COUNTY – MALE PROBATIONER –Cont.

| | Successful Completion | Rule Violator | Voluntary Withdrawal | Medical Termination | Unqualified | Totals |
|--------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------|------------|
| Leelanau | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lenawee | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Livingston | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Luce | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mackinac | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Macomb | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Manistee | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Marquette | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mason | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mecosta | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Menominee | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Midland | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
| Missaukee | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Monroe | 8 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 17 |
| Montcalm | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Montmorency | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Muskegon | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Newaygo | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Oakland | 8 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 10 |
| Oceana | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Ogemaw | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ontonagon | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Osceola | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Oscoda | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Otsego | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ottawa | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Presque Isle | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Roscommon | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Saginaw | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| St. Clair | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| St. Joseph | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Sanilac | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Schoolcraft | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Shiawassee | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Tuscola | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Van Buren | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Washtenaw | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Wayne | 12 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| Wexford | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 162 | 11 | 4 | 7 | 1 | 185 |

2019 PROGRAM OUTCOMES BY COUNTY – FEMALE PROBATIONER

| | Successful Completion | Rule Violator | Voluntary Withdrawal | Medical Termination | Unqualified | Totals |
|----------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------|--------|
| Alcona | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Alger | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Allegan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Alpena | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Antrim | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Arenac | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Baraga | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Barry | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bay | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Benzie | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Berrien | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Branch | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Calhoun | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Charlevoix | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cheboygan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Chippewa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Clare | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Clinton | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Crawford | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Delta | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dickinson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Eaton | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Emmet | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Genesee | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gladwin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gogebic | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grand Traverse | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gratiot | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hillsdale | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Houghton | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Huron | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Ingham | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Ionia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Iosco | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Iron | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Isabella | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Jackson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Kalamazoo | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Kalkaska | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Kent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Keweenaw | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lake | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lapeer | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

APPENDIX F

2019 PROGRAM OUTCOMES BY COUNTY – FEMALE PROBATIONER – Cont.

| | Successful Completion | Rule Violator | Voluntary Withdrawal | Medical Termination | Unqualified | Totals |
|-------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------|--------|
| Leelanau | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lenawee | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Livingston | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Luce | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mackinac | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Macomb | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Manistee | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Marquette | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mason | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mecosta | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Menominee | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Midland | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Missaukee | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Monroe | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Montcalm | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Montmorency | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Muskegon | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| | | | | | | |
|--------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| Newaygo | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Oakland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Oceana | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ogemaw | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ontonagon | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Osceola | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Oscoda | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Otsego | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ottawa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Presque Isle | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Roscommon | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Saginaw | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| St. Clair | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| St. Joseph | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sanilac | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Schoolcraft | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Shiawassee | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tuscola | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Van Buren | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Washtenaw | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wayne | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wexford | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 15 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 18 |

APPENDIX F

2019 PROGRAM OUTCOMES BY COUNTY – SAI MALE PRISONER

| | Successful Completion | Rule Violator | Voluntary Withdrawal | Medical Termination | Unqualified | Totals |
|----------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------|--------|
| Alcona | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Alger | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Allegan | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Alpena | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Antrim | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Arenac | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Baraga | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Barry | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 |
| Bay | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Benzie | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Berrien | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| Branch | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Calhoun | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 |
| Cass | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Charlevoix | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Cheboygan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Chippewa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Clare | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| Clinton | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Crawford | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Delta | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Dickinson | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Eaton | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Emmet | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Genesee | 17 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 19 |
| Gladwin | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Gogebic | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Grand Traverse | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Gratiot | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Hillsdale | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 |

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|----|---|---|---|---|----|
| Houghton | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Huron | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ingham | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Ionia | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| Iosco | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Iron | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Isabella | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Jackson | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 12 |
| Kalamazoo | 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 12 |
| Kalkaska | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Kent | 29 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 32 |
| Keweenaw | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lake | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Lapeer | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |

APPENDIX G

2019 PROGRAM OUTCOMES BY COUNTY – SAI MALE PRISONER – Cont.

| | Successful Completion | Rule Violator | Voluntary Withdrawal | Medical Termination | Unqualified | Totals |
|------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------|--------|
| Leelanau | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Lenawee | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 8 |
| Livingston | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Luce | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mackinac | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Macomb | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Manistee | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Marquette | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 |
| Mason | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Mecosta | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 |
| Menominee | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Midland | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |

| | | | | | | |
|--------------|------------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|------------|
| Missaukee | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Monroe | 10 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 12 |
| Montcalm | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Montmorency | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Muskegon | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Newaygo | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Oakland | 12 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 14 |
| Oceana | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Ogemaw | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Ontonagon | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Osceola | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Oscoda | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Otsego | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Ottawa | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Presque Isle | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Roscommon | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Saginaw | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| St. Clair | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| St. Joseph | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Sanilac | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Schoolcraft | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Shiawassee | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 |
| Tuscola | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Van Buren | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| Washtenaw | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Wayne | 45 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 54 |
| Wexford | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 |
| TOTAL | 357 | 8 | 4 | 16 | 9 | 394 |

APPENDIX G

2019 PROGRAM OUTCOMES BY COUNTY – SAI FEMALE PRISONER

| | Successful Completion | Rule Violator | Voluntary Withdrawal | Medical Termination | Unqualified | Totals |
|----------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------|--------|
| Alcona | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Alger | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Allegan | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Alpena | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Antrim | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Arenac | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Baraga | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Barry | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Bay | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Benzie | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Berrien | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Branch | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Calhoun | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Cass | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Charlevoix | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Cheboygan | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Chippewa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Clare | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Clinton | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Crawford | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Delta | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dickinson | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Eaton | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Emmet | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Genesee | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Gladwin | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Gogebic | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grand Traverse | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Gratiot | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hillsdale | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Houghton | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Huron | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Ingham | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Ionia | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Iosco | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Iron | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Isabella | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Jackson | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Kalamazoo | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Kalkaska | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Kent | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Keweenaw | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lake | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lapeer | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

APPENDIX G

2019 PROGRAM OUTCOMES BY COUNTY – SAI FEMALE PRISONER – Cont.

| | Successful Completion | Rule Violator | Voluntary Withdrawal | Medical Termination | Unqualified | Totals |
|-------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------|--------|
| Leelanau | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Lenawee | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Livingston | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Luce | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mackinac | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Macomb | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Manistee | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Marquette | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Mason | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mecosta | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Menominee | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Midland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Missaukee | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Monroe | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Montcalm | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Montmorency | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Muskegon | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

| | | | | | | |
|--------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| Newaygo | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Oakland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Oceana | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ogemaw | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ontonagon | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Osceola | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Oscoda | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Otsego | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ottawa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Presque Isle | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Roscommon | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Saginaw | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| St. Clair | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| St. Joseph | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Sanilac | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Schoolcraft | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Shiawassee | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Tuscola | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Van Buren | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Washtenaw | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Wayne | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Wexford | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| TOTAL | 49 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 56 |

APPENDIX G

2019 MONTHLY PROGRAM OUTCOMES – SAI PRISONER (Males)

MALE

| | Successful Completion | Rule Violator | Voluntary Withdrawal | Medical Termination | Unqualified | Totals |
|--------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------|------------|
| JANUARY | 42 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 50 |
| FEBRUARY | 17 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 25 |
| MARCH | 32 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 35 |
| APRIL | 45 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 50 |
| MAY | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 41 |
| JUNE | 44 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 47 |
| JULY | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| AUGUST | 43 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 47 |
| SEPTEMBER | 30 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 33 |
| OCTOBER | 55 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 58 |
| NOVEMBER | 18 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 20 |
| DECEMBER | 35 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 39 |
| TOTAL | 435 | 12 | 4 | 18 | 10 | 479 |

Appendix H

2019 MONTHLY PROGRAM OUTCOMES – SAI PRISONER (Female)

| FEMALE | Successful Completion | Rule Violator | Voluntary Withdrawal | Medical Termination | Unqualified/ | Totals |
|---------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|--------------|-----------|
| JANUARY | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| FEBRUARY | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 |
| MARCH | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| APRIL | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| MAY | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| JUNE | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| JULY | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| AUGUST | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 |
| SEPTEMBER | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| OCTOBER | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| NOVEMBER | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| DECEMBER | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| TOTAL | 50 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 56 |

APPENDIX H

2019 MONTHLY PROGRAM OUTCOMES – PROBATIONER (Males)

| MALE | Successful Completion | Rule Violator | Voluntary Withdrawal | Medical Termination | Unqualified | Totals |
|--------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------|------------|
| JANUARY | 18 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| FEBRUARY | 17 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| MARCH | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| APRIL | 15 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 20 |
| MAY | 24 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 27 |
| JUNE | 14 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 16 |
| JULY | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| AUGUST | 14 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 18 |
| SEPTEMBER | 21 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 24 |
| OCTOBER | 21 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 23 |
| NOVEMBER | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| DECEMBER | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 22 |
| TOTAL | 206 | 16 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 235 |

Appendix I


2019 MONTHLY PROGRAM OUTCOMES – PROBATIONER (Female)

FEMALE

| | Successful Completion | Rule Violator | Voluntary Withdrawal | Medical Termination | Unqualified | Totals |
|--------------|--------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------|-----------|
| JANUARY | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 |
| FEBRUARY | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| MARCH | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| APRIL | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| MAY | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 |
| JUNE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| JULY | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| AUGUST | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| SEPTEMBER | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OCTOBER | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| NOVEMBER | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| DECEMBER | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 18 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 24 |


APPENDIX I

2019 GRADUATES (Males)



APPENDIX J

2019 GRADUATES BY GENDER (FEMALE)



COMPARATIVE PROGRAM OUTCOMES – SAI PRISONER/PROBATIONER MALES 2018 AND 2019

| | Prisoners | | Probationers | |
|----------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|
| | 2018 | 2019 | 2018 | 2019 |
| <u>Terminations</u> | | | | |
| Successful Completions | 427 (96.4 %) | 435 (96.5%) | 239 (88.2%) | 206 (91.2%) |
| Voluntary Withdrawals | 2 (0.4 %) | 4 (0.8%) | 4 (1.5%) | 4 (1.8%) |
| Rule Violators | 14 (3.2 %) | 12 (2.7%) | 28 (10.3%) | 16 (7.0%) |
| Total | 443 | 451 | 271 | 226 |
| <u>Unqualified</u> | | | | |
| Medical Terminations | 10 | 18 | 18 | 5 |
| Unqualified by statute | 20 | 10 | 7 | 4 |
| Total Program Exits | 473 | 479 | 296 | 235 |

COMPARATIVE PROGRAM OUTCOMES – SAI PRISONER FEMALES 2019 AND 2018

| | 2019 | Prisoners 2018 | 2019 | Probationers 2018 |
|----------------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|----------------------|
| <u>Terminations</u> | | | | |
| Successful Completions | 50 (92.6%) | 46 (93.8%) | 18 (94.7%) | 35 (81.3%) |
| Voluntary Withdrawals | 1 (1.9%) | 0 (0.0%) | 1 (5.3%) | 0 (0.0%) |
| Rule Violators | 3 (5.5%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) | 0 (0.0%) |
| Total | 54 | 46 | 19 | 35 |
| <u>Unqualified</u> | | | | |
| Medical Terminations | 2 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| Unqualified by statute | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Program Exits | 56 | 49 | 24 | 43 |

APPENDIX K