

CHEMICAL UPDATE WORKSHEET

Chemical Name:	Tetrahydrofuran (DD)
CAS #:	109-99-9
Revised By:	RRD Toxicology Unit
Revision Date:	August 19, 2015

(A) Chemical-Physical Properties

	Part 201 Value	Updated Value	Reference Source	Comments
Molecular Weight (g/mol)	72.12	72.11	EPI	EXP
Physical State at ambient temp	Liquid	Liquid	MDEQ	
Melting Point (°C)		-108.44	EPI	EXP
Boiling Point (°C)	65	65.00	EPI	EXP
Solubility (ug/L)	1.0E+9	100000000	EPI	EXP
Vapor Pressure (mmHg at 25°C)	162.3	1.62E+02	EPI	EXP
HLC (atm-m³/mol at 25°C)	9.63E-3	7.05E-05	EPI	EXP
Log Kow (log P; octanol-water)	0.46	0.46	EPI	EXP
Koc (organic carbon; L/Kg)	2.83	10.75	EPI	EST
Ionizing Koc (L/kg)		NR	NA	NA
Diffusivity in Air (Di; cm²/s)	0.08	9.54E-02	W9	EST
Diffusivity in Water (Dw; cm²/s)	8.0E-6	1.08E-05	W9	EST
Soil Water Partition Coefficient (Kd; inorganics)	NR	NR	NA	NA

CHEMICAL UPDATE WORKSHEET

Tetrahydrofuran(DD) (109-99-9)

	Part 201 Value	Updated Value	Reference Source	Comments
Flash Point (°C)	6.0 F	-14	CRC	EXP
Lower Explosivity Level (LEL; unitless)	0.02	0.02	CRC	EXP
Critical Temperature (K)		540	CRC	EXP
Enthalpy of Vaporization (cal/mol)		7.12E+03	CRC	EXP
Density (g/mL, g/cm ³)		0.8833	CRC	EXP
EMSOFT Flux Residential 2 m (mg/day/cm²)	2.69E-05	2.52E-05	EMSOFT	EST
EMSOFT Flux Residential 5 m (mg/day/cm²)	6.55E-05	5.17E-05	EMSOFT	EST
EMSOFT Flux Nonresidential 2 m (mg/day/cm²)	3.83E-05	3.90E-05	EMSOFT	EST
EMSOFT Flux Nonresidential 5 m (mg/day/cm²)	9.28E-05	7.55E-05	EMSOFT	EST



(B) Toxicity Values/Benchmarks

	Part 201 Value	Updated Value	Source/Reference/ Date	Comments/Notes /Issues
Reference Dose (RfD) (mg/kg/day)	1.3E-2	9.0E-1	IRIS, 2012	
(RfD) (mg/kg/day)	Per RD: RfD derived by GLEAS/SWQD 2/26/98; Administered to rats in DW for 4 weeks at 1, 10, 100 or 1000 ppm. LOAEL = 1000 mg/I - histopathology not performed at 10 or 100 ppm; UF = 10,000 (10x each for interspecies, intra-species, intra-species, and sub-chronic to chronic; 3x each for substantially less than chronic and LOAEL to NOAEL. CRITICAL EFFECTS = mild changes in liver, thyroid, and kidney (Komsta,	Tier 1 Source: IRIS: Basis: Tetrahydrofuran IRIS, 2012, RfD= 9.0E-1 mg/kg/day is a more recent than the DEQ review. Critical Study: Hellwig, J; Gembardt, C; Jasti, S. (2002) Tetrahydrofur reproduction toxicity in Wistar rats by continuous add drinking water. Food Chem Toxicol 40(10):1515–1523 BASF. (1996) Tetrahydrofuran: two-generation reproduin Wistar rats, continuous administration in the drink letter dated 8/30/96. Study No. 71R0144/93038. Sub Section 8D. EPA Document No. 86960000573. NTIS N Methods: Rat two-generation reproductive study Critical effect: decreased pup body weight gain in F1 and F2 End point or Point of Departure (POD): BMDL _{15D} = 928 mg/kg Uncertainty Factors: UF = 1,000 (10 each for intraspecies var extrapolation, and database deficiencies; 1 for subchronic to to NOAEL) Source and date: IRIS, Last revision date – 2/21/2012. Tier 2 Sources: PPRTV: No PPRTV record is available at this time. MRL: No MRL record is available at this time. Tier 3 Source: MDEQ: Per DEQ-CCD/RRD (2/26/1998), RfD = 1.3E-2 mg/kg-d Value RfD details.	a Tier 1 source and ran: two-generation ministration in the 3. duction toxicity study ing water, with cover mitted under TSCA to. OTS558774. pups g-day riability, interspecies chronic; 1 for LOAEL	Complete



	Part 201 Value	Updated Value	Source/Reference/ Date	Comments/Notes /Issues
	2/26/98.			
Oral Cancer Slope Factor (CSF) (mg/kg-day) ⁻¹)		NA	MDEQ, 2015	
CSF details	NA	Carcinogen Weight-of-Evidence (WOE) Class: "suggestive evidence of carcinogenic potential" following exposure by all routes of exposure IRIS WOE Basis: Information is available on the carcinogenic effects of THF via the inhalation route demonstrates that tumors occur in tissues remote from the site of absorption. Information on the carcinogenic effects of THF via the oral and dermal routes in humans or animals is not is available. Based on the observance of systemic tumors following inhalation exposure, and in the absence of information to indicate otherwise, it is assumed that an internal dose will be achieved regardless of the route of exposure. Source and Date: IRIS, Last revision date – 2/12/2012 Tier 1 and 2 Sources: IRIS: Per IRIS (2/12/2012), no value at this time. PPRTV: No PPRTV record is available at this time. MRL: NA; MRLs are for non-cancer effects only.		Complete
Reference Concentration (RfC) or Initial Threshold Screening Level (ITSL) (µg/m³)	5.9E+3	2.0E+3	IRIS, 2012	
RfC/ITSL details	The TLV based number is being used as an interim value. CCD/AQD date:	Tier 1 Source: IRIS: Basis: Tetrahydrofuran IRIS, 2012, RfC= 2.0E+3 μg/m³ is a Tier 1 source. Critical Study: NTP (National Toxicology Program). (1998) Toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of tetrahydrofuran (CAS No. 109-99-9) in F344/N rats and		Complete



	Part 201 Value	Updated Value	Source/Reference/ Date	Comments/Notes /Issues
	1/01/1988.	B6C3F1 mice. Public Health Service, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; NTP TR- 475. Is available from the National Institute of Environmental Health Services, Research Triangle Park, NC. Methods: in F344/N rats and B6C3F1 mice (10/specie/sex/dose) were exposed to 0, 195, 590, 1,770, 5,310, 14,750 mg/m3 for 13 weeks. Critical effect: increased liver weight and centrilobular cytomegaly; CNS effects (narcosis) End point or Point of Departure (POD): BMCL ₁₀ = 246 mg/m³ Uncertainty Factors: UF = 100 (10 for intraspecies variability and 3 each for interspecies extrapolation and database deficiencies) Source and date: IRIS, Last revision date – 2/21/2012. Tier 2 Sources: PPRTV: No PPRTV record is available at this time. MRL: No MRL record is available at this time. Tier 3 Source: MDEQ: Per DEQ-CCD/AQD (8/01/2012), RfC/ITSL = 8.0E+3 μg/m³. Annual averaging time. The IRIS RfC value was modified by applying a composite UF of 30		nissues -
Inhalation Unit Risk Factor (IURF) ((µg/m³)⁻¹)		= 246 mg/m3. The EPA database uncertainty factor of 3 was in NA	MDEQ, 2015	
IURF details	NA	Carcinogen Weight-of-Evidence (WOE) Class: "suggestive evidence of carcinogenic potential" following exposure by all routes of exposure IRIS WOE Basis: Information is available on the carcinogenic effects of THF via the inhalation route demonstrates that tumors occur in tissues remote from the site of absorption. Information on the carcinogenic effects of THF via the oral and dermal routes in humans or animals is not is available. Based on the observance of systemic tumors following inhalation exposure, and in the absence of information to indicate otherwise, it is assumed that an internal dose will be achieved regardless of the route of exposure.		Complete



	Part 201 Value	Updated Value	Source/Reference/ Date	Comments/Notes /Issues
		Source and Date: IRIS, Last revision date – 2/21/2012		
		Tier 1 and 2 Sources: IRIS: Per IRIS (2/12/2012), no value at this time. PPRTV: No PPRTV record is available at this time. MRL: NA; MRLs are for non-cancer effects only.		
		Tier 3 Source: MDEQ: Per DEQ-CCD, no value at this time.		
Mutagenic Mode of Action (MMOA)? (Y/N)		NO	USEPA, 2015	
MMOA Details		NA Not listed as a carcinogen with mutagenic MOA in the USEPA	OSWER List.	
Developmental or Reproductive Effector? (Y/N)	No	YES-oral. The RfD is based on a reproductive-developmental effect. Oral Exposure Pathways- Full Term Exposure No-inhalation. The RfC/ITSL is not based on a reproductive-developmental effect.		
Developmental or Reproductive Toxicity Details	NA	NA NA		
State Drinking Water Standard (SDWS) (ug/L)		NO	SDWA, 1976	
SDWS details	NA	MI Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) 1976 PA 399		
Secondary Maximum Contaminant Level (SMCL) (ug/L)		NO	SDWA, 1976 and USEPA SMCL List	
SMCL details	NA	MI Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) 1976 PA 399 and USEI	PA SMCL List, 2015	
Is there an aesthetic value for	NO	Not evaluated.	NA	



CHEMICAL UPDATE WORKSHEET

Tetrahydrofuran(DD) (109-99-9)

	Part 201 Value	Updated Value	Source/Reference/ Date	Comments/Notes /Issues
drinking water? (Y/N)				
Aesthetic value (ug/L)	NA	NA	NA	
Aesthetic Value details	NA	NA		
Phytotoxicity Value? (Y/N)	NO	Not evaluated.	NA	
Phytotoxicity details	NA	NA	NA	
Others				



(C) Chemical-specific Exposure Factors

. ,	Part 201 Value	Update	Source/Reference/ Dates	Comments/Notes /Issues
Gastrointestinal absorption efficiency value (ABSgi)		1.0	MDEQ, 2015/USEPA RAGS- E, 2004	
ABSgi details		RAGS E (USEPA, 2004) Default Value		
Skin absorption efficiency value (AEd)		0.1	MDEQ, 2015	
AEd details				
Ingestion Absorption Efficiency (AEi)		1.0	MDEQ, 2015	
AEi Details				
Relative Source Contribution for Water (RSC _w)		0.2	MDEQ, 2015	
Relative Source Contribution for Soil (RSC _s)		1.0	MDEQ, 2015	
Relative Source Contribution for Air (RSC _A)		1.0	MDEQ, 2015	
Others				



(D) Rule 57 Water Quality Values and GSI Criteria

Current GSI value (μg/L)	11,000 (X)
Updated GSI value (μg/L)	11,000 (X)
Rule 57 Drinking Water Value (μg/L)	350

	Rule 57 Value (μg/L)	Verification Date
Human Non-cancer Values- Drinking water source (HNV-drink)	350	2/1998
Human Non-Cancer Values- Non-drinking water sources (HNV-Non-drink)	26,000	2/1998
Wildlife Value (WV)	NA	NA
Human Cancer Values for Drinking Water Source (HCV-drink)	NA	NA
Human Cancer values for non-drinking water source (HCV-Non-drink)	NA	NA
Final Chronic Value (FCV)	11,000	6/1998
Aquatic maximum value (AMV)	74,000	6/1998
Final Acute Value (FAV)	150,000	6/1998

Sources:

- MDEQ Surface Water Assessment Section Rule 57 website
 MDEQ Rule 57 table



(E) Analytical Information

	Value	Source
Target Detection Limit – Soil (μg/kg)	1,000	MDEQ, 2015
Target Detection Limit – Water (μg/L)	90	MDEQ, 2015
Target Detection Limit – Air (ppbv)	6.00E+00	MDEQ, 2015
Target Detection Limit – Soil Gas (ppbv)	2.20E+00	MDEQ, 2015



CHEMICAL UPDATE WORKSHEET ABBREVIATIONS:

CAS # - Chemical Abstract Service Number.

USEPA's User Guide for Water9 Software, Version

2.0.0. 2001

Section (A) Chemical-Physical Properties

Reference Source(s):

EPA4

CRC Chemical Rubber Company Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 95th edition, 2014-2015

EMSOFT USEPA Exposure Model for Soil-Organic Fate and

Transport (EMSOFT) (EPA, 2002)

EPA2001 USEPA (2001) Fact Sheet, Correcting the Henry's

> Law Constant for Soil Temperature. Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response, Washington, D.C.

USEPA (2004) User's Guide for Evaluating

Subsurface Vapor Intrusion into Buildings. February

22, 2004.

EPI USEPA's Estimation Programs Interface SUITE 4.1,

Copyright 2000-2012

HSDB Hazardous Substances Data Bank

MDEQ Michigan Department of Environmental Quality National Institute for Occupational Safety and NPG

Health Pocket Guide to Chemical Hazards

PC National Center for Biotechnology Information's

PubChem database

PΡ Syracuse Research Corporation's PhysProp database

USEPA's Superfund Chemical Data Matrix SCDM SSG USEPA's Soil Screening Guidance: Technical

Background Document, Second Edition, 1996

USEPA/EPA United States environmental protection agency's

Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund Volume I:

Human Health Evaluation Manual (Part E, Supplemental Guidance for Dermal Risk

Assessment). July, 2004.

Basis/Comments:

W9

EST estimated **EXP** experimental EXT extrapolated

NA not available or not applicable

NR not relevant

Section (B) Toxicity Values/Benchmarks

Sources/References:

ATSDR Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry CALEPA California Environmental Protection Agency California Department of Toxic Substances Control CAL DTSC CAL OEHHA CAEPA Office of Environmental Health Hazard

Assessment

CCD MDEQ Chemical Criteria Database **ECHA** European Chemicals Agency (REACH)

Organization for Economic Cooperation and OECD HPV

Development HPV Database

USEPA's Health Effects Assessment Summary Tables HEAST

IRIS **USEPA's Integrated Risk Information System** Massachusetts Department of Environmental **MADEP**

Protection

MDEQ/DEQ Michigan Department of Environmental Quality

DEQ-CCD/AQD MDEQ Air Quality Division

DEQ-CCD/RRD MDEQ Remediation and Redevelopment Division

DEQ-CCD/WRD MDEQ Water Resources Division MNDOH Minnesota Department of Health



NJDEP New Jersey Department of Environmental

Protection

NYDEC New York State Department of Environmental

Conservation

OPP/OPPT USEPA's Office of Pesticide Programs

PPRTV USEPA's Provisional Peer Reviewed Toxicity Values
RIVM The Netherlands National Institute of Public Health

and the Environment

TCEQ Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
USEPA United States Environmental Protection Agency
USEPA OSWER USEPA Office of Solid Waste and Emergency

Response

USEPA MCL USEPA Maximum Contaminant Level

WHO World Health Organization

WHO IPCS International Programme on Chemical Safety

(IPCS/INCHEM)

WHO IARC International Agency for Research on Cancers

NA Not Available. NR Not Relevant.

Toxicity terms:

BMC Benchmark concentration

BMCL Lower bound confidence limit on the BMC

BMD benchmark dose

BMDL Lower bound confidence limit on the BMD

CSF Cancer slope Factor
CNS Central nervous system
IURF or IUR Inhalation unit risk factor

LOAEL Lowest observed adverse effect level

LOEL Lowest observed effect level
MRL Minimal risk level (ATSDR)
NOAEL No observed adverse effect level

NOEL No observed effect level

RfC Reference concentration

RfD Reference dose p-RfD Provisional RfD aRfD Acute RfD

UF Uncertainty factor WOE Weight of evidence

Section (C) Chemical-specific Absorption Factors

MDEQ Michigan Department of Environmental Quality USEPA RAGS-E United States Environmental Protection Agency's

Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund Volume I:

Human Health Evaluation Manual (Part E, Supplemental Guidance for Dermal Risk

Assessment). July, 2004.

Section (D) Rule 57 Water Quality Values and GSI Criteria

GSI Groundwater-surface water interface

NA A value is not available or not applicable.

ID Insufficient data to derive value

NLS No literature search has been conducted

