

**FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT**

**State:** Michigan **Fiscal Year to which credit applies:** 2011

Overall Report  (check one)  
Two-parent Report

Apply the overall credit to the two-parent participation rate?  yes  no

**PART 1 –Eligibility Changes Made Since FY 2005**

(Complete this section for EACH change)

1. Name of eligibility change:

- Requirement that work eligible individuals applying for cash assistance be referred immediately to Work First was suspended effective 6/1/06. This policy was reinstated effective 5/2/2007.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

- Suspended 6/1/06 and reinstated 5/2/2007.

3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

- Mandatory Work First (WF)/Jobs, Education and Training (JET) clients must be referred to WF/JET upon application. Previous policy had temporarily eliminated this requirement as a condition of eligibility.

**FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT**

**State:** Michigan **Fiscal Year to which credit applies:** 2011

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change (attach supporting materials to this form):

- There is no longer a caseload impact which can be attached to this policy.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: NA

## FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

State: Michigan Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2011

1. Implementation date of eligibility change:

- Effective 10/01/2006, a solely state-funded group of cases was created. This group is comprised of two-parent families and cases in which the adult is temporarily incapacitated. It is not a separate state program. However, it will be included with the caseload count under SSP for counting and reporting purposes.

2. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

- All cash assistance cases were funded with TANF funds before 10/1/06.

**FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT**

**State:** Michigan **Fiscal Year to which credit applies:** 2011

3. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change:  
(attach supporting materials to this form)

- These cases will be added back into the caseload count on the TANF worksheet.

4. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year:

- There were 10,745 state funded cases in FY 2010.

## FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

State: Michigan Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2011

Name of eligibility change:

- 45 CFR-Reauthorization of TANF-Final Rule
- **Part 261.43 (2)**
- A state that is investing State MOE funds in eligible families in excess of the required 75 or 80 percent basic MOE need only include the pro rata share of caseloads receiving assistance as required by statute.

1. Implementation date of eligibility change: Application of a federal regulation, not an eligibility change.
2. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: NA

**FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT**

**State:** Michigan **Fiscal Year to which credit applies:** 2011

3. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change:  
(attach supporting materials to this form)

- See MOE worksheet.

4. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: N/A

**FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT**

**State:** Michigan **Fiscal Year to which credit applies:** 2011

**PART 2 – Estimate of Caseload Reduction Credit**

- See attached excel worksheets.

**FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT**

**MICHIGAN**

**Fiscal Year to which credit applies:**

**2011**

**PART 2 – Estimate of Caseload Reduction Credit**

Impact of All Changes

Caseload Reduction Calculation

Use of excess MOE expenditures  
to fund cases (see worksheet)

5,650

FY 2005 TANF Caseload	80,595
FY 2005 SSP Caseload	
<b>Total FY 2005 Caseload</b>	<b>80,595</b>
FY 2010 TANF Caseload	71,480
FY 2010 SSP Caseload	10,745
<b>Total FY 2010 Caseload</b>	<b>82,225</b>
Actual Decline	-1,630
Decline – Net Impact	4,020

Caseload Reduction Credit = **5%**

**ADJUSTED TARGET 45%**

**Net Impact**

**5,650**

**FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT**

**MICHIGAN**

**Fiscal Year to which credit applies:**

**2011**

**Excess MOE Calculation Worksheet**

**Caseload Data**

FY 2005 TANF Caseload	80,595
FY 2005 SSP Caseload	0
<b>Total FY 2005 Caseload</b>	<b>80,595</b>
FY 2010 TANF Caseload	71,480
FY 2010 SSP Caseload	10,745
<b>Total FY 2010 Caseload</b>	<b>82,225</b>

**Expenditure Data**

<b>Total Expenditures</b>	
FY 2010 Total Federal Expenditures	\$862,859,030
FY 2010 Total MOE Expenditures	\$616,702,391
FY TANF Contingency Fund Expenditures	\$0
<b>Total Expenditures (Federal + MOE + Cont.)</b>	<b>\$1,479,561,421</b>

**Assistance Expenditures**

FY 2010 Federal Expenditures on Assistance	\$306,294,699
FY 2010 MOE Expenditures on Assistance	\$75,657,839
FY 2010 MOE Cont. Fund on Assistance	\$0
<b>Total Expenditures on Assist (Fed + MOE + Cont)</b>	<b>\$381,952,538</b>
Percentage of Expenditures on Assistance	25.82%

**Adjusted Caseload Data**

Adjusted FY 2010 Caseload Data	82,225
--------------------------------	--------

**Expenditures per Case**

Average Expenditures per TANF Case	\$20,699
Average Expenditures per TANF Case on assistance	\$5,343

**Total FY 2005 Caseload**

Total FY 2005 Caseload	80,595
------------------------	--------

**MOE and Excess MOE**

Required MOE (80%)	\$499,752,934
Excess MOE	\$116,949,457
Percent of Excess MOE Expenditures on Assistance	\$30,190,799

**Caseload Decline/Increase**

Caseload Decline/Increase	<b>-1,630</b>
---------------------------	---------------

<b>Assistance Cases Funded by Excess MOE</b>	<b>5,650</b>
--	--------------

**FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT**

**State:** \_\_\_\_\_ Michigan \_\_\_\_\_

**Fiscal Year to which credit applies:** 2011

**PART 3 -- Certification**

I certify that we have provided the public an appropriate opportunity to comment on the estimates and methodology used to complete this report and considered those comments in completing it. Further, I certify that this report incorporates all reductions in the caseload resulting from State eligibility changes and changes in Federal requirements since Fiscal Year 2005.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Ismael Ahmed  
(name)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Director  
(title)