

COMPARTMENT REVIEW RECORD OF CHANGES AND DECISIONS



Atlanta Forest Management Unit

2011 Year-of-Entry

Location: FIA Office in Atlanta

September 24, 2009

The following documents the changes and decisions made at the compartment review to the Inventory database, reports, and compartment maps presented at open house, for the Atlanta Forest Management Unit compartment review. This document is the official record of changes and decisions. Proposals originally presented were approved unless noted below.

Attendees

Dayle Garlock, FMFMD; Laurie Marzolo, FMFMD; Jim Bielecki, FMFMD; Jennifer Nemeth, FMFMD; Keith Kintigh, WLD; Jason Stephens, FMFMD; Jennifer Kleitch, WLD; Richard Barber, FMFMD; Cody Stevens, FMFMD; Rob Pelton, FMFMD; Tim Paulus, FMFMD; Jeff Major, FMFMD; Bill O'Neill, FMFMD; John Pilon, FMFMD

Members of the public in attendance: Robert Theiner, Joseph L. Wiekrykas, Joe Wiekrykas, Virginia Wiekrykas, Mary Sheppard, Ken Sheppard, Judy Duke, John Altherr, Robert Lind, Gene Marino, Jim Treadaway

Comments from Stakeholders

The Open House was held at Atlanta Forest Management Unit office on September 10, 2009 – 18 visitors came to view maps and data and discuss management and prescriptions with staff.

Comments were provided by:

- Virginia Wiekrykas, Atlanta – Called expressing her concern that harvesting prescribed in compartment 47, stand 69 would impact the view from her adjacent property.
- Mary Sheppard, Atlanta – Handed a document at the compartment review that stated she and her neighbors feel that management would degrade the scenery along Voyer Lake Road, causing property values to decline, and impact wildlife in the area. It was explained that aesthetics were considered for management along Voyer Lake Road and elsewhere, and the impact of logging was temporary. It was also explained that forest management had many benefits to wildlife.
- Judy Duke, Atlanta – Called expressing concern that harvesting prescribed in compartment 16, stand 24 would allow her buildings to be seen from Hunt Creek Road, diminish her privacy, and impact her view from her home.
- Cynthia Kieliszewski, Posen – Commented that Cody Stevens and Joe Molnar were knowledgeable and helpful at the open house.

Comments from stakeholders that were provided at the open house or otherwise during the comment period were announced and discussed. Comments specific to compartments were read and considered as each compartment was discussed. Stakeholder input that resulted in changes to prescriptions for a specific compartment or stand is recorded in the compartment notes below. Copies of all comments are on file at the unit office.

Comp 2 (Stand examiner – Richard Barber)

Discussion of comments from individual outside parties: There were no comments specific to this compartment.

Changes and decisions made at compartment review:

- Stands 58, 76 – Add to comment field: Buffer RDR (Resource Damage Report) areas.
- Stand 43 – Remove prescribed burn prescription.
- Stands 29, 30, 45, 58, 61, 75, 76, 79, 85, 87, 89 - Remove short wood logging specification.

Comp 16 (Stand examiner – Cody Stevens)

Discussion of comments from individual outside parties: Judy Duke expressed concern that harvesting prescribed in compartment 16, stand 24 would allow her buildings to be seen from Hunt Creek Road and diminish her privacy and impact her view from her home.

Changes and decisions made at compartment review:

- Stand 24 – In response to Judy's concerns, change prescription from clearcut to shelterwood, leave 20-30 feet of BA (basal area), and leave retention in a manner that helps shelter the view of the buildings from Hunt Creek Road. Cody Stevens will meet with landowners by October 31, 2009.

Comp 23 (Stand examiner – Richard Barber)

Discussion of comments from individual outside parties: There were no comments specific to this compartment.

Changes and decisions made at compartment review:

- Stand 1 – Add to comment field: Trespass along west side.
- Map – McCormick Lake boat access site needs to be added.
- Stand 31 – In the comment field add red maple to list of acceptable regeneration species.
- Stand 72 - Remove short wood logging specification.

Comp 37 (Stand examiner – Richard Barber)

Discussion of comments from individual outside parties: There were no comments specific to this compartment.

Changes and decisions made at compartment review:

- Stand 36 – Prescribe burn. Add to comment: Plan burn around massasauga considerations.
- Stand 28 – Add apple to species mix.
- Stand 29 – Add to comment: Check for presence of American chestnut in ten years planted by Bielecki in early 1990s.

Comp 47 (Stand examiner – Cody Stevens)

Discussion of comments from individual outside parties: Virginia Wiekrykas, Mary Sheppard (and others), Atlanta – Called expressing her concern that harvesting prescribed in stand 69 would impact the view from their adjacent property. Can a buffer be put along state property?

Changes and decisions made at compartment review:

- Stand 69 – No change of prescription as the aspen is very old and needs to be regenerated. Meet with landowner to discuss location of retention pockets prior to sale preparation. There will be no buffer.
- Stands 15, 20, 23, 49, 61, 65, 70 - Prescribe opening maintenance.

Comp 50 (Stand examiner – Bob Theiner)

Discussion of comments from individual outside parties: There were no comments specific to this compartment.

Changes and decisions made at compartment review:

- Stand 23 – Remove cultural need.

Comp 60 (Stand examiner – Bob Theiner)

Discussion of comments from individual outside parties: There were no comments specific to this compartment.

Changes and decisions made at compartment review:

- Stand 3 – Change type to J3.
- Map – Label Foch Creek that runs through stands 15, 16, and 17.

Comp 72 (Stand examiner – Richard Barber)

Discussion of comments from individual outside parties: There were no comments specific to this compartment.

Changes and decisions made at compartment review:

No changes.

Comp 83 (Stand examiner – Bob Theiner)

Discussion of comments from individual outside parties: There were no comments specific to this compartment.

Changes and decisions made at compartment review:

- Stand 11 – Add factor limitation – too wet. Add to comment field: Hold treatment until stands 18, 19, and 20 are prepared for sale.
- Stand 18 – Add to comment field: Hold treatment until stands 11, 19, and 20 are prepared for sale.

Comp 105 (Stand examiner – Richard Barber)

Discussion of comments from individual outside parties: There were no comments specific to this compartment.

Changes and decisions made at compartment review:

- Add to narrative: Devil's Lake management plan is a consideration in this compartment.
- Stand 17 – Add to comment field: Leave oak.
- Stands 44, 54 - Add to comment field: Leave clumps of 2-4 red pine, tree height spacing apart.

Comp 108 (Stand examiner – Cody Stevens)

Discussion of comments from individual outside parties: There were no comments specific to this compartment.

Changes and decisions made at compartment review:

No changes.

Comp 112 (Stand examiner – Bob Theiner)

Discussion of comments from individual outside parties: There were no comments specific to this compartment.

Changes and decisions made at compartment review:

- Map – Symbolize Long Rapids Road as “County-Paved.”

Comp 114 (Stand examiner – Cody Stevens)

Discussion of comments from individual outside parties: There were no comments specific to this compartment.

Changes and decisions made at compartment review:

- Stand 21 – Add cover type.

Comp 117 (Stand examiner – Cody Stevens)

Discussion of comments from individual outside parties: There were no comments specific to this compartment.

Changes and decisions made at compartment review:

No changes.

Comp 145 (Stand examiner – Tim Paulus)

Discussion of comments from individual outside parties: There were no comments specific to this compartment.

Changes and decisions made at compartment review:

No changes.

Comp 151 (Stand examiner – Cody Stevens)

Discussion of comments from individual outside parties: There were no comments specific to this compartment.

Changes and decisions made at compartment review:

- Stand 53 – Prescribe for regeneration check.

Comp 161 (Stand examiner – Richard Barber)

Discussion of comments from individual outside parties: There were no comments specific to this compartment.

Changes and decisions made at compartment review:

- Stand 108 - In comment field remove option to stack the tops.
- Remove short wood logging specifications on all stands except stand 62.
- Stands 47, 87, 92, 94, 95, 98, 99, 103, 115 – Prescribe opening maintenance.
- Stands 58, 107 – Remove harvest prescription.
- Stand 85 – Change treatment boundary to leave everything west of eastern stand 78 unharvested.
- Stand 84 – Add to comment field: Potential SHPO site north of trail.

Comp 168 (Stand examiner – Tim Paulus)

Discussion of comments from individual outside parties: There were no comments specific to this compartment.

Changes and decisions made at compartment review:

- Stand 42 – Remove prescription. Add additional factor limitation for “blocked by obstacle.”
- Stand 32 – Remove opening maintenance prescription.

Additional 2010 Aspen harvest for age-class distribution

Compartment 4, stand 32, 33 - No changes.

Compartment 25, stand 62 - No changes.

Compartment 39, stand 16 - No changes.

Compartment 53, stand 27 - No changes.

Compartment 66, stands 2, 102 - No changes.

Compartment 69, stand 22 – No changes.

As the Compartment Review Meeting Facilitator, I certify that the above changes were agreed upon.



John Pilon, Inventory and Planning Specialist

September 24, 2009