

What are some possible consequences if the Developed Recreation Zone was increased to 20 percent of the park?

*More displacement of native habitat. Boat launches, fishing piers and trailheads would use more habitat space than needed.*

*Could create unused or underused developed facilities, such as Campgrounds, Bathroom Facilities, and Visitor Centers.*

*Too large/unused parking areas.*

Do you think it's possible to have too much of your park zoned as Primitive? **Discussion/Opinion**

Who might be affected by a park with excessive Primitive Zones?

**Mountain Bikers, Horseback Riders, Boat Owners, Hunters, Trail Hikers, Gravel or Sand Miners**

How would you know if there was not enough Primitive Zone in your park?

**Unmanageable increases in invasive species, the number of plant and animal species decreases, evidence of human impacts are increasing.**

What would be some indicators of a park with not enough developed areas?

**Overflowing parking areas, crowded fishing piers and boat launches, crowded bathrooms and campgrounds.**

Are there any user groups that you could imagine needing separate parts of the park to use?

- √ **School children and hunting zones**
- √ **Mountain Bikers and Horseback Riders**
- √ **Backpackers and Motor Homes or large Campers**
- √ **Gravel Mine Operators and trail users**

If you found out that there was an endangered species present adjacent to one of your Developed Recreation Zones, would that have any bearing on your management plan? How could you accommodate both the threatened species, as well as human use? **Would not increase the Developed Recreation Zone. Perhaps restrict or decrease size of Developed Recreation Zone where possible. Change surrounding Zoned area to a more Primitive Zone. Reroute Trails, sites, or traffic patterns if possible.**