| 1 | STATE OF MICHIGAN |
|----|---|
| 2 | LIQUOR CONTROL COMMISSION |
| 3 | SEMI-ANNUAL PUBLIC HEARING |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | * * * |
| 7 | |
| 8 | Proceedings had in the above-entitled case |
| 9 | before Chairman Andrew Deloney and |
| 10 | Commissioners Bradford Jacobson, Dennis |
| 11 | Olshove and Teri Quimby, held at the Michigan |
| 12 | Liquor Control Commission, 525 W. Allegan |
| 13 | Street, Constitution Hall Building, |
| 14 | Jacquelyn A. Stewart Hearing Room, Lansing, |
| 15 | Michigan, on Wednesday, December 13, 2017. |
| 16 | * * * |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |

| 1 | CONTENTS | |
|----|--|----------|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | SPEAKER: | PAGE |
| 4 | Richard Overton, Quartermaster, VFW Post 6248 Steve Ormond, Attorney, Clark Hill PLC/7-Eleven | 4 8 |
| 5 | Janine Kravetz, Prevention Coordinator, Communities Addressing Alcohol Problems | 9 |
| 6 | Marie Hansen, MI Alcohol Policy Promoting Health & Safety | |
| 7 | Mike Tobias, Coordinator, MCRUD Michael J. Brown, Attorney, Carlin Edwards Brown | 10 16 |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | IN ATTENDANCE: | |
| 10 | <u> </u> | |
| 11 | Charlie Cove, VP Operations, Dan Henry Distributing Nick Goebel, Great Lakes Wine & Spirits | |
| 12 | Brian Pizzuti, RNDC of Michigan Dave Puck, MLBA | |
| 13 | Amra Dzomba, Legislative Intern, Acuitas Don Nunn, Meijer | |
| 14 | J.R. Roskopp, Petitpren Inc. Brett Visner, MI Beer & Wine Wholesalers | |
| 15 | Kelly Ward, Sr. Compliance Specialist, Meijer Craig Wheeler, Meijer | |
| 16 | crary micerci, nerger | |
| 17 | STAFF IN ATTENDANCE: | |
| 18 | DITT IN THE PROPERTY | |
| 19 | Lori DeClercq, Court Reporter Anita Fawcett, Commission Assistant | |
| 20 | Tom Hagan, Director, Enforcement Division Pamela Hamilton, Director, Finance Division | |
| 21 | Kelly Hunt, FOIA Coordinator Kerry Krone, Business Manager | |
| 22 | Liliana Paceagiu, Deputy Director, Finance Division Barb Subastian, Regional Supervisor, Enforcement Div | vieio |
| 23 | Sara Weber, Manager, Licensing Division | V TOTOI |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |

| - | | |
|---|----------|----------|
| 1 | Lansing, | Michigan |
| | | |

| 2 Wednesday, Decemb | per 13, | 2017 - | 10:07 | a.m. |
|---------------------|---------|--------|-------|------|
|---------------------|---------|--------|-------|------|

| 3 | CHAIRMAN DELONEY: We will call this meeting of the |
|----|---|
| 4 | Liquor Control Commission to order. This is the second of |
| 5 | our two Semi-Annual Public Hearings of 2017 as required in |
| 6 | Section 215(2) of the Michigan Liquor Control Code. This |
| 7 | hearing, per statutory requirement, is being held to provide |
| 8 | a public forum for comments with respect to the Commission's |
| 9 | administration of the Liquor Control Code. I am Andy |
| 10 | Deloney. I am Chairman of the Commission. And I am joined |
| 11 | currently by Commissioner Dennis Olshove and Commissioner |
| 12 | Brad Jacobsen. Commissioner Ed Clemente, one of our Hearings |
| 13 | Commissioners, is conducting hearings today outside of the |
| 14 | city and is not present today. Commissioner Teri Quimby is |
| 15 | in route. Like some people who might still plan to be here, |
| 16 | she is in traffic because of the weather. She has given me a |
| 17 | couple of updates and she is trying to get here as soon as |
| 18 | she possibly can. I certainly know that when this hearing is |
| 19 | concluded, she will be fully reviewing any comments that are |
| 20 | left in the transcript. As I just said, there is a |
| 21 | transcript. This hearing is being recorded by Lori DeClercq |
| 22 | so when you come forward to speak, please state your name and |
| 23 | any organization that you represent. This will be a great |
| 24 | help to us, as well as to Lori DeClercq, as we make a record |
| 25 | of this hearing. |

And if there is anybody here who has specific comments or questions regarding a specific business or a specific matter, you may wish to speak with one of us or a staff person personally at the conclusion of the hearing, but of course, we will leave that to you.

2.

2.0

So we have had several cards that have been introduced and again, because of the inclement weather, it is possible we will have some late-arriving folks, but we will go ahead and get started. We will ask folks to make -- excuse me -- to limit their comments to three minutes. The first card we have from a person indicating a desire to speak is Richard Overton. Please come forward.

MR. OVERTON: I am Richard Overton. I represent
VFW Post 6248, Decatur, Michigan. I would like to point out
I am not representing the VFW nationally or the state,
although, they have an interest in my presence, here, today.
I am speaking from my experiences and my interests. My
question is direct in that I would ask the Commission -- and
it certainly would be legislatively -- to review the
restrictive nature of the veterans club licenses. We have
had 68 veterans clubs close in the last decade, mostly
because of the proliferation of casinos, which taps into
their revenue source. I would submit that we are federally
chartered veterans organizations who -- not to be dramatic -make the rest of this all possible, yet we are, sort of, at

the back of the bus on restrictions; even on the membership count, that type of thing. Our clubs are federally chartered clubs that are required to promote patriotism; help veterans, that type of thing. With these closings, it divides us more and more from the community. These should be places where even veterans on -- excuse me -- military people on leave should be able to attend; people that donate to us; our supporters should be able to come in. We are in a resort area and, you know, it would be nice if people could come in and see what a veteran's club is like; mingle with veterans. As it stands now, the main source of income for many of these organizations was Bingo and some other gamings and that has been the reason that they have closed down. I would simply ask if you could revisit the restrictive nature for veterans clubs, it would be appreciated. I think we should be open to the public a little bit more, somewhere in between a club and a tavern license. We do pay taxes on our club. It's not that we are totally non-profit. Some of the other things that we do, like renting our hall are excluded, but I don't think the State would suffer. I have talked to other establishments in the area. They don't feel threatened by an existence or an expansion of our clientele. We have a very good relationship with the for-profit organizations in our community. In fact, once in a while, their ice machine will go down and they will come to us. People are not going to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

radically leave commercial establishments to come to us, but those that want to stop in, spend some time; a veteran -- you know, a military person on leave that wants to bring friends in, if we continue to become more and more divided from the community because we are being legislatively handcuffed, I think the community as a whole suffers.

2.

2.0

So again, my request would be that you revisit the restrictive nature of, specifically, the veterans club licenses. I know the purpose of club licenses as a whole. I would just ask that you take a look at how we operate and perhaps, you can do something for us. It is not just my opinion. It's been dramatic; 68 clubs closing down for lack of revenue sources -- just in the State of Michigan -- is rather dramatic. Thank you. So unless you have questions.

CHAIRMAN DELONEY: Thank you very much. I would note, for the record, that Commissioner Quimby has joined the meeting and Mr. Overton was our first speaker.

(Commissioner Quimby is present at 10:13 a.m.)

CHAIRMAN DELONEY: And of course, I informed the audience that because of the inclement weather and the traffic, that you were running a little behind.

COMMISSIONER QUIMBY: Mr. Chairman, I spent a lot of time thinking why we can't have these in May and November.

CHAIRMAN DELONEY: Good point, Commissioner Quimby;

that's something to think about. With regard to

Mr. Overton's comments and questions, one thing I do want to point out because this is an issue that has generated some media attention in the last couple of months. This is because the restrictions on club licenses are set in state law. This is not Commission policy. This is not Commission rules. The Commission is duty bound to enforce the law of the State as it is written. And for a lot of reasons, when many decades ago, the Legislature created these club licenses for non-profit, private membership, membership-driven clubs, the Legislature, for whatever reasons they chose to do so, enacted several restrictions as to who is eligible for these licenses, as well as several restrictions as to how these licenses can be used.

2.

2.0

As with the situation with a lot of our enforcement action, a lot of our enforcement investigations begin when we receive a complaint from somebody in the public. And it is safe to say -- and I know from past experience -- that there have been complaints that come in from -- whether they are people in the public or people who view themselves as competitors -- somebody who they view is violating a statute of some sort. So our investigators will go in and will react to a complaint and if they see indicators that the licensee is violating the statute, they are duty bound to enforce the law. So with the legislation that has been just recently passed by a Senate Committee, I would say that I have spoken

in support of that legislation, but again, we are reacting to what State law says. We do not write State law. We have to enforce what State law says and that is why there has been some recent attention to some of these issues. If the Legislature changes the statutory provisions, we will enforce what the Legislature enacts. So I just wanted to make that clear that any sort of enforcement action by the Commission on a club licensee, is based on whether or not they are violating what state law says, as enacted by the Legislature. These — like I said, these provisions go back many decades. For whatever reason, the Legislature passed them and they remain to this day. If the Legislature wants to change those, that is the Legislature's obligation to do so.

2.

2.0

Next person indicating a desire to speak is Steve Ormond.

MR. ORMOND: Good morning, Mr. Chairman,

Commissioners. My name is Steve Ormond -- excuse me -- with
the Clark Hill Law Firm. We represent 7-Eleven and a number
of other clients in various regulatory matters in the State
of Michigan and my purpose in speaking today is to compliment
the Commission on its continued attention and diligence and
persistence in implementing the regulatory reform initiatives
that were proposed a number of years ago. Most of these fall
within regulatory activities that can be accomplished without
additional legislation. I can tell you -- and I have said

this before -- that we find these initiatives to be very, very helpful for our clients in doing business in Michigan within the statutory framework and we also appreciate that the Commission and its staff are dedicated to enforcing the law as it is written and we see that this two-pronged approach, careful enforcement of the law, and at the same time, looking for good ways to make Michigan an attractive place to do business for our clients, both of those prongs are very important and you are doing a good job.

2.

2.0

I also want to compliment the staff because we are finding that the staff are looking for lawful and helpful ways to help our clients do business in Michigan. And I just wanted to express our appreciation and our hope and expectation that the Commission will continue down the same path. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN DELONEY: Thank you. Next is Janine Kravetz.

MS. KRAVETZ: Good morning. My name is Janine
Kravetz. I am here representing Communities Addressing
Alcohol Problems Together. I am an adult advisor for this
group of students from John Glenn High School in Bay City.
In speaking with high school students about the potential of
having alcohol served at fast food restaurants, particularly,
it's been a topic with Taco Bell and I understand from
reading online that some Taco Bells have already opened in

other cities, in other communities; that their concern is that this can prevent them from having the opportunity to have jobs and earn money for things that high school students earn money for; whether it is college, getting a car, buying a prom dress. And they would like to continue to have these opportunities to have jobs because if they serve alcohol, then 16 or 17-year olds would not be able to have these types of jobs.

2.

2.0

They also have concerns about employees that in fast food restaurants, it is frequently reported by people who work there that they are often mistreated by customers, having food thrown at them or spit on them and that if alcohol is served at these restaurants, that this could increase this type of behavior. And they also are concerned, too, about just having a place to go with high school kids to eat; that a lot of these places cater to families and that it would be a better environment just to not have alcohol there. So I hope that you will hear these concerns. Thank you.

MR. TOBIAS: Good morning, Chairman Deloney and Commissioners Quimby, Olshove and Jacobsen. I am Mike Tobias representing the Michigan Coalition to Reduce Underage Drinking. I appreciate all the work that you guys do and the staff does for Michigan. Whenever I have questions or concerns, you or the staff is very helpful and informative

Thank you. Next is Mike Tobias.

CHAIRMAN DELONEY:

and I appreciate that and periodically, I will reach out to Chairman Deloney or Commissioner Olshove and you have always taken time to sit down and meet with us and we appreciate that.

1

2.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

I am disappointed about the recission of the half-mile rule. It was my understanding that many, many people testified in support of that rule and it seems like it was kind of falling on deaf ears, I guess. We are disappointed about that.

I am also concerned -- I am not -- I don't believe the Commission has done a good job of, kind of, balancing commercial alcohol interests with public health and safety. So I hope that we can continue to work on that, as well. Specifically, it probably comes as no surprise, but I do have a concern that in some areas of the state there are still certainly enough licenses or maybe too many licenses and in those areas, I hope that maybe the Commission can work in the coming year to look at what can possibly be done to reduce, kind of, the outlets in the area. I don't know if it's a moratorium or what the solution might be, but it just seems like there is still a push to give out more licenses. And again, I don't think some areas need that, necessarily. Perhaps, the Commission can form a work group to look at the issues, study it and try to come up with some creative solutions.

- I also want to mention we are fortunate in
- 2 Michigan. We have a couple of great resources in Michigan.
- 3 A lady named Dr. Debra Furr-Holden who comes by John Hopkins
- 4 University --
- 5 CHAIRMAN DELONEY: I am sorry. Could you spell her
- 6 name?
- 7 MR. TOBIAS: F-u-r-r-H-o-l-d-e-n Dr. Debra
- 8 Furr-Holden. She's working -- I believe she works for
- 9 Michigan State University out of Flint, Michigan, now. And
- one of her areas that she really looks at is the relationship
- 11 between alcohol outlets and violence. And she's done some
- 12 great work in the City of Baltimore and now she's continuing
- to do some of that work in Michigan. So I hope that in the
- coming year, perhaps, the Commission can look at some of the
- 15 research that she has been working on to see if that might be
- 16 helpful to you guys when you make some of the decisions that
- 17 you make.
- 18 We also have an alcohol epidemiologist, whose name
- is Patrick Hindman and he works for the Michigan Department
- of Health and Human Services. I believe he's a fellow with
- 21 the CDC, Center for Disease Control, but he is also working
- in Michigan and looking at some of the -- I believe, he is
- 23 updating, maybe, the excessive alcohol consumption, the cost
- of excessive alcohol consumption in Michigan is one thing.
- 25 They had put out a report in 2010 that it was costing

everybody in Michigan -- the alcohol was costing the citizens of Michigan over \$800 per person. So he is looking at updating that cost or that study.

2.0

There is also an Alcohol Policy 18 Conference in Washington, D.C. in, I think, the first week in April. It is a very -- in my opinion, it is great and it is an excellent conference -- the research -- the latest research on alcohol issues and, kind of, policy solutions and it would be great if some of you or maybe your staff could get there to listen to what they have to offer. Thank you for your attention. If you have any questions, I will try to answer them. I hope everybody has a safe and happy holiday. Thanks.

CHAIRMAN DELONEY: Thank you, Mike. With regard to the Alcohol Policy Conference through the National Alcohol Beverage Control Association, we have been made aware of that and notified of our ability to send a representative or two to that; that is something that is currently in my inbox and I will be taking a look at. Commissioner Quimby?

COMMISSIONER QUIMBY: Thank you. Mike, I had a specific question for you. You had a statement that was a little bit more generalized in terms of the Commission and not necessarily addressing health, safety and welfare concerns. Could you give me just a generalization of, at least, one specific example of what you are talking about?

MR. TOBIAS: About -- I am sorry. Say that again,

- 1 Teri?
- COMMISSIONER QUIMBY: About the health, safety,
- welfare issues, you said we are not doing a good enough job
- 4 in that regard. Instead of just a generalized statement,
- 5 could you just give me one specific detail?
- 6 MR. TOBIAS: Right. The half-mile rule. In
- 7 addition to the half-mile rule, I would say, again, maybe my
- 8 understanding of local -- there may be local ordinances, as
- 9 an example, prohibiting gas stations from getting liquor
- 10 licenses. So the wishes aren't to have anymore liquor
- 11 licenses, but the Commission seems to -- I don't know what
- 12 the right word would be -- doesn't seem to take into account
- the local concerns from what I am hearing. I don't know if
- 14 that --
- 15 COMMISSIONER QUIMBY: As a former local official, I
- 16 will say that, certainly, ordinances have their place,
- however, they can't circumvent state law.
- 18 MR. TOBIAS: Right.
- 19 COMMISSIONER QUIMBY: I believe -- and I will leave
- 20 my comment at that.
- MR. TOBIAS: I am not a lawyer, but I guess I
- 22 disagree. I think there's -- past practices have done things
- 23 differently. Again, I am not a lawyer. I am just hearing
- 24 what I am hearing from the local communities and some of the
- local lawmakers can testify to that, as well, about local

- communities having ordinances in place to prohibit the number of licenses. And again, it's a difference in conflict with the rules of state law. So maybe I am mistaken, but I guess there is some conflict between that.
- COMMISSIONER QUIMBY: I would be happy to talk further with you.
- 7 MR. TOBIAS: Yeah. I appreciate that.
- 8 COMMISSIONER QUIMBY: Thank you.
- 9 MR. TOBIAS: Thanks.
- 10 CHAIRMAN DELONEY: Next is Marie Hansen.
- MS. HANSEN: Good morning. I am Marie Hansen from 11 12 Michigan Alcohol Policy Promoting Health and Safety. And 13 this may seem sort of like the same song -- I don't know -fifth verse, but we are concerned about outlet density and I 14 have been thinking about this and I think some of what is 15 16 giving -- really increasing the availability of alcohol, has 17 to do with the small distilleries, the craft breweries and not so much the wineries. But I live in, actually, Lansing 18 19 and so just from my perspective, between the beer and wine outlets that are now able to sell liquor and the 2.0 21 proliferation of brew pubs downtown, there is just, really, 22 an increased amount of availability and the problems that go 23 with it. We do know that harm is closely related to alcohol 24 availability and where there is outlet density, you have more 25 harm and that is both harm from property, but also harm to

people and so we are hoping that you will look at this and sort of reconsider what seems to be your position of mostly looking at how you can advance the industry and increase the sale of alcohol and pay more attention to harm that comes from it and if we could, kind of, put a stop on this and I look at what is going on in Traverse City and kind of followed that. I look at, you know, Grand Rapids, the beer city. I don't know how they are doing, but they certainly had their problems in the past with some of their outlets and it seems like we need to, maybe, have a moratorium or at least a pull back on the number of licenses and some of it may be special events licenses, daytime licenses, but you should be the best people to evaluate that and really be able to say how are we doing in Michigan, a control state, on outlet density? Thank you for any attention you could give to this.

1

2.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN DELONEY: Thank you. Next is Mike Brown.

MR. BROWN: Thank you, Commissioners, for the opportunity to speak today. Michael Brown from the law firm of Carlin Edwards Brown. And today I want to particularly emphasize the Carlin part of that because I intend to use my time today on this public record to announce the retirement of my partner, John Carlin, after 52 years of practice in the State of Michigan. And also to recognize John's many accomplishments over those 52 years. A career, really, that

will, I suspect, never be duplicated in the liquor licensing field. I asked John to be here today as part of this, but of course, he is much too humble to stand here and be publicly recognized. So I told him I was going to do it anyway because knowing this is going to be on a permanent record, I wanted there to be some notation of John's career.

2.

2.0

As I said, he practiced for 52 years; most of those as a partner with the Plunkett and Cooney Law Firm, but for the last 11 years, with our firm, with Scott Edwards and myself. And in that time, John has represented many of the national chain restaurants that are now in Michigan. His reputation and his ability to get things done was instrumental in many of those chains coming to Michigan originally.

He has also represented and has been counsel to all of Michigan's major sports venues -- many of its hotel chains and many of its local units of government over those years. But perhaps his greatest joy, if you talk to John, would be the fact that he represented literally hundreds of family-owned restaurants, family-owned bars, stores, and the opportunity that that provided for all of those families to realize their dream of owning and operating a family business, that was where John real shined in making sure that those people got a license if they were entitled to one.

John also served for many years on the Boards of

Directors of many non-profit organizations, including hospitals and other local charities and served for many years on the Board of Michigan Restaurant Association for which he is now recognized as an emeritus board member in perpetuity. I have had many interesting parts of my career, as well, but I will tell you the best part of it has been my affiliation with John Carlin. Thank you.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN DELONEY: Thank you, Mike. I will just echo your comments regarding John. I have had the opportunity -- I know all of us have had the opportunity to know and work with John. I have had the opportunity to know and work with John longer from my previous life and I can't tell you what -- how helpful his experience and expertise has been, particularly when it had nothing to do with a client that he had; just to talk about an issue; sometimes they say in this business, to help you understand where the bodies are buried; where the traps are; where the things are that are going to trip you up and John certainly has had a lot of experience in that. I think you were here in front of us -it was either last year or the year prior to that at the Semi-Annual Public Hearing and made reference to he had passed a milestone with how many years he had been doing the work that he has done and I made a joke about how the number of years that he had been doing this was older than I was. While we were joking about that, certainly, at the time, it

certainly is a testament to the work he has done and the fact that he has been able to stick around in this business, doing it as long as he has. It surely is a testament to him and also to his partners and to his clients. So you have a lot to do with that, as well. Commissioner Quimby?

2.

2.0

COMMISSIONER QUIMBY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. A few weeks ago I had the privilege and honor of chairing the last hearing Mr. Carlin had coming before the Commission and we will miss him and even with the most difficult cases where the Commission didn't necessarily say yes to begin with, he would always conduct himself in such a professional manner, with a smile on his face and as you already said, there was so much to learn from him. So please wish him well.

CHAIRMAN DELONEY: We have other cards from folks who are here who wish to be recognized on the record, but do not wish to speak. First, we have Nick Goebel, Great Lakes Wine & Spirits.

MR. GOEBEL: Good morning.

CHAIRMAN DELONEY: Dave Puck, Michigan Licensed

Beverage Association. Amra Dzomba from Acuitas -- I hope I

am pronouncing that correctly; J.R. Roskopp, Petitpren, Inc.;

Charlie Cove, Dan Henry Distributing; Brian Pizzuti, Republic

National Distributing Company of Michigan; Brett Visner,

Michigan Beer & Wine Wholesalers Association.

MR. VISNER: Good morning.

| 1 | CHATRMAN | DELONEY: | Kellv | Ward | with | Meijer |
|---------|----------|----------|---|------|------------------|---------------|
| <u></u> | | | $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{L}$ | wara | $w \perp c_{11}$ | 1.1C T CT + |

| 2 | MS. | WARD: | Good | morning. |
|---|-----|-------|------|----------|
|---|-----|-------|------|----------|

2.0

CHAIRMAN DELONEY: Craig Wheeler with Meijer and of course, Don Nunn with Meijer.

Don, you have indicated a desire to not speak; that is too bad. I am going to speak about you, then, because you have -- you were gracious and kind enough to let us know recently that you, too, are going to be hanging it up, so to speak, and pursuing on to the next chapter and I just wanted to tell you that even before I started doing this, when I was coming to these meetings and hearings, you were always a presence here. You were always a consistent and stalwart representative of Meijer. Whether it was a licensing issue, a policy issue, whatever the issue was, you were always there. You could always be counted on. And certainly, we saw that after we came here and began taking these seats.

So I wanted to say thank you for all the representation you have done, whether we agreed or disagreed on the issue, but again, you were always there. You were always there with helpful and productive commentary, answers to our questions and asked us a lot of questions. So I want to thank you for that and I want to wish you the very best of luck as you pursue writing the next chapter of your story. So thank you and best of luck.

MR. NUNN: Maybe I will speak; how's that? I

| 1 | wasn't planning on it. I should take this because I may not |
|----|---|
| 2 | get back down again. For those of you who don't know me, I |
| 3 | am Don Nunn. I am with Meijer. I've been with Meijer for |
| 4 | over 28 years, doing the licensing and handling the alcohol. |
| 5 | It has been quite an experience a lot of troubles, a lot |
| 6 | of problems and concerns, but I think I have had more fun |
| 7 | than anybody could ever hope to in a job. The Commission is |
| 8 | like a second home to me, I think. It has changed a lot over |
| 9 | the years the staff, you know, the different |
| 10 | Commissioners. You've always been enjoyable to work with. |
| 11 | This Commission, particularly, not just because you are here, |
| 12 | but it's been my opinion, is one of the best I have seen and |
| 13 | worked with in the 28 years I have been coming down here. |
| 14 | But it is probably time to go. There's a lot of people here |
| 15 | that are leaving so it's changing tremendously and other |
| 16 | states I had five states all together at one time and |
| 17 | Michigan is one of the few where there's people left that |
| 18 | I've actually dealt with personally and worked with. They've |
| 19 | all seemed to have gotten older and retired. I appreciate |
| 20 | everything with the Commission; with you folks; with the |
| 21 | staff. You have made it the enjoyable job that it has been. |
| 22 | So thank you. |
| 23 | CHAIRMAN DELONEY: Thank you. Commissioner |
| 24 | Olshove? |

21

COMMISSIONER OLSHOVE: Was it more fun 28 years ago

25

1 or is it more fun now?

2.

2.0

MR. NUNN: It was different. I was a member -- I attended the last big semi-annual public hearing that was held off-site and that was a lot of fun, but it is probably better that we don't do those anymore. But it has changed a lot, but yeah, if it had not been fun all the way through, I would have gotten out of it. It's been fun right up til the end. I have really, really enjoyed this Commission.

CHAIRMAN DELONEY: Commissioner Quimby?

COMMISSIONER QUIMBY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Don, I am so glad you came today. We will miss you. We wish you well. We wish you well in your future endeavors and you have been a consistent attendee at our business meetings, as well as representing Meijer for all of the licensing and other needs. You worked well with so many of our staff people and from the beginning of the process, I can't remember any issues, I don't think, of a big problem brought to our attention because you were so diligent right from the beginning of a request that there never really was a big problem for us to deal with. And Meijer is looked at a lot and I mention Meijer a lot for being a responsible retailer. Because I think that Meijer is still our largest spirits purchaser in Michigan; such a low incident rate of things going wrong with sales to minors or sales to intoxicated individuals, etc., because of the great programs that are in

1 place there.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

2 So thank you for everything that you have done and, 3 again, we will miss you.

4 MR. NUNN: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN DELONEY: Commissioner Quimby, you make a really good point because I was asked to make a comment about responsible retailing recently in a conversation that you and I had and unfortunately, from time to time, this Commission has to have penalty hearings where a licensee has three or more sales to minors or sales to intoxicated persons within a 24-month period and of course, Commissioner Jacobsen has to conduct the hearings for licensees who are alleged to have made a sale of alcohol to a minor or to an intoxicated person. When we have our penalty hearings, sometimes licensees will come in and essentially will give us excuses as to why these sales take place because, you know, they are busy or they get distracted or, you know, it was the holidays and they had a busier line of customers than usual and it has not been once or twice -- it has probably been a dozen or so times where one of us will say on the record in these hearings, well, look at companies like Meijer. Hundreds of stores, millions of dollars in sales and yet, here, in the State of Michigan, I don't need any fingers to count how many sales of alcohol to minor or sales of alcohol to intoxicated individuals take place. Some people will say, well, it is a

different type of store than a party store; garbage. Yes, there is a lot of differences, but there's also a lot of similarities. You guys don't have your stuff behind the counter. You have your stuff in the aisles. While there are legitimate arguments to be made about whether or not that is an appropriate public policy -- public health thing to do, the fact that you show, essentially, zero statewide for years of sales to minors and sales to intoxicated persons, is a testament to the company you work at and you and others have done to make sure that is the case and we have been proud to hold that up as an example for others to follow; that it can be done. You can be successful in selling alcohol without having violations.

2.

2.0

So those are all the cards that we have. I don't think there is anybody who has come in late who has submitted a card. Before we conclude, I just want to make a couple of comments. When I got here, Commissioner Quimby came in, I think, a week after I did and of course, about a year, maybe not even a year after that, Commissioner Olshove came in.

One thing I can tell you is that in my experience -- and this is not a statement about the staff team here. To put it simply, the Commission was not exactly known as far as administrative agencies were considered, to be stalwart about their hearings to what their authority was and there is a lot of, unfortunately, very costly examples of that. Not the

| 1 | smallest, and certainly not, perhaps, the most recent, |
|----|---|
| 2 | though, was the famous Flying Dog Brewery case. Every day we |
| 3 | hear that we are too strict and at the same time, we are not |
| 4 | doing enough. We have an obligation to follow what it says |
| 5 | in Article 4, Section 40 of the Michigan Constitution, which |
| 6 | is that we are limited to the authority we are given and |
| 7 | limited to the authority granted to us in statute, as passed |
| 8 | by the Legislature. Nothing could be as infuriating or |
| 9 | frustrating than when you would have a diverse coalition of |
| 10 | stakeholders come to the table, work with legislators to pass |
| 11 | a legislative reform, have it signed into law, only to have |
| 12 | that executive branch agency, whatever that agency may be, to |
| 13 | essentially crumble it up and throw it in the garbage and |
| 14 | say, yeah, we know that is what the law says, but this is how |
| 15 | we are going to do it. Not only is it frustrating, it's, in |
| 16 | my opinion, it is very much improper. So we know there have |
| 17 | been a lot of folks who have expressed some frustration at |
| 18 | the way we would interpret the statute or the rule or the way |
| 19 | that we would do things was not the same as the way it has |
| 20 | been done for years. In some cases, that was a good thing |
| 21 | and in some cases, that was a bad thing. The discussion |
| 22 | regarding local government issues, of course, is one that |
| 23 | comes up from time to time. We have been both accused of |
| 24 | ignoring local governments and then being too responsive to |
| 25 | local governments. It has become clear to us and it became |

clear to me early on, there are many local governments that, essentially, want us to do their job for them. They have an ordinance. They want us to enforce it. There are 1,700 local units of government in this state. We cannot possibly be responsible for enforcing their ordinances for them. We have got a small, yet dedicated team of enforcement agents who are dedicated to enforcing what the State law and the State rules say. If there are local ordinances -- and again, as Commissioner Quimby has stated being a former local official -- they are limited as to what their authority is and whether or not there are ordinances that are actually valid under state law. But we are required to consider local opinions when we consider licenses and we do that and our orders show that.

2.

2.0

With regard to things such as moratoriums or putting limits, again, that is subject to legislative approval. We do not have the authority, in my opinion, to simply state -- where the Legislature has explicitly authorized the issuance of licenses -- to say, no, we are not going to because we don't think we should. And it seems clear that the trend of the Legislature, generally speaking, over the last several years, has been to become more permissive with regard to the issuance of licenses. We hear about, you know, gas stations. There are people in the industry; there are other people that don't like the fact

that there are more and more gas stations that have beer and wine. The Legislature specifically and explicitly spoke on this not even a year ago. That was combined, for the first time, with a cap on beer and wine retail licenses. We are limited by what the Constitution tells us we can do and by what the statute tells us we can do. If the Legislature wishes to weigh in on these issues, that is their prerogative. We will comment when we can. I always try to make it a point when it comes to things such as increasing activity, whether it is licensing or expanding the ability for licensees to do certain things with their licenses, I always try to make sure that we provide balanced information for an educated decision, but of course, the decision is ultimately theirs when it comes to allowing for more licenses. So there have been times where it is frustrating making sure that we are sticking to what the statute allows and disallows, but that is our job and we will continue to do If people want to say that they don't like a certain law, that is fine to do so, but we are not the ones to speak to about that. It is the Legislature.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

So with that, I will get off my soap box and I do want and I will take this time to extend the very best holiday wishes to all of you, to your families, to the people you work with, whether you are an industry or work with a public health organization, I wish you a very successful and

- 1 healthy and happy 2018.
- 2 Any comments that my fellow Commissioners wish to
- 3 make? Commissioner Olshove?
- 4 COMMISSIONER OLSHOVE: First of all, I would like 5 to wish you all a happy, safe holiday. I have heard over the 6 years that prior Commissions required or asked people to sing
- 7 Christmas carols during the meetings. Is that true? Anybody
- 8 here do that?
- 9 MR. NUNN: Never heard that one.
- 10 COMMISSIONER OLSHOVE: I am not going to ask
- 11 anybody to do that.
- MR. ORMOND: It is true.
- 13 CHAIRMAN OLSHOVE: But I would ask you all in the
 14 audience to take time to turn around and look at the folks in
 15 the back of the room. Those are the folks back there, our
 16 staff and the staff upstairs, that make things work here. I
 17 mean, Commissioners can be this; Commissioners can be that,
 18 but we are only as good as our staff so I thank them and you
- 19 should thank them.
- CHAIRMAN DELONEY: Well said, Commissioner Olshove;
- 21 well said. Commissioner Jacobsen?
- 22 COMMISSIONER JACOBSEN: Thank you. I am finishing
- 23 up my first nine months here. I started back in June, after
- the appointment from the Governor and I have had the
- opportunity to work with Mr. Brown and Mr. Carlin on the

other side of the table from me during hearings -- violation hearings -- and found them to be honorable and sources of information when we are not talking about their case. would also like to echo Dennis' comments about the staff here. For coming in, knowing virtually nothing about liquor law, but being a long time small business owner and former legislator, they have been a wealth of information and always willing to provide any information I might ask for so thank you very much for all of you bringing me in and helping my transition into this position, which I find fascinating and enjoyable, if that is possible. I always wonder if the -- at the end of my hearings, I thank the licensee for being there and I said the other day, it sounds funny thanking them for being here at a violation hearing, but it has been an enjoyable nine months and I am looking forward to more years to come. Thank you, all.

1

2.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN DELONEY: Commissioner Quimby?

18 COMMISSIONER QUIMBY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

First of all, thank you for everybody that took time out of their schedules, especially on such a wintery day to drive in from wherever you came in from today.

In regard to the comment that Mr. Ormond made earlier regarding staff, one of the first thoughts I think we had, Mr. Chairman, was an impression that staff really wanted to deliver a high level of customer service to people who

walked in, phoned in, emailed in and from the six-and-a-half years that we have been here, it has been great to see how much staff is constantly looking at what they are doing; why they are doing it and proposing a lot of changes to us and it has just been great. So everybody has a part in this -- I don't want to call it system -- but everything to do with alcoholic beverages, whether you make it; you distribute it; you sell it, or any other interest, everybody has their part. When all the parts work together, then the system works well as a whole. So thank you to everybody.

2.

2.0

CHAIRMAN DELONEY: You know, to echo the comments from all three of you regarding our staff team, I know that of the five Commissioners, four of us were formerly in a position where we advised policy makers and of course, Brad, I don't think you were ever on a staff role, but you know, Commissioner Clemente, he was; Commissioner Olshove, you were and Commissioner Quimby, you were and of course, I was. And one of the things that we all knew was that it was our job to make sure that the policy maker -- the decision maker -- had the information they needed to make an informed decision; that whatever that decision was that the policy maker made, whether it was a vote on a bill in the Legislature or, you know, a vote to approve a license or a vote on a declaratory ruling or what have you, here, it was the job of the staff to make sure that they had information to make the informed

| 1 | decision. And at the end of the day, if they agreed with |
|----|---|
| 2 | that decision or not, they know they could go home and say I |
| 3 | did my job. It is the boss' obligation to be accountable for |
| 4 | the decisions they made. And that is one of the things that |
| 5 | I enjoy the most, whether it is a licensing meeting or |
| 6 | another meeting, when we have got staff around the table who |
| 7 | know that they can say, there is something here that I think |
| 8 | you need to be aware of; to make sure that we have all the |
| 9 | information; to make sure that we have facts that we can base |
| 10 | our decisions on and that is critically important to what we |
| 11 | do. If it is approving a license; if it is denying a |
| 12 | license; if it is issuing a declaratory ruling or doing an |
| 13 | administrative order, making sure that we have the facts to |
| 14 | make the decisions is the most important thing and that is |
| 15 | what our staff does for us each and every single day and I |
| 16 | can't echo my fellow commissioners' comments enough. So |
| 17 | thank you for that. |
| | |

So with that, that is all the comments that we have for this Semi-Annual Public Hearing. At this time, I will entertain a Motion to Adjourn.

- 21 COMMISSION JACOBSEN: So moved.
- 22 COMMISSIONER QUIMBY: Support.

18

19

20

23 CHAIRMAN DELONEY: Moved by Commissioner Jacobsen; 24 supported by Commissioner Quimby. Is there any further 25 discussion? Hearing none, all in favor will indicate by

| 1 | saying aye. |
|----|---|
| 2 | COMMISSIONER QUIMBY: Aye. |
| 3 | COMMISSIONER OLSHOVE: Aye. |
| 4 | COMMISSIONER JACOBSEN: Aye. |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN DELONEY: Aye. The ayes have it. We are |
| 6 | adjourned. |
| 7 | (Hearing concluded at about 10:54 a.m.) |
| 8 | * * * |
| 9 | I HEREBY CERTIFY that I reported stenographically, |
| 10 | the foregoing testimony and proceedings on the date and place |
| 11 | hereinbefore set forth; that the same was later reduced to |
| 12 | typewritten form, and that the foregoing is a true, full and |
| 13 | correct transcript of my stenographic notes so taken. |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | Lori K. DeClercq, CSR-3053 |
| 17 | Dated: February 8, 2018 |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |