

GRETCHEN WHITMER
GOVERNOR

# STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS LANSING

ORLENE HAWKS DIRECTOR

## MICHIGAN BOARD OF COUNSELING DECEMBER 13, 2019 MEETING

### **APPROVED MINUTES**

In accordance with the Open Meetings Act, 1976 PA 267, as amended, the Michigan Board of Counseling met on December 13, 2019, at 611 West Ottawa Street, Upper Level Conference Room 4, Lansing, Michigan 48933.

#### **CALL TO ORDER**

Robyn Emde, Ph.D., L.P.C., Chairperson, called the meeting to order at 10:08 a.m.

#### **ROLL CALL**

Members Present: Robyn Emde, Ph.D., L.P.C., Chairperson

Harold Love, M.A., L.P.C., Vice-Chairperson

Mary Billman, Public Member Katie Bozek, Ph.D., L.M.F.T. Stephen Craig, Ph.D., L.P.C. Charles Hughes, L.P.C, N.C.C Walter Harper, Public Member

Gerald Papazian, C.A.A.D.C., L.P.C.

Members Absent: Janet Glaes, Ph.D., L.P.C

Harold Koviak, Public Member Jana Simmons, Public Member

Staff Present: Kimmy Catlin, Board Support, Boards and Committees Section

Weston MacIntosh, Senior Policy Analyst, Boards and Committees Section

Bridget Smith, Assistant Attorney General,

## APPROVAL OF AGENDA

MOTION by Bozek, seconded by Love, to approve the agenda as presented.

A voice vote followed.

**MOTION PREVAILED** 

Michigan Board of Counseling Meeting Minutes December 13, 2019 Page 2 of 5

#### APPROVAL OF MINUTES

MOTION by Hughes, seconded by Craig, to approve the minutes from November 8, 2019, as presented.

A voice vote followed.

MOTION PREVAILED

#### **REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS**

None

#### **OLD BUSINESS**

## Tabled Applicants for NON-CACREP/CORE Educational Program Review

MOTION by Hughes, seconded by Bozek, to untable the following applicants:

Megan Byars April Lee Kathryn Hoover

A voice vote followed.

MOTION PREVAILED

## Megan Byars

MOTION by Hughes, seconded by Bozek, to approve the educational component of the application for licensure.

A roll call vote followed: Yeas – Billman, Bozek, Craig, Harper, Hughes,

Papazian, Love, Emde

Nays - None

#### MOTION PREVAILED

## **April Lee**

MOTION by Bozek, seconded by Hughes, to approve the educational component of the application for licensure.

Michigan Board of Counseling Meeting Minutes December 13, 2019 Page 3 of 5

A roll call vote followed: Yeas – Billman, Bozek, Craig, Harper, Hughes,

Papazian, Love, Emde

Nays - None

#### MOTION PREVAILED

## Kathryn Hoover

MOTION by Bozek, seconded by Craig, to deny the educational component of the application for licensure because the applicant does not have a master's or doctoral degree in a program that consists of not less than 48 semester hours or 72 quarter hours in counseling related topics

A roll call vote followed: Yeas – Billman, Bozek, Craig, Harper, Hughes,

Papazian, Love

Nays – None Recuse – Emde

MOTION PREVAILED

#### **NEW BUSINESS**

## New Applicants for Non-CACREP/CORE Educational Program Review

## **Brittany Sheehy**

MOTION by Bozek, seconded by Craig, to table the review of the educational component of the application for licensure, to receive additional information from the applicant and the applicant's school that clarifies how the program meets the graduate coursework content requirement in the area of consulting, internship, and practicum.

A roll call vote followed: Yeas – Billman, Bozek, Craig, Harper, Hughes,

Papazian, Love, Emde

Nays - None

#### MOTION PREVAILED

MOTION by Craig, seconded by Bozek, to approve the educational component of the application for licensure for the following applicants:

Stephanie Duisterhof Deborah Kelly Michigan Board of Counseling Meeting Minutes December 13, 2019 Page 4 of 5

A roll call vote followed: Yeas – Billman, Bozek, Craig, Harper, Hughes,

Papazian, Love, Emde

Nays - None

MOTION PREVAILED

## **Reconsidered Applicants**

None

## **Rules Committee Update**

MacIntosh updated the Board on the progress of the draft rules.

Papazian inquired about what happens to applicants who apply before the new legislation becomes effective, but before any revised rules are promulgated.

MacIntosh stated that if an applicant applied prior to the effective date of the new legislation, they would be evaluated under the old statute and rule requirements. If they apply after the new legislation is effective, but before any revised rules are promulgated, the new legislation/statutes would supersede in any conflict with the current rules.

## **Chair Report**

Emde thanked the Department for their work and thanked the Board's committee members.

Love thanked Emde for all her work.

## **Department Update**

MacIntosh announced that Debra Gagliardi has been named Director of the Bureau of Professional Licensing.

MacIntosh announced that the department will be providing another board training on February 27, 2020, and all members are welcome to attend.

MacIntosh informed the Board that communication by email will only occur through state email addresses in order to comply with Governor Whitmer's executive directive. Additionally, it was announced that due to security concerns, badges will be deactivated and must be returned.

#### **PUBLIC COMMENT**

Michigan Board of Counseling Meeting Minutes December 13, 2019 Page 5 of 5

James Blundo, L.P.C., Executive Director of MMHCA, introduced himself to the Board and gave a position statement about the rules. (See addendum #1).

#### **ANNOUNCEMENTS**

The next regularly scheduled meeting will be held on January 17, 2020, 10:00 a.m. at 611 West Ottawa Street, Upper Level Conference Room 4, Lansing, Michigan 48933.

#### **ADJOURNMENT**

MOTION by Love, seconded by Bozek, to adjourn the meeting at 10:34 a.m.

A voice vote followed.

MOTION PREVAILED

Minutes approved by the Board on January 17, 2020. Prepared By:
Kimmy Catlin, Board Support
Bureau of Professional Licensing

December 13, 2019



## Michigan Mental Health Counselors Association

Michigan Mental Health Counselors Association P.O. Box 80036 · Rochester, Michigan 48308 www.mmhca.org

December 12, 2019

#### MMHCA POSITION STATEMENT ON RULES

#### REMOTE OR DISTANCE SUPERVISION

#### MMHCA's POSITION

MMHCA is concerned about the ambiguity created by removing the words "immediate" and "physical" prior to "presence of the supervisor" in Rule 338.1774 (1) (b) (i) and (l). We encourage the Board to be more specific to avoid confusion about what this requirement means.

MMHCA supports allowing half of the required hours of supervision in the presence supervisor to be remote under certain circumstances. First, the Board needs to clearly define what qualifies as remote or tele-supervision. Second, MMHCA only supports allowing any remote supervision if the following requirements are also added:

LLPCs who acquire their supervised experience in Michigan must be supervised by a Michigan licensed LPC supervisor who is practicing in Michigan and who conducts the supervision consistent with Michigan law.

LLPCs who acquire their supervised experience in another state must be supervised by an LPC who meets all the requirements to be a supervisor in Michigan and who conducts the supervision consistent with Michigan law.

#### **RATIONALE**

There are now national organizations which create platforms to provide tele-supervision. In reviewing one such organization, it was discovered that most of those individuals purporting to meet requirements to supervise in Michigan listed credentials which are not valid in Michigan. Michigan Board of Counseling Administrative Rules require the potential supervisor to provide a statement in writing "that addresses the licensee's supervising qualifications, including how the licensee complies with the requirements in sub rules (1) and (2) of R 338.1757." On the platform reviewed, none of the biographical statements provided by those

purporting to qualify to be supervisors in Michigan met this requirement. We need to protect the public by ensuring the quality of the supervision provided to Michigan LLPCs as well as to ensure that when LLPCs apply for licensure, their supervision meets Michigan requirements.

A similar concern has proven true with supervisor training itself. For some time, there have been concerns about individuals who are not licensed in Michigan coming here to do supervisor training. One such individual claims to present requirements of Michigan law, but has taught potential supervisors' incorrect information (such as diagnosis is not in the practice of counseling or that there are restrictions on testing practice that in fact do not exist). As you can imagine, this information has been damaging to the profession as well as to the public. We do not want to create another such situation with supervision itself.

The above situations have caused MMHCA to be concerned about allowing all the supervision that an LLPC practicing in Michigan receives to be remote. It is important that a supervisor meet all Michigan requirements to supervise as well as to be acquainted with Michigan licensing law and other Michigan laws to appropriately supervise and advise a supervisee for licensure in Michigan. We want to ensure that allowing current technology to make obtaining supervision easier for Michigan LLPC's does not negatively impact the quality and integrity of that supervision. We believe that MMHCA's proposed language ensures exactly that.

## **EXPERIENCE FOR SUPERVISION**

#### MMHCA's POSITION

MMHCA supports the current requirement of three years of post-degree experience in the practice of counseling to supervise LLPCs. We oppose changing this requirement to say that this experience must be as a fully licensed LPC, because to do so is not consistent with national standards for the profession.

We would also support changing this requirement to five years of post-degree experience in the practice of counseling to reflect current ACS requirements. We do not support requiring that any part of this experience be as a fully licensed LPC. We also support saying that anyone with the ACS credential meets requirements to supervise in Michigan.

To require that any part of this experience be as a fully licensed LPC would make our requirements inconsistent with national standards and would negatively impact portability. It would create confusion and inconsistency within our rules themselves because not everyone holding the ACS credential, which is the national standard, would meet our requirements in Michigan.

#### **RATIONALE**

We do not support creating requirements for supervisor training that exceed national standards. A supervisor who meets national standards (ACS) should be eligible to supervise in Michigan. If the Board requires three years of experience as a fully licensed counselor, it is possible that someone meeting national standards would not meet Michigan standards. We

have not become aware of any issues with inappropriate supervision based on the current standards which simply require three years of practice in counseling, so there might not be a demonstrated need to change the standard. However, if the Board feels that they need to change this requirement, MMHCA strongly recommends that they adapt the current standards for ACS which require five years of practice in counseling. (The ACS standards also have a requirement concerning the number of hours of practice that must met during the five years, which the Board could include or not.) For portability reasons, MMHCA strongly recommends that the Board not adopt standards which exceed ACS requirements. We believe that it would be highly inappropriate for a supervisor meeting the highest standards for our profession to be ineligible to supervise in Michigan.

Sincerely,

James Blundo, MA, LPC

MMHCA Executive Director

248-930-0644

www.mmhca.org

jamesblundo@att.net