

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS  
CORPORATIONS, SECURITIES, AND COMMERCIAL LICENSING BUREAU

DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND  
REGULATORY AFFAIRS,  
CORPORATIONS, SECURITIES &  
COMMERCIAL LICENSING BUREAU,

Complaint No. 341499

Complainant,

v

CENTURION SECURITY CONCEPTS LLC  
Security Guard Agency  
License No. 38-01-205395

Respondent.

---

ORDER OF SUMMARY SUSPENSION

1. A Formal Complaint (Complaint) was filed against the above referenced Respondent in accordance with the Private Security Business and Security Alarms Act, (the “Act”), MCL 338.1051 *et seq.*, and the Administrative Procedures Act, (the “APA”), MCL 24.201 *et seq.*
2. Section 92(2) of the APA, MCL 24.292(2), provides the Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs, Corporations, Securities & Commercial Licensing Bureau (the “Department”), the authority to summarily suspend a license or registration if it finds that the public health, safety, or welfare requires emergency action.
3. Based on Respondent’s actions, as detailed in paragraphs 5-12 of the Complaint, the Department finds that the public health, safety, or welfare requires emergency action.

IT IS NOW ORDERED THAT:

- A. Respondent’s license in the State of Michigan is summarily suspended beginning on the mailing date of this Order.

- B. Respondent must not engage in activities requiring a license under the Act while its license is suspended, or it faces the possibility of a criminal referral to the appropriate law enforcement agency for a felony violation that carries a penalty of imprisonment for not more than 4 years or a fine of not more than \$1,000.00, or both under MCL 338.1053(3).
- C. Upon receipt of documentary proof that Respondent holds a valid bond or policy of insurance in the amounts specified in MCL 338.1059(4), the Department will lift the summary suspension imposed against its license.

Before continuing to suspend its license, Respondent must be given an opportunity to show compliance with all lawful requirements for the retention of its license, under section 92(1) of the APA, MCL 24.292(1). A request for a compliance conference must be submitted within fifteen (15) calendar days after the mailing date of this Order and filed with the Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs, Corporations, Securities & Commercial Licensing Bureau, Regulatory Compliance Division, P.O. Box 30018, Lansing, MI 48909.

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING  
AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS

BY Benjamin Parker  
Benjamin Parker, Interim Licensing Division Director  
Corporations, Securities & Commercial Licensing Bureau

Dated: January 9, 2020

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS  
CORPORATIONS, SECURITIES & COMMERCIAL LICENSING BUREAU

DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND  
REGULATORY AFFAIRS,  
CORPORATIONS, SECURITIES &  
COMMERCIAL LICENSING BUREAU,

Complaint No. 341499

Complainant,

v

CENTURION SECURITY CONCEPTS LLC  
Security Guard Agency  
License No. 38-01-205395

Respondent.

---

FORMAL COMPLAINT

The Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs, Corporations, Securities & Commercial Licensing Bureau (the "Department"), Complainant, alleges as follows, under the Private Security Business and Security Alarms Act, (the "Act"), MCL 338.1051 *et seq.*

1. Centurion Security Concepts LLC ("Respondent"), has, at all times relevant to this Complaint, been licensed as a Security Guard Agency under the Act.
2. A Complaint against Respondent, alleging a violation of the Act, was filed with the Department.

3. MCL 338.1060(1) provides as follows:

The department may revoke any license issued under this act if it determines, upon good cause shown, that the licensee . . . has done any of the following:

\* \* \*

- (b) Violated any provision of this act.

4. MCL 338.1064(4) provides as follows:

The department may suspend a license issued under this act if the licensee fails to comply with any of the requirements of this act. Unless a license is required to be revoked for a violation of this act, the department shall reinstate a suspended

license upon the licensee complying with this act and the licensee paying a \$100.00 reinstatement fee.

5. MCL 338.1059(4) states the following, in relevant part, regarding an applicant for a security guard agency or security alarm license under the Act:

The department shall not issue a license under this act unless the applicant provides the department a bond in the principal amount of \$25,000.00. . . . In lieu of a bond, an applicant may furnish a policy of insurance issued by an insurer authorized to do business in this state that names the licensee and the state as coinsureds in the amount of \$25,000.00 for property damages, \$100,000.00 for injury to or death of 1 person, and \$200,000.00 for injuries to or deaths of more than 1 person arising out of the operation of the licensed activity. . . .

6. On or about July 21, 2019, the Department received notice from Travelers Casualty and Surety Company of America that Respondent's Surety Bond would be cancelled, effective July 21, 2019. A copy of this notice is attached as Exhibit 1.

7. On August 9, 2019, the Department sent a Surety Bond Cancellation Notice to Respondent requesting that Respondent provide the Department with proof of reinstatement of the bond, a replacement surety bond, or a certificate of liability insurance with an effective date on or before July 21, 2019, within 30 days of the date of the letter. Respondent failed to provide the Department with any of the requested documents. A copy of this notice is attached as Exhibit 2.

8. On September 24, 2019, after Respondent failed to reply to the August 9, 2019 notice, the Department sent additional written notification to respondent. Attached as Exhibit 3 is a copy of this correspondence.

9. On October 25, 2019 the Department made phone contact with Respondent, and Respondent claimed that its bond would be reinstated by October 28, 2019.

10. To date, Respondent has not provided the Department proof that it maintains a Surety Bond or Policy of Insurance that complies with the Act

11. Respondent continued to engage in activities regulated by the Act without a valid bond or policy of insurance on file with the Department, contrary to MCL 338.1059(4).

12. Based upon the conduct referenced above, Respondent acted contrary to MCL 338.1059(4) constituting grounds for the assessment of a penalty, as defined in MCL 338.1060(1)(b) and MCL 338.1064(4).

The Department is commencing proceedings under the Act and the Michigan Administrative Procedures Act, MCL 24.201 *et seq.*, to determine whether disciplinary action should be taken by the Department for the reasons set forth above.

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND  
REGULATORY AFFAIRS

BY Benjamin Parker  
Benjamin Parker, Interim Licensing Division Director  
Corporations, Securities & Commercial Licensing Bureau

Dated: January 9, 2020

Responsive Pleadings Should Be Filed With:

Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs  
Corporations, Securities & Commercial Licensing Bureau  
Regulatory Compliance Division  
P.O. Box 30018  
Lansing, MI 48909