## MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH CERTIFICATE OF NEED (CON) COMMISSION MEETING

Thursday June 9, 2011

Capitol View Building 201 Townsend Street MDCH Conference Center Lansing, Michigan 48913

#### **APPROVED MINUTES**

#### I. Call to Order & Introductions

Introductions were made by Ms. Dazzo, Mr. Howd, Dr. Cowling, and Mr. Barnett.

Chairperson Falahee called the meeting to order @ 9:36 a.m.

#### A. Members Present:

James B. Falahee, Jr., JD, Chairperson Edward B. Goldman, Vice-Chairperson Bradley Cory Kathleen Cowling, DO Charles Gayney Robert Hughes Marc Keshishian, MD Brian Klott Gay L. Landstrom, RN Michael A. Sandler, MD

#### B. Members Absent:

Suresh Mukherji, MD

#### C. Department of Attorney General Staff:

Ray Howd

#### D. Michigan Department of Community Health Staff Present:

Olga Dazzo Melanie Brim Jessica Austin Lonnie Barnett Tulika Bhattacharya Sallie Flanders Larry Horvath Natalie Kellogg Joette Laseur Tania Rodriguez Brenda Rogers

#### II. Review of Agenda

Motion by Commissioner Keshishian and seconded by Commissioner Hughes to accept the agenda as presented. Motion Carried.

#### III. Declaration of Conflicts of Interest

Chairperson Falahee provided a brief overview of conflicts of interest. None were noted.

#### IV. Review of Minutes

Motion by Commissioner Gayney and seconded by Commissioner Landstrom to accept the minutes as presented from the March 24, 2011 meeting. Motion Carried.

#### V. Computed Tomography (CT) - Public Hearing Comments

Ms. Rogers gave a brief summary of the comments submitted for the April Public Hearing (see attachment A).

#### A. Public Comment:

Dr. Charles Bill, Sparrow Hospital (see attachment B)

#### **B.** Commission Discussion

Discussion followed.

#### C. Commission Final Action

Motion by Commissioner Sandler and seconded by Commissioner Cory to approve the proposed amendment and move the language forward to a public hearing and the Joint Legislative Committee (JLC). Motion Carried in a vote of 10- Yes, 0- No, 0- Abstained.

# VI. Cardiac Catheterization Standard Advisory Committee (CCSAC) Report

Dr. Eagle, CCSAC Chairperson, gave a written and verbal report of the CCSAC's findings (see attachments C & D).

#### A. Review of Proposed Language

Ms. Rogers provided a written summary and verbal overview of the proposed changes made to the CC language (see attachments E & F).

Break @ 10:57a.m.-11:19 a.m.

#### **B. Public Comment:**

Dr. Steve Harrington, Henry Ford Macomb

Craig Banasial, Chrysler Group

Bart Buxton, Lapeer Regional Medical Center

Marsha Manning, General Motors Corp. (see attachment G)

Dr. Mike Jaggi, Hurley Hospital (see attachment H)

Dennis McCafferty, Economic Alliance of Michigan

Dr. Douglas Weaver, Henry Ford Health Systems

Dr. Richard McNamara, West Michigan Heart

Dr. Lawrence Patzett, West Michigan Cardiothoracic Surgeons (see attachment I)

Dr. Georges Ghafarin, Beaumont Hospital Grosse Pointe

Dr. Emmanuel Papasavakis, Garden City Hospital

Susan Heck, Corazon for Hurley Hospital (see attachment J)

Deidre Wilson, Blue Cross Blue Shield

Eric McBride, Oakwood Healthcare Inc.

Robert Meeker, Spectrum Health

#### C. Commission Discussion

Discussion followed.

#### **D. Commission Proposed Action**

Mr. Howd agreed to research the auto revoke language within the CC standards to legally define the impact it will have on the Department's capabilities of enforcement.

Motion by Commissioner Sandler and seconded by Commissioner Landstrom to send the proposed language, including the two amendments that were discussed (ref. line 414 & 890) to public

hearing and the JLC. Motion Failed in a vote of 5- Yes, 5- No, and 0-Abstained.

Motion by Vice-Chairperson Goldman and seconded by Commissioner Sandler requesting that the Department draft language striking the de-coupling language in Section 3(5), and amend the standards to preclude the elective PCI without on-site surgical back-up. Motion Carried in a vote of 7- Yes, 3- No, 0-Abstained.

Motion by Commissioner Sandler and seconded by Commissioner Landstrom to revisit the elective PCI without on-site surgical back-up (de-coupling language) when the ACC guidelines are published. Motion Failed in a vote of 5- Yes, 4-No, 1- Abstained.

Break @ 1:48 p.m. - 2:02 p.m.

#### VII. Megavoltage Radiation Therapy (MRT)

#### A. Review of Proposed Language

Mr. Horvath gave a brief overview of the changes to the proposed language (see attachments K & L).

#### **B. Public Comment**

Bob Meeker, Spectrum Health Andrew Teresi, DMC

#### C. Commission Discussion

Discussion followed.

#### **D. Commission Proposed Action**

Motion by Commissioner Sandler and seconded by Commissioner Gayney to move the proposed language forward to public hearing and the JLC. Motion Carried in a vote of 7- Yes, 3- No, 0-Abstained.

## VIII. Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) Services- Intra-Operative MRI (iMRI)

#### A. Review of Proposed Language

Ms. Rogers gave a brief overview of the changes to the iMRI proposed language within the standards (see attachment M).

#### **B. Public Comment**

None

#### C. Commission Discussion

None.

#### **D. Commission Proposed Action**

Motion by Vice-Chairperson Goldman and seconded by Commissioner Cory to approve the proposed language and move it forward to public hearing and the JLC. Motion Carried in a vote of 8-Yes, 0- No, and 0- Abstained.

#### IX. Positron Emission Tomography (PET)

#### A. Review of Proposed Language

Ms. Rogers gave a brief overview of the changes to the proposed language (see attachments N & O).

#### **B. Public Comment**

None

#### C. Commission Discussion

None

#### **D. Commission Proposed Action**

Motion by Commissioner Keshishian and seconded by Commissioner Sandler to approve the proposed language and move it forward to public hearing and the JLC. Motion Carried in a vote of 8-Yes, 0- No, and 0- Abstained.

## X. Standing New Medical Technology Advisory Committee (NEWTAC)

Commissioner Keshishian advised no NEWTAC meetings have been held.

#### XI. Legislative Report

Mr. Barnett gave a verbal legislative report.

#### XII. Administrative Update

#### A. Health policy Section Update

Mr. Barnett gave a brief administrative update.

Ms. Rogers gave a brief Health Policy update.

#### **B. CON Evaluation Section Update**

#### 1. Compliance Report (Written Report)

Mr. Horvath gave a summary of the Compliance Report (see attachment P).

#### 2. Quarterly Performance Measures (Written Report)

Mr. Horvath gave a summary of the Quarterly Report (see attachment Q).

- 3. Web Site Update
- 4. 2011 CON Seminar
- 5. 2010 Annual Survey
- 6. Mapping Update

On behalf of the Commission, Chairperson Falahee thanked Mr. Horvath for his work.

#### XIII. Legal Activity Report

Mr. Howd provided a summary of the legal activity report (see attachment R).

#### **XIV.** Future Meeting Dates

- A. September 22, 2011
- B. December 15, 2011

#### XV. Public Comment

Dennis McCafferty, EAM

#### XVI. Review of Commission Work Plan

#### A. Commission Discussion

Ms. Rogers gave a brief summary of the drafted work plan for the Commission (see attachment S).

Discussion followed, and it was decided to add Open Heart Surgery to the September 22, 2011 meeting agenda.

#### **B.** Commission Action

Motion by Vice-Chairperson Goldman and seconded by Commissioner Sandler to accept the draft work plan as amended. Motion Carried.

### XVII. Adjournment

Motion by Commissioner Sandler and seconded by Commissioner Klott to adjourn the meeting @ 2:39 p.m. Motion Carried.

# Michigan Department of Community Health (MDCH or Department) MEMORANDUM Lansing, MI

Date: May 16, 2011

TO: Brenda Rogers

FROM: Natalie Kellogg

RE: Summary of Public Hearing Comments on Computed Tomography

(CT) Services Standards and MDCH Policy Staff Analysis

#### **Public Hearing Testimony**

Pursuant to MCL 333.22215 (3), the Certificate of Need (CON) Commission "...shall conduct a public hearing on its proposed action." The Commission took proposed action on the CT Standards at its March 24, 2011 meeting. Accordingly, the Department held a Public Hearing to receive testimony on the proposed CT Standards on April 26, 2011. Written testimony was accepted for an additional 7 days after the hearing via an electronic link on the Commission's website. Testimony was received from one organization and is summarized as follows:

Melissa Cupp, Wiener Associates

Sparrow Hospital supports the continued regulation of CT services but has some concerns about the portable CT pilot provisions proposed within the standards. Sparrow expressed concern over the project delivery requirements that limit the use of a portable CT to the ICU. Sparrow insists that they could clearly purchase 2 separate, yet identical portable CT units and meet all CON requirements, but clearly it makes most economic sense for the hospital to purchase1 unit that can be utilized in both the ICU and the OR.

#### Staff Analysis and Recommendations

The Department has no position to adding language that would allow the use of a portable CT in an OR. The Department supports the CON Commission's March 24, 2011 proposed action as written or modified if the Commission so chooses.

#### **Sparrow Amendment to CT Standards**

Amend Project Delivery Requirements as follows:

- (5) An applicant approved under Section 13 shall be in compliance with the following:
- (A) PORTABLE CT SCANNER CAN ONLY BE USED BY A QUALIFYING PILOT PROGRAM FOR THE FOLLOWING PURPOSES:
- (I) BRAIN SCANNING OF PATIENTS BEING TREATED IN AN ADULT OR PEDIATRIC INTENSIVE CARE UNITS (ICU).
- (II) NON-DIAGNOSTIC, INTRA-OPERATIVE GUIDANCE IN AN OPERATING ROOM.

#### Chair Comments to the CON Commission

#### Prepared by Kim A. Eagle, MD

- 1. Population of Michigan is shrinking
- 2. Through prevention efforts and better medical treatments, the need for coronary revascularization is going down
- 3. Access is not a major issue with the vast majority of Michigan's residents
- 4. The SAC was nearly unanimous on its recommendations for:
  - Cardiac Cath Services should continue to be regulated
  - Methodology for determining procedure equivalents should be simplified
  - Counting procedures to meet minimum volume requirements
  - Agreement to adjust minimum annual volume requirements for PCI
  - How to add new therapeutic procedures to the mix of volume requirements
  - The SAC worked with the MDCH staff to clarify issues regarding replace vs. upgrade activities and supports the proposed language
- 5. The SAC was essentially split equally regarding the possibility of changing the standards to allow hospitals to perform elective PCI in the absence of on-site cardiac surgery backup.
  The "Pro" side of this debate included representatives from seven health systems:
  - Botsford Hospital
  - Detroit Medical Center
  - Garden City Hospital
  - Henry Ford Health System

- Hurley Medical Center
- Metro Health
- Trinity Health

This coalition hired a consulting agency, brought in an "expert" physician to argue their side of the debate, and approached SAC committee members off line to argue their cause, and assess where the committee stood on this issue. In a surprise move, at the SAC's third meeting, before the SAC had an opportunity to fully assess the data, a member of the SAC (employed by a Health System in the coalition) called for an early vote to approve in principle elective PCI without surgical back-up. It was clear that this vote was encouraged by the coalition because it felt confident that it had the needed votes to pass. A heated debate was followed by a vote...10 to 9, in favor. Every member of the SAC employed by 1 of the health system coalition members voted in favor. The difficulty with this approach was that it seemed to be driven by a pre-conceived decision rather than being the outcome of discussion following analysis of the data.

Meanwhile, the Economic Alliance was communicating with SAC Committee members and was against the proposed plan. Similarly, the state's Society of Thoracic Surgery weighed in against the proposal (Attachment A). When the committee revoted on the question of allowing elective PCI without surgical backup, the vote was 9 in favor, 8 against. The chair was not able to attend that meeting but would have voted against if he had been there. Essentially, the committee is evenly divided on this issue. The arguments for and against this idea are listed below:

Elective PCI without Surgical	Elective PCI without Surgical
Backup should be allowed	backup should NOT be allowed
• Most US states now allow this	• Michigan already has many low volume operators – this provision will encourage more
• It could improve geographic	• Expansion of therapeutic catheterization
access to elective PCI	services will increase inappropriate procedures,
	increase costs and reduce overall quality of care
• With proper credentialing, monitoring, audit, and oversight, it should be safe	• With overall PCI rates going down, there is no need for expansion
• It will help some health systems to	• The state lacks the infrastructure and will to
regionalize care nearer to patients	close under performing programs
they serve	
• Some borderline volume cardiac surgery programs might close – a theoretic cost	

The final language in the SAC document includes the provision for this possibility (elective PCI without onsite cardiac surgery) but with suggestions for monitoring and recommendations about closing programs that fail to reach safety, quality, volume, or appropriateness benchmarks. The recommended quality, safety, volume, and appropriateness oversight is consistent with the activities of New York State, which has a very tightly controlled program.

improvement

In order to provide the CON Commission with even more granular thoughts and concerns about therapeutic PCI without onsite cardiac surgery, the chair surveyed the feelings of each member, asking for them to vote on what this might mean to access, safety, quality, cost, and appropriateness of coronary care for the citizens of Michigan. Also, he asked for committee members to share individual comments about this. The responses were tabulated by staff members of the state. These are summarized in Attachment B.



Eric C. Hanson, MD, FACS President

Timothy L. Sell, MD, FACS President-Elect

Theodore J. Boeve, MD, FACS Secretary-Treasurer

J. Charles Heiser, MD, FACS Immediate Past President & MSMS Committee on Health Care Quality, Efficiency and Economics

Alphonse Delucia, III, MD, FACS Councilor

Harold L. Gallick, MD, FACS Councilor

C. Douglas Lees, MD, FACS Councilor

Shahrokh Mansoori, MD, FACS Councilor

Luigi Maresca, MD, FACS Councilor

James R. Martin, MD, FACS

Brad E. Vazales, MD, FACS Councilor

ANNUAL APPOINTMENTS

Joseph S. Bassett, MD, FACS Senior Councilor

Richard L. Prager, MD, FACS Peer Review and Quality Initiative Chair

Allen Silbergleit, MD, FACS Historian & MSMS Delegate

Frederick R. Armenti, MD, FACS Representative to MSMS Committee on Health Care Quality March 30, 2011

Kim Eagle, M.D. Albion Walter Hewlett Professor of Internal Medicine Director, Cardiovascular Center CVC 2135-B, SPC 5852 1500 E. Medical Center Drive Ann Arbor, MI 48109

Dear Dr. Eagle:

The Board of the Michigan Society of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgeons recently discussed the meetings of the Angioplasty SAC. We recognize the complex realities of this issue and appreciate the opportunity to provide comments.

As your committee is aware there are data describing the modest improvement in geographic access when primary PCI was expanded to hospitals in Michigan without onsite cardiac surgery. One could certainly infer that access for elective PCI in the state of Michigan is excellent.

As you may know as well, there are CHRT data<sup>2</sup> for angiography, PCI, and coronary artery bypass in the state of Michigan noting significant regional variation that currently occurs in our state. One could conclude from these data that we have significant variation in approaches and procedures at major centers currently and increasing centers could further challenge our understanding of appropriate approaches to patients with ischemic heart disease. With this background, our Society believes that the SAC discussion should be a very broad one.

On March 5 there was a meeting of Cardiac Surgeons and Interventional Cardiologists representing the statewide MSTCVS Quality Collaborative and the BMC<sup>2</sup> Quality Collaborative. The purpose of this all day meeting was to review approaches to ischemic heart disease patients ranging from optimal medical therapy to percutaneous approaches, as well as operative approaches. These discussions generated the concept of a "heart team" format for patients with ischemic heart disease at hospitals in Michigan. The concept of a "heart team" recognizes the importance of communication between the various specialists that deal with ischemic heart disease patients creating collaborative decision making to provide optimal care for the patients. Approval of PCI without site Cardiac Surgery challenges these collaborative, thoughtful approaches.

On the national level, as you know, there has been considerable discussion about collaboration as well as improved "informed consent" for patients and our Society believes that a broad review and discussion of a patient's presentation and the amount of ischemia and anatomy is both appropriate and provides a balanced discussion. The recently published European "Guidelines on Myocardial revascularization" which we believe are excellent, specifically note that surgical

consultation should be obtained prior to PCI. Furthermore, recent analysis of CMS billing data confirms that PCI volume has begun to decrease, challenging the rationale for creating more access.<sup>4</sup>

There is an opportunity in Michigan to lead the country in approaching patients with cardiac disease in a collaborative fashion offering the optimal approach to all our citizens. As you know, we are creating the ability with our statewide databases to follow patients long-term and create longitudinal follow-up of outcomes with different approaches that are utilized.

It is our belief that the incentive to provide optimal care should be the driving force for thoughtful decision-making in this complex arena and we believe our state has the unique opportunity with our collaboratives to do just that in Michigan.

We appreciate the opportunity to provide our thoughts.

Sincerely,

Eric Hanson, M.D.

EnoCHOrson mo

President.

Michigan Society of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgeons

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Buckey JW, Bates ER, Nallamothu BK. Primary percutaneous coronary intervention expansion to hospitals without on-site cardiac surgery in Michigan: a geographic information systems analysis. Am Heart J. 2008 Apr; 155(4): 668-72. Epub 2008 Mar 7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Center for Health Care Research and Transformation CHRT book, 2010.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 2010;38:S1-S52

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Riley, R, et. al. Trends in coronary revascularization in the United States from 2001-2009. Circ: Cardiovascular Quality Outcomes, March 2011; 193-197.

#### **CCSAC SURVEY SUMMARY**

If the CON Commission enacts changes in the regulations surrounding the requirement for onsite cardiac surgery back-up for elective coronary PCI as outlined by the document submitted by this committee, the effect on the important domains of coronary care for Michigan's citizens will be:

Domain	Increases	Neutral	Decreases
Quality of Care	XXXXX XX	XXXX	XXXXX XXX
Access to Care	XXXXX XXXXX XXX	XXXXX X	
Cost of Care	XXXXX XXXXX X	XX	XXXXX X
Safety of Care	XXXXX XX	XXXXX X	XXXXX X
Appropriateness of Care	XXXXX X	XXXXX	XXXXX XXX

The overall financial effect that this change would likely have on the institution that I work for (or receive care at) is likely to be:

Favorable	Neutral	Unfavorable
XXX	XXXXX XXXXX XX	XXXX

Comments that I would like conveyed to the CON Commission pertaining to this recommendation include:

- 1) No practical upside for citizens of MI. Only potential downside results: higher costs, lower quality, no change in access. Likely to promote hospital/hospital system "arms race" with expenditure of resources ultimately passed on to purchaser of health care (MI citizens & employees) with no improvement in access or quality. Increase prevalence of low volume physician operators and/or inappropriate utilization. (May also apply to facilities.) Proposed quality oversight so complex and burdensome will increase costs and State likely not able to enforce. (Goodman)
- 2) My concern is opening the door to several more sites with a population decreasing which will drive cost up overall with new labs being constructed w/o demonstrated need because the procedure makes money for the hospital. Access may increase but serious questions on quality were not resolved to my statisfaction. Reality is that an increase in "cherry picking" will drive down patients going to established qualified sites. (Wells)
- 3) No issue with regards to technical safety. Increases cost. No problem with access. Risk of increasing inappropriateness of users volume based, not quality based. "Consent bias" by not having CT surgical input on high risk cases. State will not have the resources to assure regulatory compliance, high quality expectations. Decreases volumes/skills expertise of existing close by hospitals. Increase competition around volume, not quality. (Riba)
- 4) Enforcing quality standards is critical to ensuring that quality of care and safety of care is not negatively impacted by the changes recommended. (Sottile)
- 5) Access appears to be an issue only in the Alpena area, other areas of state are well covered with current PCI programs based on population distribution. Opens the door for inappropriate PCI to meet and maintain volume requirements. Given the trend of decreasing PCI volumes nationally, programs would just be shifting volumes between sites. May increase costs across the overall system of care. Revocation of CON language needed in standards for eletive PCI w/o OHS. (Berndt)
- 6) The expansion of programs to perform PCI without OHS should allow an expansion of medical technology to less costly venues which should mitigate any increased costs due to an expansion of programs. The performance and quality requirements should maintain or enhance quality. (Palmer)
- 7) Evidence based to do PCI w/o OHS. Unless all diagnostics labs close, we will continue to do dx-caths and have to transfer patients for PCI, inconvenient for patients increase cost. No need to multiple low-volume CABG centers. Critical importance that this be regulated and enforced based on our proposed criteria.
- 8) This allows increased access for therapeutic PCI, enhances quality, decreases cost of care, enhances safety, and enhances appropriateness. This puts MI in better position to benchmark off the work in other states with respect to PCI services for its citizens. I am in full support of the recommended changes. (Buxton)

- 9) Absolutely need the ability to revoke an organization's CON if it does not meet volume, quality requirements. Does not address the needs of the 20% underserved within the state. Is not currently supported by the ACC.
- Moving in this way makes us consistend with practice in the US (43 states) and most western countries outside the US. It increases access, it keeps continuity of care (people stay in system within their location). It will decrease the cost of care there will be no need for more surgical programs and some that currently exist will close. PCI is an everyday procedure and patients want it done in their usual hospital; this will increase value by improving access and reducing cost. There are many patients who belong to large health care systems with high quality producing the ability to keep their patients with their regular doctor will lead to higher quality, patient-centered care. (Weaver)
- 11) Sufficient evidence was presented that performing elective PCI without on-site open heart back-up is safe and more easier for the citizens of MI provided that quality is outlined in standards and are enforced. (Ashkar)
- 12) Major concern that we are not really increasing access because of close proximity to sites that already provide these services, but could also be decreasing quality outcomes by diluting volume numbers at existing sites. I also believe that while we added language to address quality that due to limited resources by the state or another 3rd party we will not really have a way to monitor those. (Raica)
- 13) I am very concerned about expanding this service without the state Commission's buy in that programs will have their CON revoked if not compliant.
- 14) No access to care issues. This proposal would decrease volume at existing programs and shift volume with increase costs to newer programs. (Dobies)
- Overall an educational, challenging, and rewarding experience evaluating all aspects of this issue. In doing so, I feel that the key components have been addressed including safety, access, and appropriateness, and in the end, the citizens of MI will be better served because of this. However, this is something that will require continuous review and updating, and will undoubtedly require a re-convening of a SAC in the not too distant future, possibly on a regular basis. (Lewis)
- 16) I do not think that access is improved and remain very concerned that total safety will be jeopardized. I think it is difficult to control or regulate unintended problems that I think are likely to occur.
- 17) This should drastically reduce cost of care by requiring small volume open heart programs to close. By increasing public transparency, quality will improve. Local access and convenience to the individual citizen will also increase provided that tight enforcement rewards, overall safety will increase. (Schreiber)
- 18) Decoupling of elective PCI is not necessary. This change will likely add cost, potentially reducing quality, but encouraging inappropriate PCIs. If the state does not carefully regulate this clinical area, including decredentialling labs and operators that do not mee volume and quality standards, the potential to harm MI residents is great. (Eagle)
- 19) Better access. Lets market dictate need. (Donovan)

# CERTIFICATE OF NEED REVIEW STANDARDS FOR CARDIAC CATHETERIZATION (CC) SERVICES SUMMARY OF PROPOSED CHANGES

Highlights of Proposed Changes

#### **Section 1- Applicability**

• Section 1 modified only for consistency with other CON review standards.

#### **Section 2- Definitions**

- The definitions that pertain only to a certain section have been moved to that section to make it easier for the reader to identify the defined terms.
- Eliminated definitions that are no longer needed.
- Clarified definitions.
- Modified definition for cardiac catheterization procedure to exclude the implantation of cardiac permanent pacemakers and implantable cardioverter defibrillators (ICD) devices that are performed in an interventional radiology laboratory or operating room.
- Added new definition for elective PCI.
- Modified definition for therapeutic cardiac catheterization service to include transcatheter valves, other structural heart disease procedures, and left sided arrhythmia procedures.

#### **Section 3- Initiation of Cardiac Catheterization Service**

- Sections 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 were combined as these sections related to the initiation of a CC service.
- Section modified for consistency within review standards for initiation of CC services.
- Subsection 4 was modified to reflect the SACs recommendation of the minimum 500 procedure equivalents to initiate, in which 400 must be within the category of CC procedures. Projection procedures for initiation of primary PCI decreased from 48 to 36.
- Added subsection 5 outlining requirements to initiate elective PCI without on-site open heart surgery services.
- Annual maintenance volume requirements have been moved to the project delivery requirements.

#### Section 4- Replace Existing Cardiac Catheterization Service or Laboratory

- The replacement section will cover both the replacement of the laboratory and equipment as well as replacing the existing service to a new geographical site as part of replacing the entire hospital.
- Replacement of a laboratory or equipment will no longer require the applicant to meet set volume requirements. Upgrades to existing CC services, without replacement of the laboratory or equipment will not require CON review/approval.
- Further clarification of replacement definition as it applies to CC laboratories and relocation of CC service to a new site.

#### <u>Section 5- Expand a Cardiac Catheterization Service</u>

- The Department eliminated the requirement to project procedure equivalents.
- The Department modified the volume requirement for existing and approved laboratories to include the SAC's recommendation of 1,400 procedure equivalents, and minimum threshold must be met in each applicable service category.

#### Section 6- Acquire a Cardiac Catheterization Service

- Added language for acquisition consistent with other CON review standards which includes the following:
  - Acquisition of CC services as part of the overall acquisition of a hospital.
  - Renewal of lease for angiography x-ray equipment without volume requirements.

#### **Section 7- Medicaid Participation**

• No changes proposed. Modification to section is to standardize language similar to other standards on Medicaid participation requirement.

#### **Section 8- Project Delivery Requirements**

- Divided requirements into distinct groups: quality assurance, access to care, monitoring and reporting, and specialized services.
- Annual volume requirements have been moved to the applicable project delivery requirements subsection.
- Added project delivery requirements for elective PCI without on-site open heart surgery services.

#### Section 9- Methodology for Computing Cardiac Catheterization Equivalents

- The Department deleted language under the previous Section 11(2) to allow for the counting of peripheral catheterizations under expansion.
   Further, due to elimination of volume requirements for replacement, this language is no longer necessary.
- The Department modified the procedures and weight equivalents to reflect the SAC's recommendations.

#### **Section 10- Documentation of Projections**

- The Department modified the language to reflect the minimum projected volume requirement from 48 to 36 ST segment elevation AMI cases for primary PCI services.
- The Department modified the language to reflect the addition of elective PCI services.

#### Section 11- Comparative Reviews and Planning Policies

No changes proposed, except updated effective dates.

#### Addition of Health Services Areas - Appendix A

Added to facilitate modifications within PCI requirements.

#### **Current Appendix B**

 Moved from previous Appendix A (identification of rural, micropolitan, and metropolitan statistical area counties).

#### 9 10 11

12

13

14

8

#### 15 16 17 18

#### 19 20 21

#### 22 23 24 25 26 27

28

29

#### 30 31 32 33 34 35

36

37

#### 38 39 40 41 42

43 44 45

46 47

48 49

50 51

52

## MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY HEALTH

#### **CERTIFICATE OF NEED (CON) REVIEW STANDARDS** FOR CARDIAC CATHETERIZATION SERVICES

(By authority conferred on the CON Commission by Section 22215 of Act No. 368 of the Public Acts of 1978, as amended, and sections 7 and 8 of Act No. 306 of the Public Acts of 1969, as amended, being sections 333.22215, 24.207 and 24.208 of the Michigan Compiled Laws.)

#### Section 1. Applicability

Sec. 1. (1) These standards are requirements for approval OF THE INITIATION, REPLACEMENT, EXPANSION, OR ACQUISITION OF CARDIAC CATHETERIZATION SERVICES, and THE delivery of THESE services under Part 222 of the Code. PURSUANT TO PART 222 OF THE CODE, cardiac catheterization services are A covered clinical service. The Department shall use THESE STANDARDS in applying Section 22225(1) of the Code, being Section 333.22225(1) of the Michigan Compiled Laws AND Section 22225(2)(c) of the Code, being Section 333.22225(2)(c) of the Michigan Compiled Laws.

#### Section 2. Definitions

- Sec. 2. (1) For purposes of these standards:
- (a) "Cardiac catheterization laboratory" or "laboratory" means an individual radiological room equipped with a variety of x-ray machines and devices such as electronic image intensifiers, high speed film changers and digital subtraction units to assist in performing diagnostic or therapeutic cardiac catheterizations or electrophysiology studies.
- (B) "Cardiac catheterization procedure" means any cardiac procedure, including diagnostic, therapeutic, and electrophysiology studies, performed on a patient during a single session in a laboratory. Cardiac catheterization is a medical diagnostic or therapeutic procedure during which a catheter is inserted into a vein or artery in a patient; subsequently the free end of the catheter is manipulated by a physician to travel along the course of the blood vessel into the chambers or vessels of the heart. X-rays and an electronic image intensifier are used as aides in placing the catheter tip in the desired position. When the catheter is in place, the physician is able to perform various diagnostic studies and/or therapeutic procedures in the heart. THIS TERM DOES not include "float catheters" THAT are performed at the bedside or in settings outside the laboratory OR THE IMPLANTATION OF CARDIAC PERMANENT PACEMAKERS AND IMPLANTABLE CARDIOVERTER DEFIBRILLATORS (ICD) DEVICES THAT ARE PERFORMED IN AN INTERVENTIONAL RADIOLOGY LABORATORY OR OPERATING ROOM.
- (C) "Cardiac catheterization service" means the provision of one or more of the following types of procedures: adult diagnostic cardiac catheterizations; pediatric diagnostic cardiac catheterizations; adult therapeutic cardiac catheterizations; and pediatric therapeutic cardiac catheterizations.
- (D) "Certificate of Need Commission" or "Commission" means the Commission created pursuant to Section 22211 of the Code, being Section 333.22211 of the Michigan Compiled Laws.
- (E) "Code" means Act No. 368 of the Public Acts of 1978, as amended, being Section 333.1101 et seq. of the Michigan Compiled Laws.
  - (F) "Department" means the Michigan Department of Community Health (MDCH).
- (G) "Diagnostic cardiac catheterization service" means providing diagnostic cardiac catheterization PROCEDUREs on an organized, regular basis in a laboratory TO DIAGNOSE ANATOMICAL AND/OR PHYSIOLOGICAL PROBLEMS IN THE HEART. PROCEDURES include the intra coronary administration of drugs; left heart catheterization; right heart catheterization; coronary angiography; diagnostic electrophysiology studies; and cardiac biopsies (echo-guided or fluoroscopic). A hospital that provides pediatric diagnostic cardiac catheterization services MAY ALSO PERFORM BALLOON ATRIAL SEPTOSTOMY PROCEDURES. A hospital that PROVIDES DIAGNOSTIC cardiac catheterization

services MAY ALSO PERFORM IMPLANTATIONS OF CARDIAC PERMANENT PACEMAKERS AND ICD DEVICES.

- (H) "ELECTIVE PERCUTANEOUS CORONARY INTERVENTION (PCI) SERVICE" MEANS PROVIDING PERCUTANEOUS TRANSLUMINAL CORONARY ANGIOPLASTY (PTCA) AND CORONARY STENT IMPLANTATION ON AN ORGANIZED, REGULAR BASIS IN A LABORATORY AT A HOSPITAL WITHOUT ON-SITE OPEN HEART SURGICAL SERVICES. THE TERM DOES NOT INCLUDE TRANSCATHETER VALVE, OTHER STRUCTURAL HEART DISEASE PROCEDURES, OR LEFT SIDED ARRHYTHMIA THERAPEUTIC PROCEDURES. A HOSPITAL THAT PROVIDES ELECTIVE PCI SERVICES MAY ALSO PERFORM IMPLANTATIONS OF CARDIAC PERMANENT PACEMAKERS, ICD DEVICES, AND RIGHT SIDED CATHETER ABLATION PROCEDURES. STRUCTURAL HEART DISEASE PROCEDURES CAN ONLY BE PERFORMED WITHIN A HOSPITAL THAT HAS ON-SITE OPEN HEART SURGICAL SERVICES.
- (I) "Electrophysiology study" means a study of the electrical conduction activity of the heart and characterization of atrial and ventricular arrhythmias obtained by means of a cardiac catheterization procedure. The term also includes the implantation of permanent pacemakers and ICD DEVICES.
  - (J) "Hospital" means a health facility licensed under Part 215 of the Code.

- (K) "ICD-9-CM code" means the disease codes and nomenclature found in the <u>International Classification of Diseases 9th Revision Clinical Modification</u>, prepared by the Commission on Professional and Hospital Activities for the U.S. National Center for Health Statistics.
- (L) "Medicaid" means title XIX of the social security act, chapter 531, 49 Stat. 620, 1396r-6 and 1396r-8 to 1396v.
- (M) "Pediatric cardiac catheterization service" means PROVIDING cardiac catheterization services on an organized, regular basis to infants and children ages 18 and below, except for electrophysiology studies THAT are offered and provided to infants and children ages 14 and below, and others with congenital heart disease as defined by the ICD-9-CM codes of 426.7 (ANOMALOUS ATRIOVENTRICULAR EXCITATION), 427.0 (CARDIAC DYSRYTHMIAS), and 745.0 through 747.99 (BULBUS CORDIS ANOMALIES AND ANOMALIES OF CARDIAC SEPTAL CLOSURE, OTHER CONGENITAL ANOMALIES OF HEART, AND OTHER CONGENITAL ANOMALIES OF CIRCULATORY SYSTEM).
- (N) "Primary PCI" means a PCI performed ON AN acute myocardial infarction (AMI) patient with confirmed ST elevation or new left bundle branch block.
- (O) "Procedure equivalent" means a unit of measure that reflects the relative average length of time one patient spends in one session in a laboratory based on the type of procedures being performed.
- (P) "Therapeutic cardiac catheterization service" means providing therapeutic cardiac catheterizations on an organized, regular basis in a laboratory to treat and resolve anatomical and/or physiological problems in the heart. PROCEDURES include PCI, PTCA, atherectomy, stent, laser, cardiac valvuloplasty, balloon atrial septostomy, catheter ablation, cardiac permanent pacemaker, ICD device implantations, TRANSCATHETER VALVE, OTHER STRUCTURAL HEART DISEASE PROCEDURES, AND LEFT SIDED ARRHYTHMIA THEREAPEUTIC PROCEDURES. The term does not include the intra coronary administration of drugs where that is the only therapeutic intervention.
  - (2) Terms defined in the Code have the same meanings when used in these standards.

#### Section 3. Requirements to initiate cardiac catheterization serviceS

- Sec. 3. AN APPLICANT PROPOSING TO INITIATE CARDIAC CATHETERIZATION SERVICES SHALL DEMONSTRATE THE FOLLOWING, AS APPLICABLE TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT.
- (1) An applicant proposing to initiate an adult diagnostic cardiac catheterization service shall DEMONSTRATE the following, as applicable TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT:
- (A) THE APPLICANT IS APPLYING TO INITIATE PRIMARY PCI, ELECTIVE PCI, OR THERAPEUTIC CARDIAC CATHETERIZATION SERVICES.

- (B) THE APPLICANT SHALL DEMONSTRATE THE FOLLOWING, AS APPLICABLE TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT:
- (I) AN APPLICANT PROPOSING TO INITIATE WITH A SINGLE LABORATORY IN A rural or micropolitan statistical area county SHALL PROJECT a minimum of 500 procedure equivalents including 300 procedure equivalents in the category of diagnostic cardiac catheterization PROCEDURES BASED ON DATA FROM THE MOST RECENT 12-MONTH PERIOD PRECEDING THE DATE THE APPLICATION WAS SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT.
- (II) AN APPLICANT PROPOSING TO INITIATE WITH A SINGLE LABORATORY in a metropolitan statistical area county SHALL PROJECT a minimum of 750 procedure equivalents THAT includes 300 procedure equivalents in the category of diagnostic cardiac catheterization PROCEDURES BASED ON DATA FROM THE MOST RECENT 12-MONTH PERIOD PRECEDING THE DATE THE APPLICATION WAS SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT.
- (III) An applicant proposing to initiate WITH TWO or more laboratories shall project a minimum of 1,000 procedure equivalents per laboratory THAT INCLUDES 300 PROCEDURE EQUIVALENTS IN THE CATEGORY OF DIAGNOSTIC CARDIAC CATHETERIZATION PROCEDURES BASED ON DATA FROM the MOST RECENT 12-month PERIOD PRECEDING THE DATE THE APPLICATION WAS SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT.
- (2) An applicant proposing to INITIATE AN ADULT therapeutic cardiac catheterization SERVICE shall demonstrate the following:
- (a) THE applicant provides, IS APPROVED TO PROVIDE, or has APPLIED to provide adult diagnostic cardiac catheterization services AT THE HOSPITAL. THE APPLICANT MUST BE APPROVED FOR ADULT DIAGNOSTIC CARDIAC CATHETERIZATION SERVICES IN ORDER TO BE APPROVED FOR ADULT THERAPEUTIC CARDIAC CATHETERIZATION SERVICES.
- (b) AN APPLICANT OPERATING AN ADULT DIAGNOSTIC CARDIAC CATHETERIZATION SERVICE HAS PERFORMED A MINIMUM OF 300 PROCEDURE EQUIVALENTS IN THE CATEGORY OF ADULT DIAGNOSTIC CARDIAC CATHETERIZATIONS DURING THE MOST RECENT 12-MONTH PERIOD PRECEDING THE DATE THE APPLICATION WAS SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT IF THE SERVICE HAS BEEN IN OPERATION MORE THAN 24 MONTHS.
- (C) THE applicant HAS APPLIED TO provide adult open heart surgery services AT the hospital. The APPLICANT MUST BE APPROVED FOR AN ADULT OPEN HEART SURGERY SERVICE IN ORDER TO BE APPROVED FOR AN ADULT therapeutic cardiac catheterization SERVICE.
- (D) THE applicant shall project a minimum of 300 procedure equivalents in the category of adult therapeutic cardiac catheterizations BASED ON DATA FROM THE MOST RECENT 12-MONTH PERIOD PRECEDING THE DATE THE APPLICATION WAS SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT.
- (3) An applicant proposing to initiate a pediatric cardiac catheterization service SHALL DEMONSTRATE THE FOLLOWING:
- (A) THE APPLICANT HAS A board certified pediatric cardiologist with training in pediatric catheterization procedures to direct the pediatric catheterization laboratory.
- (B) THE APPLICANT HAS standardized equipment as DEFINED IN THE MOST CURRENT AMERICAN ACADEMY OF PEDIATRICS (AAP) Guidelines FOR PEDIATRIC CARDIOVASCULAR CENTERS.
- (C) THE APPLICANT HAS on-site ICU as outlined in THE MOST CURRENT AAP guidelines ABOVE.
- (D) THE APPLICANT HAS APPLIED TO PROVIDE pediatric open heart surgery SERVICES AT THE HOSPITAL. THE APPLICANT MUST BE APPROVED FOR A PEDIATRIC OPEN HEART SURGERY SERVICE IN ORDER TO BE APPROVED FOR PEDIATRIC CARDIAC CATHETERIZATION SERVICES.
- (E) THE applicant shall project a minimum of 600 procedure equivalents in the category of pediatric cardiac catheterizations BASED ON DATA FROM THE MOST RECENT 12-MONTH PERIOD PRECEDING THE DATE THE APPLICATION WAS SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT.

157 (4) An applicant proposing to initiate primary PCI service without on-site open heart surgery services 158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186 187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

- shall demonstrate the following:
  - (a) The applicant OPERATES AN adult diagnostic cardiac catheterization service THAT HAS performed a minimum of 500 procedure EQUIVALENTS THAT INCLUDES 400 PROCEDURE EQUIVALENTS IN THE CATEGORY OF CARDIAC CATHETERIZATION PROCEDURES during the most recent 12 months preceding the date the application was submitted to the Department.
  - (b) The APPLICANT HAS AT LEAST TWO interventional cardiologists to perform the primary PCI PROCEDURES AND EACH CARDIOLOGIST HAS performed at least 75 PCI SESSIONS annually as the primary operator during the most recent 24-month PERIOD preceding the date the application was submitted to the Department.
  - (c) The nursing and technical catheterization laboratory staff: are experienced in handling acutely ill patients and comfortable with interventional equipment; have acquired experience in dedicated interventional laboratories at an open heart surgery HOSPITAL; and participate in an un-interrupted 24hour, 365-day call schedule. Competency SHALL be documented annually.
  - (d) The laboratory OR LABORATORIES ARE equipped with optimal imaging systems, resuscitative equipment, AND intra-aortic balloon pump (IABP) support, and stocked with a broad array of interventional equipment.
  - (e) The cardiac care unit nurses are adept in hemodynamic monitoring and IABP management. Competency SHALL be documented annually.
  - (f) A written agreement with an open heart surgery HOSPITAL that includes ALL OF THE FOLLOWING:
  - (i) Involvement in credentialing criteria and recommendations for physicians approved to perform primary PCI PROCEDURES.
  - (ii) Provision for ongoing cross-training for professional and technical staff involved in the provision of primary PCI to ensure familiarity with interventional equipment. Competency SHALL be documented annually.
  - (iii) Provision for ongoing cross training for emergency department, catheterization laboratory, and critical care unit staff to ensure experience in handling the high acuity status of primary PCI patient candidates. Competency SHALL be documented annually.
  - (iv) Regularly held joint cardiology/cardiac surgery conferences to include review of all primary PCI cases.
  - (v) Development and ongoing review of patient selection criteria for primary PCI patients and implementation of those criteria.
  - (vi) A mechanism to provide for appropriate patient transfers between facilities and an agreed plan for prompt care.
  - (vii) Written protocols, signed by the applicant and the open heart surgery HOSPITAL, for the immediate transfer, within 1 hour from THE cardiac catheterization laboratory to evaluation on site in the open heart surgERY HOSPITAL, of patients requiring surgical evaluation and/or intervention 365 days a year. The protocols shall be reviewed AND tested on a quarterly basis.
  - (viii) Consultation on facilities, equipment, staffing, ancillary services, and policies and procedures for the provision of interventional procedures.
  - (g) A written protocol must be established and maintained for case selection for the performance of primary PCI.
  - (h) A system to ensure prompt and efficient identification of potential primary PCI patients and rapid transfer from the emergency department to the CARDIAC catheterization laboratory must be developed and maintained so that door-to-balloon targets are met.
  - (i) At least two physicians credentialed to perform primary PCI must commit to functioning as a coordinated group willing and able to provide this service at the hospital on a 24-hour per day, 365 day per year call schedule, with ability to be on-site and available to operate within 30 minutes of identifying the need for primary PCI. These physicians must be credentialed at the facility and actively collaborate with administrative and clinical staff in establishing and implementing protocols, call schedules, and quality assurance procedures pertaining to primary PCI designed to meet the requirements for this certification and in keeping with the current guidelines for the provision of primary PCI promulgated by the American College of Cardiology and American Heart Association.

(J) THE applicant shall project a minimum of 36 primary PCI CASES BASED ON DATA FROM THE MOST RECENT 12-month PERIOD PRECEDING THE DATE THE APPLICATION WAS SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT.

- (5) AN APPLICANT PROPOSING TO INITIATE AN ELECTIVE PCI SERVICE WITHOUT ON-SITE OPEN HEART SURGERY SERVICES SHALL DEMONSTRATE THE FOLLOWING:
- (A) THE APPLICANT PROVIDES, IS APPROVED TO PROVIDE, OR HAS APPLIED TO PROVIDE ADULT DIAGNOSTIC CARDIAC CATHETERIZATION SERVICES AT THE HOSPITAL. THE APPLICANT MUST BE APPROVED FOR ADULT DIAGNOSTIC CARDIAC CATHETERIZATION SERVICES IN ORDER TO BE APPROVED FOR ELECTIVE PCI SERVICES.
- (B) THE APPLICANT HAS AT LEAST TWO INTERVENTIONAL CARDIOLOGISTS TO PERFORM PCI PROCEDURES AT THE HOSPITAL THAT MEET THE FOLLOWING:
  - (I) BOARD CERTIFIED IN INTERVENTIONAL CARDIOLOGY.
  - (II) INDIVIDUAL OUTCOMES ARE COMPARABLE TO NATIONAL OUTCOMES.
  - (III) PERFORMED AT LEAST 300 PCI SESSIONS SINCE FELLOWSHIP.
- (IV) PERFORMED AT LEAST 100 PCI SESSIONS DURING THE MOST RECENT 24-MONTH PERIOD PRECEDING THE DATE THE APPLICATION WAS SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT.
  - (C) A WRITTEN AGREEMENT WITH AN OPEN HEART SURGERY HOSPITAL THAT INCLUDES:
- (I) SIGNATURES BY SENIOR EXECUTIVES FROM THE APPLICANT HOSPITAL AND THE HOSPITAL WITH OPEN HEART SURGERY SERVICES.
- (II) INVOLVEMENT IN THE CREDENTIALING CRITERIA AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR PHYSICIANS APPROVED TO PERFORM PCI.
- (III) PROVISION FOR ONGOING CROSS-TRAINING FOR PROFESSIONAL AND TECHNICAL STAFF INVOLVED IN THE PROVISION OF PCI TO ENSURE FAMILIARITY WITH INTERVENTIONAL EQUIPMENT. COMPETENCY SHALL BE DOCUMENTED ANNUALLY.
- (IV) PROVISION FOR ONGOING CROSS TRAINING FOR EMERGENCY DEPARTMENT, CATHETERIZATION LABORATORY AND CRITICAL CARE UNIT STAFF TO ENSURE EXPERIENCE IN HANDLING THE HIGH ACUITY STATUS OF PCI PATIENT CANDIDATES. COMPETENCY SHALL BE DOCUMENTED ANNUALLY.
- (V) REGULARLY HELD JOINT CARDIOLOGY/CARDIAC SURGERY CONFERENCES (AT LEAST QUARTERLY) TO INCLUDE REVIEW OF ALL PCI CASES AND OUTCOMES;
- (VI) DEVELOPMENT AND ONGOING REVIEW OF PATIENT SELECTION CRITERIA FOR PCI PATIENTS AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THOSE CRITERIA.
- (VII) A MECHANISM TO PROVIDE FOR APPROPRIATE PATIENT TRANSFERS BETWEEN HOSPITALS AND AN AGREED PLAN FOR PROMPT CARE; WRITTEN PROTOCOLS, SIGNED BY THE APPLICANT AND THE OPEN HEART SURGICAL HOSPITAL, MUST BE IN PLACE WITH PROVISIONS FOR IMMEDIATE AND EFFICIENT TRANSFER WITHIN ONE HOUR OF PATIENTS REQUIRING SURGICAL EVALUATION AND/OR INTERVENTION 24 HOURS PER DAY, 365 DAYS A YEAR. THE PROTOCOLS SHALL BE REVIEWED/TESTED ON A REGULAR, SEMI-ANNUAL BASIS.
- (VIII) ABILITY TO TRANSFER IMAGES ELECTRONICALLY FOR THE CONCURRENT REVIEW OF CASES WITH THE OPEN HEART SURGERY HOSPITAL IF NEEDED.
- (IX) CONSULTATION ON FACILITIES, EQUIPMENT, STAFFING, ANCILLARY SERVICES, AND POLICIES AND PROCEDURES FOR THE PROVISION OF INTERVENTIONAL PROCEDURES.
  - (D) THE APPLICANT AGREES TO THE FOLLOWING:
- (I) A WRITTEN PROTOCOL MUST BE ESTABLISHED AND MAINTAINED FOR CASE SELECTION FOR THE PERFORMANCE OF PCI THAT IS CONSISTENT WITH CURRENT PRACTICE GUIDELINES SET FORTH BY THE AMERICAN COLLEGE OF CARDIOLOGY AND THE AMERICAN HEART ASSOCIATION, INCLUDING A RISK STRATIFICATION TOOL (STS OR SYNTAX) USED AND RECORDED TO INSURE APPROPRIATE TRIAGE TO CORONARY ARTERY BYPASS GRAFT SURGERY. EXCLUSIONS FOR ELECTIVE PCI SHOULD INCLUDE DECOMPENSATED HEART FAILURE WITHOUT ACUTE ISCHEMIA, RECENT STROKE, ADVANCED MALIGNANCY, KNOWN CLOTTING DISORDERS, EF LESS THAN 25%, LEFT MAIN DISEASE UNPROTECTED BY PRIOR SURGERY, LESIONS THAT JEOPARDIZE >50% OF MYOCARDIUM, DIFFUSE DISEASE AND

EXCESSIVE TORTUOSITY, DEGENERATED VEIN GRAFTS, SUBSTANTIAL THROMBUS, AGGRESSIVE MEASURES TO OPEN CHRONIC TOTAL OCCLUSIONS, AND INABILITY TO PROTECT MAJOR SIDE BRANCHES.

- (II) ESTABLISH AND MAINTAIN WRITTEN POLICY AND PROCEDURES FOR TRAINING, STAFFING, AND PROGRAM REVIEW.
- (III) THE NURSING AND TECHNICAL CATHETERIZATION STAFF ARE EXPERIENCED IN HANDLING ACUTELY ILL PATIENTS AND COMFORTABLE WITH INTERVENTIONAL EQUIPMENT; HAVE ACQUIRED EXPERIENCE IN DEDICATED INTERVENTIONAL LABORATORIES AT OPEN HEART SURGERY SERVICES OR AT PRIMARY PCI SERVICES; AND PARTICIPATE IN AN UNINTERRUPTED 24-HOUR, 365-DAY CALL SCHEDULE. COMPETENCY SHALL BE DOCUMENTED ANNUALLY.
- (IV) THE CATHETERIZATION LABORATORY IS EQUIPPED WITH IMAGING SYSTEMS, RESUSCITATIVE EQUIPMENT, INTRA-AORTIC BALLOON PUMP (IABP) SUPPORT, AND STOCKED WITH APPROPRIATE INTERVENTIONAL EQUIPMENT.
- (V) THE CARDIAC CARE UNIT NURSES ARE ADEPT IN HEMODYNAMIC MONITORING AND IABP MANAGEMENT. COMPETENCY SHALL BE DOCUMENTED ANNUALLY.
- (VI) ESTABLISH AND MAINTAIN A SYSTEM TO ENSURE PROMPT AND EFFICIENT IDENTIFICATION OF POTENTIAL PRIMARY PCI PATIENTS AND RAPID TRANSFER TO THE CATHETERIZATION LABORATORY SO THAT DOOR-TO-BALLOON TARGETS ARE MET.
- (VII) AT LEAST TWO PHYSICIANS CREDENTIALED TO PERFORM PRIMARY PCI MUST COMMIT TO FUNCTIONING AS A COORDINATED GROUP WILLING AND ABLE TO PROVIDE THIS SERVICE AT THE HOSPITAL ON A 24-HOUR PER DAY, 365 DAY PER YEAR CALL SCHEDULE, WITH ABILITY TO BE ON-SITE AND AVAILABLE TO OPERATE WITHIN 30 MINUTES OF IDENTIFYING THE NEED FOR PRIMARY PCI. THESE PHYSICIANS MUST BE CREDENTIALED AT THE FACILITY AND ACTIVELY COLLABORATE WITH ADMINISTRATIVE AND CLINICAL STAFF IN ESTABLISHING AND IMPLEMENTING PROTOCOLS, CALL SCHEDULES, AND QUALITY ASSURANCE PROCEDURES PERTAINING TO PRIMARY PCI DESIGNED TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THIS CERTIFICATION AND IN KEEPING WITH THE CURRENT GUIDELINES FOR THE PROVISION OF PRIMARY PCI PROMULGATED BY THE AMERICAN COLLEGE OF CARDIOLOGY AND AMERICAN HEART ASSOCIATION.
- (E) THE APPLICANT SHALL DEMONSTARTE THE FOLLOWING, AS APPLICABLE TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT:
- (I) AN APPLICANT WITHIN ONE HOUR DRIVE TIME OF AN EXISTING PCI OR OPEN HEART SURGERY HOSPITAL SHALL PROJECT A MINIMUM OF 350 PCI (PTCA AND CORONARY STENT) CASES BASED ON DATA FROM THE MOST RECENT 12-MONTH PERIOD PRECEDING THE DATE THE APPLICATION WAS SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT. THE DEPARTMENT SHALL REVOKE A CON FOR A PROGRAM THAT PERFORMS LESS THAN 250 PCIS IN THE SECOND 12 MONTHS OF OPERATION, OR LESS THAN 350 PCIS IN THE THIRD 12 MONTHS OF OPERATION, AND/OR IS RECOMMENDED FOR REVOCATION BY THE ORGANIZATION IDENTIFIED IN SECTION 8(6)(C).
- (II) AN APPLICANT MORE THAN ONE HOUR DRIVE TIME OF AN EXISTING PCI OR OPEN HEART SURGERY HOSPITAL SHALL PROJECT A MINIMUM OF 250 PCI (PTCA AND CORONARY STENT) CASES BASED ON DATA FROM THE MOST RECENT 12-MONTH PERIOD PRECEDING THE DATE THE APPLICATION WAS SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT. THE DEPARTMENT SHALL REVOKE A CON FOR A PROGRAM THAT PERFORMS LESS THAN 250 PCIS IN THE THIRD 12 MONTHS OF OPERATIONS AND/OR IS RECOMMENDED FOR REVOCATION BY THE ORGANIZATION IDENTIFIED IN SECTION 8(6)(C).

#### Section 4. Requirements to replace AN EXISTING cardiac catheterization SERVICE OR laboratory

Sec. 4. REPLACING A CARDIAC CATHETERIZATION LABORATORY MEANS A CHANGE IN THE ANGIOGRAPHY X-RAY EQUIPMENT OR A RELOCATION OF THE SERVICE TO A NEW SITE. THE TERM DOES NOT INCLUDE A CHANGE IN ANY OF THE OTHER EQUIPMENT OR SOFTWARE USED IN THE LABORATORY. AN APPLICANT PROPOSING TO REPLACE A CARDIAC

CATHETERIZATION LABORATORY OR SERVICE SHALL DEMONSTRATE THE FOLLOWING, AS APPLICABLE TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT:

- (1) AN APPLICANT PROPOSING TO REPLACE CARDIAC CATHETERIZATION LABORATORY EQUIPMENT SHALL DEMONSTRATE THE FOLLOWING:
- (A) THE EXISTING LABORATORY OR LABORATORIES TO BE REPLACED ARE FULLY DEPRECIATED ACCORDING TO GENERALLY ACCEPTED ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLES OR DEMONSTRATES EITHER OF THE FOLLOWING:
- (i) THE EXISTING ANGIOGRAPHY X-RAY EQUIPMENT TO BE REPLACED POSES A THREAT TO THE SAFETY OF THE PATIENTS.
- (II) THE REPLACEMENT ANGIOGRAPHY X-RAY EQUIPMENT OFFERS TECHNOLOGICAL IMPROVEMENTS THAT ENHANCE QUALITY OF CARE, INCREASES EFFICIENCY, AND REDUCES OPERATING COSTS.
- (B) THE EXISTING ANGIOGRAPHY X-RAY EQUIPMENT TO BE REPLACED WILL BE REMOVED FROM SERVICE ON OR BEFORE BEGINNING OPERATION OF THE REPLACEMENT EQUIPMENT.
- (2) An applicant PROPOSING TO REPLACE A cardiac catheterization service TO A NEW SITE SHALL DEMONSTRATE the following:
- (a) THE PROPOSED PROJECT IS PART OF AN APPLICATION TO REPLACE THE ENTIRE HOSPITAL.
- (b) THE APPLICANT HAS PERFORMED THE FOLLOWING DURING THE MOST RECENT 12-MONTH PERIOD PRECEDING THE DATE THE APPLICATION WAS SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT, AS APPLICABLE TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT:
- (I) A MINIMUM OF 300 PROCEDURE EQUIVALENTS IN THE CATEGORY OF ADULT DIAGNOSTIC CARDIAC CATHETERIZATION PROCEDURES.
- (II) A MINIMUM OF 300 PROCEDURE EQUIVALENTS IN THE CATEGORY OF ADULT THERAPEUTIC CARDIAC CATHETERIZATION PROCEDURES.
- (III) A MINIMUM OF 600 PROCEDURE EQUIVALENTS IN THE CATEGORY OF PEDIATRIC CARDIAC CATHETERIZATION PROCEDURES.
- (IV) A MINIMUM OF 500 PROCEDURE EQUIVALENTS FOR A HOSPITAL IN A RURAL OR MICROPOLITAN COUNTY WITH ONE LABORATORY.
- (V) A MINIMUM OF 750 PROCEDURE EQUIVALENTS FOR A HOSPITAL IN A METROPOLITAN COUNTY WITH ONE LABORATORY.
- (VI) A MINIMUM OF 1,000 PROCEDURE EQUIVALENTS PER CARDIAC CATHETERIZATION LABORATORY FOR A HOSPITAL WITH TWO OR MORE LABORATORIES.
- (C) THE EXISTING CARDIAC CATHETERIZATION SERVICE HAS BEEN IN OPERATION FOR AT LEAST 36 MONTHS AS OF THE DATE THE APPLICATION HAS BEEN SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT.

#### Section 5. Requirements to expand a cardiac catheterization service

- Sec. 5. An applicant proposing to add a laboratory to an existing cardiac catheterization service shall demonstrate the following:
- (1) THE APPLICANT HAS PERFORMED THE FOLLOWING DURING THE MOST RECENT 12-MONTH PERIOD PRECEDING THE DATE THE APPLICATION WAS SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT, AS APPLICABLE TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT:
- (A) A MINIMUM OF 300 PROCEDURE EQUIVALENTS IN THE CATEGORY OF ADULT DIAGNOSTIC CARDIAC CATHETERIZATION PROCEDURES.
- (B) A MINIMUM OF 300 PROCEDURE EQUIVALENTS IN THE CATEGORY OF ADULT THERAPEUTIC CARDIAC CATHETERIZATION PROCEDURES.
- (C) A MINIMUM OF 600 PROCEDURE EQUIVALENTS IN THE CATEGORY OF PEDIATRIC CARDIAC CATHETERIZATION PROCEDURES.

(2) THE APPLICANT HAS PERFORMED A MINIMUM OF 1,400 procedure equivalents PER existing and APPROVED LABORATORIES DURING THE MOST RECENT 12-month PERIOD PRECEDING THE DATE THE APPLICATION WAS SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT.

#### Section 6. Requirements TO ACQUIRE a cardiac catheterization SERVICE

Sec. 6. ACQUIRING A CARDIAC CATHETERIZATION SERVICE AND ITS LABORATORIES MEANS OBTAINING POSSESSION AND CONTROL BY CONTRACT, OWNERSHIP, LEASE OR OTHER COMPARABLE ARRANGEMENT OR RENEWAL OF A LEASE FOR EXISTING ANGIOGRAPHY X-RAY EQUIPMENT. AN APPLICANT PROPOSING TO ACQUIRE A CARDIAC CATHETERIZATION SERVICE OR RENEW A LEASE FOR EQUIPMENT SHALL DEMONSTRATE THE FOLLOWING, AS APPLICABLE TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT:

(1) AN APPLICANT PROPOSING TO ACQUIRE A CARDIAC CATHETERIZATION SERVICE SHALL DEMONSTRATE THE FOLLOWING:

(A) THE PROPOSED PROJECT IS PART OF AN APPLICATION TO ACQUIRE THE ENTIRE HOSPITAL.

(B) AN APPLICATION FOR THE FIRST ACQUISITION OF AN EXISTING CARDIAC CATHETERIZATION SERVICE AFTER <INSERT EFFECTIVE DATE OF THESE STANDARDS> SHALL NOT BE REQUIRED TO BE IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE APPLICABLE VOLUME REQUIREMENTS IN SUBDIVISION (C). THE CARDIAC CATHETERIZATION SERVICE SHALL BE OPERATING AT THE APPLICABLE VOLUMES SET FORTH IN THE PROJECT DELIVERY REQUIREMENTS IN THE SECOND 12 MONTHS OF OPERATION OF THE SERVICE BY THE APPLICANT AND ANNUALLY THEREAFTER.

(C) THE APPLICANT HAS PERFORMED THE FOLLOWING DURING THE MOST RECENT 12-MONTH PERIOD PRECEDING THE DATE THE APPLICATION WAS SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT, AS APPLICABLE TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT :

(I) A MINIMUM OF 300 PROCEDURE EQUIVALENTS IN THE CATEGORY OF ADULT DIAGNOSTIC CARDIAC CATHETERIZATION PROCEDURES.

(II) A MINIMUM OF 300 PROCEDURE EQUIVALENTS IN THE CATEGORY OF ADULT THERAPEUTIC CARDIAC CATHETERIZATION PROCEDURES.

(III) A MINIMUM OF 600 PROCEDURE EQUIVALENTS IN THE CATEGORY OF PEDIATRIC

CARDIAC CATHETERIZATION PROCEDURES.

(IV) A MINIMUM OF 500 PROCEDURE EQUIVALENTS FOR A HOSPITAL IN A RURAL OR

 MICROPOLITAN COUNTY WITH ONE LABORATORY.

(V) A MINIMUM OF 750 PROCEDURE EQUIVALENTS FOR A HOSPITAL IN A METROPOLITAN COUNTY WITH ONE LABORATORY.

(VI) A MINIMUM OF 1,000 PROCEDURE EQUIVALENTS PER CARDIAC CATHETERIZATION

(2) AN APPLICANT PROPOSING TO RENEW A LEASE FOR EXISTING ANGIOGRAPHY X-RAY EQUIPMENT SHALL DEMONSTRATE THE RENEWAL OF THE LEASE IS MORE COST EFFECTIVE THAN REPLACING THE EQUIPMENT.

#### Section 7. REQUIREMENT FOR MEDICAID PARTICIPATION

LABORATORY FOR TWO OR MORE LABORATORIES.

Sec. 7. An applicant shall provide verification of Medicaid participation at the time the application is submitted to the Department. An applicant that is initiating a new service or is a new provider not currently enrolled in Medicaid shall certify that proof of Medicaid participation will be provided to the Department within six (6) months from the offering of services if a CON is approved.

### 422 423

424 425

426

427 428 429

430 431 432

437

459

454

465

466 467 468

469

470 471

#### Section 8. Project delivery requirements AND terms of approval for all applicants

- Sec. 8. An applicant shall agree that, if approved, the CARDIAC CATHETERIZATION SERVICE AND ALL EXISTING AND APPROVED LABORATORIES shall be delivered in compliance with the following terms of approval:
  - (1) Compliance with these standards.
  - (2) Compliance with the following quality assurance standards:
- (A) Cardiac catheterization procedures shall be performed in a cardiac catheterization laboratory located within a hospital, and have within, or immediately available to the room, dedicated emergency equipment to manage cardiovascular emergencies.
- (B) The service shall be staffed with sufficient medical, nursing, technical and other personnel to permit regular scheduled hours of operation and continuous 24-hour on-call availability.
- (C) The medical staff and governing body shall receive and review at least annual reports describing the activities of the cardiac catheterization service including complication rates, morbidity and mortality, success rates and the number of procedures performed.
- (D) Each physician credentialed by a hospital to perform adult therapeutic cardiac catheterization procedures shall perform, as the primary operator, a minimum of 75 adult therapeutic cardiac catheterization procedures per year in the second 12 months after being credentialed to and annually thereafter. The annual case load for a physician means adult therapeutic cardiac catheterization procedures performed by that physician in any combination of hospitals.
- (E) Each physician credentialed by a hospital to perform pediatric diagnostic cardiac catheterizations shall perform, as the primary operator, a minimum of 50 pediatric diagnostic cardiac catheterization procedures per year in the second 12 months after being credentialed and annually thereafter. The annual case load for a physician means pediatric diagnostic cardiac catheterization procedures performed by that physician in any combination of hospitals
- (F) Each physician credentialed by a hospital to perform pediatric therapeutic cardiac catheterizations shall perform, as a primary operator, a minimum of 25 pediatric therapeutic cardiac catheterizations per year in the second 12 months after being credentialed and annually thereafter. The annual case load for a physician means pediatric therapeutic cardiac catheterization procedures performed by that physician in any combination of hospitals
- (G) An adult diagnostic cardiac catheterization service shall have a minimum of two appropriately trained physicians on its active hospital staff. The Department MAY ACCEPT OTHER evidence OR SHALL CONSIDER IT appropriate training if the staff physicians:
  - (I) are trained consistent with the recommendations of the American College of Cardiology;
  - (II) are credentialed by the hospital to perform adult diagnostic cardiac catheterizations; and
- (III) have each performed a minimum of 100 adult diagnostic cardiac catheterizations in the preceding 12 months.
- (H) An adult therapeutic cardiac catheterization service shall have a minimum of two appropriately trained physicians on its active hospital staff. The Department MAY ACCEPT OTHER evidence OR SHALL CONSIDER IT appropriate training if the staff physicians:
  - (I) are trained consistent with the recommendations of the American College of Cardiology;
  - (II) are credentialed by the hospital to perform adult therapeutic cardiac catheterizations; and
- (III) have each performed a minimum of 75 adult therapeutic cardiac catheterization procedures in the preceding 12 months.
- (I) A pediatric cardiac catheterization service shall HAVE AN appropriately trained physician on ITS active hospital staff. The Department MAY ACCEPT OTHER evidence OR SHALL CONSIDER IT appropriate training if the staff physician:
  - (I) IS board certified or board eligible in pediatric cardiology by the American Board of Pediatrics;
  - (II) IS credentialed by the hospital to perform pediatric cardiac catheterizations; and
  - (III) HAS trained consistently with the recommendations of the American College of Cardiology.

(J) A cardiac catheterization service shall be directed by an appropriately trained physician. The

Department shall consider appropriate training OF THE director if the physician is board certified in

each of the FIVE preceding years. The Department may accept other evidence that the director is

(3) COMPLIANCE WITH THE FOLLOWING ACCESS TO CARE REQUIREMENTS:

cardiology, cardiovascular radiology or cardiology, adult or pediatric, as applicable. The director of an

adult cardiac catheterization service shall have performed at least 200 catheterizations per year during

(K) A cardiac catheterization service shall be operated consistently with the recommendations of the

(A) The SERVICE shall accept referrals for cardiac catheterization-from all appropriately licensed

(B) THE SERVICE shall participate in Medicaid at least 12 consecutive months within the first two

(C) THE SERVICE SHALL not deny cardiac catheterization services to any individual based on ability

(D) THE OPERATION OF AND REFERRAL OF PATIENTS TO THE CARDIAC CATHETERIZATION

SERVICE SHALL BE IN CONFORMANCE WITH 1978 PA 368, SEC. 16221, AS AMENDED BY 1986 PA

(A) THE SERVICE SHALL BE OPERATING AT OR ABOVE THE APPLICABLE VOLUMES IN THE

SECOND 12 MONTHS OF OPERATION OF THE SERVICE, OR AN ADDITIONAL LABORATORY, AND

(I) 300 PROCEDURE EQUIVALENTS IN THE CATEGORY OF ADULT DIAGNOSTIC CARDIAC

(II) 300 PROCEDURE EQUIVALENTS IN THE CATEGORY OF ADULT THERAPEUTIC CARDIAC

(V) 750 PROCEDURE EQUIVALENTS FOR A HOSPITAL IN A METROPOLITAN COUNTY WITH

(VI) 1,000 PROCEDURE EQUIVALENTS PER CARDIAC CATHETERIZATION LABORATORY FOR

(VIII) 350 ADULT PCI CASES FOR AN ELECTIVE PCI SERVICE WITHIN ONE-HOUR DRIVE TIME

(IX) 250 ADULT PCI CASES FOR AN ELECTIVE PCI SERVICE MORE THAN ONE-HOUR DRIVE

Department or its designee. Data may include, but is not limited to, annual budget and cost information,

operating schedules, PATIENT demographics, morbidity and mortality information, AND payor. The

Department or its designee. The HOSPITAL SHALL submit summary reports as required by the

(C) The HOSPITAL shall participate in a quality improvement data registry administered by the

Department. The HOSPITAL shall provide the required data in a format established by the Department or

its designee. The HOSPITAL IS liable for the cost of data submission and on-site reviews in order for the

Department to verify and monitor volumes and assure quality. THE HOSPITAL MUST become a member

of the data registry upon initiation of the service and continue to participate annually thereafter FOR THE

(B) The HOSPITAL shall participate in a data collection network established and administered by the

(III) 600 PROCEDURE EQUIVALENTS IN THE CATEGORY OF PEDIATRIC CARDIAC

(VII) 36 ADULT PRIMARY PCI CASES FOR A PRIMARY PCI SERVICE.

OF AN EXISTING HOSPITAL WITH AN OPEN HEART SURGICAL SERVICE.

Department may verify the data through on-site review of appropriate records.

TIME OF AN EXISTING HOSPITAL WITH AN OPEN HEART SURGICAL SERVICE.

(IV) 500 PROCEDURE EQUIVALENTS FOR A HOSPITAL IN A RURAL OR MICROPOLITAN

(4) Compliance with THE FOLLOWING MONITORING AND REPORTING REQUIREMENTS:

- 472 473 474 475 476
- 477 478

appropriately trained.

practitioners.

American College of Cardiology.

to pay or source of payment.

ANNUALLY THEREAFTER:

ONE LABORATORY.

years of operation and annually thereafter.

319; MCL 333.1621; MSA 14.15 (16221).

CATHETERIZATION PROCEDURES.

CATHETERIZATION PROCEDURES.

CATHETERIZATION PROCEDURES.

COUNTY WITH ONE LABORATORY.

TWO OR MORE LABORATORIES.

- 479 480 481
- 482 483 484 485
- 486 487 488
- 489 490 491
- 492 493
- 494 495 496
- 497 498 499
- 500 501
- 502 503 504 505
- 506 507 508
- 510 511 512

513

509

- 514 515 516
- 517 518
- 519 520
- 521 522 523
- LIFE OF THAT SERVICE. 524

CON Review Standards for CC Services

For CON Commission Proposed Action June 9, 2011

CON-210

- (5) COMPLIANCE WITH THE FOLLOWING PRIMARY PCI REQUIREMENTS, IF APPLICABLE:
- (A) THE requirements set forth in Section 3(4).

- (B) THE HOSPITAL shall immediately report to the Department any changes in the interventional cardiologists who perform the primary PCI procedures.
- (C) The HOSPITAL shall perform a minimum of 36 primary PCI procedures at the HOSPITAL in the preceding 12–month PERIOD OF OPERATION OF THE SERVICE and annually thereafter.
- (D) THE HOSPITAL SHALL MAINTAIN A 90-MINUTE DOOR-TO-BALLON TIME OR LESS IN AT LEAST 75% OF THE PRIMARY PCI SESSIONS.
- (E) The HOSPITAL shall participate in a data registry, administered by the Department or its designee. The Department or its designee shall require that the applicant submit data on all consecutive cases of primary PCI as is necessary to comprehensively assess and provide comparative analyses of case selection, processes and outcome of care, and trend in efficiency. The applicant shall provide the required data in a format established by the Department or its designee. The applicant shall be liable for the cost of data submission and on-site reviews in order for the Department to verify and monitor volumes and assure quality.
  - (6) COMPLIANCE WITH THE FOLLOWING ELECTIVE PCI REQUIREMENTS, IF APPLICABLE:
  - (A) THE REQUIREMENTS SET FORTH IN SECTION 3(5).
- (B) THE HOSPITAL SHALL PARTICIPATE IN A BENCHMARKED PCI DATA REGISTRY DESIGNED BY THE DEPARTMENT THAT INCLUDES ALL THE FOLLOWING:
  - (I) PATIENT AND CLINICAL DESCRIPTIONS.
  - (II) MEASURES OF OUTCOMES.
- (III) MEASURE OF THE ACC APPROPRIATE USE OF THE PROCEDURE INCLUDING STS OR SYNTAX SCORE IN EACH PATIENT. THE DEPARTMENT SHALL REQUIRE THAT THE HOSPITAL SUBMIT DATA ON ALL PCI CASES IN A FORMAT ESTABLISHED BY THE DEPARTMENT. THE HOSPITAL SHALL BE LIABLE FOR COSTS OF DATA SUBMISSION. THE DEPARTMENT SHALL REQUIRE THAT THE HOSPITAL SUBMIT A SUMMARY REPORT ON AN ANNUAL BASIS THAT SHALL BE MADE AVAILABLE TO THE GENERAL PUBLIC.
- (C) THE HOSPITAL SHALL PARTICIPATE IN AN EXTERNAL IMPARTIAL OVERSIGHT BODY TO BE DESIGNATED BY THE DEPARTMENT. THE HOSPITAL SHALL BE LIABLE FOR THE COSTS OF PARTICIPATING IN THIS OVERSIGHT PROCESS AND MUST CONTINUE TO PARTICIPATE ANNUALLY THEREAFTER. THE OVERSIGHT BODY SHALL PRODUCE AN ANNUAL REPORT OF ALL PCI PROGRAM THAT WILL CONTAIN ALL THE FOLLOWING:
  - (I) COMPLICATION RATES.
  - (II) NUMBER OF PROCEDURES PERFORMED PER OPERATOR.
  - (III) SUCCESS RATES.
  - (IV) APPROPRIATE USE RATES.
  - (V) PATIENT TRANSFER RATES.
- (VI) THE OVERSIGHT BODY SHALL REVIEW THE FINDINGS WITH EACH OF THE PARTICIPATING HOSPITALS AS A GROUP AND SHALL PROVIDE THOSE FINDINGS TO THE DEPARTMENT TO BE MADE AVAILABLE TO THE GENERAL PUBLIC. ALL ELECTIVE PCI SERVICES PERFORMING LESS THAN 250 PCI CASES PER YEAR IN ANY GIVEN YEAR MUST HAVE ALL CASES REVIEWED BY THIS OVERSIGHT BODY FOR APPROPRIATENESS AND OUTCOMES.
- (D) THE HOSPITAL SHALL INCLUDE IN THEIR CONSENT FOR PCI NOTIFICATION TO THE PATIENT THAT THE HOSPITAL DOES NOT PROVIDE ON-SITE OPEN HEART SURGICAL SERVICES AND THAT TRANSFER TO A HOSPITAL WITH OPEN HEART SURGICAL SERVICES MAY BE NECESSARY.
- (E) THE HOSPITAL SHALL ESTABLISH AN INTERNAL REVIEW BODY, INCLUDING AT A MINIMUM THE CHIEF MEDICAL OFFICER, DIRECTOR OF CARDIOVASCULAR SERVICES, DIRECTOR OF CARDIOVASCULAR SERVICES FOR THE HOSPITAL WITH OPEN HEART SURGICAL SERVICES (OR EQUIVALENT PHYSICIAN REPRESENTATIVES), THAT SHALL REVIEW AT LEAST ANNUAL REPORTS DESCRIBING THE ACTIVITIES OF THE CARDIAC CATHETERIZATION SERVICE

- 578 I

- INCLUDING COMPLICATION RATES, MORBIDITY AND MORTALITY, SUCCESS RATES AND THE NUMBER OF PROCEDURES PERFORMED AND PROCEDURES REQUIRING TRANSFER.
- (F) THE HOSPITAL SHALL EMPLOY APPROPRIATE DATA MANAGEMENT PERSONNEL TO INSURE TIMELY AND ACCURATE REPORTING TO THE REGISTRY AND REVIEWING BODIES STATED ABOVE.
- (G) EACH PHYSICIAN CREDENTIALED BY A HOSPITAL TO PERFORM PCI CASES SHALL PERFORM, AS THE PRIMARY OPERATOR, A MINIMUM OF 100 PCI CASES PER YEAR IN THE SECOND 12 MONTHS AFTER BEING CREDENTIALED AND ANNUALLY THEREAFTER. THE ANNUAL CASE LOAD FOR A PHYSICIAN MEANS PCI CASES PERFORMED BY THAT PHYSICIAN IN ANY COMBINATION OF HOSPITALS.
- (H) EACH PHYSICIAN MUST ALSO MAINTAIN THE FOLLOWING IN ORDER TO BE CREDENTIALED:
  - (I) PARTICIPATION IN AN INSTITUTIONAL QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.
  - (II) BOARD CERTIFIED IN INTERVENTIONAL CARDIOLOGY.
  - (III) PERFORMED AT LEAST 300 PCI CASES TOTAL SINCE FELLOWSHIP.
- (IV) AT LEAST 30 HOURS OF CONTINUING MEDICAL EDUCATION DIRECTED TOWARD INTERVENTIONAL CARDIOLOGY EVERY 24 MONTHS.
- (I) THE MEDICAL DIRECTOR OF THE HOSPITAL SHALL PERFORM PCI PROCEDURES AT THE CONTRACTED HOSPITAL WITH OPEN HEART SURGICAL SERVICES AND SHALL ALSO PERFORM PCI PROCEDURES AT THE ELECTIVE PCI SERVICE HOSPITAL DURING EACH YEAR UNTIL THE HOSPITAL REACHES MINIMUM VOLUME.
- (J) THE HOSPITAL SHALL ALWAYS HAVE IN PLACE A WRITTEN AGREEMENT MEETING ALL OF THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE WRITTEN AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE HOSPITAL AND THE HOSPITAL WITH THE OPEN HEART SURGICAL SERVICE AS LONG AS THE ELECTIVE PCI SERVICE DOES NOT HAVE ON-SITE OPEN HEART SURGICAL SERVICES, BUT MAY CHANGE THE CONTRACTED OPEN HEART SURGICAL HOSPITAL.

#### Section 9. Methodology for computing cardiac catheterization equivalents

Sec. 9. The following shall be used in calculating PROCEDURE EQUIVALENTS and evaluating utilization of a cardiac catheterization SERVICE AND ITS laboratories:

PROCEDURE TYPE	PROCEDURE EQUIVALENT	
	Adult	Pediatric
Diagnostic cardiac catheterization/PERIPHERAL SESSIONS	1.5	2.7
Therapeutic cardiac catheterization/PERIPHERAL SESSIONS	2.7	4.0
COMPLEX PERCUTANEOUS VALVULAR SESSIONS*	4.0	7.0

<sup>\*</sup> COMPLEX PERCUTANEOUS VALVULAR SESSIONS INCLUDES, BUT IS NOT LIMITED TO, PROCEDURES PERFORMED PERCUTANEOUSLY OR WITH SURGICAL ASSISTANCE TO REPAIR OR REPLACE AORTIC, MITRAL AND PULMONARY VALVES SUCH AS TRANSCATHETER AORTIC VALVULAR IMPLANTATION (TAVI) PROCEDURES. THESE SESSIONS CAN ONLY BE PERFORMED AT HOSPITALS APPROVED WITH OPEN HEART SURGERY SERVICES.

#### Section 10. Documentation of projections

- Sec. 10. An applicant required to project volumes shall DEMONSTRATE THE FOLLOWING, AS APPLICABLE TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT:
- (1) THE applicant shall specify how the volume projections were developed. Specification of the projections shall include a description of the data source(s) used AND assessment of the accuracy of the data. The Department shall determine if the projections are reasonable.

620 621

622 623 624

625 626 627

628 629

630 631

632 633 634

635 636

637 638

639 640 641

642 643

657 658 659

660 661 662

663 664 665

- SHOWING THE NUMBER OF DOSES OF THROMBOLYTIC THERAPY ORDERED AND MEDICAL RECORDS OF EMERGENCY TRANSFERS OF AMI PATIENTS TO AN APPROPRIATE HOSPITAL FOR A PRIMARY PCI PROCEDURE. (3) AN APPLICANT PROPOSING TO INITIATE AN ELECTIVE PCI SERVICE SHALL
  - DEMONSTRATE AND CERTIFY THE FOLLOWING: (A) PHYSICIAN COMMITMENTS OF PCI CASES PERFORMED AT AN EXISTING CARDIAC CATHETERIZATION SERVICE IN THE SAME HEALTH SERVICE AREA.

(2) AN APPLICANT PROPOSING TO INITIATE A PRIMARY PCI SERVICE SHALL

DEMONSTRATE AND CERTIFY THAT THE HOSPITAL TREATED OR TRANSFERRED 36 ST

THROMBOLYTIC ELIGIBLE PATIENTS DOCUMENTED THROUGH PHARMACY RECORDS

SEGMENT ELEVATION AMI CASES DURING THE MOST RECENT 12-MONTH PERIOD PRECEDING

THE DATE THE APPLICATION WAS SUBMITTED TO THE DEPARTMENT. CASES MAY INCLUDE

- (I) COMMITMENTS OF PCI CASES SHALL NOT REDUCE AN EXISTING CARDIAC CATHETERIZATION SERVICE BELOW ITS APPLICABLE VOLUME REQUIREMENT.
- (II) COMMITMENTS OF PCI CASES DO NOT REPRESENT DUPLICATE CASES WITHIN THIS SUBSECTION.
  - (III) COMMITMENTS IDENTIFY THE FOLLOWING:
- (A) THE NAME OF EACH PHYSICIAN THAT PERFORMED PCI CASES TO BE COMMITTED TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT.
- (B) THE NUMBER OF PCI CASES THAT EACH PHYSICIAN PERFORMED DURING THE MOST RECENT 12 MONTHS VERIFIABLE BY THE DEPARTMENT.
  - (C) THE LOCATIONS AT WHICH THE COMMITTED PCI CASES WERE PERFORMED.
- (D) A WRITTEN COMMITMENT FROM EACH PHYSICIAN THAT HE OR SHE WILL PERFORM AT LEAST THE VOLUME OF PCI CASES COMMITTED TO THE PROPOSED ELECTIVE PCI SERVICE FOR NO LESS THAN THREE YEARS SUBSEQUENT TO THE INITIATION OF THE SERVICE PROPOSED BY THE APPLICANT.
- (E) THE NUMBER OF PCI CASES PERFORMED AT THE EXISTING CARDIAC CATHETERIZATION SERVICE FROM WHICH PCI CASES WILL BE TRANSFERRED DURING THE MOST RECENT 12- MONTH PERIOD VERIFIABLE BY THE DEPARTMENT FOR WHICH ANNUAL SURVEY DATA IS AVAILABLE.
- (B) DOCUMENTATION OF EXISTING PATIENT TRANSFERS FROM THE APPLICANT HOSPITAL TO A PCI SERVICE OR OPEN HEART SURGERY HOSPITAL FOR PURPOSES OF RECEIVING A PCI PROCEDURE. IN DEMONSTRATING COMPLIANCE, AN APPLICANT SHALL PROVIDE THE FOLLOWING FOR EACH PATIENT TRANSFER IN THE MOST RECENT 12-MONTH PERIOD VERIFIABLE BY THE DEPARTMENT:
  - (I) UNIQUE PATIENT IDENTIFIER.
  - (II) ICD-9, OR EQUIVALENT, DIAGNOSIS CODE.
  - (III) HOSPITAL WHERE THE PATIENT WAS TRANSFERRED.
  - (IV) PHYSICIAN PATIENT TRANSFERRED TO.
  - (V) DATE OF PATIENT TRANSFER.
- (C) EXISTING PCI CASES PERFORMED AT THE APPLICANT HOSPITAL IN THE MOST RECENT 12 MONTHS VERIFIABLE BY THE DEPARTMENT.

#### Section 11. Comparative reviews; Effect on prior CON Review Standards

Sec. 11. PROPOSED projects reviewed under these standards shall not be subject to comparative review. These CON Review Standards supercede and replace the CON Review Standards for Cardiac Catheterization Services approved by the CON Commission on DECEMBER 11, 2007 and effective on FEBRUARY 25, 2008.

670 671				APPENDIX A
672 673	HEALTH SERVICE AREAS	COUNTIES		
674 675 676 677	1 – SOUTHEAST	LIVINGSTON MACOMB WAYNE	MONROE OAKLAND	ST. CLAIR WASHTENAW
678 679 680	2 - MID-SOUTHERN	CLINTON EATON	HILLSDALE INGHAM	JACKSON LENAWEE
681 682 683 684	3 - SOUTHWEST	BARRY BERRIEN BRANCH	CALHOUN CASS KALAMAZOO	ST. JOSEPH VAN BUREN
684 685 686 687 688 689	4 – WEST	ALLEGAN IONIA KENT LAKE	MASON MECOSTA MONTCALM MUSKEGON	NEWAYGO OCEANA OSCEOLA OTTAWA
690 691	5 - GLS	GENESEE	LAPEER	SHIAWASSEE
692 693 694 695 696 697	6 – EAST	ARENAC BAY CLARE GLADWIN GRATIOT	HURON IOSCO ISABELLA MIDLAND OGEMAW	ROSCOMMON SAGINAW SANILAC TUSCOLA
698 699 700 701 702 703 704	7 – NORTHERN LOWER	ALCONA ALPENA ANTRIM BENZIE CHARLEVOIX CHEBOYGAN	CRAWFORD EMMET GRAND TRAVERSE KALKASKA LEELANAU MANISTEE	MISSAUKEE MONTMORENCY OSCODA OTSEGO PRESQUE ISLE WEXFORD
705 706 707 708 709	8 – UPPER PENINSULA	ALGER BARAGA CHIPPEWA DELTA DICKINSON	GOGEBIC HOUGHTON IRON KEWEENAW LUCE	MACKINAC MARQUETTE MENOMINEE ONTONAGON SCHOOLCRAFT

710 711				APPENDIX B	
712 713	Rural Michigan counties are as follows:				
714	Alcona	Hillsdale	Ogemaw		
715	Alger	Huron	Ontonagon		
716	Antrim	losco	Osceola		
717	Arenac	Iron	Oscoda		
718	Baraga	Lake	Otsego		
719	Charlevoix	Luce	Presque Isle		
720	Cheboygan	Mackinac	Roscommon		
721	Clare	Manistee	Sanilac		
722	Crawford	Mason	Schoolcraft		
723	Emmet	Montcalm	Tuscola		
724	Gladwin	Montmorency			
725	Gogebic	Oceana			
726	Ü				
727 728	Micropolitan statistical area Michigan counties are as follows:				
729	Allegan	Gratiot	Mecosta		
730	Alpena	Houghton	Menominee		
731	Benzie	Isabella	Midland		
732	Branch	Kalkaska	Missaukee		
733	Chippewa	Keweenaw	St. Joseph		
734	Delta	Leelanau	Shiawassee		
735	Dickinson	Lenawee	Wexford		
736 737	Grand Traverse	Marquette			
738 739	Metropolitan statistical area Michigan counties are as follows:				
740	Barry	Ionia	Newaygo		
741	Bay	Jackson	Oakland		
742	Berrien	Kalamazoo	Ottawa		
743	Calhoun	Kent	Saginaw		
744	Cass	Lapeer	St. Clair		
745	Clinton	Livingston	Van Buren		
746	Eaton	Macomb	Washtenaw		
747	Genesee	Monroe	Wayne		
748 749	Ingham	Muskegon			
750	Source:				
751	65 F.R., p. 82238 (December 27, 2000)				
752	Statistical Policy Office				
753	Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs				
754	United States Office of Management and Budget				