Documentation Checklist: Process Guideline for Evaluation of Falls/Fall Risk October 1, 2001

Resident:	Date:
-----------	-------

Facility assessment or MDS Triggers indicate that this resident may be at risk for, or has experienced a fall. This checklist can be used to guide and document appropriate care process used in response to this concern:

A fall is considered to be a sudden, unplanned movement to the ground from a higher elevation. Each facility should have a specific protocol identifying the time frame for performing a falls risk assessment. The facility should examine resident-specific fall-related issues, even if they have not yet completed the MDS.

For some residents, falling or fall risk is not relevant, or is a low priority. Facilities may prioritize considerations of fall risk or approach to falling in specific residents if it is based on a systematic approach. If the facility concludes that fall risk in not relevant, it should be able to produce some evidence to support that conclusion (i.e., a comatose resident would not require additional documentation).

If a concern for falls is triggered during the survey process, the facility will be given the opportunity to demonstrate that it has followed the steps in this checklist, as evidence to support an appropriate care process related to falls and fall risk. Evidence of appropriate care process will be considered in determining whether an adverse event (a negative outcome), or the potential for an adverse event, related to falls and fall risk can be attributed to a deficient facility practice. If attributable to a preventable (avoidable) deficient facility practice, this checklist may also be used in analyzing the severity of the deficiency, if a citation should result.

F-tags, which are typically associated with falls, are provided for each of the Tables. Other tags may also be appropriate.

NOTE: Items #7, 10, 11, 13, 15(a), denote physician or physician-extender participation.

MDS/Fall RAP Key Guidelines Fall Assessment and Problem Definition			
May relate to F Tag: 272 (Assessment), 309 (Quality of Care)	Yes	No	NA
1. Is there documentation that an assessment for resident-specific fall-related			
risks was begun within 24 hours of admission, fall, or significant change?			
2. Did the MDS include any triggers for fall risk?			
[On the MDS Version 2.0 these include: Wandering (E4aA = any of 1,2,3 checked); Dizziness			
(J1f = checked); History of Falls (J4a or J4b checked); Use of Anti-Anxiety Drugs (O4b = any of			
1-7 checked); Use of Antidepressant Drugs (O4c = any of 1-7 checked); or Use of Trunk Restraint			
(P4c - either 1-2 checked)]			
3. Have major risk factors for falls and serious consequences of falls been			
considered? [See Falls RAP Key Guidelines (i.e., multiple falls, internal risk factors, external			
risk factors, medications, appliances and devices, environmental and situational hazards).			
Additional examples of conditions representing risk factors for falls may be found in the American			
Medical Directors Association (AMDA) Falls and Fall Risk Guideline, Tables 1 & 2.]			
4. Is there documentation that the physician or physician extender has been			
notified if there is a significance of falls or fall risk in this resident?			
5.a) For residents who have fallen previously, is there documentation of a review			
of circumstances under which the fall occurred,			
5.b) and documentation of evaluation for potential immediate and delayed			
consequences?			

RAP Fa	ll Assessment and Problem Analysis			
), 323 (Accidents), 324 (Supervision and			
•	Drugs), 498 (Proficiency of Nurse Aides)	Yes	No	NA
	pecific resident identified and documented			
in the RAP? These may include:				
History: [Fall history should include any	a. Previous or multiple falls			
co-existing symptoms, modifying factors,				
location, timing and context.]				
External Factors:	b. Currently taking medications			
	commonly associated with injury from			
	falls (see AMDA Falls Guideline Table 2,			
	consider antianxiety/hypnotic agents,			
	anticholinergics, anticoagulants, antidepressants,			
	antihypertensives, cardiovascular and diuretics,			
	among others)			
	c. Recent medication change (should trigger review of all medications)			
	d. Potential multiple medication			
	interactions			
	e. Appliances or devices (e.g., cane, walker,			
	crutch, footwear, gaitbelt, wheelchair, mechanical lifts, pacemaker, restraints, reduction of restraint			
	without alternatives)			
	f. Environmental factors (e.g., glare, poor			
	lighting, slippery or wet floors, uneven surfaces,			
	patterned carpet, foreign objects, new			
	environment) [See AMDA Falls Guideline Table			
	4.]			
	g. Situational Factors (e.g., recent transfer,			
	time of day, time since meal, proximity to other			
	residents, type of activity, responding to toileting urgency, lack of staffing, failure to supervise,			
	abuse/neglect)			
Internal Factors:	h. Cardiovascular (e.g., cardiac dysrhythmia,			
	hypotension, lightheadedness, dizziness, vertigo,			
	syncope)			
	i. Neuromuscular/functional (e.g., loss or			
	decline in use of arm or leg movement, balance			
	and gait disorder, CVA, chronic or acute			
	conditions with instability, weakness, weight loss,			
	decline in functional status, incontinence, Parkinson's, seizure disorder)			
	j. Orthopedic (e.g., joint pain, arthritis, hip			
	fracture, amputation, osteoporosis)			
	k. Perceptual (e.g., impaired vision, impaired			
	hearing)			
	1. Cognitive/Behavioral (e.g., delirium,			
	decline in cognition, confusion, depression,			
	dementia, change in LOC, exacerbation in			
	behavioral pattern, combativeness, refusal of			
	intervention. Resident noncompliance is not			
	necessarily, and of itself, an adequate explanation or justification for continued falling, because			
	underlying causes may occur in conjunction with			
	noncompliance.)			

7. Did the physician or physician extender participate in the evaluation of this		
resident to identify the causes of falls or fall risks to the extent that a likely		
medical cause or no cause was identified? [The responsibility for changes in the		
resident's medical plan of care is contingent on a review of medications, adverse drug reactions or		
interactions, lab values, screening for gross vision and gait/balance deficiencies, assessment of		
lower limb joints, neurological and cardiovascular systems, etc.]		
8. If this resident was not evaluated to identify the causes of falling or fall risks,		
does the facility explain why the resident was not further evaluated OR why		
identifying causes would not have changed the management.		

Care Plan Treatment and Management of Falls			
May relate to F Tag: 279/280 (Comprehensive Care Plans), 309 (Quality	y of		
Care), 323 (Resident Environment), 324 (Adequate Supervision)		No	NA
9. Does the care plan contain cause-specific interventions to prevent or min	imize		
resident fall risk, falls and complications from falls OR has the facility modi	fied		
the care plan to accommodate the expectation of a continued risk, when caus	se-		
specific interventions or adjustments cannot be accomplished?			
10. Is there documentation that the physician or physician extender helped	L L		
identify, or authorized, cause-specific interventions in this resident's care pla	ın, if		
indicated? [It is possible that no cause of falling may be identified despite a comprehensi	ıve		
evaluation. If cause cannot be readily identified, then adverse drug reactions, gait and bala	nce		
disorders should be considered initially.]			
11. If this resident falls, (without another obvious cause) is there physician or			
physician extender documentation of a trial adjustment of medications or			
medication combinations commonly associated with falls to judge their poss	ible		
effect on falling OR an explanation as to why this could not be attempted?			
12. Is there evidence to demonstrate that the care plan has been implemente	d?		
13.a) Does the facility document monitoring of the resident's response to			
interventions?			
13.b) and document a periodic review of approaches for applicability to the			
current situation?			
14. Does the care plan document that previously selected interventions were	e re-		
evaluated if falling continued (until falls stopped or declined markedly), OR docum	ent		
that the physician or physician extender helped to identify or verify likely			
reasons why falling continued despite interventions? [A facility should consider			
causes (root cause analysis) but is not obligated to pursue all possible interventions. A fac			
should be able to provide some justification for a decision not to pursue additional intervent	tions in		
resident who continue to fall.]	-ion of		
15.a) After a fall associated with injury, does the facility document notificat	10n 01		
the physician or physician extender?			
15.b) and document that actual consequences were addressed, based on	(ID.4		
prominence of signs and symptoms, with re-evaluation until stable? [See AMDA			
Falls and Fall Risk Guidelines Table 3.] 15.c) and document observation for possible delayed consequences of a fall	(lata		
evidence of fracture, subdural hematoma, etc.) for at least 48 hours? [Delayed consequences of a fair			
are not uncommon and may occur within several days after the fall; occasionally they can o			
several weeks later.]			
16.a) Is there documentation of staff awareness of policy/procedures for res	ident		
falls?			
	<u> </u>		
Signatures of Person(s) completing form:			
Signature	Date		
			
Signature	Date		