

## Justification Forms Summary Report Directions

This document provides an index of Justification Forms separated by ISD and the ISD's Member Districts. The index acts as a Table of Contents so you can easily navigate this PDF. To enable this feature, go to the "View" tab in your PDF viewer application menu bar and look for the option to show or enable the Bookmarks Panel. Once enabled, the Bookmarks panel should appear on the left side of the screen in the navigation pane. Some applications may require you to press the "Bookmarks" icon in the navigation panel to open the Bookmarks panel. Once the Bookmarks panel is open, click a district name to advance you to that district's justification form within the document. For additional assistance, contact the Catamaran Help Desk at [help@catamaran.partners](mailto:help@catamaran.partners) or 877-474-9023 (Toll Free).



# Exceeding 1% Cap on Participation in State Alternate Assessment Intermediate School District (ISD) Summary Justification Form FY 2019-2020

## ISD Name: Eaton RESA

All intermediate school districts must complete this summary form regarding the percentage of students assessed using Michigan’s alternate assessment (MI-Access). This includes justification forms from member districts/public school academies (PSA) that assessed more than 1% of students in the spring of 2019 using MI-Access.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

## Data

Data	Total
Number of member districts/PSAs including the ISD (only if the ISD runs internal programs)	11
Number of member districts/PSAs including the ISD (only if the ISD runs internal programs) that assessed more than 1% of all students tested in the spring of 2019 using MI-Access (in grades 3-8 and 11)	5

## Technical Assistance and Professional Development

**Tier I:** Please provide a summary of technical assistance and/or professional development provided as a result of feedback from MDE regarding the 2019 test year data and justification form review. Include any professional development and targeted assistance the ISD offered to all member districts, provided by MDE and/or the ISD and MDE together.

Date Occurred	Summary of Technical Assistance and/or Professional Development
10/03/2019	Provided overview and forms to local district special education directors on the alternate assessment guidelines
08/15/2019	Provided training to all related service staff who service all local districts on the alternate assessment guidelines
02/18/2020	Provided overview and link to MDE OSE resources on alternate assessment guidelines to all local district directors.

**Tier II/III:** Please provide a summary of technical assistance and/or professional development provided as a result of feedback from MDE regarding the 2019 test year data and justification form review. Include professional development and technical assistance the ISD provided for each specific member district that was flagged for disproportionality regarding assessment participation. Include technical assistance or professional development provided by MDE and/or the ISD and MDE together.

Member District	Date Occurred	Summary of Technical Assistance and/or Professional Development
Grand Ledge Public Schools	01/07/2020	TA was offered Grand Ledge on disproportionality in assessment participation. This also was offered on 1/8/20, and

What resources or support is needed from MDE for the ISD to carry out the plan?

*None*

## Assurances

**Please provide the following assurances.**

The ISD has provided or will provide targeted assistance to member districts/PSAs that have been flagged for disproportionality for participation in the alternate assessment as outlined on the previous page.

Yes  No

Targeted assistance and professional development include an emphasis on member districts/PSAs using the state assessment selection guidance documents and online trainings.

Yes  No

Assessment selection is an IEP team decision and will not be overridden by administrative or procedural decisions.

Yes  No



# Member District Exceeding 1% Cap Justification Form FY 2019-2020

## Member District: **Eaton RESA**

The *Every Student Succeeds Act* (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

## Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	70.6	66.7	69.7	+
Mathematics	69.7	66.7	69.7	+
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	50	14.3	33.3	+

## Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

Yes    No

Please list professional development, informational sessions, and technical assistance provided regarding the 1% Cap and/or assessment selection and participation from January 1, 2019 to present.

Audience	Date Occurred	Topics and Method of Training	Delivered by
Special Education Teachers	08/15/2019	Alternative Assessment Guidelines	ISD Staff
Special Education Related Service Staff and Administration	08/15/2019	Alternative Assessment Guidelines	ISD Staff

### Contributing Factors

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

- Yes    No

Select the option that applies:

- Fewer than 8 students took MI-Access.    8 or more students took MI-Access.

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

- Yes    No

Utilizing the Alternate Assessment data reports, complete the following table.

Assessment Data Table	Number
Total Number of students tested overall	34
Total Number of students tested with MI-Access	24
Students in center-based program from outside district	24
<b>Adjusted Total</b>	10
<b>Adjusted Participation Number</b>	0
<b>Adjusted Rate</b>	0%

Is there other justification to provide?

- Yes    No

## 2020 Projected Participation Rate

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate	Number
Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11	111
Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	21
<b>Projected Participation Rate</b>	18.9%

## Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date
Special Education Teachers and Related Service Staff	Alternative Assessment Guidelines	02/18/2020

## Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

Yes  No

## **Assurances**

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

Yes    No

The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

Yes    No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

Yes    No



# Member District Exceeding 1% Cap Justification Form FY 2019-2020

## **Member District: Island City Academy**

The *Every Student Succeeds Act* (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

### **Data**

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

<b>Content Area</b>	<b>Spring 2017 Participation Rate</b>	<b>Spring 2018 Participation Rate</b>	<b>Spring 2019 Participation Rate</b>	<b>Change</b>
<b>English Language Arts</b>	0	0	0	No change
<b>Mathematics</b>	0	0	0	No change
<b>Science</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Social Studies</b>	0	0	0	No change





# Member District Exceeding 1% Cap Justification Form FY 2019-2020

## Member District: Charlotte Public Schools

The *Every Student Succeeds Act* (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

## Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	1.4	1.1	1	-
Mathematics	1.4	1.1	1	-
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	2.3	1.5	0.9	-



# Member District Exceeding 1% Cap Justification Form FY 2019-2020

## Member District: Eaton Rapids Public Schools

The *Every Student Succeeds Act* (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

## Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	2.5	1.5	1.4	-
Mathematics	2.6	1.6	1.4	-
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	3.1	1.5	1.7	+

## Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

Yes    No

Please list professional development, informational sessions, and technical assistance provided regarding the 1% Cap and/or assessment selection and participation from January 1, 2019 to present.

Audience	Date Occurred	Topics and Method of Training	Delivered by
Special Education Teachers/TCs	01/02/2019	Emails were sent to staff providing general guidance on the topic throughout the year as appropriate.	District Staff
Special Education Teachers/TCs	04/09/2020	Informal individual (or small group) conversations about the related topics between district administration and building level staff.	District Staff

## Contributing Factors

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

Yes  No

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

Yes  No

Is there other justification to provide?

Yes  No

## 2020 Projected Participation Rate

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate	Number
Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11	1187
Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	19
<b>Projected Participation Rate</b>	1.6%

## Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date
SE/GE teachers/staff	We will offer one or more of the following: In person PD, Web based PD, Informational emails/correspondence covering in whole or parts of: assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction.	03/05/2020

## Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

Yes    No

Please detail the need for additional resources and technical assistance.

*Information and materials to assist with presentations of these topics.*

## Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

Yes    No

The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

Yes    No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

Yes    No



# Member District Exceeding 1% Cap Justification Form FY 2019-2020

## Member District: Grand Ledge Public Schools

The *Every Student Succeeds Act* (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

## Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	2.8	2.1	1.2	-
Mathematics	2.6	2.1	1.1	-
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	1.5	1.2	0.8	-

## Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

Yes    No

Please list professional development, informational sessions, and technical assistance provided regarding the 1% Cap and/or assessment selection and participation from January 1, 2019 to present.

Audience	Date Occurred	Topics and Method of Training	Delivered by
District SE Staff	08/15/2019	District wide training regarding special education updates: specific state testing and 1% rationale	District Staff
District Admin Staff	08/01/2019	Discussion of current trends; and continued as released (1/3/2020) data has become processed.	District Staff

## Contributing Factors

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

Yes  No

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

Yes  No

Is there other justification to provide?

Yes  No

Please describe other justification:

*District supports neighboring districts with students needing a higher level of specialized instruction. These students are programmed within our CI programs and participate with the MiAccess assessment process.*

## 2020 Projected Participation Rate

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate	Number
Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11	2670
Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	29
<b>Projected Participation Rate</b>	1.1%

## Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date
District Administration	S2E2's: Determination of S2E2 within district data	03/10/2020

## Disproportionality

Did the member district receive notification from the ISD regarding disproportionality in participation in the alternate assessment?

Yes  No

The district reported disproportionality in participation in the alternate assessment. Please indicate below each sub-group of disproportionality, as well as the subject for which disproportionate use was demonstrated, and the district plan to address the indicated issues of disproportionality.

### Economically Disadvantaged Status

Math	Continued evaluation of appropriate assessments for students within the district.
------	---

## Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

Yes  No

## Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

Yes  No

The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

Yes  No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

Yes  No



# Member District Exceeding 1% Cap Justification Form FY 2019-2020

## Member District: **Maple Valley Schools**

The *Every Student Succeeds Act* (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

### Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
<b>English Language Arts</b>	2.8	1.6	1	-
<b>Mathematics</b>	2.8	1.6	1.2	-
<b>Science</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Social Studies</b>	4.4	0.5	1.8	+

### Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

- Yes     No

Please list professional development, informational sessions, and technical assistance provided regarding the 1% Cap and/or assessment selection and participation from January 1, 2019 to present.



Audience	Date Occurred	Topics and Method of Training	Delivered by
Related Service Staff	11/20/2019	MDE Alternate Assessment Guidelines were distributed to staff and reviewed with them.	ISD Staff
Special Ed. Staff	11/15/2019	Email to staff with revised, "Should my child take an alternate assessment." Including reminder to bring to IEP meetings.	District Staff
New special ed. staff	08/23/2019	Face-to-Face meeting to review, MDE Alternate Assessment Guidelines.	District Staff

## Contributing Factors

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

Yes  No

Select the option that applies:

Fewer than 8 students took MI-Access.  8 or more students took MI-Access.

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

Yes  No

Is there other justification to provide?

Yes  No

## 2020 Projected Participation Rate

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate	Number
Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11	497
Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	4
<b>Projected Participation Rate</b>	0.8%

## Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date
Special Ed. staff	Assessment selection & alternate content standards, face-to-face training	03/28/2020

## Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

Yes  No

## Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

Yes  No

The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

Yes  No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

Yes  No



# Member District Exceeding 1% Cap Justification Form FY 2019-2020

## **Member District: Potterville Public Schools**

The *Every Student Succeeds Act* (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

### **Data**

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

<b>Content Area</b>	<b>Spring 2017 Participation Rate</b>	<b>Spring 2018 Participation Rate</b>	<b>Spring 2019 Participation Rate</b>	<b>Change</b>
<b>English Language Arts</b>	1.5	0.5	0	-
<b>Mathematics</b>	1.7	0.5	0	-
<b>Science</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Social Studies</b>	1	0.5	0	-



# Member District Exceeding 1% Cap Justification Form FY 2019-2020

## Member District: Oneida Township S/D #3

The *Every Student Succeeds Act* (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

### Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	0	0	0	No change
Mathematics	0	0	0	No change
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	0	0	0	No change



# Member District Exceeding 1% Cap Justification Form FY 2019-2020

## Member District: Relevant Academy of Eaton County

The *Every Student Succeeds Act* (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

## Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	0	0	0	No change
Mathematics	0	0	0	No change
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	0	0	0	No change



# Member District Exceeding 1% Cap Justification Form FY 2019-2020

## Member District: LifeTech Academy

The *Every Student Succeeds Act* (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

## Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	0	0	0	No change
Mathematics	0	0	0	No change
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	0	0	0	No change



# Member District Exceeding 1% Cap Justification Form FY 2019-2020

## Member District: **Insight School of Michigan**

The *Every Student Succeeds Act* (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

### Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
<b>English Language Arts</b>	0.8	1.6	1.1	-
<b>Mathematics</b>	0.8	1.6	1.1	-
<b>Science</b>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<b>Social Studies</b>	0.6	0.8	1	+

### Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

- Yes     No

Please list professional development, informational sessions, and technical assistance provided regarding the 1% Cap and/or assessment selection and participation from January 1, 2019 to present.

Audience	Date Occurred	Topics and Method of Training	Delivered by
General Education Teachers and Special Education teachers	09/06/2019	Virtual online training on Special Ed. identification and appropriate services, accommodations, modifications, supports, and testing.	District Staff
Special Ed. Teachers	12/13/2019	Testing accommodations and modifications.	District Staff

## Contributing Factors

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

Yes  No

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

Yes  No

Is there other justification to provide?

Yes  No

## 2020 Projected Participation Rate

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate	Number
Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11	157
Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	1
<b>Projected Participation Rate</b>	0.6%

## Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date
Special Ed. Teachers, General Ed. Teachers	Alternate assessment	06/15/2020



## Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

Yes  No

## Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

Yes  No

The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

Yes  No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

Yes  No