

# Diagnostic Assessment Rubric

Assessment Title:

Criterion	Indicators	Rating	Feedback from Reviewers	Compiled Rating
Assessment reliability	<p>Michigan Educator Stakeholders believe the assessment to be reliable</p> <p><b>Evidence includes:</b> Internal consistency, Test-retest, Alternate form reliability</p> <p><b>Evidence Includes:</b> Studies that demonstrate reliability across the entire population for whom the assessment is designed (e.g., grade levels, nationally representative sample)</p>	<p><b>DOES NOT MEET-</b> information is not available for this criteria or information does not demonstrate sufficient evidence.</p> <p><b>PARTIALLY MEETS-</b>partial information is available related to the criterion and/or data demonstrates weak evidence.</p> <p><b>MEETS OR EXCEEDS</b> –most information for the criterion is available and information and data suggest acceptable or strong evidence.</p>		<p>Does not meet –</p> <p>Partially Meets –</p> <p>Meets or Exceeds -</p>
Assessment Validity	<p>Michigan Educator Stakeholders believe the assessment to be valid.</p> <p><b>Evidence includes:</b> Construct, Content, and Consequential.</p>	<p><b>DOES NOT MEET-</b> information is not available for this criteria or information does not demonstrate sufficient evidence.</p> <p><b>PARTIALLY MEETS-</b>partial information is available related to the criterion and/or data demonstrates weak evidence.</p>		

This rubric was designed by an Action Team for Early Literacy. This team consisted of educators from throughout Michigan at the K-20 level. The rubric was designed to meet the legislation in Section 35a(3) and 104d of PA 249 of 2016. The use of this rubric for any other purpose may not be appropriate.

## Diagnostic Assessment Rubric

Criterion	Indicators	Rating	Feedback from Reviewers	Compiled Rating
		<b>MEETS OR EXCEEDS</b> –most information for the criterion is available and information and data suggest acceptable or strong evidence.		
Evidence of content alignment with Phonemic Awareness - segmentation, blending, and sound manipulation (deletion and substitution)	Michigan Educator Stakeholders believe the assessment appropriately measures Phonemic Awareness  <b>Evidence includes:</b> Construct and content validity, Item or sub-test cross walk demonstrating that portions of assessment measure students' Phonemic Awareness skills	<b>DOES NOT MEET</b> - information is not available for this criteria or information does not demonstrate sufficient evidence.  <b>PARTIALLY MEETS</b> -partial information is available related to the criterion and/or data demonstrates weak evidence.  <b>MEETS OR EXCEEDS</b> –most information for the criterion is available and information and data suggest acceptable or strong evidence.		Does not meet –  Partially Meets -  Meets or Exceeds -
Evidence of content alignment with Phonics - decoding (reading) and encoding (spelling)	Michigan Educator Stakeholders believe assessment appropriately measures Phonics  <b>Evidence includes:</b> Construct and content validity, Item or sub-test cross walk demonstrating that portions of	<b>DOES NOT MEET</b> - information is not available for this criteria or information does not demonstrate sufficient evidence.  <b>PARTIALLY MEETS</b> -partial information is		Does not meet –  Partially Meets -  Meets or Exceeds -

This rubric was designed by an Action Team for Early Literacy. This team consisted of educators from throughout Michigan at the K-20 level. The rubric was designed to meet the legislation in Section 35a(3) and 104d of PA 249 of 2016. The use of this rubric for any other purpose may not be appropriate.

## Diagnostic Assessment Rubric

Criterion	Indicators	Rating	Feedback from Reviewers	Compiled Rating
	assessment measure students' Phonics skills	available related to the criterion and/or data demonstrates weak evidence.  <b>MEETS OR EXCEEDS</b> –most information for the criterion is available and information and data suggest acceptable or strong evidence.		
Evidence of content alignment with Fluency - reading rate, accuracy, and expression (prosody)	Michigan Educator Stakeholders believe the assessment appropriately measures reading Fluency  <b>Evidence includes:</b> Content validity, Item or sub-test cross walk demonstrating that portions of assessment measure students' reading Fluency	<b>DOES NOT MEET</b> - information is not available for this criteria or information does not demonstrate sufficient evidence.  <b>PARTIALLY MEETS</b> -partial information is available related to the criterion and/or data demonstrates weak evidence.  <b>MEETS OR EXCEEDS</b> –most information for the criterion is available and information and data suggest acceptable or strong evidence.		Does not meet –  Partially Meets -  Meets or Exceeds -
Evidence of content alignment with Comprehension –	Michigan Educator Stakeholders believe the assessment appropriately	<b>DOES NOT MEET</b> - information is not available for this criteria or		Does not meet –  Partially Meets -

This rubric was designed by an Action Team for Early Literacy. This team consisted of educators from throughout Michigan at the K-20 level. The rubric was designed to meet the legislation in Section 35a(3) and 104d of PA 249 of 2016. The use of this rubric for any other purpose may not be appropriate.

## Diagnostic Assessment Rubric

Criterion	Indicators	Rating	Feedback from Reviewers	Compiled Rating
making meaning of text	<p>measures reading Comprehension</p> <p><b>Evidence includes:</b> Construct and content validity, Item or sub-test cross walk demonstrating that portions of assessment measure students' reading Comprehension</p>	<p>information does not demonstrate sufficient evidence.</p> <p><b>PARTIALLY MEETS</b>-partial information is available related to the criterion and/or data demonstrates weak evidence.</p> <p><b>MEETS OR EXCEEDS</b> –most information for the criterion is available and information and data suggest acceptable or strong evidence.</p>		Meets or Exceeds -
Scores are easily interpreted to match instruction <b>and intervention</b> to students' specific reading needs	<p>Michigan Educator Stakeholders believe that scores can be linked to specific areas of instructional focus for reading.</p> <p><b>Evidence includes:</b> Reports are available which depict students' reading skills in such detail that the results can be used to match students to instruction/ intervention that will specifically help them to improve identified literacy sub-skills and overall reading performance</p>	<p><b>DOES NOT MEET</b>- information is not available for this criteria or information does not demonstrate sufficient evidence.</p> <p><b>PARTIALLY MEETS</b>-partial information is available related to the criterion and/or data demonstrates weak evidence.</p> <p><b>MEETS OR EXCEEDS</b> –most information for the criterion is available and information and data suggest</p>		<p>Does not meet –</p> <p>Partially Meets -</p> <p>Meets or Exceeds -</p>

This rubric was designed by an Action Team for Early Literacy. This team consisted of educators from throughout Michigan at the K-20 level. The rubric was designed to meet the legislation in Section 35a(3) and 104d of PA 249 of 2016. The use of this rubric for any other purpose may not be appropriate.

## Diagnostic Assessment Rubric

Criterion	Indicators	Rating	Feedback from Reviewers	Compiled Rating
		acceptable or strong evidence.		
Results utility	<p>Michigan Educator Stakeholders believe assessment results are displayed in a format and language that is understandable to educators, administrators and parents;</p> <p><b>Evidence includes:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Data results are easily read and interpreted</li> <li>• Results include description of how to interpret results</li> <li>• Student results are available, including information designed for families</li> </ul>	<p><b>DOES NOT MEET</b>- information is not available for this criteria or information does not demonstrate sufficient evidence.</p> <p><b>PARTIALLY MEETS</b>-partial information is available related to the criterion and/or data demonstrates weak evidence.</p> <p><b>MEETS OR EXCEEDS</b> –most information for the criterion is available and information and data suggest acceptable or strong evidence.</p>		<p>Does not meet –</p> <p>Partially Meets -</p> <p>Meets or Exceeds -</p>
Administration of assessment is feasible and minimally disruptive	<p>Michigan Educator Stakeholders believe that the administration of the assessment is feasible and minimally disruptive;</p> <p><b>Evidence includes:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Training on assessment administration is easily available and required for all test administrators</li> <li>• Assessment is simple for educators to administer to students.</li> </ul>	<p><b>DOES NOT MEET</b>- information is not available for this criteria or information does not demonstrate sufficient evidence.</p> <p><b>PARTIALLY MEETS</b>-partial information is available related to the criterion and/or data demonstrates weak evidence.</p>		

This rubric was designed by an Action Team for Early Literacy. This team consisted of educators from throughout Michigan at the K-20 level. The rubric was designed to meet the legislation in Section 35a(3) and 104d of PA 249 of 2016. The use of this rubric for any other purpose may not be appropriate.

# Diagnostic Assessment Rubric

Criterion	Indicators	Rating	Feedback from Reviewers	Compiled Rating
		<b>MEETS OR EXCEEDS</b> –most information for the criterion is available and information and data suggest acceptable or strong evidence.		

Strengths:

Weaknesses:

Recommend:

Not Recommended:

This rubric was designed by an Action Team for Early Literacy. This team consisted of educators from throughout Michigan at the K-20 level. The rubric was designed to meet the legislation in Section 35a(3) and 104d of PA 249 of 2016. The use of this rubric for any other purpose may not be appropriate.