

Justification Forms Summary Report Directions

This document provides an index of Justification Forms separated by ISD and the ISD's Member Districts. The index acts as a Table of Contents so you can easily navigate this PDF. To enable this feature, go to the "View" tab in your PDF viewer application menu bar and look for the option to show or enable the Bookmarks Panel. Once enabled, the Bookmarks panel should appear on the left side of the screen in the navigation pane. Some applications may require you to press the "Bookmarks" icon in the navigation panel to open the Bookmarks panel. Once the Bookmarks panel is open, click a district name to advance you to that district's justification form within the document. For additional assistance, contact the Catamaran Help Desk at help@catamaran.partners or 877-474-9023 (Toll Free).



Exceeding 1% Cap on Participation in State Alternate Assessment Intermediate School District (ISD) Summary Justification Form FY 2019-2020

ISD Name: Mecosta-Osceola ISD

All intermediate school districts must complete this summary form regarding the percentage of students assessed using Michigan’s alternate assessment (MI-Access). This includes justification forms from member districts/public school academies (PSA) that assessed more than 1% of students in the spring of 2019 using MI-Access.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Data	Total
Number of member districts/PSAs including the ISD (only if the ISD runs internal programs)	7
Number of member districts/PSAs including the ISD (only if the ISD runs internal programs) that assessed more than 1% of all students tested in the spring of 2019 using MI-Access (in grades 3-8 and 11)	7

Technical Assistance and Professional Development

Tier I: Please provide a summary of technical assistance and/or professional development provided as a result of feedback from MDE regarding the 2019 test year data and justification form review. Include any professional development and targeted assistance the ISD offered to all member districts, provided by MDE and/or the ISD and MDE together.

Date Occurred	Summary of Technical Assistance and/or Professional Development
11/19/2019	In the 19-20 school year, the LEA supervisors were presented with their assessment data and resources for determining the need for an alternate assessment were reviewed. They received a Google Slides presentation with links leading to the assessment Selection Guidance Training and the Assessment Selection Interactive Decision-Making Tool. During the meeting, they were taken to the tool and shown how it could be valuable when making decisions about appropriateness of an alternate assessment.
01/21/2020	The new guidance that was released in January 2020 was presented to LEA supervisors and there was a discussion about adaptive skills in the consideration of using an alternate assessment.
01/27/2020	All Itinerants for the ISD (SLPs, PTs, OTs, SSWs, Psychs, and TCs) were provided with the new guidance document for selecting an alternate assessment. This was also discussed at the first staff meeting held with each individual discipline.

Tier II/III: Please provide a summary of technical assistance and/or professional development provided as a result of feedback from MDE regarding the 2019 test year data and justification form review. Include professional development and technical assistance the ISD provided for each specific member district that was flagged for disproportionality regarding assessment participation. Include technical assistance or professional development provided by MDE and/or the ISD and MDE together.

Member District	Date Occurred	Summary of Technical Assistance and/or Professional Development
Crossroads Charter Academy	05/28/2019	Professional development was provided to CCA as a part of the general supervision and monitoring system on assessment data and appropriate assessments.
Chippewa Hills School District	05/30/2019	Professional development was provided to Chippewa Hills as a part of the general supervision and monitoring system on assessment data and appropriate assessments.
Big Rapids Public Schools	05/25/2019	Professional development was provided to Big Rapids as a part of the general supervision and monitoring system on assessment data and appropriate assessments.
Morley Stanwood Community Schools	05/25/2019	Professional development was provided to Morley Stanwood as a part of the general supervision and monitoring system on assessment data and appropriate assessments.

What resources or support is needed from MDE for the ISD to carry out the plan?

Continued support for student growth to count for a lower amount of a teacher's evaluation. As long as such a large amount of teacher evaluation is based upon testing, staff will want to, although inappropriately, have students take a test they know that they will do well on. It will be hard to sell the concept that choosing a test is about access when it negatively affects a teacher's security.

With regards to training materials, nothing. The clarified consideration of adaptive skills was helpful.

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The ISD has provided or will provide targeted assistance to member districts/PSAs that have been flagged for disproportionality for participation in the alternate assessment as outlined on the previous page.

Yes No

Targeted assistance and professional development include an emphasis on member districts/PSAs using the state assessment selection guidance documents and online trainings.

Yes No

Assessment selection is an IEP team decision and will not be overridden by administrative or procedural decisions.

Yes No



Member District Exceeding 1% Cap Justification Form FY 2019-2020

Member District: Mecosta-Osceola ISD

The *Every Student Succeeds Act* (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	47.5	45.5	44.3	-
Mathematics	47.1	45.5	43.8	-
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	16.3	14.3	12.5	-

Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

Yes No

Please list professional development, informational sessions, and technical assistance provided regarding the 1% Cap and/or assessment selection and participation from January 1, 2019 to present.

Audience	Date Occurred	Topics and Method of Training	Delivered by
LEA Administrators	11/19/2019	Provided a "heads up" about changes being made to the alternate assessment guidance flowchart. Reviewed where to find the alternative assessment interactive training online.	ISD Staff
LEA Administrators	01/21/2020	Handed out the new alternative assessment guidance. Reviewed the changes, specifically the addition of adaptive skills to a "significant" cognitive impairment. Stressed this is an access issue, not a performance issue.	ISD Staff

Contributing Factors

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

- Yes No

Select the option that applies:

- Fewer than 8 students took MI-Access. 8 or more students took MI-Access.

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

- Yes No

Utilizing the Alternate Assessment data reports, complete the following table.

Assessment Data Table	Number
Total Number of students tested overall	89
Total Number of students tested with MI-Access	39
Students in center-based program from outside district	0
Adjusted Total	89
Adjusted Participation Number	0
Adjusted Rate	0%

Is there other justification to provide?

- Yes No

2020 Projected Participation Rate

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate	Number
Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11	118
Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	37
Projected Participation Rate	31.4%

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date
Administrator	Will work with the administrators over ISD programs to ensure that the flow chart is considered during EVERY IEP team meeting and	03/07/2020

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

Yes No

Please detail the need for additional resources and technical assistance.

The district will need guidance from the planner/monitor on assessment selection for the education center.

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

Yes No

The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

Yes No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

Yes No



Member District Exceeding 1% Cap Justification Form FY 2019-2020

Member District: **Crossroads Charter Academy**

The *Every Student Succeeds Act* (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	1.6	1	1	No change
Mathematics	1.6	1	1	No change
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	1.4	0.7	1.8	+

Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

Yes No

Please list professional development, informational sessions, and technical assistance provided regarding the 1% Cap and/or assessment selection and participation from January 1, 2019 to present.

Audience	Date Occurred	Topics and Method of Training	Delivered by
Special Ed Directors	01/21/2020	Discussed with all directors at ISD meeting	ISD Staff
Administrators	01/22/2020	Gave administrators new criteria for alternative assessments	District Staff

Contributing Factors

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

- Yes No

Select the option that applies:

- Fewer than 8 students took MI-Access. 8 or more students took MI-Access.

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

- Yes No

Is there other justification to provide?

- Yes No

2020 Projected Participation Rate

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate	Number
Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11	338
Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	0
Projected Participation Rate	0%

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date
Counselor, Special Ed Teacher	Hand out criteria page from MDE	09/01/2020

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

Yes No

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

Yes No

The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

Yes No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

Yes No



Member District Exceeding 1% Cap Justification Form FY 2019-2020

Member District: **Big Rapids Public Schools**

The *Every Student Succeeds Act* (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	1.3	1.2	1.4	+
Mathematics	1.3	1.3	1.4	+
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	0.2	1.8	0.9	-

Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

- Yes No

Please list professional development, informational sessions, and technical assistance provided regarding the 1% Cap and/or assessment selection and participation from January 1, 2019 to present.

Audience	Date Occurred	Topics and Method of Training	Delivered by
Special Education Teachers	01/17/2020	Goals/Objectives/Updates from MDE/Distribution of MI-Access flow chart from MDE and IEP decision making.	ISD Staff
Special Education Teachers Middle School	01/16/2020	Middle School Special Education Teachers/Distribution of MI-Access flow chart and discussion of who qualifies/IEP state assessment portion	District Staff

Contributing Factors

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

Yes No

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

Yes No

Is there other justification to provide?

Yes No

2020 Projected Participation Rate

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate	Number
Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11	1062
Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	21
Projected Participation Rate	2%

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date
Administrators	Review of Procedures for Identifying MI-Access Students- Receive Flow Chart to Assist	03/24/2020
Middle School Teachers	Share percent expected for 2020 and look at procedures for identifying	03/19/2020

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

Yes No

Please detail the need for additional resources and technical assistance.

We continually send staff to MOISD Transition Council monthly meetings. District Staff are included in at least one PD offering for our entire district each year. Notes and resources are distributed to our district that MOISD provides regarding state assessment. Administrator meets with Supervisor from MOISD regarding data each year. Discussion and reports are shared at monthly Supervisor Meetings.

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

Yes No

The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

Yes No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

Yes No

District Comments

We continually as a staff discuss the importance of correctly identifying students for state testing. All Special Education teachers receive updated resources from the State to assist with determining appropriate test.



Member District Exceeding 1% Cap Justification Form FY 2019-2020

Member District: Chippewa Hills School District

The *Every Student Succeeds Act* (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	2.3	2.3	2.8	+
Mathematics	2.4	2.3	2.8	+
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	1.5	2.9	3.5	+

Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

- Yes No

Please list professional development, informational sessions, and technical assistance provided regarding the 1% Cap and/or assessment selection and participation from January 1, 2019 to present.

Audience	Date Occurred	Topics and Method of Training	Delivered by
SE Teachers	10/22/2018	Admin were given the guiding documents in choosing appropriate assessments.	District Staff
Admin	10/22/2018	Admin were given the guiding documents in choosing appropriate assessments.	District Staff

Contributing Factors

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

Yes No

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

Yes No

Is there other justification to provide?

Yes No

2020 Projected Participation Rate

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate	Number
Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11	1012
Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	16
Projected Participation Rate	1.6%

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date
Administrators	Training in assessment selection - using guidelines provided by MDE and ISD	02/11/2020
SE Teachers	Training in assessment selection - using guidelines provided by MDE and ISD	02/21/2020

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

Yes No

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

Yes No

The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

Yes No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

Yes No



Member District Exceeding 1% Cap Justification Form FY 2019-2020

Member District: **Morley Stanwood Community Schools**

The *Every Student Succeeds Act* (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	3.6	3.1	1.6	-
Mathematics	3.4	3.1	1.8	-
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	3.6	2.2	1.2	-

Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

- Yes No

Please list professional development, informational sessions, and technical assistance provided regarding the 1% Cap and/or assessment selection and participation from January 1, 2019 to present.

Audience	Date Occurred	Topics and Method of Training	Delivered by
Admin Team	01/23/2020	Admin meeting discussion, review of state guidelines, current data	District Staff
SPED Teachers	02/07/2020	SPED team meeting, review of state guidelines, current data	District Staff
SPED Teachers	02/14/2020	SPED team meeting, review of state guidelines, current data	District Staff

Contributing Factors

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

Yes No

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

Yes No

Is there other justification to provide?

Yes No

Please describe other justification:

We have a slightly higher percentage of eligible students in proportion to our overall Special Education population. Seven currently eligible CI students in a total population of 230. This gives way to a larger selection of students assigned to MiAccess beyond the 1% cap.

2020 Projected Participation Rate

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate	Number
Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11	108
Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	6
Projected Participation Rate	5.6%

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date
Special Education Teachers, Administrators	Discussion regarding 1% Cap updated guidance, and assessment flow chart	02/05/2020

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

Yes No

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

Yes No

The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

Yes No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

Yes No



Member District Exceeding 1% Cap Justification Form FY 2019-2020

Member District: **Evart Public Schools**

The *Every Student Succeeds Act* (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	1.7	1.3	1.3	No change
Mathematics	1.5	1.2	1.3	+
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	1.6	1.3	1.6	+

Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

- Yes No

Please list professional development, informational sessions, and technical assistance provided regarding the 1% Cap and/or assessment selection and participation from January 1, 2019 to present.

Audience	Date Occurred	Topics and Method of Training	Delivered by
Administrators	11/20/2019	Alternative Assessments and 1% cap - Meeting	District Staff
Special Education Teachers	11/25/2019	Alternative Assessment - Flyer	District Staff
High School Special Education Teachers	01/23/2020	Graduation and Alternative Assessment	ISD Staff

Contributing Factors

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

Yes No

Select the option that applies:

Fewer than 8 students took MI-Access. 8 or more students took MI-Access.

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

Yes No

Is there other justification to provide?

Yes No

2020 Projected Participation Rate

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate	Number
Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11	442
Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	6
Projected Participation Rate	1.4%

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date
Special Education Teachers and Administrators	Understanding Alternate Assessment, Identifying Who Should Take Alternate Assessment	05/01/2020

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

Yes No

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

Yes No

The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

Yes No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

Yes No



Member District Exceeding 1% Cap Justification Form FY 2019-2020

Member District: **Reed City Area Public Schools**

The *Every Student Succeeds Act* (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	2.3	2.2	1.6	-
Mathematics	1.9	2.2	1.6	-
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	2.2	3.1	1.7	-

Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

- Yes No

Please list professional development, informational sessions, and technical assistance provided regarding the 1% Cap and/or assessment selection and participation from January 1, 2019 to present.

Audience	Date Occurred	Topics and Method of Training	Delivered by
SE Staff	10/21/2019	Alternate State Testing and review of guidelines	District Staff
RCAPs Administration	01/14/2020	Reviewed new tool and guidance for selection of alternative state assessments from MDE.	District Staff

Contributing Factors

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

Yes No

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

Yes No

Is there other justification to provide?

Yes No

2020 Projected Participation Rate

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate	Number
Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11	752
Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	11
Projected Participation Rate	1.5%

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date
RCAPs Administration	Mi Access Selection and Testing for Students	05/12/2020

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

Yes No

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

Yes No

The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

Yes No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

Yes No